

***GAZETTEER OF  
ARCHAEOLOGICAL  
INVESTIGATIONS IN ENGLAND  
2008***

**Edited by Ehren Milner**

With contributions from  
Bronwen Russell, Debra Costen & Neil Gevaux

**2010**

**School of Conservation Sciences, Bournemouth  
University**

This gazetteer was compiled on behalf of English Heritage by a project team based in the School of Conservation Sciences at Bournemouth University. (*Project Director*: Prof. T C Darvill. *Project Manager*: B E Russell.)

Published as a supplement to the *British & Irish Archaeological Bibliography* by the Council for British Archaeology with the aid of a grant from English Heritage

For further information about the work of the *Archaeological Investigations Project* please contact:

Archaeological Investigations Project  
School of Conservation Sciences  
Bournemouth University  
Talbot Campus  
Fern Barrow  
Poole  
BH12 5BB

Telephone: (44) (0)1202 595580

Fax: (44) (0)1202 595255

<http://csweb.bournemouth.ac.uk/aip/aipintro.htm>

email: [brussell@bournemouth.ac.uk](mailto:brussell@bournemouth.ac.uk)

ISSN 2042-860X

© 2010 English Heritage & Bournemouth University

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopy, recording, or by any other information storage and retrieval system, without prior permission in writing from the publishers.

---

## Abbreviations

---

### General abbreviations

AAI	Area of Archaeological Importance
Anon	Anonymous
DMV	Deserted Medieval Village
EH	English Heritage
et al	and others
ha	hectare
NGR	National Grid Reference
OS	Ordnance Survey
RCHME	Royal Commission on the Historical Monuments of England
SAM	Scheduled Ancient Monument
SMC	Scheduled Monument Consent
SMR	Sites and Monuments Record
SSSI	Site of Special Scientific Interest

### Dating/Period abbreviations

LPA	Lower Palaeolithic
MPA	Middle Palaeolithic
UPA	Upper Palaeolithic
EME	Early Mesolithic
LME	Late Mesolithic
ME	Mesolithic
ENE	Early Neolithic
MNE	Middle Neolithic
LNE	Late Neolithic
NE	Neolithic
EBA	Early Bronze Age
MBA	Middle Bronze Age
LBA	Late Bronze Age
BA	Bronze Age
EIA	Early Iron Age
MIA	Middle Iron Age
LIA	Late Iron Age
IA	Iron Age
EPR	Early Prehistoric (ie Palaeolithic-Mesolithic)
LPR	Late Prehistoric (ie Neolithic-Iron Age)
PR	Prehistoric
RO	Roman (AD43-410)
EM	Early Medieval (410-1066)
MD	Medieval (1066-1540)
PM	Post-medieval (1540-1901)
MO	Modern (1901-present)
UD	Undated

## Bibliographic abbreviations

colour pls  
figs  
pls  
pp  
refs  
tabs

colour plates  
figures  
plates  
pages  
references  
tables

## Summary authorship attribution abbreviations

AIP	Summary compiled by member of <i>Archaeological Investigations Project</i> research team
Au	Report author's summary
Au(abr)	Report author's summary abridged
Au(adp)	Adapted from report author's text
Sec	Summary taken from secondary source
Sec(abr)	Secondary source abridged
Sec(adp)	Adapted from secondary source summary author's text
Sec(AIP)	Summary compiled by AIP research team member from secondary source
OASIS	Record supplied unaltered from completed OASIS database records.

---

## Introduction

---

This publication represents the nineteenth in a series of Gazetteers that aim to provide an easily accessible annual listing of information about the nature and extent of archaeological investigations carried out in England. The series was developed from *The Assessment Gazetteer 1982-1991*, which was issued as as *British Archaeological Bibliography Supplement* (no.1) in October 1994. It was quickly realised that *The Assessment Gazetteer 1982-91* was very limited in scope, it only dealt with Archaeological investigations directly related to the Town and Country Planning system in England, i.e. desk-based assessments, field evaluations, and environmental assessments. The present series is more ambitious, by encompassing other kinds of intervention types that involve some degree of ground disturbance which results in the recording and, in consequence, the destruction of archaeological deposits. As the project has progressed other forms of archaeological investigations have also been included in its remit; this not only expanded the criteria for how archaeology was undertaken, but included more detail of why archaeological recording was carried out i.e. as part of a building recording or estate management plan.

This latest Gazetteer has been compiled as the principal output of the *Archaeological Investigations Project (AIP)*, carried out in the School of Conservation Sciences at Bournemouth University for English Heritage between April 2009 and March 2010. An analysis of the main trends in the pattern of archaeological activity in England will be published as a separate report in due course. Information about *AIP* and its background can be found on the World Wide Web at the following address:

<http://csweb.bournemouth.ac.uk/aip/aipintro.htm>

## Background: The listing of archaeological investigations

The publication of systematic annual gazetteers of archaeological investigations in England is neither new nor innovatory, but long overdue. In the early years of the present century the Earthworks Committee of the Congress of Archaeological Societies included within its annual report listings of "record and discovery" and "excavation" events. These reports were published for the years from 1903 down to 1939 (from 1931 to 1939 as the Research Committee) and contain much valuable information. Nothing similar was produced in the years immediately following the Second World War, however in 1961 the Ministry of Public Building and Works began publication of *Excavations: Annual Report*, a practice continued by its successor the Department of the Environment, down to 1976. The Council for British Archaeology published an annual review under the title *Archaeology in Britain* between 1967 and 1992, although its coverage focused on, and was structured around, the work of member organisations and institutions rather than sites and projects.

Some of the major "period" societies also began publishing annual listings of work falling within their particular academic areas of interest. *Medieval Archaeology* has since 1957 carried a section dealing with recent work; *Post-Medieval Archaeology* since its first publication in 1968; and *Britannia* since its first publication in 1970, building on a precedent established for Roman studies and published in the *Journal of Roman Studies* between 1921 and 1969. Nothing so comprehensive ever emerged for prehistoric archaeology, although the *Proceedings of the Prehistoric Society* carried a section containing summary excavation reports for most years between 1977 and 1985.

In recent years, a number of county archaeological journals have begun carrying listings of discoveries and summaries of projects within their geographical areas of interest. At a broader scale, many of the Council for British Archaeology's regional groups publish lists of recent work in their newsletters/annual reviews.

All of these summaries and reviews provide invaluable sources of information about projects and discoveries. They are important both for the time at which they are published and in a retrospective or historical context. Indeed, for a variety of reasons, some of these summaries are all that is known about investigations that have never been adequately published. The reality, however, is that since 1939 there has been no comprehensive summary of completed and ongoing archaeological work for England. Trying to stitch together what does exist in piecemeal summary listing is not easy and in any case does not give a complete picture. This situation contrasts with that in Scotland and Wales, where comprehensive annual summaries have been published by the Council for Scottish Archaeology since 1955 and the Council for British Archaeology Group 2/Wales since 1961.

The need for a summary account of work in England is made still more necessary by the sheer pace and scale of archaeological activity, and the common interest shared by all archaeologists in wishing to know what has happened where and when, so as to better inform their work and alert them to the implications of their findings. In the case of minor investigations with limited positive results, the publication of a statement in an annual summary, together with an appropriate report to the relevant Sites and Monuments Record may satisfy professional obligations to publish and make available the results of work undertaken.

## **Content, scope, sources and format**

A wide range of archaeological investigations were considered by *AIP*, and these investigations can be broadly classified according to the nature of the work itself. Eight main groups are recognised, and in this classification use is made of definitions and guidance contained in planning guidance (DoE 1990) and the series of "standards" issued by the Institute of Field Archaeologists.

- I **Desk-Based Assessments (Database Code B):** Assessments of the known or potential archaeological resource within a specified area or site (land-based, inter-tidal or underwater), consisting of a collation of existing written and graphic information in order to identify the likely character, extent, quality and worth of the known or potential archaeological resource in a local, regional or national context as appropriate (IFA 1994a, 1; and see DoE 1990, para. 20).
- II **Field Evaluations (Database Code C):** A limited programme of non-intrusive and/or intrusive fieldwork which determines the presence or absence of archaeological features, structures, deposits, artefacts or ecofacts within a specified area or site (land-based, inter-tidal or underwater). If archaeological remains are present, field evaluation defines their character and extent, and relative quality; and it enables an assessment of their worth in a local, regional, national or international context as appropriate (IFA 1994b, 1; and see DoE 1990, para. 21).
- III **Environmental Assessments (Database Code D):** These are programmes of work carried out under the terms of the European Directive 85/337/EEC and council directive 97/11/EC (implemented in the UK as *Town and Country Planning (Assessment of Environmental Effects) Regulations 1988* (SI 1199) and *The Town and Country Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 1999* (SI No.29), respectively), and often combine desk-based assessment and field evaluation.
- IV **Other Investigations (Database Code E):** This embraces a wide range of archaeological investigation types, which mainly fall into two groups in terms of their rationale. First are "post-determination" events such as open-area excavations, watching briefs, recorded observations and building surveys that are carried out under the terms of a planning condition or agreement of some kind in advance of development; this work is sometimes collectively referred to as "rescue archaeology". Second are excavations and surveys of various sorts that are undertaken outwith the requirements of the Town and Country Planning system; investigations falling within this second group are sometimes referred to as "research" excavations, but this is a misnomer since all archaeological investigations are pieces of research.
- V **Estate Management Surveys (Database Code F):** These will be similar in nature to a desk-based assessment for development purposes, but relates instead to the production of a defined-purpose management plan. Such work is likely to include documentary research, inspection of existing records, walkover inspection surveys and perhaps some limited fieldwalking or geophysical surveys.
- VI **Building Recording (Database Code G):** Archaeological Building Investigation and Recording is defined as a programme of work

intended to establish the character, history, dating, form and archaeological development of a specified building, or structure, or complex and its setting, including its buried components, on land or under water (IFA 1996, 1).

