

South East Region

WEST SUSSEX

1/322 (B.45.F001)

EASTERN ENGLISH CHANNEL-AREAS 474 & 475***Eastern English Channel, Areas 474 & 475. Archaeological Assessment: Technical Report***

Webster, S Salisbury : Wessex Archaeology, 2002, 57pp, colour pls, figs, tabs, refs

Work undertaken by: Wessex Archaeology

Proposed sand and gravel extraction led to a desk-based assessment of the offshore area. Three temporal areas were identified-Lower, Middle and Early Upper Palaeolithic remains, in situ Early Upper Palaeolithic and Early-Middle Mesolithic artefacts in the upper levels of the gravel, and wrecks and related material from prehistoric to modern times. There was potential for impact on the archaeological remains, however this was difficult to quantify due to the the absence of hard evidence. Mitigation for the early prehistoric archaeology was noted as hard to enforce, as the nature of the record prohibited a clear strategy. Dredging exclusion zones were suggested for the later remains. [Au(adp)]

Archaeological periods represented: EME, LPA, MME, MPA

1/323 (B.45.F002)

GREENWICH LIGHT EAST-AREA 473***Greenwich Light East Area 473. Archaeological Assessment: Technical Report***

Webster, S Salisbury : Wessex Archaeology, 2002, 55pp, colour pls, figs, tabs, refs

Work undertaken by: Wessex Archaeology

Proposed sand and gravel extraction led to a desk-based assessment of the offshore area. Three temporal areas were identified-Lower, Middle and Early Upper Palaeolithic remains, in situ Early Upper Palaeolithic and Early-Middle Mesolithic artefacts in the upper levels of the gravel and wrecks and related material from prehistoric to modern times. There was potential for impact on the archaeological remains, however this was difficult to quantify due to the the absence of hard evidence. Mitigation for the early prehistoric archaeology was noted as hard to enforce, as the nature of the record prohibited a clear strategy. Dredging exclusion zones were suggested for the later remains. [Au(adp)]

Archaeological periods represented: EME, LPA, MME, MPA**Arun**

1/324 (B.45.F006)

TQ 02700180

LAND AT COUNTY WHARF, PIER ROAD, LITTLEHAMPTON***Land at County Wharf, Pier Road, Littlehampton, West Sussex. Archaeological Desk-Based Assessment***

Hawkins, D London : CgMs, 2002, 97pp, pls, figs, refs

Work undertaken by: CgMs

The assessment concluded that the site had a low archaeological potential and the impact of the development on archaeological remains would be minimal. [Au(adp)]

South East Region

1/325 (B.45.F005)

TQ 03500355

LAND TO THE WEST OF WATERMEAD, LITTLEHAMPTON*An Archaeological Desk-Based Assessment and Walkover Survey of Land to the West of Watermead, Littlehampton*

Bennell, M Ditchling : Archaeology South-East, 2002, 23pp, figs, tabs, refs

Work undertaken by: Archaeology South-East

The appraisal site was found to have a moderate to high potential for the discovery of archaeological deposits from the Late Iron Age and Roman periods, as well as a moderate potential to encounter Bronze Age material. The representation of other periods was thought to be minimal. [Au(abr)]

Chichester

1/326 (B.45.F003)

SU 88940405

DRAYTON QUARRY SOUTH, OVING*An Archaeological Desk-Based Assessment of land at Drayton Quarry South, Oving, West Sussex*

James, R Ditchling : Archaeology South-East, 2002, 41pp, figs, tabs, refs

Work undertaken by: Archaeology South-East

The appraisal area revealed extensive archaeological deposits of prehistoric date in the vicinity. The south-western corner of the site was thought to contain early and post-medieval deposits relating to a medieval manorial centre. An area of potential Palaeolithic interest was also identified. [Au(abr)]

1/327 (B.45.F008)

SU 85900490

TOWER STREET, CHICHESTER*Tower Street, Chichester. Desk-Based Assessment*

Barge, M Southampton : Gifford and Partners, 2002, 31pp, figs, tabs

Work undertaken by: Gifford and Partners

The assessment was carried out to highlight the potential for the discovery of archaeological remains at the car park site. The best-preserved remains of the Roman town of Chichester lay under the southern third of the site, in the form of the northern suite of rooms of the Public Baths, excavated in 1974. The proposed development consisted of a new museum and tourist information centre, with the remains of the baths on display in the new building. [Au(abr)]

Archaeological periods represented: RO**Worthing**

1/328 (B.45.F007)

TQ 13190616

88-96 FINDON ROAD, FINDON*Archaeological Desk-Based Assessment. 88-96 Findon Road, Findon, West Sussex*

Hawkins, D London : CgMs, 2002, 26pp, figs, refs

Work undertaken by: CgMs

The site was thought to have a moderate potential for the Bronze Age to Roman periods. However, due to the extent of past post depositional impacts, it was considered extremely unlikely that in situ archaeological deposits would be encountered. [Au(abr)]

South East Region

1/329 (B.45.F004)

TQ 14900545

LAND AT BEECHES AVENUE, BROADWATER, WORTHING

An Archaeological Desk-Based Assessment of land at Beeches Avenue, Broadwater, Worthing, West Sussex

James, R Ditchling : Archaeology South-East, 2002, 36pp, figs, tabs, refs

Work undertaken by: Archaeology South-East

A review of existing archaeological data revealed a Roman Road running close to the site and a possibility of the existence of prehistoric material. However, no evidence for the latter view was present. [Au(abr)]

1/330 (B.90.F002)

SU 99007320

BEAUMONT COLLEGE, OLD WINDSOR

Archaeological Desk-Based Assessment. Beaumont College, Old Windsor, Berkshire

Bourn, R & Harris, A London : CgMs, 2002, 31pp, figs, refs

Work undertaken by: CgMs

The desk-based assessment established that prior to the construction of Beaumont Lodge and ancillary buildings, the site has virtually no potential for the discovery of archaeological remains. [Au(abr)]