

North East

**NORTHUMBERLAND****Alnwick**

7/88 (H.35.P002)

NU 19901190  
NE66 2PQ**LAND AT CAWLEDGE, ALNWICK***Land at Cawledge, Alnwick, Northumberland, Geophysical Surveys*

Hale, D Durham : University of Durham, Archaeological Services, 2003, 12pp, figs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* University of Durham, Archaeological Services

It was considered unlikely that any of the anomalies detected reflected archaeological remains. [Au(adp)]

**Berwick-upon-Tweed**

7/89 (H.35.M001)

NT 95303270  
NE71 6HW**WOODBRISE QUARRY, MILFIELD***Geophysical Survey at Woodbridge Quarry, Milfield, Northumberland*

Biggins, J Newcastle-upon-Tyne : TimeScape Surveys, 2003, 27pp, colour pls, figs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* TimeScape Surveys

The presence of the RAF airfield and buildings caused severe interference with the survey. A curvilinear feature may represent ditches possibly associated with pits. Possible pits, presumably associated with the location of a house detected by previous excavation, were detected. A larger positive circular anomaly corresponded to the location of the previously located Bronze Age cremation burial. [Au(abr)]

*Archaeological periods represented:* UD

**Blyth Valley**

7/90 (H.35.P003)

NZ 29408070  
NE24 4EJ**LAND AT WEST BLYTH***Land at West Blyth, Geophysical Surveys*

Hale, D Durham : University of Durham, Archaeological Services, 2003, 11pp, figs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* University of Durham, Archaeological Services

Two areas were surveyed in advance of development; the anomalies in Area one were considered unlikely to reflect archaeological remains, however, the remains of ridge and furrow and a possible ditch were identified in Area two. [Au(adp)]

*Archaeological periods represented:* UD

North East

7/91 (H.35.M003)

NZ 26207730

NE23 1RB

**NORTHERN EXPANSION SITE, NELSON*****Northern Expansion Site, Nelson, Cramlington, Northumberland. Final Geophysical Survey Report-Phases 1 & 2***Biggins, J Newcastle-upon-Tyne : TimeScape Surveys, 2003, 31pp, colour pls, figs, tabs, refs  
*Work undertaken by:* TimeScape Surveys

The results suggested that many of the features detected on aerial photographs had non-archaeologically significant origins. The positive curvilinear feature may have indicated the location of a ditch of uncertain origin. Additionally, the possible building foundations were probably a fortuitous alignment of anomalies created during the landscaping of that field. In field four, a linear, negative anomaly may have indicated a former masonry wall. [Au(abr)]

*Archaeological periods represented:* UD**Castle Morpeth**

7/92 (H.35.Q001)

NU 25500040

NE65 9TA

**LAND AT HADSTON FARM, HADSTON*****Land at Hadston Farm, Hadston, Northumberland. Geophysical Survey***Webb, A Morley : Archaeological Services WYAS, 2003, 16pp, figs, refs  
*Work undertaken by:* Archaeological Services WYAS

A detailed gradiometer survey was carried out in fields surrounding Hadston Farm. The results identified evidence of ridge and furrow field systems, no anomalies consistent with the presence of a deserted medieval village were identified. [Au(adp)]

*Archaeological periods represented:* PM

7/93 (H.35.M002)

NZ 22809490

NE61 5QA

**NORTH STOBWOOD PROPOSED SURFACE MINE, ULGHAM*****North Stobwood Proposed Surface Mine, Ulgham, Northumberland, Geophysical Survey Report***Biggins, J Newcastle-upon-Tyne : TimeScape Surveys, 2003, 43pp, figs, tabs, refs  
*Work undertaken by:* TimeScape Surveys

A number of negative anomalies may represent building foundations, whereas the enclosing toft and croft boundaries and paths may be represented by a number of linear positive and negative features. [Au(abr)]

*Archaeological periods represented:* UD**North Tyneside**

7/94 (H.35.P001)

NZ 31157175

NE27 0RE

**LAND AT MOOR EDGE FARM, SHIREMOOR*****Land at Moor Edge Farm, Shiremoor, North Tyneside-Phase 1, Geophysical Survey***Still, D Durham : University of Durham, Archaeological Services, 2003, 10pp, figs, refs  
*Work undertaken by:* University of Durham, Archaeological Services

The survey produced evidence for a number of features of probable archaeological origin, including ridge and furrow cultivation, a possible double-ditched trackway, a linear ditch and several small curvilinear ditches. Other features included four spreads of presumably modern material [Au(adp)]

*Archaeological periods represented:* UD

**Tynedale**

7/95 (H.35.M004)

NY 91267002  
NE46 4ET**CHESTERS ROMAN FORT, CHOLLERFORD***A Magnetic and Resistance Geophysical Survey at Chesters Roman Fort, Chollerford, Northumberland*

Biggins, J Newcastle-upon-Tyne : TimeScape Surveys, 2003, 40pp, colour pls, figs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* TimeScape Surveys

The survey has shown that the archaeological deposits, especially directly east of the fort, have been affected by the erosion of the riverbank. Some anomalies were thought to be of relatively recent origin. The size of the buildings was significant and suggested that at least one was built around a courtyard. [Au(abr)]

*Archaeological periods represented:* RO

7/96 (H.35.M005)

NY 79006870  
NE47 6NN**THE VICUS AT HOUSESTEADS ROMAN FORT***The Vicus at Houssteads Roman Fort. Geophysical Survey Report*

Biggins, J Newcastle-upon-Tyne : TimeScape Surveys, 2003, 40pp, colour pls, figs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* TimeScape Surveys

The concentration of buildings immediately to the south of the fort were bounded by ditches. The distinct definitions of the area given by the enclosed settlement, together with the clear allocation of land to field systems to the west of the fort, supported the thesis that the areas around the fort were precisely defined both in extent and use at a very early date by the army. The line of the footpath to the south of the site was clearly shown on the survey and as it crossed the vicus, it was possible that damage to archaeological deposits have already taken place. [Au(abr)]

*Archaeological periods represented:* MO, RO