- VII **Geophysical investigations (Database event signifier H):** To include the following types of archaeological investigation: Electromagnetic survey, Ground penetrating radar, Magnetic susceptibility, Magnetometer, Microgravity, Resistivity, Resistivity depth sounding, Resistivity profile, Seismic Refraction
- VIII **Maritime investigations (Database event signifier M):** Archaeological maritime investigations for the most part, use broadly similar land-based archaeological techniques that have been adapted for use underwater (The marine archaeological resource, IFA 2000). As well as intrusive archaeological techniques and visual surveys Geophysical and other remote techniques have also developed for use in an underwater environment. Areas of estuary and intertidal areas have been included under this designation.

Apart from desk-based assessments forming part of the development control process, or archaeological building recording other kinds of non-interventional survey have been omitted, unless they are reported alongside interventional events. One obvious example of this split is with geophysical surveys which are sometimes carried out as discrete events while in other cases as part of multi-method study. A further listing of geophysical surveys was compiled by English Heritage, and is available on the World Wide Web at: <http://sdb2.eng-h.gov.uk/>

Three main sources were used in identifying and tracking down information about completed and ongoing projects. First, and by far the most important source, were reports and records inspected during visits to archaeological contractors and curators in every county. Second were published listings and summaries of projects undertaken. And third were completed returns of *pro forma* report sheets circulated to local societies, University departments, and organisations which could not be visited by research staff from the AIP. Throughout this work the emphasis has been on archaeological projects that have been completed during the year, no matter how large or small they may have been. In the case of long term projects spanning several years, the archaeological works actually carried out in the year covered by the particular Gazetteer are listed.

Most of the reports summarised here are circulated typescript or loose-bound volumes prepared on a desk-top publishing system. Few of them have ISBN



numbers, and their circulation is typically restricted. Within the sphere of commercial archaeology at least, most were produced for clients in support of planning applications, as background papers for public consultation, or in fulfilment of planning conditions or agreements. No details of availability or cost are provided, but the name of the issuing authority is given wherever possible. Neither English Heritage nor the originators of this Gazetteer are able to supply copies or extracts of any of these reports (except those for which they are themselves the issuing authority), and any enquiries about the reports listed should be addressed directly to the relevant issuing authority.

The details given are as full as may be permitted from scrutiny of the reports themselves or from information supplied by authors, issuing authorities, or, exceptionally, summary sources. Wherever given, the site name is as set out in the report, as are National Grid References. The bibliographic reference follows the style established by the *British and Irish Archaeological Bibliography*. Where no specific author is identified on the report's title page (or elsewhere in the report) the term "Anon" or the name of the relevant investigating body is used in place of the author's name. Where no exact title for a report has been supplied by the issuing authority a substitute title enclosed in square brackets has been given (e.g. [Report on an evaluation at Windcott Hill]).

Wherever possible the author's own summary has been used in whole or in part, but where this is not available a short abstract of the report has been prepared by a member of the *AIP* team. These abstracts should be regarded as general statements of what the referenced report contains. While every effort has been made to check details of sources and the content of summaries, the Gazetteer originators accept absolutely no responsibility for errors or inaccuracies in the material presented. **The listings are intended as fair guides to what is available rather than a set of definitive statements. Accordingly, the original documentation should always be checked if it is to be referred to in publications or discussion.**

Where possible, SMR reference numbers have been included in the entries, but at the time of the visits made to collect data many reports had yet to be entered into the relevant SMR system and so had not in consequence had a number assigned to them. The format of the entries is detailed further in the section below entitled "Anatomy of a typical Gazetteer entry: guidance notes for users".

## **List of English Heritage Regions comprising constituent Counties, Districts, Metropolitan Boroughs and Unitary Authorities**

## **EAST MIDLANDS**

### **Derby**

#### **Derbyshire**

*Districts:* Amber Valley, Bolsover, Chesterfield, Derbyshire Dales, Erewash, High Peak, North East Derbyshire, South Derbyshire

### **Leicester**

#### **Leicestershire**

*Districts:* Blaby, Charnwood, Harborough, Hinckley & Bosworth, Melton, North West Leicestershire, Oadby & Wigston

### **Lincolnshire**

*Districts:* Boston, East Lindsey, Lincoln, North Kesteven, South Holland, South Kesteven, West Lindsey

### **North East Lincolnshire**

### **North Lincolnshire**

### **Northamptonshire**

*Districts:* Corby, Daventry, East Northamptonshire, Kettering, Northampton, South Northamptonshire, Wellingborough

### **Nottingham**

#### **Nottinghamshire**

*Districts:* Ashfield, Bassetlaw, Broxtowe, Gedling, Mansfield, Newark & Sherwood, Rushcliffe

### **Rutland**

## **EASTERN REGION**

### **Bedfordshire**

*Districts:* Bedford, Mid Bedfordshire, South Bedfordshire

### **Cambridgeshire**

*Districts:* Cambridge, East Cambridgeshire, Fenland, Huntingdonshire, South Cambridgeshire

### **Essex**

*Districts:* Basildon, Braintree, Brentwood, Castle Point, Chelmsford, Colchester, Epping Forest, Harlow, Maldon, Rochford, Tendring, Uttlesford

**Hertfordshire**

*Districts:* Broxbourne, Dacorum, East Hertfordshire, Hertsmere, North Hertfordshire, St Albans, Stevenage, Three Rivers, Watford, Welwyn Hatfield

**Luton****Milton Keynes****Norfolk**

*Districts:* Breckland, Broadland, Great Yarmouth, King's Lynn & West Norfolk, North Norfolk, Norwich, South Norfolk

**Peterborough****Southend-on-Sea****Suffolk**

*Districts:* Babergh, Forest Heath, Ipswich, Mid Suffolk, St Edmundsbury, Suffolk Coastal, Waveney

**Thurrock****GREATER LONDON**

*London Boroughs:* City of Westminster, Barking & Dagenham, Barnet, Bexley, Brent, Bromley, Camden, City of London, Croydon, Ealing, Enfield, Greenwich, Hackney, Hammersmith & Fulham, Haringey, Harrow, Havering, Hillingdon, Hounslow, Islington, Kensington & Chelsea (Royal Borough), Kingston upon Thames (Royal Borough), Lambeth, Lewisham, Merton, Newham, Redbridge, Richmond upon Thames, Southwark, Sutton, Tower Hamlets, Waltham Forest, Wandsworth

**NORTH EAST****Darlington****Durham**

*Districts:* Chester-le-Street, Derwentside, Durham, Easington, Sedgefield, Teesdale, Wear Valley

**Former County of Tyne & Wear**

*Metropolitan Boroughs:* Gateshead, Newcastle upon Tyne, North Tyneside, South Tyneside, Sunderland

**Hartlepool**

## **Middlesbrough**

### **Northumberland**

*Districts:* Alnwick, Berwick-upon-Tweed, Blyth Valley, Castle Morpeth, Tynedale, Wansbeck

### **Redcar & Cleveland**

### **Stockton-on-Tees**

## **NORTH WEST**

### **Blackburn with Darwen**

### **Blackpool**

### **Cheshire**

*Districts:* Chester, Congleton, Crewe & Nantwich, Ellesmere Port & Neston, Macclesfield, Vale Royal

### **Cumbria**

*Districts:* Allerdale, Barrow-in-Furness, Carlisle, Copeland, Eden, South Lakeland

### **Greater Manchester Area**

*Metropolitan Boroughs:* Bolton, Bury, Manchester, Oldham, Rochdale, Salford, Stockport, Tameside, Trafford, Wigan

### **Halton**

### **Lancashire**

*Districts:* Burnley, Chorley, Fylde, Hyndburn, Lancaster, Pendle, Preston, Ribble Valley, Rossendale, South Ribble, West Lancashire, Wyre

### **Merseyside**

*Metropolitan Boroughs:* Knowsley, Liverpool, St Helens, Sefton, Wirral

### **Warrington**

## **SOUTH EAST**

### **Bracknell Forest**

### **Brighton & Hove**

## **Buckinghamshire**

*Districts:* Aylesbury Vale, Chiltern, South Buckinghamshire, Wycombe

## **East Sussex**

*Districts:* Eastbourne, Hastings, Lewes, Rother, Wealden

## **Hampshire**

*Districts:* Basingstoke & Deane, East Hampshire, Eastleigh, Fareham, Gosport, Hart, Havant, New Forest, Rushmoor, Test Valley, Winchester

## **Isle of Wight**

## **Kent**

*Districts:* Ashford, Canterbury, Dartford, Dover, Gravesham, Maidstone, Sevenoaks, Shepway, Swale, Thanet, Tonbridge & Malling, Tunbridge Wells

## **Medway Towns**

## **Oxfordshire**

*Districts:* Cherwell, Oxford, South Oxfordshire, Vale of White Horse, West Oxfordshire

