

Greater London Region

GREATER LONDON

City of London

1/106 (B.01.K017)

TQ 32168129

150 CHEAPSIDE*150 Cheapside, London, EC2 [Empire House, St. Martin's Le Grand 161/162 Cheapside St Vedast House]*

West & Partners London : West & Partners, 2002, 28pp, pls, figs, refs, tabs

Work undertaken by: West and Partners

Although within the area of archaeological importance with a large number of recorded finds the previous excavations of the site in 1915 and 1962 will have severely reduced the extent of survival or archaeological deposits. Accordingly it is concluded that it will not be necessary for any test pits to be dug before the proposed redevelopment commences but that an archaeological watching brief should be undertaken during the demolition and groundwork of the new development in order to record and isolated or localised areas of archaeological survival. [Au] *SMR primary record number:* LAG 07/177

Archaeological periods represented: PM

1/107 (B.01.K018)

TQ 31708080

PUDDLE DOCK*The Puddle Dock Development, London, EC4. City of London. An Addendum to the Archaeological Assessment*

Watson, S London : Museum of London Archaeology Service, 2002, 12pp, figs, refs

Work undertaken by: Museum of London Archaeology Service

The report forms and addendum to earlier assessments carried out by MoLAS and considers the potential impact of a revised development scheme. The installation of piles across the site will potentially truncate archaeological deposits in areas of higher potential. The amount of archaeological preservation is high, compared to the previous scheme. [Au(abr)]

Archaeological periods represented: PM

1/108 (B.01.K019)

TQ 32908125

THE STOCK EXCHANGE*The Stock Exchange, London EC2, and Archaeological Assessment*

Mills Whipp Partnership London : Mills Whipp Partnership, 2002, 29pp, figs, refs

Work undertaken by: The Mills Whipp Partnership

Disregarding the effects of basement digging, the site has a high archaeological potential. This is particularly so for the Roman period as the site was crossed by an important road. Significant pre and post Fire buildings will also have existed at the site. It would be prudent to allow for evaluation test pits at the east end of the site where the basements are the shallowest. Elsewhere, it would seem unnecessary for archaeological work to be undertaken. [Au(adp)]