

Cerebral Cortex

How the Brain Represents the Reward Value of Fat in the Mouth

Journal:	Cerebral Cortex			
Manuscript ID:	CerCor-2009-00341.R2			
Manuscript Type:	Original Articles			
Date Submitted by the Author:				
Complete List of Authors:	Grabenhorst, Fabian; Oxford University, Experimental Psychology Rolls, Edmund; Oxford Centre for Computational Neuroscience, Computational Neuroscience Parris, Benjamin; Oxford University, Experimental Psychology D'Souza, Arun; Oxford University, Experimental Psychology			
Keywords:	fat texture, obesity, food reward, orbitofrontal cortex, cingulate cortex			



Cerebral Cortex

How the Brain Represents the Reward Value of Fat in the Mouth

Fabian Grabenhorst¹, Edmund T Rolls, Benjamin A. Parris² and Arun A. d'Souza³

University of Oxford, Department of Experimental Psychology, South Parks Road, Oxford OX1 3UD, UK.

¹ Present address: Department of Psychology and Helen Wills Neuroscience Institute, University of California at Berkeley, Berkeley, California.

² Present address: Psychology Research Group, University of Bournemouth, School of Design, Engineering and Computing, Bournemouth, UK.

³ Present address: School of Psychology and Trinity College Institute of Neuroscience, Trinity College Dublin, Ireland.

Corresponding author:

Professor Edmund T. Rolls, Oxford Centre for Computational Neuroscience, Oxford, England

Email: Edmund.Rolls@oxcns.org web: www.oxcns.org

Keywords: orbitofrontal cortex; cingulate cortex; insular cortex; taste; flavor; emotion; appetite

s:\papers\fatfmri\fatPleas4c_nf.doc



Abstract

The palatability and pleasantness of the sensory properties of foods drive food selection and intake, and may contribute to overeating and obesity. Oral fat texture can make food palatable and pleasant. To analyze its neural basis, we correlated humans' subjective reports of the pleasantness of the texture and flavor of a high and low fat food with a vanilla or strawberry flavor, with neural activations measured with fMRI. Activity in the mid-orbitofrontal and anterior cingulate cortex was correlated with the pleasantness of oral fat texture, and in nearby locations with the pleasantness of flavour. The pregenual cingulate cortex showed a supralinear response to the combination of high fat and pleasant, sweet flavor, implicating it in the convergence of fat texture and flavor to produce a representation of highly pleasant stimuli. The subjective reports of oral fattereal hypothalamus and amygdala were more strongly activated by high vs low fat stimuli. This discovery of which brain regions track the subjective hedonic experience of fat texture will help to unravel possible differences in the neural responses in obese vs lean people to oral fat, a driver of food intake.

Cerebral Cortex

Introduction

The sensory and especially the hedonic effects of food are important drivers of food intake, and oversensitivity of the reward system to the sensory properties of food may be a driving factor in obesity (Davis et al., 2007; Franken and Muris, 2005; Hetherington, 2007; McGloin et al., 2002; Rolls, 2005, 2007a, 2007b; Stice et al., 2008; Wardle et al., 2001). One of the sensory properties of food important in making it palatable is its fat texture (Drewnowski, 1998). However, little is known about how the pleasantness of oral fat texture is represented in the brain. This is an important issue, for evidence on the neural representation of the reward value of oral fat would provide a basis for investigations of whether the brains of obese people differ, inter alia, in their responsiveness to the pleasant, affective, reward value of oral fat texture. A previous investigation with a fatty oil (and comparisons with non-fat oral texture produced by carboxymethylcellulose) showed that fat texture is represented in areas of the human brain such as the taste and somatosensory insula, orbitofrontal cortex, and pregenual cingulate cortex (de Araujo and Rolls, 2004). However, it is not known in which brain areas the subjective pleasantness of fat texture is represented and the extent to which these areas overlap with the areas that represent the pleasantness of food flavor, which include the orbitofrontal and pregenual cingulate cortex (Kringelbach et al., 2003; McCabe and Rolls, 2007; Small et al., 2003). At the neuronal level, single neurons in the primary taste cortex in the insula, and in the orbitofrontal cortex, can reflect a convergence of effects produced by oral texture, including fat, and taste (Kadohisa et al., 2005; Rolls, 2008; Rolls et al., 2003b; Verhagen et al., 2004; Verhagen et al., 2003).

The aim of this study was to investigate which areas of the human brain represent the subjective pleasantness of fat in the mouth, and whether these areas overlap with the areas that represent the pleasantness of food flavor. We designed a protocol in which the oral effects of high vs low fat foods could be compared, by using dairy products that differed markedly in their fat content, and in which human participants provided ratings of the subjective pleasantness of the fat texture, and separate ratings of the fattiness of what was in the mouth. The design included two flavors (vanilla and strawberry) of the high and low fat drinks, and ratings of the subjective pleasantness of the flavor, so that representations of the pleasantness of fat texture and of flavor could be compared in the same participants with interleaved trials.

Given the obesity epidemic and the medical risks associated with obesity (Flegal et al., 2005), it is important to understand the principles by which the pleasantness of the texture and flavor of food are

processed in the brain and can thereby influence the type of food that is eaten and how much is eaten (Morton et al., 2006; Rolls, 2007a, 2007b; Schwartz and Porte, 2005).

Methods

Design

The flavor stimuli consisted of a pleasant vanilla-flavored dairy drink and, to provide for a range of pleasantness values in the investigation, a less pleasant strawberry-flavored dairy drink. Both types of flavor stimuli were presented as a low fat version (0.1% fat milk) and a high fat version (single cream, 18% fat) to produce a range of liquid food stimuli that differed in taste, olfactory and texture components. The drinks were made by taking either single cream or the low fat milk as the base, and the flavor component was specified by vanilla food flavor and 5 g/100 ml (0.15 M) sucrose, or by strawberry food flavor without sucrose. The tasteless control / rinse solution contained the main ionic components of saliva (25 mM KCl + 2.5 mM NaHCO₃) which when subtracted from the effects produced by the taste stimulus allowed taste (or in the case of the high fat stimuli taste and fat texture) effects to be distinguished from general somatosensory effects produced by introducing fluid into the mouth and any mouth movement (de Araujo et al., 2003a; O'Doherty et al., 2001). Although this is a useful control condition (de Araujo et al., 2003a; de Araujo et al., 2003b; O'Doherty et al., 2001), in fact in the present study the main comparisons of interest were those between high and low fat stimuli, and vanilla/sweet and strawberry flavor stimuli, and the rinse effects were not needed for these comparisons. Flavor stimuli were delivered to the subject's mouth through teflon tubes (one for each of the 4 stimuli, and a separate tube for the tasteless rinse control) that were held between the lips. Each teflon tube of approximately 3 meters in length was connected to a separate reservoir via a syringe and a one-way syringe activated check valve (Model 14044-5, World Precision Instruments, Inc), which allowed 0.75 ml of any stimulus to be delivered at the time indicated by the computer.