## **Portsmouth**

## **Reading**

## **Slough**

## **Southampton**

## **Surrey**

*Districts:* Elmbridge, Epsom & Ewell, Guildford, Mole Valley, Reigate & Banstead, Runnymede, Spelthorne, Surrey Heath, Tandridge, Waverley, Woking

## **West Berkshire**

## **West Sussex**

*Districts:* Adur, Arun, Chichester, Crawley, Horsham, Mid Sussex, Worthing

## **Windsor & Maidenhead**

## **Wokingham**

**SOUTH WEST**

## **Bath and North East Somerset**

### **Bournemouth**

### **Bristol**

### **Cornwall**

*Districts:* Caradon, Carrick, Kerrier, North Cornwall, Penwith, Restormel

### **Devon**

*Districts:* East Devon, Exeter, Mid Devon, North Devon, South Hams, Teignbridge, Torridge, West Devon

### **Dorset**

*Districts:* Christchurch, East Dorset, North Dorset, Purbeck, West Dorset, Weymouth & Portland

### **Gloucestershire**

*Districts:* Cheltenham, Cotswold, Forest of Dean, Gloucester, Stroud, Tewkesbury

### **North Somerset**

### **Plymouth**

### **Poole**

### **Somerset**

*Districts:* Mendip, Sedgemoor, South Somerset, Taunton Deane, West Somerset

### **South Gloucestershire**

### **Swindon**

### **Torbay**

### **Wiltshire**

*Districts:* Kennet, North Wiltshire, Salisbury, West Wiltshire

## **WEST MIDLANDS**

### **Birmingham Area**

*Metropolitan Boroughs:* Birmingham, Coventry, Dudley, Sandwell, Solihull, Walsall, Wolverhampton

## **Herefordshire**

### **Shropshire**

*Districts:* Bridgnorth, North Shropshire, Oswestry, Shrewsbury & Atcham, South Shropshire

### **Staffordshire**

*Districts:* Cannock Chase, East Staffordshire, Lichfield, Newcastle-under-Lyme, South Staffordshire, Stafford, Staffordshire Moorlands, Tamworth

## **Stoke-on-Trent**

## **Telford & Wrekin**

### **Warwickshire**

*Districts:* North Warwickshire, Nuneaton & Bedworth, Rugby, Stratford-on-Avon, Warwick

### **Worcestershire**

*Districts:* Bromsgrove, Malvern Hills, Redditch, Worcester, Wychavon, Wyre Forest

## **YORKSHIRE & HUMBERSIDE**

### **East Riding of Yorkshire**

### **Former County of South Yorkshire**

*Metropolitan Boroughs:* Barnsley, Doncaster, Rotherham, Sheffield

### **Former County of West Yorkshire**

*Metropolitan Boroughs:* Bradford, Calderdale, Kirklees, Leeds, Wakefield

## **Kingston Upon Hull**

### **North Yorkshire**

*Districts:* Craven, Hambleton, Harrogate, Richmondshire, Ryedale, Scarborough, Selby

## **York**

## Anatomy of a gazetteer entry - notes for users

- 1/189□(B.90.0047) . □ST65934559
- **TB PICNIC SITE, NUTWOOD**
- ***Archaeological Field Evaluation: TB Picnic Site, Nutwood (NAFG Report No. 12/2001)***
- Bear, R T Nutwood: Nutwood Archaeological Fieldwork Group, 1999, 15pp, colour pls, figs, refs
- The area proposed for development was, in the 18th century, part of Nutwood Common. The site was semi-wooded and was used for hunting from the 16th century. The common was enclosed by Act of Parliament in 1780. By 1920 the area had become a partially landscaped garden for Appleton House, a purpose-built Rest Home. The arrangement of the grounds was designed for psychotherapeutic reasons, permitting walking, games and picnicking. As well as the remains of the modern landscape garden features, cartographic sources indicated remnants of medieval ridge and furrow. No areas of certain ancient woodland were identified.
  - [AIP.]□ *SMR Primary Record Numbers: 2630*

↻ *Archaeological periods represented: MD, PM, MO, UD*

*er er er*

- Gazetteer entry number for investigation: Each investigation entry for the 1999 Gazetteer volume has a unique individual number with two elements: the Gazetteer Section Number in which the investigation record is contained and a serial number. These numbers should be used to enable the location of each investigation within the volume using the author, investigator and period/dating indexes provided at the end of the Gazetteer volume.
- *Archaeological Investigation Project* database reference number for the relevant investigation record (for internal *AIP* use only)
- National Grid Reference: An eight figure grid reference prefixed by the relevant National Grid letters has been recorded where provided in the reports, etc. accessed.
- Site/project name and location: The name of the site or development project, giving some indication of its location, is given.
- Report title : The full title of the report relating to the investigation is given.; in the case of an "unpublished" monograph-type report of the kind illustrated this may include an internal report number, if recorded. Square brackets are used to indicate either that the title has been "made-up" by a member of the project team because the document referenced lacked a formal title, or that a document with the title given (or something closely approximating to it) is known to exist but was not actually inspected by a member of the project team.
- Other publication details: Normally comprises the name of the organisation/individual responsible for authoring or editing the report, followed by the place of issue/publication, the name of the organisation



responsible for issue/publication, the year of issue/publication and a description of the physical format of the document including the total number of pages, and the presence of figures, tables, plates etc. (see abbreviations for codes used). Occasionally, where the report represents an article in a published monograph or serial, or where a summary abstract has been obtained from a 'secondary source' and the original report has not been seen, the name of the originator of the article, monograph, or summary note will be given followed by a 'Source Number', the relevant page, and a description of the content of the article/summary note (presence of figures, plates, tables etc.). The 1999 project did not encounter any instances of this.

- Summary of investigation.
- Summary authorship attribution code: See relevant list of abbreviations/codes used for further information.
- SMR Primary Record Numbers*: Relevant Sites and Monuments Record reference numbers.
- ☞ *Archaeological periods represented*: The broad dating of the archaeological remains identified during the course of the investigation (please refer to relevant list of abbreviations/codes used for further information).

## Other annotations

Square brackets are used in Gazetteer entries to indicate either that information was not available or recorded for the relevant part of the Gazetteer entry, or that due to the nature of the source material, a significant degree of interpretation and inference was necessary in compiling the digest entry.

## Acknowledgements

The *Archaeological Investigations Project* was funded by English Heritage

In carrying out the *Archaeological Investigations Project* and compiling this Gazetteer the project team would like to thank all those who have contributed information, facilitated access to archives and libraries, and responded to enquiries, especially all the County Archaeological Officers, SMR Officers, the National Trust, the English Heritage Signposting team and staff of archaeological contracting units and consultancies around the country. Many local archaeological societies are now also regular contributors to the project as well as University departments involved in fieldwork, so thanks to them also.

## References

- DoE, 1990, *Planning Policy Guidance: Archaeology and Planning* (= PPG16). London. Department of the Environment
- IFA, 1994a, *Standard and Guidance for Archaeological Desk-based Assessments*. Birmingham. Institute of Field Archaeologists
- IFA, 1994b, *Standard and Guidance for Archaeological Field Evaluations*. Birmingham. Institute of Field Archaeologists
- IFA, 1996, *Standard and Guidance for the Archaeological Investigation and Recording of Standing Buildings or Structures*. Manchester. Institute of Field Archaeologists

# East Midlands Derbyshire

## Derbyshire Dales

(D.17.1889/2008)

SK25505950

Parish: Bonsall

Postal Code: DE4 2PD

### **TEARSALL, BONSALL MOOR**

***Application to Extract Fluorspar and Associated Vein Minerals by Open Pit Methods from an Extension to the Workings at Tearsall, Bonsall Moor. Volume 1: Planning Application and Supporting Statement***

Hodgkinson, D & Lee, N Stoke on Trent : Wardell Armstrong, Report: J02 2008, 20pp, figs  
*Work undertaken by:* Wardell Armstrong

The assessment noted the potential for archaeological remains relating to historical lead mining. This involved undertaking detailed archaeological surveys of above and below ground archaeological remains. The remains identified were on the whole related to lead extraction and processing from the 18th and 19th century. [Au(adp)]

OASIS ID: no

(D.17.1890/2008)

SK24907820

Parish: Grindleford

Postal Code: S30 1HP

### **STOKE HALL QUARRY, NEW ROAD, GRINDLEFORD**

***Stoke Hall Quarry, New Road, Grindleford***

Scott Wilson London : Scott Wilson, 2008, 20pp, figs  
*Work undertaken by:* Scott Wilson

There was no evidence of any archaeological remains to the south of the operational quarry area where stockpiling waste had previously occurred. No further scheme of works relating to the cultural heritage was proposed. [Au(adp)]

OASIS ID: no

## MULTI-COUNTY RECORD

## North West Leicester

(D.50.1891/2008)

SK47502750

Parish: Kegworth

Postal Code: DE7 2YW

### **A453 WIDENING M1 JUNCTION 24 TO A52 NOTTINGHAM**

***A453 Widening M1 Junction 24 to A52 Nottingham. Environmental Statement Volume 1***

Highways Agency London : Highways Agency, 2009, 54pp, colour pls, figs, tabs, refs  
*Work undertaken by:* Highways Agency

Much of the fields and pasture along the line of the A453 had been modified since World War II and were part of a continually changing and evolving landscape. The only landscape evidence for earlier land patterns was an area of planned enclosure and some floodplain fields close to the River Soar that would be affected as well as some semi-regular fields around Barton Lane. The ridge and furrow identified by geophysical survey and cropmarks also hinted at earlier field patterns, but much of these lay away from the main road. However, the offline section at Mill Hill would be clearly visible from the open areas of Clifton Pasture

and Barton Lane and would have some impact on the setting of these unenclosed areas and the view of them from Mill Hill. Both of the identified Park and Gardens lay well away from the road. The Conservation Area at Thrumpton would only be very slightly affected; however, the Conservation Area at Clifton would be more severely impacted by the works. The designated Clifton Village Green would be avoided by the works. [Au(abr)]

OASIS ID: no

## Northamptonshire

### Wellingborough

(D.34.1892/2008)

SP91206310

Parish: Wollaston

Postal Code: NN297UJ

**AIRFIELD FARM, AIRFIELD ROAD, PODINGTON, WELLINGBOROUGH**

*Airfield Wind Farm Environmental Statement Volume 1: Text*

Marsden, E Newcastle upon Tyne : Entec UK Ltd., 2008, 682pp, colour pls, figs, refs  
*Work undertaken by:* Entec UK Ltd.

