HAMPSHIRE

Basingstoke and Deane

1/339 (B.24.N002) SU 67755210 RG24 7JL

GREYWELL ROAD, HATCH, BASINGSTOKE

Greywell Road, Hatch, Basingstoke, Hampshire

Ford, S Reading: Thames Valley Archaeological Services, 2003, 19pp, figs, tabs, refs

Work undertaken by: Thames Valley Archaeological Services

An assessment was carried out in response to proposals for a new housing development. The site lay in an area rich in archaeological finds and deposits and the projected course of the Roman road from Silchester to Chichester crossed the site. The infilled Basingstoke Canal lay partly within the site's boundary. [Au(abr)]

Archaeological periods represented: PM, RO

East Hampshire

1/340 (B.24.M002) SU 80303580 GU35 0ST

CHASE ROAD, LINDFORD

Chase Road, Lindford, Hampshire. Cultural Desk-based Assessment

Reeves, P London: Wardell Armstrong, 2003, 19pp, figs, tabs, refs

Work undertaken by: Wardell Armstrong

Sites of archaeological interest were thought to be very unlikely to be found. [Au(adp)] *SMR primary record number:* 54727

1/341 (B.24.M041) SU 76252350 GU31 4DO

PENNS FIELD, PETERSFIELD

Report on an Archaeological Desk-Based Assessment of Land at Penns Field, Petersfield, Hampshire

Southern Archaeological Services Ltd. Southern Archaeological Services, 2003,

16pp, colour pls, figs, refs

Work undertaken by: Southern Archaeological Services

The assessment demonstrated that the Petersfield Heath sites was of national importance, and that it originally extended beyond its present boundaries and could impinge on the development site, but that there was no above-ground evidence for archaeological survival on the site. Factors that suggested the archaeology may have been destroyed include extensive ploughing and the construction of a railway embankment in the 19th century. Recommendations included preservation in situ of deposits buried beneath the embankment, and further assessment of the site by a geophysical survey followed by limited trial trenching. [Au(abr)]

Archaeological periods represented: UD

Eastleigh

1/342 (B.24.M007) SU 47031350 SO30 3AZ

FARRINGDON MILL, LOWER FARRINGDON

An Archaeological Desk-Based Assessment of Farringdon Mill, Lower Farringdon, Hampshire Hemley, S Twickenham: AOC Archaeology Group, 2003, 24pp, figs refs Work undertaken by: AOC Archaeology Group

The site was in an area which had a low archaeological potential, the only exception to this was the presence of a number of prehistoric finds within the vicinity of the site, particularly the Bronze Age beaker found 300m north of the site. Otherwise, the site appeared to have remained as fields until the mid 20th century. [Au(abr)]

1/343 (B.24.N004) SU 48801700 SO50 7DF

MAJOR DEVELOPMENT AREA, EASTLEIGH

Major Development Area, Eastleigh, Hampshire

Trevarthen, M Salisbury: Wessex Archaeology, 2003, 36pp, figs, tabs, refs

Work undertaken by: Wessex Archaeology

An assessment was carried out in response to proposals for a major development. Evidence for Mesolithic, Roman, medieval and post-medieval remains were evident. Three Grade II Listed Buildings also lay within the study area. Few archaeological features were located but this was thought to be due to lack of investigation. [Au(abr)]

Archaeological periods represented: MD, ME, PM, RO

Fareham

1/344 (B.24.N005) SU 57200490 PO14 1FD

GRAZING LAND, FORT FAREHAM, FAREHAM

Grazing Land, Fort Fareham, Fareham, Hampshire

Gibson, C Salisbury: Wessex Archaeology, 2003, 21pp, figs, tabs, refs

Work undertaken by: Wessex Archaeology

An assessment in advance of possible development of the site revealed no known archaeological remains, although the Scheduled Ancient Monument of Fort Fareham lay adjacent. It was thought that if archaeological remains were located at the site preservation would be good. [Au(adp)]

1/345 (B.24.M003) SU 53700720 PO15 5TF

SEGENSWORTH ROAD, TITCHFIELD

Archaeological Deskbased Assessment of Land at Segensworth Road, Titchfield, Hampshire

Young, J London: L-P: Archaeology, 2003, 29pp, figs, tabs, refs

Work undertaken by: L-P: Archaeology

There was little evidence to suggest any significant prehistoric, Roman or Anglo-Saxon settlement remains in the vicinity of the site. The study site was to the north-west of the medieval town and abbey of Titchfield. Documentary and cartographic evidence suggested that the site was mainly used as agricultural land throughout the medieval and post-medieval periods and it was thought unlikely that any significant archaeological settlement remains would be on site although there was a slightly higher potential for scattered finds and finds related to agricultural land use. [Au(abr)] *SMR primary record number:* 54701

Gosport

1/346 (B.24.L002) ST 61000020 DT2 9PH

GOSPORT RAILWAY STATION

Gosport Railway Station: Historic Study

Parsons, A Woodlands: Gifford & Partners, 2003, 35pp, pls, colour pls, figs, tabs, refs

Work undertaken by: Gifford and Partners

The Gosport Railway Station, Grade II Listed Building, was in remarkable condition with the survival of some of the earliest structures and the good survival of its original plan. The station opened in 1841 and carried passengers until 1953 and in 1969 the goods service ceased and the station closed. [Au(abr)]

Archaeological periods represented: PM

1/347 (B.24.M001) SU 61800020 PO12 1BB

MUMBY ROAD, GOSPORT

Archaeological Statement. Mumby Road, Gosport, Hampshire
Chadwick, P London: CgMs, 2003, 82pp, pls, figs, tabs, refs

Work undertaken by: CgMs

Desk study and evaluation trenching established that the moat forming part of the Gosport Lines was backfilled in the early 19th century with demolition rubble, gravel and domestic refuse. [Au(adp)]