Each trial started with a visual cue displayed for 1 s to indicate to the subjects that a flavor stimulus will be delivered. Then at t=1 s a blue cross was shown and a flavor stimulus (chosen in a random permuted sequence through the set of stimuli that was then followed by a new random permutation) was delivered into the mouth, and left there for a 7 s flavor period. After this period, at t=8 s, a green 2 s cross cued the subjects to swallow. After this period, ratings were made with visual analogue rating scales in which the subject moved a bar to the appropriate point on the continuous scale using a button box. Subjects rated the flavor stimuli on

Cerebral Cortex

separate scales for pleasantness of flavor, for pleasantness of texture (with +2 being very pleasant and -2 very unpleasant), and for fattiness (with 0 being very low in fat and +4 being very high in fat). The subjects were instructed to rate the fattiness of the stimuli independent of how pleasant the stimuli were. Each rating period was 4 seconds long. Pre-experiment training in the protocol, and use of the rating scales allowed the participants to rate the pleasantness of texture separately from the fattiness of a stimulus. After the last rating (at t=22 s) a small visual cue indicated the delivery of the tasteless control / rinse solution which was administered in exactly the same way as the test stimuli. Swallowing was again cued by a visual stimulus. The instruction given to the subject was to move the tongue once as soon as a stimulus or tasteless solution was delivered (at the time when a blue visual stimulus was turned on) in order to distribute the solution round the mouth to activate the receptors for taste, smell, and oral texture, and then to keep still for the remainder of the 7s until a green cue indicated when the subject could swallow. There was then a 4 s delay period before the next trial started. Each experimental stimulus was presented in permuted sequence 12 times, interleaved with other trials on which thermal stimuli were applied to the hand as part of another investigation. This general protocol and design has been used successfully in previous studies to investigate activations and their relation to subjective ratings in cortical areas (de Araujo et al., 2003a; de Araujo et al., 2003b; de Araujo et al., 2003c; Grabenhorst et al., 2008).

Participants

Fourteen healthy volunteers (9 male and 5 female, mean age 24) participated in the study. Ethical approval (Central Oxford Research Ethics Committee) and written informed consent from all subjects were obtained before the experiment. The participants were asked not to eat for three hours before the experiment, and the experiments were performed at approximately lunch time, so that the participants were sufficiently hungry to want to eat.

fMRI Data Acquisition

Images were acquired with a 3.0-T VARIAN/SIEMENS whole-body scanner at the Centre for Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging at Oxford (FMRIB), where 27 T2* weighted EPI coronal slices with in-plane resolution of 3x3 mm and between plane spacing of 4 mm were acquired every 2 seconds (TR=2). We used the techniques that we have developed over a number of years (de Araujo *et al.*, 2003a; O'Doherty et al.,

2001b) and as described in detail by Wilson et al. (2002) we carefully selected the imaging parameters in order to minimise susceptibility and distortion artefact in the orbitofrontal cortex. The relevant factors include imaging in the coronal plane, minimizing voxel size in the plane of the imaging, as high a gradient switching frequency as possible (960 Hz), a short echo time of 28 ms, and local shimming for the inferior frontal area. The matrix size was 64 x 64 and the field of view was 192 x 192 mm. Continuous coverage was obtained from +62 (A/P) to -46 (A/P).

fMRI Data Analysis

The imaging data were analysed using SPM5 (Statistical Parametric Mapping, Wellcome Trust Centre for Neuroimaging, London). Pre-processing of the data used SPM5 realignment and unwarping, reslicing with sinc interpolation, normalisation to the MNI coordinate system (Montreal Neurological Institute) (Collins et al., 1994), and spatial smoothing with a 6 mm full width at half maximum isotropic Gaussian kernel. The time series at each voxel were low-pass filtered with a haemodynamic response kernel. Time series non-sphericity at each voxel was estimated and corrected for (Friston et al., 2002), and a high-pass filter with a cut-off period of 128 sec was applied. In the single event design, a general linear model was then applied to the time course of activation where the onset of the oral stimulus effects (at t=2 s in each trial) was modelled with an impulse response function and then convolved with the canonical hemodynamic response function (Friston et al., 1994). (The oral stimulus was delivered at t=1 s, and the 1 s delay for the analysis was to allow the oral stimulus to be distributed in the mouth.) Linear contrasts were defined to test specific effects. Time derivatives were included in the basis functions set. Following smoothness estimation (Kiebel et al., 1999), in the first stage of analysis condition-specific experimental effects (parameter estimates, or regression coefficients, pertaining to the height of the canonical HRF) were obtained via the general linear model (GLM) in a voxelwise manner for each subject. In the second (group random effects) stage, subject-specific linear contrasts of these parameter estimates were entered into a factorial design, where one factor was fat content (high fat vs low fat), and the second factor was flavor (vanilla vs strawberry). The regression analyses of the fMRI BOLD (blood oxygenation-level dependent) signal with given parameters of interest (e.g. the pleasantness ratings) were performed at the second-level through applying one-sample t-tests to the first-level subject-specific statistical parametric maps resulting from performing linear parametric modulation as implemented in SPM5. When a regression analysis was being performed with the parametric modulator pleasantness of texture

Page 8 of 32

Cerebral Cortex

ratings, the pleasantness of flavor ratings were included as covariates, and vice versa. We specified the GLM so that the second parametric modulator in the model was orthogonalized with respect to the first as implemented in SPM5, and any shared variance was assigned to the first parametric modulator. We confirmed that all results of these analyses were not altered by reversing the order in which the parametric modulators were entered in the GLM. For example, all correlations reported for the pleasantness of texture ratings were still significant when any shared variance was assigned to the pleasantness of flavor ratings, and vice versa. For the correlations with the pleasantness of oral texture, separate regressors for the vanilla and strawberry flavors were implemented at the first (subject) level, and the effects for the oral texture were combined at the second (group) level. For the correlations with the pleasantness of flavor, separate regressors for the high fat and low fat versions were implemented at the first (subject) level, and the effects for the flavor were combined at the second (group) level. We focus our analysis on brain regions where there were prior hypotheses and applied small volume (false discovery rate) corrections for multiple comparisons for which p<0.05 (though the exact corrected probability values are provided) (Genovese et al., 2002) with a radius corresponding to the full width at half maximum of the spatial smoothing filter used. These brain regions with prior hypotheses specified in this way were as follows: regions within the orbitofrontal and anterior cingulate cortex, anterior and mid-insular cortex, ventral striatum, hypothalamus and amygdala in which we and others have found activations in previous studies to taste and flavor stimuli (de Araujo et al., 2003a; de Araujo et al., 2003b; de Araujo and Rolls, 2004; Kringelbach and Rolls, 2004; O'Doherty et al., 2001b; Rolls, 2005, 2006; Small et al., 2003; Small and Prescott, 2005; Small et al., 1999). In addition to the statistical criterion just described for a significant effect calculated for the peak voxel of a region of activation in an a priori defined region based on earlier findings, we used the additional statistical test (see Gottfried et al., 2002; O'Doherty et al., 2006; O'Doherty et al., 2003) that the results reported were in global contrast and/or correlation analyses significant using the criterion of p<0.001 uncorrected for multiple comparisons and with a cluster size > 3 voxels, and these additional statistics confirmed the same effects in the a priori regions in all cases in this paper unless otherwise stated. All results that were significant within the areas of interest for all the analyses performed are included in the Results section. For voxels where significant correlations were found between the % BOLD signal and the ratings in the SPM regression analysis using the criteria described above, we produced graphs to show how the ratings were related to the % BOLD signal. These were produced for each subject by taking the average of the BOLD response in the 3 time bins at 4, 6 and 8 s post stimulus onset (when the

haemodynamic response function has high values), on each trial, and the corresponding rating. For each subject the means were calculated in discretized ranges of the rating function (e.g. -2 to -1.75, -1.75 to -1.5 etc), and then these values were averaged across subjects.