The nearest Listed Buildings to the site were those in the surrounding farms, all of which lay nearly 1km away. The site lay within the former airfield of RAF Podington. No further features were recorded directly within the site although a pond to the south-west may be a pond referred to as Sir Rowland's Pond and Mound. [Au(adp)]

OASIS ID: no

## Eastern Region Bedfordshire

### Bedford

(D.09.1893/2008)

TL04604830

Parish: Kempston

Postal Code: MK429RT

**FORMER CAMFORD WORKS AND ADJOINING LAND, AMPHILL ROAD, BEDFORD**

*Former Camford Works and Adjoining Land, Amphill road, Bedford: Environmental Statement*

Bryant, A London : Temple, 2008, 199pp, figs, tabs, refs  
*Work undertaken by:* Temple

Archaeology was not considered within the scope of this study. [AIP]

OASIS ID: no

(D.09.1894/2008)

TL02204290

Parish: Stewartby

Postal Code: MK439ND

**NEW STEWARTBY**

*New Stewartby. Non-technical Summary*

Roger Evans Associates  
refs

Much Wenlock : Roger Evans Associates, 2008, 12pp, figs,

*Work undertaken by:* Roger Evans Associates

The archaeological assessment considered the below-ground archaeological potential of the estate. This showed there was a moderate potential for the presence of prehistoric to Roman remains of high archaeological significance towards the northern end of the site. There was also moderate to high potential for evidence of medieval agricultural activity of moderate archaeological significance. [Au(adp)]

OASIS ID: no

## Cambridgeshire

### Cambridge

(D.12.1895/2008)

TL45105870

Parish: Grantchester

Postal Code: CB1 1LH

#### **CAMBRIDGE**

***Environmental Statement Volume 2: Cambridge Historic Environment Analysis Incorporating Townscape and Views Assessment***

QuBe Planning Ltd. Cambridge : QuBe Planning Ltd., 2008, 250pp, figs

*Work undertaken by:* QuBe Planning Ltd.

It was proposed to redevelop areas such as Foster's Mill to residential use, and the silo to a records office. The Demolition of Red House, the erection of a 6-storey hotel, and further residential developments. Due to the extensive nature of these developments, the whole history of Cambridge was commented upon. It was noted that the traditional patterns of a constrained city with villages surrounding had been eroded and that the cities skyline was not exceptional. [Au(adp)]

OASIS ID: no

### East Cambridgeshire

(D.12.1896/2008)

TL51307840

Parish: Ely

Postal Code: CB6 3NW

**LAND SOUTH EAST OF WELLINGTON ROAD, LANCASTER WAY BUSINESS PARK, ELY**

***Grovemere: Proposed Extension to Lancaster Way Business Park, Ely***

Alflatt, J & Hendry, M Cambridge : Bidwells, 2008, 296pp, colour pls, figs, tabs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* Bidwells

Construction of the new industrial units and access roads were anticipated to result in extensive damage or destruction to surviving Iron Age and Roman period settlement remains and associated field systems. [Au(adp)]

OASIS ID: no

(D.12.1897/2008)

TL61006100

Parish: Stetchworth

Postal Code: CB8 0TJ

**NEWMARKET HORSE PARK, CAMBRIDGE ROAD, NEWMARKET**

***Newmarket Horse Park, Cambridge Road, Newmarket. Environmental Impact Assessment***

Keith Warth Associates Cambridge : Keith Warth Associates, Report: 565/PA3 2008, 68pp, colour pls, figs

*Work undertaken by:* Keith Warth Associates, Network Archaeology

The only buildings on the site was the Equine Hospital. A study of the site as a whole was carried out September 2006. A detailed investigation of the Equine Hospital site was undertaken with numerous trench excavations. No archaeological features were found. [Au(adp)]

OASIS ID: no

## Essex

### Colchester

(D.22.1898/2008)

TL99602480

Parish: Myland

Postal Code: CO2 7HE

#### **COLCHESTER ROMAN CIRCUS**

***Impact Assessment for Colchester Roman Circus Addendum to Chapter 15 (Cultural Heritage) of the MOD Colchester Garrison PFI: Composite Environmental Chapter***

Atkins Heritage Oxford : Atkins Heritage, 2008, 20pp, figs

*Work undertaken by:* RPS Consultants, Atkins Heritage

Following a report written by Atkins in 2002, a Roman circus identified in 2004 at Colchester was given Scheduled Monument status (SM No. 35614). The requirement for archaeological works within the overall 160ha garrison redevelopment were included in the 2002 strategy.

[Au(adp)]

OASIS ID: no

### Uttlesford

(D.22.1899/2008)

TL53102700

Parish: Elsenham

Postal Code: CM226JP

#### **TRISAIL TOWERS**

***Trisail Towers Development: Non-technical Summary***

Warth, J London : MLM Environmental, 2008, 33pp, colour pls

*Work undertaken by:* MLM Environmental

Several late Neolithic or Early Bronze Age flints were recovered from the west of the development area. A high density of largely undated archaeological remains were noted in the centre of the development area. These included ditches, pits and post holes. One group of three post holes may have represented a four posted structure of Bronze or Iron Age date. An earlier subdivision of the landscape, probably post-medieval in date was identified in the form of several parallel ditches, aligned perpendicular to the modern road. A small palaeochannel was recorded running through the development area. Several undated ditches were recorded over the rest of the development area. [Au(abr)]

OASIS ID: no

## Hertfordshire

### East Hertfordshire

(D.26.1900/2008)

TL32301280

Parish: Hertford

Postal Code: SG141QU

**MCMULLEN'S BREWERY SITE, HERTFORD***McMullen's Brewery Site, Hertford*

Indigo Planning Ltd London : Indigo Planning Ltd, 2008, 20pp, figs

*Work undertaken by:* Indago

The site had limited significance in archaeological terms as there were no Scheduled Ancient Monuments within the boundaries. Only a small area at the southern tip of the site as well as Folly Island fell within an Area of Archaeological Significance. [Au(adp)]

OASIS ID: no

**North Hertfordshire**

(D.26.1901/2008)

TL25303060

Parish: Weston

Postal Code: SG4 7BE

**WESTON MILLS WIND TURBINE OFF HATCH LANE, WESTON***Weston Mills Wind Turbine off Hatch Lane, Weston*

Benson, R Colne : Caminus Energy Consultancy, 2008, 159pp, colour pls, figs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* Caminus Energy Consultancy

It was considered that the amount of ground disturbance that would be caused by the wind turbine erection would be small and that it was appropriate to carry out supervision of the excavations for the turbine foundations, as opposed to prior investigative excavations. The possibility of destroying artefacts had a low magnitude and the significance was minor adverse. [Au(adp)]

OASIS ID: no

**Luton****Luton UA**

(D.63.1902/2008)

TL09502150

Parish: Hyde

Postal Code: LU1 3JG

**LUTON TOWN TRANSPORT SCHEME***Luton Town Centre Transport Scheme: Non-technical Summary*

Golder Associates London : Golder Associates, 2008, 12pp, colour pls, figs, tabs

*Work undertaken by:* Golder Associates

There will be impacts on seven archaeological assets of negligible to medium value/sensitivity. [Au(adp)]

OASIS ID: no

**Norfolk****Broadland**

(D.33.1903/2008)

TG28900900

Parish: Postwick with Witton

Postal Code: NR135HB

**BROADLAND GATE**

**Broadland Gate: Environmental Statement**

Mackay, R Norwich : Mott MacDonald, 2008, 248pp, figs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* Mott MacDonald

There were no conservation areas or listed buildings on or near the site, however, the site formed part of an historic enclosure landscape. Potential negative impacts on both aspects would be mitigated by the proposed retention of field boundaries and modest maximum building heights. [Au(adp)]

OASIS ID: no

(D.33.1904/2008)

TG14101730

Parish: Swannington

Postal Code: NR9 5TF

**ATTLEBRIDGE LANDFILL, REEPHAM ROAD, ATTLEBRIDGE**

*Attlebridge Landfill, Reepham Road, Attlebridge*

Evans, S Stanton-on-the-Wolds : Golder Associates, 2008, 73pp, colour pls, figs

*Work undertaken by:* Golder Associates

Research showed there were no known archaeological or cultural heritage receptors within the extension site. The nearest Scheduled Monument was a Bronze Age round barrow north of Sandy Lane, 1.1km to the north of the extension site. [Au(adp)]

OASIS ID: no

**Norwich**

(D.33.1905/2008)

