South East

Kent

6/100 (D.29.M001) TR 14505750

ST. MILDRED'S TANNERY, CANTERBURY

Archaeological Statement on Development Proposals at St. Mildred's Tannery, Canterbury

Biddle & Biddle Oxford: Biddle & Biddle, 2003, 378pp, pls, figs, tabs, refs

Lead author: Biddle & Biddle

Date submitted: 1/03

Number of EIA contributors: 1

Archaeological consultant: Biddle & Biddle

The site of St Mildred's Tannery on the north and south banks of the River Stour within the ancient walled city of Canterbury is of national archaeological importance. The top of in situ archaeology is of local significance. Roman archaeology of regional significance lies at a depth of 9.5m. The principal impact on the in situ archaeology will be from the piles upon which the proposed buildings will rest. [Au(adp)]

6/101 (D.29.Q001) TQ 76505550

LANGLEY FARM WEST, MAIDSTONE

Langley Park Farm, West Maidstone. Environment Statement

CSa Environment Planning Ashwell: CSa Environment Planning, 2003, 187pp, figs, tabs, refs

Lead author: CSa Environment Planning

Date submitted: 09/03

Number of EIA contributors: 6 Archaeological consultant: CPM

An archaeological desk-based assessment was undertaken in advance of a proposed mixed development. No known archaeological sites or significant historic features lay within the site. It appeared that the site area had been in agricultural use subsequent to having been cleared of trees in more recent centuries. Analysis of available air photographs for the site and a site walkover did not suggest any archaeological remains. Unknown archaeological remains could have been present but the site was viewed had having a low archaeological potential. [AIP]

Surrey

6/102 (D.43.M001) TQ 40204360

NATIONAL CENTRE FOR YOUNG PEOPLE WITH EPILEPSY

Environmental Statement for Redevelopment of the National Centre for Young People with Epilepsy, St. Piers Lane, Longfield, Surrey. Volume 5: Archaeological Assessment

AOC Archaeology Group Twickenham: AOC Archaeology Group, 2003, 38pp, pls, figs, refs

Lead author: AOC Archaeology Group

Date submitted: 7/03

Number of EIA contributors: 1

The application site contains three Grade II listed buildings. The application site lies in an area where the archaeological potential is uncertain. [Au(adp)]

6/103 (D.43.Q001) TQ 03606900

COLDHARBOUR LANE, THORPE

Coldharbour Lane, Thorpe. Variation of Approved Waste Types. Planning Application and Environment Statement

Roger Miles Planning Limited Thatcham: Roger Miles Planning Limited, 2003, 101pp, figs

Lead author: Roger Miles Planning Limited

Date submitted: 11/03 Number of EIA contributors: 6

A cultural heritage assessment was undertaken in advance of proposed sand and gravel extraction and subsequent infilling of the site with waste. The stripping of soil from the site and the subsequent extraction of mineral reserves had already disturbed any archaeological resource that could have been present within the site. Hence the proposed development would not impact upon this resource and no further archaeological work would be required. [AIP]

6/104 (D.43.Q002) SU 86904750

RUNFOLD SOUTH QUARRY

Runfold South Quarry. Environment Statement

The Barton Willmore Partnership Chesterton: The Barton Willmore Partnership, 2003, 107pp, figs, tabs, refs

Lead author: The Barton Willmore Partnership

Date submitted: 06/03

Number of EIA contributors: 8

Archaeological consultant: Surrey County Archaeological Unit

A desk-based assessment and walkover survey was undertaken in advance of the proposed mineral extraction site. The preliminary assessment found that the proposed extension to the quarry had significant archaeological potential. A survey and evaluation stage of archaeological work was proposed which would identify any archaeological resource on the site and enable an appropriate mitigation strategy to be defined. [Au(adp)]

6/105 (D.43.Q003) TQ 06803550

CRANLEIGH BRICK AND TILE WORKS SITE

Remediation of The Cranleigh Brick & Tile Works Site. Environment Statement URS Corporation Ltd. Wimbledon: URS Corporation Ltd., 2003, 98pp, figs, tabs

Lead author: URS Corporation Ltd

Date submitted: 07/03 Number of EIA contributors: 5

Archaeological consultant: Surrey County Archaeological Unit

A Phase I Heritage Survey was undertaken in advance of proposed remediation works. The archaeological potential of the site was considered low, mainly due to the previous impacts of the industrial processes that had been undertaken within the site. Furthermore, Weald Clay areas provided a poor environment for prehistoric settlement. No further archaeological surveys were deemed necessary. [Au(adp)]