Results

Ratings of the pleasantness of oral texture, flavor, and fattiness

The ratings of the pleasantness of texture and flavor, and of the fattiness of the stimuli, obtained during the neuroimaging are shown in Fig. 1. A within subjects ANOVA (F(1,13)=14.8, p=0.002) followed by post hoc LSD tests (accompanied by a Kolmogorov-Smirnov test for normality) showed that the texture of the high fat stimulus was rated as more pleasant than the low fat stimulus for each of the two flavors. There was also, as part of the design to reveal potential correlations with the pleasantness of flavor, higher rated pleasantness of vanilla than strawberry flavor (F(1,13)=14.8, p<1x10⁻⁶). Both these were significant as main effects in the ANOVA. As is evident in Fig. 1, within the flavor vanilla there was a significant difference of the rated pleasantness of texture $(p=1x10^{-3})$. The same was the case within the flavor strawberry (p=0.026). (Also, no significant interaction was found between the factors flavor and texture in the pleasantness of texture ratings (F(1,13)=1.03 p>0.3.) Because the effect of high vs low fat on pleasantness ratings was found separately for each of the two flavors, vanilla and strawberry (and there was no interaction), the psychophysical data show that the high fat version of each drink was rated as more pleasant than the low fat version, and thus that the high fat was more pleasant than the low fat version for each of the two flavors. Thus some of the fMRI analyses tested within each of the two flavors whether some brain regions had activations that were correlated with the pleasantness of the fat texture. The correlations between the ratings of the pleasantness of texture and the pleasantness of flavor within each subject across trials were low and nonsignificant (with an average correlation of r=0.11, which had the same value when calculated separately for vanilla and strawberry), indicating that the subjects were able to independently rate the pleasantness of these different sensory properties of the stimuli.

Fig. 1b shows that within each flavor, the high fat stimulus was rated as more fatty than the low fat stimulus (F(1,13)=55.9, p<5x10⁻⁵; for vanilla p=3x10⁻⁵; for strawberry p=5x10⁻⁴). Contrast analyses to identify brain regions with activations to high vs low fat therefore focussed on contrasts within each flavor, and the

Cerebral Cortex

same approach was used with the regression analyses to identify brain regions with activations related to the rated fattiness of the oral texture stimulus.

fMRI data

For the analysis of the fMRI data, we aimed to identify brain regions where neural activity correlates with the subjective pleasantness of texture and flavor. The ratings of the pleasantness of texture and flavor were used as subject-specific regressors for neural activity in SPM regression analyses (see Methods). In addition, to illustrate how activity at the sites identified in these analyses is related to the range of pleasantness rating values, we extracted from locations where significant correlations were found in the SPM regression analyses the % BOLD signal as a function of pleasantness ratings. This method of using subjective ratings as regressors for neural activations has previously been used to successfully identify brain areas where activity reflects the subjective affective value of stimuli (de Araujo *et al.*, 2003a; de Araujo *et al.*, 2003b; de Araujo *et al.*, 2003c; Grabenhorst *et al.*, 2008; Grabenhorst *et al.*, 2007; Rolls and Grabenhorst, 2008; Rolls *et al.*, 2008a). We focus our analyses on brain regions where there were prior hypotheses as described in the Methods. A list of other brain regions where significant effects were found is provided in Supplementary Table 1.

Brain regions with representations of the pleasantness of oral fat texture

The mid-orbitofrontal cortex

Activity in the mid-orbitofrontal cortex was correlated with the subjective pleasantness of fat texture as shown in Fig. 2a which shows the statistical map for the SPM regression analysis ([32 34 -14] z=3.38 p=0.013). The results show where the pleasantness of fat texture is represented in that they were obtained for the high and low fat versions with the vanilla flavor which differed in texture pleasantness (see Fig. 1), and also included the flavor ratings as a covariate in the SPM analysis. The diagram in Fig. 2c shows that activity in the mid-orbitofrontal cortex was positively related to the pleasantness of texture ratings over a wide range of pleasantness values. The only other brain region where there was a significant positive correlation with the pleasantness of texture as the parametric regressor was the anterior cingulate cortex, as described later.

Consistent with this relation to the pleasantness of oral fat texture, activations in this mid-orbitofrontal cortex region were larger for the vanilla high vs low fat stimulus (Fig. 2d, f) as shown by a significant effect in

an SPM contrast analysis [34 38 -10] (z=3.46 p<0.001). If this region represents the pleasantness of the texture of fat, then the large response shown in Fig. 2f to high vs low fat vanilla stimuli but not to high vs low fat strawberry stimuli can be understood as reflecting how pleasant these stimuli were in terms of their fat texture, which was high for high fat vanilla as shown in Fig. 1a. This brain region thus represents the affective value of oral fat texture especially when it is pleasant, and a similar point can be made for the pregenual cingulate area that represents the pleasantness of pleasant high-fat stimuli, as shown below. Interestingly, a different region of the orbitofrontal cortex did show a significant difference between the high and low fat versions of the strawberry stimuli at [-26 42 -12] z=3.71 p=0.012, which reflects a difference in pleasantness that is mainly in the negative range of ratings. This is consistent with our earlier finding that some parts of the orbitofrontal cortex seem to represent the pleasantness and unpleasantness of stimuli at least partly independently (Grabenhorst *et al.*, 2007).

Interestingly, activity that was correlated with the subjective pleasantness of flavor was found in the mid-orbitofrontal cortex as shown in Fig. 3a and c, ([22 36 -10] z=3.87 p=0.004) in a region that was close to that shown in Fig. 2 as having activations related to the subjective pleasantness of oral fat texture. Thus the mid-orbitofrontal cortex region has representations of both the pleasantness of oral texture and flavor.

The anterior including pregenual cingulate cortex

Activity in the anterior cingulate cortex was correlated with the subjective pleasantness of fat texture as shown in Fig. 2a ($[2 \ 30 \ 14] \ z=3.22 \ p=0.016$). The results show where the pleasantness of fat texture is represented in that they were obtained for the high and low fat versions with the vanilla flavor which differed in texture pleasantness (see Fig. 1), and also included the flavor ratings as a covariate in the SPM analysis. The diagram in Fig. 2b shows that the activity was positively related to the pleasantness of texture ratings over a wide range of pleasantness values.

Consistent with this relation to the pleasantness of oral fat texture, activations in this anterior cingulate cortex region were also correlated with the subjective ratings of fatty texture ($[0 \ 30 \ 12] \ z=2.87 \ p=0.035$), and the effect extended into the pregenual cingulate cortex, as shown in Fig. 2d and e, by the high vs low fat contrast analysis with the pleasant flavor vanilla ($[-4 \ 46 \ -4] \ z=3.67 \ p<0.001$). This pregenual cingulate cortex region is known to be activated by a number of pleasant stimuli (Grabenhorst *et al.*, 2008; Rolls, 2009a; Rolls and Grabenhorst, 2008; Rolls *et al.*, 2008a).