TG24200780

Parish: Trowse with Newton

Postal Code: NR1 1JB

**KERRISON ROAD/HARDY ROAD, NORWICH**

*Proposed Redevelopment of Site to Provide Mixed Residential/Commercial Development at Kerrison Road/Hardy Road, Norwich*

Cator + Co Norwich : Cator + Co, 2008, 20pp, figs

*Work undertaken by:* Northamptonshire Archaeology, Cator + Co

Carrow Road football stadium lay 300m to the west with Norwich Railway Station situated 600m to the north-west. Railway sidings from the station loop around the eastern edge of the application area, immediately adjacent to the rear of the Gothic Works building. A cluster of terraced housing stands immediately to the north-west around Hardy Road, Cozens Road and Kerrison Road whilst other industrial units and related car parking areas are located to the west. Of principal interest were the archaeological excavations and observations undertaken in and around the Riverside development (NHER 1540 and 26476) and Carrow Road Football Stadium (NHER 26602). At the Riverside development, archaeological observations found peat deposits flanking the modern river to a depth of 3 metres. Analysis suggested that these had developed from the Mesolithic period onwards as a result of agricultural clearing of former woodland. Undiagnostic worked flints, Bronze Age urn fragments and Roman and medieval pottery sherds were found within the deposits. [Au(adp)]

*Archaeological periods represented:* RO, BA, PR, MD

OASIS ID: no

**South Norfolk**

(D.33.1906/2008)

TG28500750



Parish: Kirby Bedon

Postal Code: NR135HH

**BIOSOLIDS TREATMENT CENTRE. WHITLINGHAM. AMMONIA REMOVAL LIQUOR TREATMENT PLANT*****Biosolids Quality Sub-programme (S0017). Whitlingham New Biosolids Treatment Centre. Ammonia Removal Liquor Treatment Plant: Environmental Statement***

Fookes, J E &amp; Power, M D London : Mott MacDonald, 2008, 76pp, figs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* Mott MacDonald

Impacts upon the cultural heritage were not considered. [AIP]

OASIS ID: no

(D.33.1907/2008)

TG19400140

Parish: Mulbarton

Postal Code: NR148JU

**LAND AT GROUP LOTUS PLC, POTASH LANE, HETHEL*****Lotus Cars Wind Park: Environmental Statement***

Ecotricity Stroud : Ecotricity, 2008, 104pp, colour pls, figs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* Ecotricity, Atkins Heritage

Out of 26 Grade I and II\* Listed Buildings in the environs, the initial assessment showed that 13 would not be impacted upon, six may suffer moderate to minor impacts while the remaining seven would suffer moderate to major impacts. The residual impact on the archaeology would be insignificant. [Au(adp)]

OASIS ID: no

(D.33.1908/2008)

TG11600080

Parish: Wymondham

Postal Code: NR189AR

**LAND TO THE SOUTH OF WYMONDHAM*****Land to the South of Wymondham: Environmental Statement***

Barton Willmore London : Barton Willmore, Report: 11750/A5/ES2008 2008, 349pp, figs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* Barton Willmore, CgMs

There were no Scheduled Ancient Monuments on or close to the site. The site lay within an Archaeological Priority Area. The Application Site had the potential for an archaeological resource of Local to Regional Importance. There would be no residual effects on the archaeological resource during the completed and operation phases. [Au(adp)]

OASIS ID: no

## Peterborough

**Peterborough UA**

(D.71.1909/2008)

TF27900820

Parish: Thorney

Postal Code: PE6 0PG

**FRENCH FARM, FRENCH DROVE, THORNEY, PETERBOROUGH*****Environmental Statement for the Proposed French Farm Wind Farm: Volume 1***

Evans, P, Scholes, H &amp; Allen, S Truro : Wardell Armstrong, Report: 44-0117 2008, 219pp, colour pls, figs, tabs

*Work undertaken by:* REG Group, Wardell Armstrong

An assessment found there was a rich and varied intensive agricultural land use including a wide range of arable, root crops, bulbs, vegetables and livestock. Horticultural Bronze Age, Iron Age and Roman landscapes emerged from below the falling peat and there was known areas rich in archaeology in the edge of the fens. [Au(adp)]

*SMR primary record number:* 0030038887

OASIS ID: no

## Suffolk

### Forest Heath

(D.42.1910/2008)

TL69107070

Parish: Red Lodge

Postal Code: IP288LQ

#### **LAND TO THE NORTH OF ELMS ROAD AND THE A11 NORTHBOUND EXIT SLIP ROAD TO RED LODGE**

***Proposed Relocation of Red Lodge. Transport Café. Land North of Elms Road and the A11 Northbound. Exit Slip Road to Red Lodge, Suffolk***

RPS Consultants St. Ives : RPS Consultants, 2008, 166p, colour pls, figs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* RPS Consultants

The archaeological resource was not considered to be sufficient to hinder the development of the site. [Au(adp)]

OASIS ID: no

### Mid Suffolk

(D.42.1911/2008)

TM04505850

Parish: Stowmarket

Postal Code: IP141SX

#### **MULTI-MODAL DISTRIBUTION PARK, STOWMARKET**

***Multi-modal Distribution Park, Stowmarket, Suffolk***

WSP Environmental Ltd. London : WSP Environmental Ltd., 2008, CD

*Work undertaken by:* WSP Environmental Ltd.

Although the application site did not fall within any areas of recognised archaeological potential, the magnitude of the development was such that an archaeological assessment was undertaken. The assessment concluded that the proposed development lay within an area of archaeological potential although the presence or absence of remains was unknown. The exception to this was the area within the flood plain where there may be remains and the site of an explosive works dating from World War 1 where no physical remains were identified. [Au(adp)]

OASIS ID: no

## Greater London Greater London Authority

### City of London

(D.01.1912/2008)

TQ33208090

Parish: Buckhurst Hill

Postal Code: EC3M3AJ

**120 FENCHURCH STREET*****120 Fenchurch Street: Non Technical Summary***

Saxon Land BV London : Saxon Land BV, 2008, 20pp, figs

*Work undertaken by:* Saxon Land BV

It was considered that the site had a low potential to contain prehistoric remains, a high potential to contain remains dated to the Roman period, a low potential to contain remains dated to the Saxon period and a low to high potential to contain archaeological remains dated to the medieval and post-medieval period. [Au(abr)]

OASIS ID: no

**Harrow**

(D.01.1913/2008)

TQ15509350

Parish: Elstree and Borehamwood

Postal Code: HA7 3HR

**BENTLEY PRIORY*****Redevelopment of Bentley Priory Environmental Statement – Non- technical Summary***

GVA GRIMLEY London : GVA GRIMLEY, 2008, 8pp, figs

*Work undertaken by:* GVA GRIMLEY

Although there were no statutory or non-statutory designations within Bentley Priory, as an historically important site there was potential for archaeological features of interest. A desk-based assessment was undertaken of the known and potential archaeological resources on site. In addition, a recent phase of investigation work on site was subject to monitoring. No archaeological features were detected during the watching brief. However, the potential for disturbance or loss of previously unknown buried archaeological remained during remediation and construction phases and no level of significant effect could be determined at this stage. It was agreed that a programme of intrusive and non-intrusive archaeological evaluation would be undertaken as a condition of planning permission. [Au(adp)]

OASIS ID: no

(D.01.1914/2008)

TQ15009170

Parish: Watford Rural

Postal Code: HA3 6RN

**HARROW COLLEGE*****Harrow College. Environmental Statement Non-technical Summary***

Waterman Environmental London : Waterman Environmental, 2008, 16pp, colour pls, figs, tabs, refs, CD

*Work undertaken by:* Waterman Environmental

The site did not contain any archaeological resources designated as being of national importance, such as Scheduled Monuments, registered battlefields, or parks or gardens of special historic interest. The Grade II Listed White House [formerly known as Lowlands Villa], an early Regency villa, lay in the middle of the site. Impacts to any subsurface or surface archaeology would occur at the demolition and construction phase. Although the White House would be retained within the development, the undesignated original school building would be demolished. This structure was of local architectural and historic interest and therefore its loss would rate as a minor adverse impact. Additionally, construction would lead to the replacement of open space located within the western part of the site, which was designated as part of a Conservation Area, by a new building. The potential adverse impacts on archaeology would be mitigated through a programme of archaeological monitoring and recording. The impact on the Conservation Area through the loss of the Lowland Recreation

Ground within the Site would be partly mitigated through the provision of alternative public space within the Development, and the creation of enhanced green open space in the southern part of the site bordering Lowlands Road. [Au(abr)]

OASIS ID: no

## Havering

(D.01.1915/2008)

TQ48908870

Parish: Chigwell

Postal Code: RM6 6BE

### **CRANFIELD GOLF ACADEMY**

***Environmental Statement Non-technical Summary for Proposed Golf Course Extension at Cranfield Golf Academy, Whalebone Lane, Chadwell Heath. Version 1***

Swann, R Farnham : Weller Designs, 2008, 24pp, colour pls, figs, tabs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* Weller Designs

An Environmental Statement was prepared in support of an outline planning application for a new, full 36 hole golf course. It was evident that the site lay in an area of considerable archaeological interest, with sites and finds of various periods represented fairly widely, including on the site itself. There was thought to be evidence for a possible medieval windmill and possible Iron Age settlement north of Warren Farm. There were no Scheduled Ancient Monuments located in the vicinity of the study area. [Au(abr)]

OASIS ID: no

(D.01.1916/2008)