6/95 (D.11.Q001) SP 81003200

STEARTHILL FARM, LITTLE HORWOOD

Non-technical Summary to an Environmental Statement for a Proposed Golf Facility at Stearthill, Little Horwood, Buckinghamshire

JTS Partnership Leeds: JTS Partnership, 2003, 4pp

Lead author: JTS Partnership Date submitted: 06/03

Number of EIA contributors: 1

An archaeological desk-based assessment, a field walking survey and a metal detecting survey were carried out to identify any potential archaeological remains within the study area. Except for the presence of two small 19th century hamlets known as Great and Little Nod, the results revealed low archaeological potential within the study area. The site was thought to have remained 'forest chase' until it was enclosed in the post-medieval period. [Au(adp)]

East Sussex

6/96 (D.21.Q001) TQ 68501210

LITTLE STANDARD HILL, NINFIELD

Little Standard Hill, Ninfield, East Sussex. Environment and Supporting Statement Ibstock Bricks (1996) Ltd Ibstock : Ibstock Bricks Ltd., 2003, 34pp, figs, tabs, refs

Lead author: Ibstock Bricks (1996) Ltd

Date submitted: 06/03 Number of EIA contributors: 7

Archaeological consultant: Hertfordshire Archaeological Trust

An archaeological desk-based assessment was carried out to identify archaeological remains around the study area. The results revealed that although there were no recorded archaeological remains within the site, there were sites nearby of Roman, medieval and post medieval date. The site had been used for farming for at least 400 years and was likely that the southern end of the site was reclaimed marshland from ancient times. Cartographic records indicated there was previously a post medieval barn and cottage in the site centre. A watching brief was a requirement if the development went ahead. [Au(adp)]

6/97 (D.21.Q002) TQ 41501050

LEWES CSOS SCHEME

Lewes CSOs Scheme, K3 west programme, Environmental Statement

Adams Hendry Consulting Limited Winchester: Adams Hendry Consulting Limited, 2003, 112pp, figs, tabs

Lead author: Adams Hendry Consulting Limited

Date submitted: 06/03

Number of EIA contributors: 6

Archaeological consultant: Wessex Archaeology

An archaeological assessment was undertaken in advance of proposed infrastructure work on a sewage works. A number of significant and potentially significant effects as a result of the scheme were identified. These related to the Court Road Pumping Station, the impact of vehicle movements on listed buildings and temporary impact upon the visual setting of the archaeological /heritage resource in some locations. Further archaeological mitigation was recommended including watching briefs and evaluation. [Au(adp)]

Hampshire

6/98 (D.24.Q001) SU 63305180

JOHN HUNT OF EVEREST SCHOOL SITE, BASINGSTOKE

John Hunt of Everest School Site. Environment Statement

Campbell Reith Hill LLP Redhill: Campbell Reith Hill LLP, 2003, 194pp, figs, refs

Lead author: Campbell Reith Hill LLP

Date submitted: 10/03

Number of EIA contributors: 9 Archaeological consultant: CgMs

An archaeological desk-based assessment assessed the archaeological potential and historic landscape value of the proposed development site. The assessment concluded that there were no recorded archaeological sites within the study area and site conditions suggested that no archaeological evidence would have survived the development of the school and playing fields. No further archaeological mitigation was recommended. [AIP]

6/99 (D.24.Q002) SU 15500950

PROPOSED EXTENSION TO IBSLEY QUARRY

Proposed Extension to Ibsley Quarry. Environment Statement

Tarmac Limited Bristol: Tarmac Limited, 2003, 256pp, figs, tabs, refs

Lead author: Tarmac Limited Date submitted: 08/03

Number of EIA contributors: 8

Archaeological consultant: Entec UK Ltd.

An archaeological assessment identified possible archaeological remains within the site, which were noted as cropmarks visible on aerial photographs. Although remains had been identified on aerial photographs at several locations within the site, some of these were more convincing than others and none were proven to relate to archaeological remains. However, the presence of similar sites within the wider area, which had been proven by excavation, suggested that archaeological features may have survived within the site. Further archaeological evaluation was recommended. [Au(adp)]