Cerebral Cortex

In this context, it was very interesting that a region of the anterior cingulate cortex close to that shown in Fig. 2 as having activations related to the pleasantness of oral fat texture also had activations that were correlated with the pleasantness of flavor ([8 30 16) z=3.09 p=0.037), as shown in Fig. 3a and b. Similarly, the region of pregenual cingulate cortex shown in Fig. 2 as having activations related to oral fat texture also had nearby activations that were correlated with the pleasantness of flavor ([12 50 -8] z=2.98 p=0.013).

Bringing together representations of the sensory properties of food is likely to be important in determining the palatability of a food, which can be enhanced by particular combinations of the sensory properties, including sweet and fat, as occurs in foods such as ice cream and chocolate. To investigate whether there are common areas in the brain in which both the pleasantness of oral fatty texture and the pleasantness of flavor (e.g. the flavor of the sweet vanilla) are represented, we inclusively masked the brain areas where activations were correlated with the pleasantness of oral texture with the brain areas where activations were correlated with the pleasantness of oral texture with the brain areas where activations were correlated with the pleasantness of overlap the peaks of the correlations were for texture [6 32 -6] z=2.92 p=0.002 uc, and for flavor [10 42 0] z=2.83 p=0.04. The graphs underneath show that the percentage change in the BOLD signal for both the pleasantness of oral fatty texture and the pleasantness of flavor (with its taste and olfactory attributes) was similar in this common region, implying a similar scale in this brain region for the subjective reward value of the texture and flavor properties of the stimuli.

If stimuli combine supralinearly, this can indicate convergence of the stimuli to produce a new representation of the combination which can act differently to the sum of the parts. We tested whether any brain regions might show such a supralinear combination effect of fat texture, and flavor (taste plus smell, as defined in the vanilla/sweet and the strawberry/non-sweet stimuli). (This test was performed in SPM as part of a factorial design of high fat vs low fat texture and vanilla/sweet flavor vs strawberry/non-sweet flavor. The test specified was for whether the high fat with vanilla/sweet flavor produced a greater activation than the sum of the texture component (using high fat strawberry) and the flavor component (using vanilla/sweet in the low fat stimulus). As shown in Fig. 4b, regions of the pregenual cingulate cortex showed supralinear effects for fat texture and sweet vanilla flavor (with peaks at [8 42 0] z=3.68 p=0.002 and [-6 50 -4] z=3.81 p=0.001).

In this investigation, the only common area for the representation of the pleasantness of fat texture and the pleasantness of flavor shown by the masking approach was the anterior and pregenual cingulate

cortex, although activations related to the pleasantness of fat texture and the pleasantness of flavor were found close together in the mid-orbitofrontal cortex, as described above. The only area in this investigation showing a supralinear representation of fat texture and flavor was the pregenual cingulate with the extent shown in Fig. 4b.

Brain regions with representations of fattiness

Lateral hypothalamus and adjoining amygdala

The orbitofrontal cortex projects to the lateral hypothalamus as well as to the pregenual cingulate cortex. In this context it was of interest that a contrast of high fat vs low fat in the factorial design including high vs low fat and vanilla vs strawberry flavor revealed a significant effect in the lateral hypothalamus ([8 -8 -2] z=3.04 p=0.048) as shown in Fig. 5a. The parameter estimates showing the effects for each stimulus in Fig. 5b showed effects related to the high but not the low fat stimuli independently of flavor. This result was extended by a positive correlation (z=3.20 p=0.033) in the same region with the subjective rating of the fattiness of the stimuli. The lateral hypothalamus also had activations correlated with the pleasantness of flavor ([14 -12 -6] z=3.58 p=0.006).

The amygdala (in a region bordering the ventral forebrain and substantia innominata) was also more strongly activated by the high vs low fat in the contrast analysis for the vanilla flavor ($[24 \ 0 \ -12] \ z=3.29$ p=0.031) as shown in Fig. 5a and c.

Ventral striatum

The orbitofrontal cortex, pregenual cingulate cortex, and amygdala project to the ventral striatum (Ferry et al., 2000). In this context it was of interest that activations in the ventral striatum were correlated with the subjective ratings of oral fat texture ([-8 20 -16] z=4.59 p<0.001, Fig. 6a and b), extending the finding of a previous study that the ventral striatum was activated by oral fat texture to the subjective evaluation of fattiness (de Araujo and Rolls, 2004). Although activations in the ventral striatum were not significantly correlated with the pleasantness of fat texture, it was found that in a region of the ventral striatum close to that shown in Fig. 6a as having activations related to the oral fat texture, activations were correlated with the pleasantness of flavor, as shown in Fig. 6c and d ([-12 22 -16) z=3.26 p=0.016).

Brain regions with representations of the unpleasantness of fat texture

Insula and frontal operculum

Two brain regions in which activity was negatively correlated with the pleasantness of fat texture were the far anterior, agranular, insula ($[26\ 24\ -6]\ z=3.70\ p=0.011$) and the frontal operculum / overlying cortex ([56 12 8] z=3.34 p=0.017), as shown in Fig. 7a and b. The results shown were found for the high and low fat versions of both the vanilla and strawberry flavors, and also had the flavor ratings as a covariate, so show where the unpleasantness of fat texture is represented. Figure 7c and d show that for both brain regions the activations were negatively correlated with the pleasantness of texture ratings over a wide range of pleasantness values. Several parts of the insula showed this activation related to the unpleasantness of fat texture, including a ventral part of the insula ([44 0 -18] z=3.67 p=0.006 p<0.001 uncorrected (uc)) and a midposterior part of the insula ($[60 \ 8 \ 4] \ z=3.52 \ p=0.005 \ p<0.001 \ uc$). These insular areas did not correspond to the primary taste cortex identified using a tasteless solution as a control, and shown to have activations related to the concentration of the tastant (Grabenhorst et al., 2008). The insular regions with activations correlated with the unpleasantness of the oral fat texture were shown to be more activated by the low fat strawberry (parameter estimate=24.1) than by the high fat strawberry (15.6), and little difference was found for the parameter estimates of low vs high fat vanilla (-5.1 and -5.4), so that these regions were especially activated by unpleasant oral stimuli, and then their activations represent how unpleasant the stimuli are as influenced by their fat content.

The frontal opercular region shown in Fig. 7 also had activations negatively correlated with the pleasantness of flavor (analysed as described above) ([50 18 16] z=4.17 p<0.001), as shown in Fig. 7e and g.

Lateral orbitofrontal cortex

A lateral part of the orbitofrontal cortex / inferior frontal gyrus (area 47) ([-48 32 -2] z=3.35 p=0.014) and a part of mid-orbitofrontal cortex ([16 38 -20] z=3.62 p=0.007) also had activations that were correlated with the unpleasantness ratings of the oral texture. The lateral posterior orbitofrontal cortex also had activations negatively correlated with flavor pleasantness ([32 32 -18] z=3.64 p<0.001), as shown in Fig. 7f and h. The orbitofrontal cortex receives inputs from insular and frontal opercular areas (Baylis et al., 1995). The lateral orbitofrontal cortex is known to be activated by a number of aversive stimuli, including unpleasant odors (Rolls et al., 2003a), monetary loss (O'Doherty et al., 2001a), and an angry face expression received as a social negative reinforcer (Kringelbach and Rolls, 2003), and it is thus of interest that as shown here it is activated also by unpleasant oral texture.