TQ52108260

Parish: Stone

Postal Code: RM138SS

### **DOVERS CORNER, RAINHAM**

***Document 4 Environmental Statement Volume 1: Main Document. Dovers Corner, Rainham, Essex***

Wilcoxon, T Takeley : Hyder Consulting, 2008, 171pp, colour pls, figs, tabs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* Hyder Consulting

The built environment of the site did not contain any buildings of significance either listed or noted. Of the two factories built in the 1950s one survived partially extended on the western side of the site. The development would potentially affect sub-ground archaeological remains contained within the peat and organic clay deposits. These represented the gravel terrace at the edge of the Thames floodplain and the area beyond which, at points in prehistory was shoreline wetland. This latter area was rich in resources which prehistoric peoples may have exploited. [Au(adp)]

OASIS ID: no

## Sutton

(D.01.1920/2008)

TQ27806430

Parish: Whyteleafe

Postal Code: SM5 3SU

### **ORCHARD HILL, CARSHALTON**

***Orchard Hill, Carshalton - Mixed Use Re-development: Environmental Statement***

McEwen, J London : Faber Maunsell, 2008, 303pp, colour pls, figs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* Faber Maunsell

It was considered that the value of the buildings that survived on the site was generally negligible, while those at the entrance to the site, and in particular Oak House, Mayfield House, Garth House and the Administration building, had perhaps a slightly higher value, between negligible and low. The value was such that there appeared to be no case for their retention. [Au(adp)]

OASIS ID: no

(D.01.1921/2008)

TQ28306340

Parish:

Postal Code: SM5 3HU

**STANLEY PARK HIGH SCHOOL**

***Stanley Park High School***

Faber Maunsell London : Faber Maunsell, 2008, CD

*Work undertaken by:* Faber Maunsell

The site was located in an existing residential area and was relatively close to Wallington Town Centre. The site was currently occupied by a high school and an adult education centre. Past post-depositional impact would have a severe effect on the archaeological resource. [Au(adp)]

OASIS ID: no

## Wandsworth

(D.01.1922/2008)

TQ29707760

Parish: Battersea

Postal Code: SW8 5BH

**NAE NINE ELMS**

***Embassy of United States of America, NAE Nine Elms. Geotechnical, Environmental and Archaeological Desk Study***

Woolford, N London : Ove Arup & Partners Ltd, 2008, 81pp, colour pls, figs, tabs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* Ove Arup & Partners Ltd

An assessment was carried out in advance of proposals for a new US Embassy building at the site. The assessment determined that there was some potential for archaeological remains, particularly from the medieval and prehistoric periods, to be found. A programme of mitigation was recommended. [Au(adp)]

OASIS ID: no

(D.01.1923/2008)

TQ25307420

Parish: Claygate

Postal Code: SW185SY

**COCKPEN HOUSE, 20 - 30 BUCKHOLD ROAD, WANDSWORTH**

***Cockpen House, 20 - 30 Buckhold Road Wandsworth. Environmental Statement Volume 1: Main Text***

Waterman London : Waterman, 2008, 309pp, colour pls, figs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* Museum of London Archaeology Service, Montagu Evans

The site was located in an Archaeological Priority Area designated because of the potential remains associated with occupation of the River Wandle valley during prehistoric times. The site remained as undeveloped agricultural land until the late-1940s. There were no basements associated with the works and therefore there was a high potential for prehistoric

archaeological remains. There was a low potential for late medieval and post-medieval remains. [Au(abr)]

OASIS ID: no

## North East Darlington

### Darlington UA

(D.55.1924/2008)

NZ26001900

Parish: Coatham Mundeville

Postal Code: DL2 2XY

#### **HUMBLETON FARM**

#### ***Humbleton Farm Environmental Statement. Non-Technical Summary, Volume 1***

Davies, H & Walton, D Derby : WYG Environment Planning Transport, Report: E09656-1 2008, 20pp, colour pls, figs, tabs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* WYG Environment Planning Transport

The proposed site located at Humbleton Farm was subject to archaeological study inclusive of historical map review. It was noted that only one site of archaeological note was located within the redline boundary. This site, suggested to be a prehistoric ring ditch, was situated within the south of the site. No other archaeological sites had been identified within the site. Because of the scarcity of archaeological finds and the potential risk to development of archaeological disruption from hitherto unknown archaeological deposits a scheme of evaluating fieldwork was proposed to act as a check prior to development. [Au(abr)]

OASIS ID: no

## Durham

### Wear Valley

(D.20.1925/2008)

NY95503850

Parish: Stanhope

Postal Code: DL132LQ

#### **WEARDALE WORKS & QUARRIES AND OTHER LAND AT EASTGATE**

#### ***Proposal for Renewable Energy Village and Outdoor Recreation, Learning and Attractions at Weardale Works & Quarries and Other Lafarge Land at Eastgate, County Durham***

Salmon, P Durham : Weardale Task Force, 2008, 39pp, figs, tabs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* Weardale Task Force, Durham County Council's Archaeology Section

Ludwell farm contained a group of three Listed Buildings including a house, a former house and byre and a barn range. The principal setting of these buildings was defined by a stone wall-enclosing pasture fields which immediately adjoined the farmyard. No physical effects on identified features of cultural heritage within the site were anticipated. [Au(abr)]

*SMR primary record number:* 18982

*Archaeological periods represented:* PM

OASIS ID: no

## Former County of Tyne & Wear

### Gateshead

(D.05.1926/2008)

NZ25706190

Parish: Lamesley

Postal Code: NE8 4JA

**GATESHEAD COLLEGE, DURHAM ROAD**

***Detailed Planning Application for the Redevelopment of the Gateshead College Site, Nathaniel Lichfield and Partners. Environmental Impact Assessment Volume 1. Non-technical Summary***

Nathaniel Lichfield and Partners Newcastle upon Tyne : Nathaniel Lichfield and Partners, 2008, 18pp, colour pls, figs, tabs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* Nathaniel Lichfield and Partners

A cultural heritage assessment did not seem to be included as part of the wider Environmental Impact Assessment relating to the proposed development of the site. [AIP]

OASIS ID: no

**North Tyneside**

(D.05.1927/2008)

NZ24407380

Parish: Brunswick

Postal Code: NE136EJ

**SEATON BURN RECREATION GROUND**

***Seaton Burn Recreation Ground. Environmental Impact Assessment Volume I. Non-technical Summary***

Nathaniel Lichfield and Partners Newcastle Upon Tyne : Nathaniel Lichfield and Partners, 2008, 32pp, colour pls, figs, tabs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* Nathaniel Lichfield and Partners

An assessment was carried out to establish the potential for archaeological remains that may be affected by the development. There was extensive evidence for the occupation of the area around the site in the later prehistoric and Roman period, but there was no direct evidence for occupation within the site itself. Medieval ridge and furrow was present over the existing cricket ground, and fields to the north of the site. The site was not developed in the post-medieval period, however, part of it was developed as a sports ground in the 20th century. Previously undiscovered archaeological remains may have been impacted by groundworks relating to the development. Geophysical, earthwork surveys and a programme of archaeological trial trenching was recommended. [Au(abr)]

OASIS ID: no

**South Tyneside**

(D.05.1928/2008)

NZ38486467

Parish: Harton

Postal Code: NE346PB

**LAND AT HARTON PRIMARY SCHOOL, HARTON, SOUTH SHIELDS**

***Preliminary Appraisal [Desk Top Study] for Land at Harton Primary School, Harton, South Shields***

Sirius Geotechnical and Environmental Ltd Durham : Sirius Geotechnical and Environmental Ltd, Report: C3102 2008, 82pp, colour pls, figs, tabs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* Sirius Geotechnical and Environmental Ltd, AOC Archaeology Group

The main primary school buildings were one and two storeys in height. A smaller flat roofed single storey building was believed to be the school kitchen. The majority of the site comprised grassed areas and playing fields. Several air raid shelters constructed together with the school during site completion in 1939 were noted. [Au(adp)]

SMR primary record number: 2008/201  
Archaeological periods represented: MO  
OASIS ID: no

## Tynedale

(D.35.1930/2008)

NZ01408270

Parish: Kirkwhelpington

Postal Code: NE192PE

### **KIRKHARLE WIND FARM**

#### ***Kirkharle Wind Farm. Volume 1 Non- technical Summary***

Ove Arup & Partners Ltd Solihull : Ove Arup & Partners Ltd, 2008, 16pp, colour pls, figs, tabs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* Ove Arup & Partners Ltd

A number of features of local archaeological importance, were found within the site, although none of these would be affected by the proposed turbine locations. While there remained some potential for construction to affect unknown buried remains, the potential for the site to host remains of national or regional importance was considered low. The area surrounding the wind farm area was rich in archaeological and cultural heritage resources, although the visual effect of the wind farm was not expected to result in any significant effects on these. None of the nine Scheduled Ancient Monuments within 2 km of the site would be significantly affected. The wind farm was expected to significantly affect Kirkharle Hall, a Grade II Registered Park and Garden which lay 2.3 km from the site. The wind farm's proximity with Kirkharle Hall would cause it to be visible from the Hall, and therefore affect views from the setting of the Hall. Of the four Conservation Areas within 5 km of the proposed wind farm, only Great Bavington Conservation Area was expected to be significantly affected, while the wind farm at Kirkheaton was already visible from the Conservation Area, the proposed turbines would constitute an extensive change in the Conservation Area's setting. The Church of St. Aiden at Thockrington was a Grade II Listed Building and the only Listed Building that was expected to be significantly affected by the scheme. Although it was located over 3 km from the nearest turbine, the church occupied a prominent elevated position, and was a prominent local feature. The Church of St. Aiden and Great Bavington Conservation Area were considered to receive potentially significant impacts upon their setting. Mitigation for visual effects on cultural heritage and archaeological resources was considered and the reduction from an initial 50MW scheme to the proposed eight turbine scheme. The eight turbines had further been located to reduce the probability of direct effects on buried archaeological remains through situating turbines away from concentrations of known features. However, it was possible that unknown features may be present and could be affected and implementation of an agreed programme of archaeological work before and during construction would result in the preservation by record of any remains present. [Au(abr)]

OASIS ID: no

(D.35.1931/2008)

NY95046476

Parish: Sandhoe

### **PROPOSED CHIPBOARD PROCESSING FACTORY, HEXHAM**

#### ***Proposed Chipboard Processing Facility, Hexham. Environmental Statement Non-Technical Summary***

Egger [UK] Ltd. Hexham : Egger [UK] Ltd., 2008, 10pp, colour pls, figs, tabs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* Egger [UK] Ltd.