Archaeological periods represented: PM

New Forest

1/348 (B.24.L001) SU 31500710 SO43 7FZ

LE POUSSIN, PARKHILL, LYNDHURST

Le Poussin, Parkhill, Lyndhurst, Hampshire: Archaeological Desk-based Assessment
Parsons, A Woodlands: Gifford & Partners, 2003, 30pp, colour pls, figs, tabs, refs
Work undertaken by: Gifford and Partners

The hotel sat within the historic landscape of the New Forest and was surrounded by both documented and visible activity from the prehistoric periods. However, on the site there was the potential for archaeological remains related to the medieval and post-medieval periods. [Au(abr)]

1/349 (B.24.N003) SZ 22109550 BH23 7EB

WALKFORD AND BECKLEY FARMS, NEW MILTON

Walkford and Beckley Farms, New Milton, Hampshire

Ford, S Reading: Thames Valley Archaeological Services, 2003, 103pp, pls, colour pls, figs, tabs, refs

Work undertaken by: Thames Valley Archaeological Services

A desk-based assessment was carried out in response to proposals for a quarry extension. The site lay in an area with a modest record for finds, however, crop mark evidence suggested the presence of two enclosures and other features that may have been of archaeological significance. A few struck flints have been identified. [Au(abr)]

Archaeological periods represented: PR, UD

Tandridge

1/350 (B.24.M025) TQ 33535537 CR3 5RA

40 MANOR AVENUE, CATERHAM

An Archaeological Desk-Based Assessment of 40 Manor Avenue, Caterham, Surrey

Currie, C Fair Oak: CKC Archaeology, 2003, 27pp, figs, tabs, refs

Work undertaken by: CKC Archaeology

The curtailage of a former medieval manor was established. It was possible that this building and its outbuildings had been much rebuilt in the later post-medieval period. Nevertheless, the site had a good chance of producing evidence associated with the medieval and post-medieval manorial complex. It was therefore recommended that an evaluation be required. [Au(abr)]

Archaeological periods represented: MD, PM

Test Valley

1/351 (B.24.N001) SU 33504450 SP11 7AU

LAND AT LITTLEBRIDGE, ANDOVER

Land at Littlebridge, Andover, Hampshire

Lowe, J Reading: Thames Valley Archaeological Services, 2003, 18pp, pls, figs, tabs, refs

Work undertaken by: Thames Valley Archaeological Services

An assessment concluded that aerial photogaphs showed crop marks of likely archaeological origin within the study area. The presence of other archaeological remains was also considered to be probable. [Au(abr)]

1/352 (B.24.M008) SU 37504450 SP11 6LD

SOUTH ANDOVER

South Andover, Hampshire

Oxford Archaeology Oxford : Oxford Archaeology, 2003, 37pp, pls, figs, tabs, refs

Work undertaken by: Oxford Archaeology

The desk-based assessment identified a low potential for archaeological remains of Palaeolithic and Mesolithic date. There was some limited potential for the presence of Neolithic flint scatters. A very high potential for archaeological remains of Bronze Age and Iron Age date had been identified. There were several crop mark complexes within the proposed development area and these included Bronze Age ring ditches, an Iron Age banjo enclosure, pits and linear features. It was conceivable that some of the crop mark features were Roman in date. Some limited potential for Roman remains within the proposed development area had been identified. Evidence suggested that during the medieval and post-medieval period, the proposed area was open fields. [Au(abr)]

Archaeological periods represented: UD

Winchester

1/353 (B.24.M005) SU 48902070 SO50 7HE

FISHERS POND, COLDEN COMMON

A Topographical Survey of Fishpond Earthworks at Fishers Pond, Colden Common, Hampshire Currie, C Fair Oak: CKC Archaeology, 2003, 20pp, figs, refs

Work undertaken by: CKC Archaeology

A measured survey of the Eleven Acres Pond confirmed a previous assessment of this site as a medieval pond with an efficient system of diversion channels allowing it to be drained down for management, and at times of fishing. The pond was shown to have had a maximum depth of about 2m. The dam bank was over 3m in height, 20m across, and 220m in length. The pond was drained and it is thought that linear ditches cut into the former pond bed were created in response to 20th century conditions. [Au(adp)]

Archaeological periods represented: MD, MO

1/354 (B.24.M004) SU 53553302 SO21 1BE

LAND AT SCHOOL LANE, ITCHEN ABBAS

Land at School Lane, Itchen Abbas

Walker, T Oxford : Oxford Archaeology, 2003, 26pp, figs, tabs, refs

Work undertaken by: Oxford Archaeology

The desk-based assessment identified a low potential for the recovery of archaeology dating to the Palaeolithic, Mesolithic, late-medieval and post-medieval periods. There was an uncertain but possible moderate potential for the discovery of below ground archaeological remains dating to the Neolithic, Bronze Age and Iron Age and a higher potential for the discovery of Roman and early medieval deposits associated with the cemetery to the east. [Au(abr)]

1/355 (B.24.M006) SU 48312960 SO23 8DH

MIDDLEBROOK CENTRE, MIDDLEBROOK STREET, WINCHESTER

Middlebrook Centre, Middlebrook Street, Winchester. An Archaeological Assessment
Teague, S Winchester: Winchester Museums Service, 2003, 10pp, figs, tabs, refs
Work undertaken by: Winchester Museums Service

It was thought probable that the substantial walls of the existing building had a significant impact on the underlying archaeological deposits. The information gained from the borehole survey, coupled with the existing archaeological evidence, provided sufficient evidence in order to establish the impact of the development on archaeological deposits. Therefore, further archaeological evaluation was recommended as not necessary. [Au(adp)]