These new findings on where the pleasantness of oral fat texture is represented are important for understanding the principles by which the pleasantness of the texture and flavor of food are processed in the brain, and how these processes may differ in obesity. One region identified was the mid-orbitofrontal cortex (Fig. 2a) where activity correlated with the ratings of the pleasantness of oral texture based on texture differences produced by high fat vs low fat stimuli. Confirmation that this region is involved in representations of fat texture is that it was activated more by high fat than by low fat stimuli (as illustrated in Fig. 2d, f) (cf. de Araujo and Rolls (2004)). Interestingly, activations in the same region also correlated with the ratings of the pleasantness of flavor, as shown in Fig. 3a, c. In a previous study, the same region was also activated more by the flavor of a complex whole food (chocolate milk or tomato juice) when hunger was present and when the food was pleasant compared to after the food was eaten to satiety (Kringelbach *et al.*, 2003).

This mid-orbitofrontal cortex region thus represents both the pleasantness of fat texture and the pleasantness of flavor, and consistent with this, there is convergence of the effects of oral fat texture and flavor onto single neurons in the primate orbitofrontal cortex (Rolls et al., 1999; Verhagen *et al.*, 2003), where in addition neurons respond to the taste and smell of food only when hunger is present (Critchley and Rolls, 1996; Rolls et al., 1989). This convergence provides for neurons to be activated by particular combinations of taste and oral texture, and thus for particular combinations of taste and oral texture to become pleasant or unpleasant, and to show sensory-specific satiety (Rolls, 2005). This computational principle provides a reason why activations to oral texture and taste are found in overlapping areas within the orbitofrontal and pregenual cingulate cortices (Rolls, 2005). This region is also implicated in differences between individuals in their responsiveness to the reward value of food, for this region was more activated in chocolate cravers than non-cravers by the sight of chocolate (Rolls and McCabe, 2007).

Part of the interest of the new findings is that having identified a brain region where the pleasantness of oral fat texture is represented, it will now be of interest in relation to the study of obesity to examine whether this part of the brain is more activated by fat stimuli in obese than in non-obese, as part of the important goal of unravelling some of the brain processing that may contribute to the tendency to become obese. The mid-orbitofrontal cortex is thus a key area important in responses to the affective properties of food that drive appetite and food intake. Moreover, it is a key stage in processing, for earlier cortical stages that project to the orbitofrontal cortex such as the primary taste and olfactory cortex are implicated in

Cerebral Cortex

representations of the sensory properties of foods such as intensity; and the orbitofrontal cortex in turn projects to other brain areas (Carmichael and Price, 1996; Ferry *et al.*, 2000; Price, 2006) where the pleasantness of food is represented, such as the anterior / pregenual cingulate cortex, ventral striatum, lateral hypothalamus, and amygdala (see below and Rolls and Grabenhorst (2008)). We conceptualise this brain area as a region involved in representing the reward and subjective affective value of sensory stimuli (Rolls, 2005; Rolls and Grabenhorst, 2008).

Indeed, the pregenual / anterior cingulate cortex was also shown here to have activations related to the ratings of the pleasantness of oral fat texture (Fig. 2a,b), and also to respond more to high fat than low fat (Fig. 2d, e, cf. de Araujo and Rolls (2004)) with activations correlated with the subjective ratings of fattiness, and to have activations related to the pleasantness of flavor (Fig. 3a,b). Further, the pregenual cingulate cortex also had areas in which the pleasantness of fatty texture and the pleasantness of flavor overlapped, as shown in Fig, 4a. Moreover, these representations of the pleasantness or subjective reward value of the oral texture and flavor representations were on a similar scale, as suggested by the similar slopes of the percentage BOLD change as a function of the rated pleasantness shown in Fig. 4a. Further, parts of the pregenual cingulate cortex also showed supralinear activation by a combination of high fat and pleasant flavor (a combination of sweet and vanilla) as shown in Fig, 4b. (This combination, sweet, vanilla, and fat, happens to be present also in a highly palatable food, vanilla ice cream.) The pregenual cingulate cortex also contains neurons that respond to taste in macaques (Rolls, 2008), and thus can be designated as an area of tertiary taste cortex, and is activated in humans by pleasant sweet (de Araujo and Rolls, 2004) and umami (Grabenhorst et al., 2008; McCabe and Rolls, 2007) taste. In previous studies, the pregenual cingulate cortex also showed supralinear activation to the pleasant combination of umami taste and a consonant vegetable odor (McCabe and Rolls, 2007), as well as the combined sight and taste of chocolate in chocolate cravers (Rolls and McCabe, 2007), suggesting that this brain area responds strongly to food stimuli that are highly pleasant. We conceptualise this brain area as a region involved in receiving information about the reward and subjective affective value of sensory stimuli, and in then contributing with other parts of the cingulate cortex to the selection of actions (Rolls, 2009a; Rolls and Grabenhorst, 2008; Rushworth et al., 2007).

It is of interest that activations in the ventral striatum also have correlations with the subjective ratings of fattiness (Fig. 6a, b) and the pleasantness of flavor (Fig. 6c, d) (cf. Grabenhorst *et al.*, 2008), for this region receives from the orbitofrontal cortex and anterior cingulate cortex (Ferry *et al.*, 2000), and is also implicated

in interfacing reward representations to action selection (Kelley, 2003; Rolls, 2005). Further, the administration of μ -opioid agonists in this region enhances the intake of fat (Kelley et al., 2002).

The lateral hypothalamus was shown to be influenced by the oral sensory properties of fat, for it was activated more by high fat than by low fat stimuli (Fig. 5a and b), and activations in the lateral hypothalamus were correlated with the subjective ratings of oral fattiness. The hypothalamus is implicated in the molecular machinery involved in responsiveness to peripheral hunger and satiety signals (Morton *et al.*, 2006; Schwartz and Porte, 2005), and the present finding emphasises that the hypothalamus is a brain region in which such signals can be interfaced to the sensory stimuli that provide for the reward and affective value of food. This convergence of sensory stimuli produced by food, and hunger/satiety signals, also probably occurring in the orbitofrontal cortex, is crucial in producing food reward and food affective signals, which are fundamental to the control of appetitive responses to food, and thus in food intake and body weight control (Rolls, 2005, 2007a, 2007b).