An Environmental Impact Assessment was carried out relating to the proposed construction of a chipboard processing plant at the site. The study identified a number of sites of cultural significance within the surrounding area. No sites were identified within or immediately



adjacent to the proposed development site. No direct significant archaeological impacts were anticipated on any of the sites as a result of the proposed development. An indirect visual impact was predicted at eleven sites within 1km of the site, and at six key receptors within the wider landscape. The effects were considered to be insignificant at all locations. [Au(abr)]

OASIS ID:

## Redcar & Cleveland

### Redcar and Cleveland UA

(D.76.1932/2008)

NZ55502320

Parish: Guisborough

Postal Code: TS6 6UD

#### **TEES RENEWABLE ENERGY PLANT**

##### ***Tees Renewable Energy Plant. Non-technical Summary***

PB Power Newcastle upon Tyne : PB Power, 2008, 28pp, colour pls, figs, tabs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* PB Power

An Environmental Impact Assessment was carried out. No statutorily protected remains were known to have existed on the site. There was thought to be some potential for palaeoenvironmental and archaeological remains, however, these were predicted to be of recent date and of negligible importance. This was due to the nature of the reclaimed ground that the site was situated on. [Au(abr)]

OASIS ID: no

## North West Cheshire

### Congleton

(D.13.1933/2008)

SJ82806220

Parish: Newbold Astbury

Postal Code: CW124HW

#### **BENT FARM QUARRY**

##### ***The Proposed Extension to Silica Sand Extraction Operations at Bent Farm Quarry with Progressive Restoration. Land at Brownlow Farm, Brownlow, Cheshire***

WBB Minerals Sandbach : WBB Minerals, 2008, 20pp, colour pls, figs, tabs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* WBB Minerals

The study area lay within a landscape that retained evidence relating to prehistoric, Roman and medieval periods. This evidence consisted largely of isolated stray finds, including stone tools and burials. Most of this seemed to be concentrated to the north and east of the proposed development and beyond the site boundary. The most significant settlement evidence related to Roman occupation, and comprised the possible Roman fort at Bent Farm, outside the proposed extension site. This was a Scheduled Ancient Monument, and although little excavation had taken place, evidence for substantial enclosure ditches and internal structures had been found. The study area also contained several Listed Buildings and a Conservation Area at Newbold Astbury, but none of these would be affected by the proposed extraction site. If required, suitable mitigation measures would be implemented to protect the archaeological resource. [Au(abr)]

OASIS ID: no

## Cumbria

## Carlisle

(D.16.1934/2008)

NY36506360

Parish: Rockcliffe

Postal Code: CA6 4HD

### HESPIN WOOD RESOURCE PARK

*Hespin Wood Resource Park. Proposed Waste Management Facility for Shanks Waste Management Ltd, Cumbria. Environmental Statement Volume 2*

Enongene, G & Roberts, H Leeds : Mouchel, 2008, 82pp, colour pls, figs, tabs, refs  
*Work undertaken by:* Mouchel

The assessment confirmed a lack of recorded or designated archaeological features on site. However, there was thought to be a low potential for previously unrecorded remains to be present. Provision was made for any remains to be preserved by record. [Au(abr)]

OASIS ID: no

## South Lakeland

(D.16.1935/2008)

SD56708840

Parish: Old Hutton and Holmescales

Postal Code: LA8 0NJ

### BANKS DEVELOPMENTS, ARMISTEAD WIND FARM

*Banks Developments, Armistead Wind Farm. Volume . Environmental Statement. Chapter 10*

Entec UK Ltd. Gosforth : Entec UK Ltd., 2008, 36pp, figs, refs  
*Work undertaken by:* Entec UK Ltd.

An Environmental Impact Assessment found there were no designated cultural heritage features within the site. There were four Grade II Listed boundary milestones within the study area. Other Scheduled Monuments were within a 5km study area. Most of the site appeared to have been in agricultural use since the medieval period, although the absence of ploughing within the majority of the site was such that little damage to any underlying deposits was unlikely. [Au(adp)]

OASIS ID: no

## Greater Manchester Area

## Bury

(D.02.1936/2008)

SD80501150

Parish: North Turton

Postal Code: BL9 5BH

### FERNHILL, BURY

*Redevelopment of Household Waste Recycling Centre, Transfer Station and Green Waste Processing Facility, Fernhill, Bury. Environmental Statement Non-technical Summary*

Wardell Armstrong Stoke on Trent : Wardell Armstrong, 2008, 358pp, colour pls, figs, tabs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* Wardell Armstrong

It was found that the site did not contain any statutorily protected sites or monuments or Listed Buildings, and was not located within a Conservation Area. No archaeological remains were thought to be impacted by the development, and no mitigation was considered necessary. [Au(abr)]

OASIS ID: no

**Manchester**

(D.02.1937/2008)

SJ83709660

Parish: Carrington

Postal Code: M15 5HD

**DEANSGATE*****Deansgate, Manchester: Environmental Impact Assessment: Volume 1 - Text and Figures***

WSP Environmental Ltd. Manchester : WSP Environmental Ltd., 2008, 114pp, colour pls, figs, tabs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* WSP Environmental Ltd.

The site lay by the River Irwell below the Cathedral Church of St. Mary, although the Cathedral did not form part of the application site. The high ground and naturally defensible position led to speculation about late prehistoric settlement at this location, although there was no firm evidence for this and the first major phase of recorded settlement near to the site was in the medieval period following the Norman Conquest. At this time a church, a market and annual fair were established. The sites first land use was as burgage plots, over time, buildings grew up along the lines of the original plots and housed mixed- use manufacturing and commercial premises into the early 20th century. There was a low archaeological potential for remains of prehistoric date. Romano-British and Anglo-Saxon remains were also not expected to be found. There was the possibility that isolated pockets of medieval, through to industrial remains may be found preserved beneath the site. This was likely to be the foundations of earlier buildings which stood in this area. This would be of low (local) to moderate (regional) importance if present. These pockets were likely to be concentrated along the waterfront of the River Irwell and in the south-east of the site. A scheme of archaeological investigation was therefore required during key construction activities along with recording if archaeological remains were discovered. [Au(abr)]

OASIS ID: no

(D.02.1938/2008)

SJ81509370

Parish:

Postal Code: M21 1EB

**HIGH LANE SERVICE RESERVOIR*****High Lane Service Reservoir: Environmental Statement***

Entec UK Ltd. Gosforth : Entec UK Ltd., 2008, 20pp, figs, tabs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* Entec UK Ltd.

There were no recorded archaeological features within the site and no evidence suggesting the presence of previously unrecorded sub-surface archaeology. Therefore, no effects on archaeology were expected to occur as a result of the proposed development. [Au(abr)]

OASIS ID: no

**Halton****Halton UA**

(D.58.1939/2008)

SJ52108520

Parish: Cuerdley

Postal Code: WA8 0FB

**THE MERSEY GATEWAY PROJECT*****The Mersey Gateway Project. Non-technical Summary***

The Mersey Gateway Widnes : The Mersey Gateway, 2008, 57pp, colour pls, figs, tabs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* The Mersey Gateway

An Environmental Impact Assessment was carried out in response to proposals for a new road crossing of the Mersey Estuary. It was anticipated that the operation of the project would have a positive impact on the SJB [a protected building], but a negative effect on some of the listed buildings and conservation areas in the borough. It was impossible to minimise this impact, due to the nature of the bridge development. On balance, it was thought that the majority of residual effects of the project on cultural heritage features would be of no significance or low negative significance. [Au(abr)]

OASIS ID: no

## Lancashire

### South Ribble

(D.30.1940/2008)

SD51502840

Parish: Penwortham

Postal Code: PR1 0HU

**PENWORTHAM MILLS*****Penwortham Mills. Environmental Statement***

Bovis Homes Manchester : Bovis Homes, 2008, 284pp, colour pls, figs, tabs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* Bovis Homes

An Environmental Impact Assessment was carried out in response to proposals to develop mixed-use housing and commercial floor space. There were remnants of early industrial archaeology on the site, including a late-18th century mill and a tramway. These were not thought to have been of significant importance to constrain development, and mitigation measures to record these and any other archaeological remains were outlined. [Au(abr)]

OASIS ID: no

## Merseyside Area

### St. Helens

(D.03.1941/2008)