Another new finding was that some brain areas had activations negatively correlated with the pleasantness ratings of the oral texture, including the frontal operculum (Fig. 7a and c), and the far anterior, agranular insula (Fig. 7b and d). These areas thus reflected the unpleasantness of the oral texture stimuli. Activations in the frontal operculum / overlying cortex were also negatively correlated with the pleasantness of flavor (Fig. 7e, f). These areas were not part of the primary taste cortex in the rostral insula (located at y=4-20 mm (Grabenhorst *et al.*, 2008)). The agranular insula is topologically in the posterior orbitofrontal region that receives input from both the primary taste cortex and the pyriform (primary olfactory) cortex and is known to be activated by combinations of taste and odor (de Araujo *et al.*, 2003c), which could produce a flavor that is unpleasant or pleasant. The frontal operculum / overlying cortex area at y=12 is just lateral to the taste cortex in the insula, and at least in macaques is probably an oral somatosensory area (Pritchard et al., 1986; Scott et al., 1986). Activations in the frontal operculum and adjacent anterior insular cortex were in previous investigations related to the relative unpleasantness of odors (Grabenhorst and Rolls, 2009), the expectation of low monetary reward outcomes (i.e. low expected value), and the uncertainty of reward (Rolls et al., 2008b), as well as risk prediction and risk prediction error (Preuschoff et al., 2008). Together these findings suggest that this brain region can be activated by different types of aversive events.

An interesting finding was that the pleasantness of oral (fat) texture was represented for pleasant oral stimuli (the vanilla flavor) in areas such as the mid-orbitofrontal cortex and pregenual cingulate cortex (Figs. 2

and 4), whereas the pleasantness of oral (fat) texture for oral stimuli that were less pleasant (the strawberry flavor) was represented in brain areas such as the insula (Fig. 7). Thus some brain areas such as the midorbitofrontal cortex and pregenual cingulate cortex represent the pleasantness of oral fat texture for pleasant stimuli, whereas other brain areas such as the insula represent the unpleasantness of fat texture (with larger activations to low fat stimuli) when the oral stimuli are unpleasant.

Another interesting finding was that some brain regions (such as the hypothalamus and amygdala, Fig. 5) reflect the subjective fattiness of oral texture stimuli, and not their affective value (pleasantness). Thus the brain has subjective representations both of how fatty an oral texture stimulus is, and how pleasant it is. This separation between representations of the identity of a stimulus and its affective value is advantageous, for the pleasantness of a stimulus may vary independently of its fattiness, as when for example a high fat food is eaten to satiety. This is an important principle in the control of food intake, and it is of interest that it is found for fat as well as for other properties of oral stimuli such as their taste, odor, and flavor (Rolls, 2005; Rolls, 2009b).

These findings are potentially of relevance to understanding some of the factors underlying the obesity epidemic (Flegal *et al.*, 2005; Krebs, 2005), and how sensory properties of food such as oral fat texture become represented in terms of the subjective hedonic value, become related to the hypothalamic molecular mechanisms that reflect peripheral hunger and satiety signals (Morton *et al.*, 2006; Schwartz and Porte, 2005), and can contribute to driving excessive food intake (Davis *et al.*, 2007; Franken and Muris, 2005; Hetherington, 2007; McGloin *et al.*, 2002; Rolls, 2005, 2007a, 2007b; Stice *et al.*, 2008; Wardle *et al.*, 2001). This is the first study we know in which brain regions involved in the representation of the subjective pleasantness of oral fat have been identified. This is potentially important as a step towards understanding whether obese people have higher activity in brain systems that represent the pleasantness of palatable and energy dense foods such as high fat foods, and the importance of oral fat texture in this.

Figure Legends

Fig. 1. The psychophysical ratings of the pleasantness of flavor and oral texture (a) and fattiness (b) obtained during the neuroimaging (mean across participants \pm sem). vhf – vanilla high fat; vlf – vanilla low fat; shf – strawberry high fat; slf – strawberry low fat. (ratings.eps)

Fig. 2. Brain regions in which the activations were correlated with the subjective pleasantness of fat texture: Mid-orbitofrontal cortex ([$32 \ 34 \ -14$] $z=3.38 \ p=0.013$) (a, yellow circle, c showing the relation between the % change in the BOLD signal and the rating of the pleasantness of the texture) and anterior cingulate cortex ([$2 \ 30 \ 14$] $z=3.22 \ p=0.016$) (a, pink circles, and b). d. Contrast of high vs low fat for the vanilla stimuli. The contrast shows significant effects in the mid-orbitofrontal cortex (d, yellow circle, f showing the parameter estimates from this analysis) and the anterior, pregenual cingulate cortex (d, pink circle, and e). The convention in this Figure and the following figures is that the brain images show the sites where significant effects were found in the SPM regression or contrast analysis and the plots below show the correlation or parameter estimate data at these sites which correspond to and are based on the significant SPM analysis. (OfcAcc_texfat.eps)

Fig. 3. Brain regions with activations correlated with the subjective ratings of flavor pleasantness: Midorbitofrontal cortex ($[22 \ 36 \ -10] \ z=3.87 \ p=0.004$) (a, yellow circle, and c) and anterior cingulate cortex ($[8 \ 30 \ 16) \ z=3.09 \ p=0.037$) (a, pink circle and b). (pleasflavor2.eps)

Fig. 4. a. Inclusive masking (p<0.05) of brain areas where activations were correlated with the subjective pleasantness of fat texture and of flavor revealed common areas in the pregenual cingulate cortex. For this area of overlap the peaks of the correlations were for texture [6 32 -6] z=2.92 p=0.002 uc, and for flavor [10 42 0] z=2.83 p=0.04. The graphs underneath show that the relationship between the % change in the BOLD signal and the subjective ratings was similar for both the pleasantness of oral fatty texture and the pleasantness of flavor (with its taste and olfactory attributes). b. Regions of the pregenual cingulate cortex showing supralinear responses to the combination of fat texture and sweet vanilla flavor compared to the sum of the components (high fat strawberry and low fat vanilla) with peaks at [8 42 0] z=3.68 p=0.002 and [-6 50 -4] z=3.81 p=0.001). (PGCsupralinearity3.eps)

Fig. 5. Brain regions with activations related to fat texture: Higher responses to high fat vs low fat stimuli were found in the lateral hypothalamus ([8 - 8 - 2] z=3.04 p=0.048) (a and b show the parameter estimates for the effect analyzed in a factorial design), and amygdala / ventral forebrain ([24 0 - 12] z=3.29 p=0.031) (a, c). (fattiness2.eps)

Fig. 6. Activity in the ventral striatum was correlated with the subjective ratings of fattiness ([-8 20 -16] z=4.59 p<0.001) (a, b), and the subjective pleasantness of flavor ([-12 22 -16) z=3.26 p=0.016) (c, d). (ventralStriatum.eps)

Fig. 7. Brain regions in which the activations were negatively correlated with the pleasantness of fat texture: Frontal operculum / overlying cortex ([56 12 8] z=3.34 p=0.017) (a, c) and far anterior, agranular insular cortex ([26 24 -6] z=3.70 p=0.011) (b, d). Negative correlations between activity and the pleasantness of flavor were found in the frontal operculum / overlying cortex ([50 18 16] z=4.17 p<0.001) (e, g) and the lateral posterior orbitofrontal cortex ([32 32 -18] z=3.64 p<0.001) (f, h). (textflavunpleas.eps)

Acknowledgements. F.G. was supported by the Gottlieb-Daimler- and Karl Benz-Foundation and the Oxford Centre for Computational Neuroscience. The investigation was performed at the Centre for Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging of the Brain (FMRIB) at Oxford University. The authors acknowledge very helpful discussions with R.E.Passingham.

Cerebral Cortex

References

- Baylis LL, Rolls ET, Baylis GC. 1995. Afferent connections of the orbitofrontal cortex taste area of the primate. Neuroscience 64: 801-812.
- Carmichael ST, Price JL. 1996. Connectional networks within the orbital and medial prefrontal cortex of macaque monkeys. Journal of Comparative Neurology 371: 179-207.
- Collins DL, Neelin P, Peters TM, Evans AC. 1994. Automatic 3D intersubject registration of MR volumetric data in standardized Talairach space. J Comput Assist Tomogr 18: 192-205.
- Critchley HD, Rolls ET. 1996. Hunger and satiety modify the responses of olfactory and visual neurons in the primate orbitofrontal cortex. J Neurophysiol 75: 1673-1686.
- Davis C, Patte K, Levitan R, Reid C, Tweed S, Curtis C. 2007. From motivation to behaviour: a model of reward sensitivity, overeating, and food preferences in the risk profile for obesity. Appetite 48: 12-19.
- de Araujo IET, Kringelbach ML, Rolls ET, Hobden P. 2003a. The representation of umami taste in the human brain. J Neurophysiol 90: 313-319.
- de Araujo IET, Kringelbach ML, Rolls ET, McGlone F. 2003b. Human cortical responses to water in the mouth, and the effects of thirst. J Neurophysiol 90: 1865-1876.
- de Araujo IET, Rolls ET. 2004. The representation in the human brain of food texture and oral fat. Journal of Neuroscience 24: 3086-3093.
- de Araujo IET, Rolls ET, Kringelbach ML, McGlone F, Phillips N. 2003c. Taste-olfactory convergence, and the representation of the pleasantness of flavour, in the human brain. European Journal of Neuroscience 18: 2059-2068.
- Drewnowski A. 1998. Energy density, palatability, and satiety: implications for weight control. Nutr Rev 56: 347-353.
- Ferry AT, Ongur D, An X, Price JL. 2000. Prefrontal cortical projections to the striatum in macaque monkeys: evidence for an organization related to prefrontal networks. Journal of Comparative Neurology 425: 447-470.
- Flegal KM, Graubard BI, Williamson DF, Gail MH. 2005. Excess deaths associated with underweight, overweight, and obesity. Jama 293: 1861-1867.
- Franken IH, Muris P. 2005. Individual differences in reward sensitivity are related to food craving and relative body weight in healthy women. Appetite 45: 198-201.
- Friston KJ, Glaser DE, Henson RN, Kiebel S, Phillips C, Ashburner J. 2002. Classical and Bayesian inference in neuroimaging: applications. Neuroimage 16: 484-512.
- Friston KJ, Worsley KJ, Frackowiak RSJ, Mazziotta JC, Evans AC. 1994. Assessing the significance of focal activations using their spatial extent. Human Brain Mapping 1: 214-220.
- Genovese CR, Lazar NA, Nichols T. 2002. Thresholding of statistical maps in functional neuroimaging using the false discovery rate. Neuroimage 15: 870-878.
- Gottfried JA, O'Doherty J, Dolan RJ. 2002. Appetitive and aversive olfactory learning in humans studied using event-related functional magnetic resonance imaging. J Neurosci 22: 10829-10837.
- Grabenhorst F, Rolls ET. 2009. Different representations of relative and absolute value in the human brain. Neuroimage in press.
- Grabenhorst F, Rolls ET, Bilderbeck A. 2008. How cognition modulates affective responses to taste and flavor: top down influences on the orbitofrontal and pregenual cingulate cortices. Cerebral Cortex 18: 1549-1559.
- Grabenhorst F, Rolls ET, Margot C, da Silva MAAP, Velazco MI. 2007. How pleasant and unpleasant stimuli combine in different brain regions: odor mixtures. Journal of Neuroscience 27: 13532-13540.

- Hetherington MM. 2007. Cues to overeat: psychological factors influencing overconsumption. Proc Nutr Soc 66: 113-123.
 - Kadohisa M, Rolls ET, Verhagen JV. 2005. Neuronal representations of stimuli in the mouth: the primate insular taste cortex, orbitofrontal cortex, and amygdala. Chemical Senses 30: 401–419.
 - Kelley AE. 2003. Ventral striatal control of appetitive motivation: role in ingestive behavior and reward-related learning. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 27: 765-776.
- Kelley AE, Bakshi VP, Haber SN, Steininger TL, Will MJ, Zhang M. 2002. Opioid modulation of taste hedonics within the ventral striatum. Physiol Behav 76: 365-377.
- Kiebel SJ, Poline JB, Friston KJ, Holmes AP, Worsley KJ. 1999. Robust smoothness estimation in statistical parametric maps using standardized residuals from the general linear model. NeuroImage 10: 756-766.
- Krebs JR. 2005. The Croonian Lecture 2004. Risk: food, fact and fantasy. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society 360: 1133-1144.
- Kringelbach ML, O'Doherty J, Rolls ET, Andrews C. 2003. Activation of the human orbitofrontal cortex to a liquid food stimulus is correlated with its subjective pleasantness. Cerebral Cortex 13: 1064-1071.
- Kringelbach ML, Rolls ET. 2003. Neural correlates of rapid reversal learning in a simple model of human social interaction. Neuroimage 20: 1371-1383.
- Kringelbach ML, Rolls ET. 2004. The functional neuroanatomy of the human orbitofrontal cortex: evidence from neuroimaging and neuropsychology. Prog Neurobiol 72: 341-372.
- McCabe C, Rolls ET. 2007. Umami: a delicious flavor formed by convergence of taste and olfactory pathways in the human brain. European Journal of Neuroscience 25: 1855-1864.
- McGloin AF, Livingstone MB, Greene LC, Webb SE, Gibson JM, Jebb SA, Cole TJ, Coward WA, Wright A, Prentice AM. 2002. Energy and fat intake in obese and lean children at varying risk of obesity. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord 26: 200-207.
- Morton GJ, Cummings DE, Baskin DG, Barsh GS, Schwartz MW. 2006. Central nervous system control of food intake and body weight. Nature 443: 289-295.
- O'Doherty J, Kringelbach ML, Rolls ET, Hornak J, Andrews C. 2001a. Abstract reward and punishment representations in the human orbitofrontal cortex. Nat Neurosci 4: 95-102.
- O'Doherty J, Rolls ET, Francis S, Bowtell R, McGlone F. 2001b. The representation of pleasant and aversive taste in the human brain. J Neurophysiol 85: 1315-1321.
- O'Doherty JP, Buchanan TW, Seymour B, Dolan RJ. 2006. Predictive neural coding of reward preference involves dissociable responses in human ventral midbrain and ventral striatum. Neuron 49: 157-166.
- O'Doherty JP, Dayan P, Friston K, Critchley H, Dolan RJ. 2003. Temporal difference models and reward-related learning in the human brain. Neuron 38: 329-337.
- Preuschoff K, Quartz SR, Bossaerts P. 2008. Human insula activation reflects risk prediction errors as well as risk. J Neurosci 28: 2745-2752.
- Price JL. 2006. Connections of orbital cortex. In: The Orbitofrontal Cortex (Zald DH, Rauch SL, eds.), pp 39-55. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Pritchard TC, Hamilton RB, Morse JR, Norgren R. 1986. Projections of thalamic gustatory and lingual areas in the monkey, Macaca fascicularis. Journal of Comparative Neurology 244: 213-228.
- Rolls ET. 2005. Emotion Explained. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Rolls ET. 2006. Brain mechanisms underlying flavour and appetite. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society London B 361: 1123-1136.
- Rolls ET. 2007a. Sensory processing in the brain related to the control of food intake. Proceedings of the Nutrition Society 66: 96-112.
- Rolls ET. 2007b. Understanding the mechanisms of food intake and obesity. Obesity Reviews 8: 67-72.
- Rolls ET. 2008. Functions of the orbitofrontal and pregenual cingulate cortex in taste, olfaction, appetite and emotion. Acta Physiologica Hungarica 95: 131-164.

Rolls ET. 2009a. The anterior and midcingulate cortices and reward. In: Cingulate Neurobiology & Disease (Vogt BA, ed.), pp 191-206. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Rolls ET. 2009b. Taste, olfactory and food texture processing in the brain and the control of appetite. In: Obesity Prevention (L.Dube, A.Bechara, A.Dagher, A.Drewnowski, J.LeBel, P.James, D.Richard, R.Y.Yada., eds.): Elsevier.

- Rolls ET, Critchley HD, Browning AS, Hernadi A, Lenard L. 1999. Responses to the sensory properties of fat of neurons in the primate orbitofrontal cortex. Journal of Neuroscience 19: 1532-1540.
- Rolls ET, Grabenhorst F. 2008. The orbitofrontal cortex and beyond: from affect to decision-making. Prog Neurobiol 86: 216-244.
- Rolls ET, Grabenhorst F, Parris BA. 2008a. Warm pleasant feelings in the brain. Neuroimage 41: 1504-1513.
- Rolls ET, Kringelbach ML, de Araujo IET. 2003a. Different representations of pleasant and unpleasant odors in the human brain. European Journal of Neuroscience 18: 695-703.
- Rolls ET, McCabe C. 2007. Enhanced affective brain representations of chocolate in cravers vs noncravers. European Journal of Neuroscience 26: 1067-1076.
- Rolls ET, McCabe C, Redoute J. 2008b. Expected value, reward outcome, and temporal difference error representations in a probabilistic decision task. Cerebral Cortex 18: 652-663.
- Rolls ET, Sienkiewicz ZJ, Yaxley S. 1989. Hunger modulates the responses to gustatory stimuli of single neurons in the caudolateral orbitofrontal cortex of the macaque monkey. European Journal of Neuroscience 1: 53-60.
- Rolls ET, Verhagen JV, Kadohisa M. 2003b. Representations of the texture of food in the primate orbitofrontal cortex: neurons responding to viscosity, grittiness and capsaicin. J Neurophysiol 90: 3711-3724.
- Rushworth MF, Behrens TE, Rudebeck PH, Walton ME. 2007. Contrasting roles for cingulate and orbitofrontal cortex in decisions and social behaviour. Trends Cogn Sci 11: 168-176.
- Schwartz MW, Porte D. 2005. Diabetes, obesity, and the brain. Science 307: 375-379.
- Scott TR, Yaxley S, Sienkiewicz ZJ, Rolls ET. 1986. Gustatory responses in the frontal opercular cortex of the alert cynomolgus monkey. J Neurophysiol 56: 876-890.
- Small DM, Gregory MD, Mak YE, Gitelman D, Mesulam MM, Parrish T. 2003. Dissociation of neural representation of intensity and affective valuation in human gustation. Neuron 39: 701-711.
- Small DM, Prescott J. 2005. Odor/taste integration and the perception of flavor. Experimental Brain Research 166: 345-357.
- Small DM, Zald DH, Jones-Gotman M, Zatorre RJ, Pardo JV, Frey S, Petrides M. 1999. Human cortical gustatory areas: a review of functional neuroimaging data. Neuroreport 10: 7-14.
- Stice E, Spoor S, Bohon C, Veldhuizen MG, Small DM. 2008. Relation of reward from food intake and anticipated food intake to obesity: a functional magnetic resonance imaging study. J Abnorm Psychol 117: 924-935.
- Verhagen JV, Kadohisa M, Rolls ET. 2004. The primate insular/opercular taste cortex: neuronal representations of the viscosity, fat texture, grittiness, temperature and taste of foods. J Neurophysiol 92: 1685-1699.
- Verhagen JV, Rolls ET, Kadohisa M. 2003. Neurons in the primate orbitofrontal cortex respond to fat texture independently of viscosity. J Neurophysiol 90: 1514-1525.
- Wardle J, Guthrie C, Sanderson S, Birch L, Plomin R. 2001. Food and activity preferences in children of lean and obese parents. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord 25: 971-977.
- Wilson JL, Jenkinson M, Araujo IET, Kringelbach ML, Rolls ET, Jezzard P. 2002. Fast, fully automated global and local magnetic field optimisation for fMRI of the human brain. Neuroimage 17: 967-976.







Fig. 2 77x128mm (72 x 72 DPI)



- .

- a Texture pleasantness correlation inclusively masked with flavor pleasantness correlation
- 0.6 0.6 egret QUOB % SOLD diange 0.3 0.3 -0.3 -0.3 ø -0.6 -0.6 -1 0 -2 -1 0 -2 Resariness of lexture Reasonmess of flavor
- b Supralinearity: Vanilla high fat >vanilla low fat and strawberry high fat



Fig. 4 75x108mm (72 x 72 DPI)



Fig. 5 73x60mm (72 x 72 DPI)

d 0.4 0.2 000 0.2 000 0.2

ð -0.4

x62m.

-2 -1 0 1

Pleasantness of flavor

2

% acuto charge of

0.6 a.3 ¢ .0.3

an

0 1 2 3

Pattiness.

4

Fig. 6

84x62mm (72 x 72 DPI)



How the Brain Represents the Reward Value of Fat in the Mouth

Fabian Grabenhorst¹, Edmund T Rolls, Benjamin A. Parris² and Arun A. d'Souza³

Table 1. Results of the whole brain SPM correlation analyses, using criteria of p<0.001 uncorrected (uc), together with an extent of at least k=3 voxels in a cluster, except where indicated. The table lists only effects in regions not already reported in the paper.

Brain area	X	Y	Z	z-score
Positive correlation with				
pleasantness of texture				
-				
Negative correlation with				
pleasantness of texture				
Putamen	-16	0	4	3.61
Medial thalamus	-2	-8	12	3.49
Dorsolateral prefrontal cortex	32	46	22	3.67
Premotor cortex	24	6	42	3.31
Positive correlation with				
pleasantness of flavor				
Ventral prefrontal cortex	-36	50	-4	4.06
Dorsolateral prefrontal cortex	46	42	24	3.89
	40	56	10	3.44
Parietal operculum	56	-8	18	3.45
Ventrolateral posterior thalamus	14	-14	-4	3.55
Premotor cortex	42	10	46	3.41
Negative correlation with				
pleasantness of flavor				
-				
Correlation with fattiness				
Dorsolateral prefrontal cortex	-6	52	46	3.88
	38	56	26	3.85
Putamen	28	-14	0	3.57
Caudate nucleus	28	6	22	3.97
Parahippocampal gyrus	-34	-20	-10	3.69