SJ58609670

Parish: Seneley Green

Postal Code: WA120AQ

**PARKSIDE STRATEGIC RAIL FREIGHT INTERCHANGE*****Parkside Strategic Rail Freight Interchange, Volume 5 Supplementary Environmental Statement. Non-technical Summary***

Astral Developments Solihull : Astral Developments, 2008, 41pp, colour pls, figs, tabs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* Astral Developments

Within the proposed development area, a number of sites of archaeological potential were identified, including the remains of medieval parkland and the Scheduled St. Oswald's Well. Mitigation proposals would ensure that this monument would benefit from the development. There was a high potential for prehistoric remains to be found within the development area, in areas that had been unaffected by colliery activity. Significant Bronze Age activity in the region may point to remains of this date. The proposals would result in a loss of some archaeological remains of local or regional importance. [Au(abr)]

OASIS ID: no

## MULTI-COUNTY RECORD

### Bury, Knowsley

(D.50.1942/2008)

SD81901230, SJ46209340

Parish: Knowsley, North Turton

Postal Code: L34 4AN, BL9 6JP

**WEST EAST LINK MAIN*****West East Link Main: Environmental Statement: Volume 1***

Healey, C High Wycombe : MWH Ltd, 2008, 36pp, colour pls, figs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* MWH Ltd, Oxford Archaeology North, United Utilities

A rapid archaeological desk-based assessment and walkover survey was conducted along the pipeline route, incorporating a 250m corridor either side of the proposed alignment. The assessment identified that the proposed pipeline route did not affect any Scheduled Ancient Monuments, Listed Buildings or Conservation Areas, additionally there are no recorded Battlefields in the area. However, it was established there was one registered Historic Park and Garden (Knowsley Hall), which is in relatively close proximity to the east of the proposed pipeline route. The desk-based assessment did not identify any regionally important sites within the study corridor and the archaeological potential of the proposed pipeline route was found to be minimal. [Au(abr)]

OASIS ID: no

### Wealden

(D.21.1945/2008)

TQ57500490

Parish: Polegate

Postal Code: BN265RX

**SOUTH WESTERN AREA, POLEGATE*****Archaeological Desk Based Assessment South Western Area Polegate East Sussex***

Chadwick, P &amp; Dicks, S London : CgMs, 2008, 36pp, figs, tabs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* CgMs

The desk-based assessment established that there were no scheduled monuments or other archaeological sites with statutory protection on or near the site. However, the site was crossed by a Roman road. The remainder of the site was considered to have a moderate potential for prehistoric lithics and sub surface features of a medieval date. [Au(abr)]

*Archaeological periods represented:* RO

OASIS ID: no

## Hampshire

### New Forest

(D.24.1947/2008)

SZ34009450

Parish: Lymington and Pennington

Postal Code: SO413QD

**LYMINGTON HARBOUR PROTECTION*****Lymington Harbour Protection. Environmental Statement. Non-technical Summary***

Black &amp; Veatch Redhill : Black &amp; Veatch, 2008, 294pp, pls, figs, tabs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* Black & Veatch, Wessex Archaeology

The proposed eastern breakwater would impact any archaeology within and directly below the alluvial clay for an area of 27.5m x 495m. The western breakwater would impact any archaeology within the upper reaches of the alluvial clay within a 30m wide and 470m long swath. There was the potential for the presence of maritime remains and stratified finds of prehistoric and through to later periods. There was a potential for Roman, Saxon and medieval salt working sites within the eastern end of the East Breakwater. A "Boat Graveyard" was the only site of interest observed in a walkover survey. [Au(adp)]

OASIS ID: no

## Isle of Wight

### Isle of Wight UA

(D.28.1948/2008)

SZ44008410

Parish: Brighstone

Postal Code: PO304AY

#### **CHEVERTON DOWN WIND FARM**

##### ***Cheverton Down Wind Farm. Environmental Statement. Volume 1***

IDA Design Moira : IDA Design, 2008, 100pp, pls, figs, tabs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* IDA Design

An environmental impact assessment found that there would need to be some form of mitigation excavation due to known archaeological resources in the area. The settings of six known Scheduled Ancient Monuments would be altered but no direct impact will likely be made. [Au(adp)]

OASIS ID: no

(D.28.1949/2008)

SZ50109170

Parish: East Cowes

Postal Code: PO305TS

#### **WEST MEDINA MILLS**

##### ***West Medina Mills. Environmental Statement***

Campbell Reith London : Campbell Reith, 2008, 311pp, colour pls, figs, tabs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* Campbell Reith

The proposed development was predicted to cause adverse affects to any previously undiscovered archaeological remains where site levels would be reduced, and piling undertaken. Any Listed Buildings in the vicinity would have their settings impacted during construction works. However, post-construction, there would be a limited impact on such remains. [Au(abr)]

OASIS ID: no

(D.29.1954/2008)

TR06402480

Parish:

Postal Code: TN288BY

#### **A259 NEW ROMNEY BYPASS**

##### ***A259 New Romney Bypass Environmental Statement Volume 2: 5 Archaeological Desk Study***

Eddison, J London : Highways Agency, 2008, 8pp, figs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* Highways Agency, Romney Marsh Research Trust

In prehistoric and possibly Roman times the Romney area developed in the shelter of a massive shingle beach. North of New Romney was an area whose land surface appeared to have developed behind the barrier with creeks draining toward a lagoon in the centre of Romney Marsh. This land surface was crossed by 2 km of the proposed new road. It was possible that an Iron Age/Early Roman land surface existed below this surface. [Au]

OASIS ID: no

## Medway Towns

### Gravesham, Medway UA

(D.64.1958/2008)

TQ70006940, TQ80106280

Parish: Bredhurst, Cobham

Postal Code: ME2 3UB, ME8 0LD

#### **M2 JUNCTIONS 1 TO 4 WIDENING**

***Highways Agency. Volume 1: Environmental Statement M2 Junctions 1 to 4 Widening***

Highways Agency London : Highways Agency, 1994, 254pp, pls, figs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* Highways Agency

A low bank, which was part of the boundary or park pale of Cobham Park, was historically an integral part of the park, but it was already substantially damaged. A ditch and mound in the park was a designated Scheduled Ancient Monument. Brewers Gate, the original north entrance to the park was demolished in 1980 and no traces survived. Dickens Chalet which was located in the park had already been relocated to Rochester. An excavation of a section of the bank was to be undertaken before the construction began as a mitigation measure. This was to record the banks profile, structure and dateable evidence. The only impacts of known archaeology was in this study area. A 20m section of bank was to be lost, but was of low archaeological potential and the impact was said to be slight. No other archaeology was thought to be affected. [Au(adp)]

OASIS ID: no

## Oxfordshire

### Vale of White Horse

(D.38.1959/2008)

SP41000100

Parish: Kingston Bagpuize with Southmoor

Postal Code: OX295SY

#### **LAND AT STONEHENGE FARM, NORTHMOOR**

***Proposed Sand and Gravel Extraction from Land at Stonehenge Farm, Northmoor, Oxfordshire. Environmental Statement Part 1[Non-technical Summary]***

Edwards, J Cheltenham : Corylus Planning & Environmental Ltd., 2008, 31pp, colour pls, figs, tabs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* Corylus Planning & Environmental Ltd.

An Environmental Impact Assessment was carried out at Stonehenge Farm. Archaeological evaluations through machine-dug trenches had been carried out in or near the development area in 1988, 1992 and 2004. The proposed extraction site lay in an area of known archaeological remains. These had been recognised mainly as cropmarks, and the most significant [Scheduled Monument] was believed to represent the remains of a Roman settlement with origins in the Iron Age. The assessment identified eight cultural heritage receptors upon which the proposed development may have had an impact. Effects varied, with the greatest impact due to direct removal of features in advance of gravel extraction. In considering cumulative effects, the assessment noted that the potential of the cultural heritage resource in this case was not exceptional. In addition, the investigation and recording of the archaeological remains which would occur in association with the proposed

development would contribute to existing knowledge of settlement in the area. Mitigation proposals relating to buried archaeological remains and Scheduled Monument Consent, were to be implemented as part of the development process. [Au(abr)]

OASIS ID: no

(D.38.1960/2008)

SP45300060

Parish: Marcham

Postal Code: OX135QE

**UPWOOD PARK, BESSELSLEIGH, OXFORD**

***Upwood Park, Besselsleigh, Oxford. Non-Technical Summary and Environmental Statement, Volume 1***

Land & Mineral Management Ltd. Frome : Land & Mineral Management Ltd., 2008, 69pp, colour pls, figs, tabs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* Land & Mineral Management Ltd.

A "desk-top" assessment of the archaeological potential in the site concluded that the site was located within an area of general archaeological interest, with prehistoric finds from the site itself and known medieval evidence nearby. The potential of the site to contain archaeological deposits was moderate to high but the possibility of deposits of national importance being present was low. [Au(adp)]

OASIS ID: no

## West Oxfordshire

(D.38.1961/2008)

SP35800980

Parish: Witney

Postal Code: OX286FJ

**COGGES LINK ROAD**

***Cogges Link Road. Environmental Statement Non-technical Summary***

Jacobs Glasgow : Jacobs, 2008, 7pp, colour pls, figs, tabs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* Jacobs

An environmental statement was carried out in response to proposals to build a new link road around the south-eastern edge of Witney. Areas of high archaeological potential that were to be crossed would be subject to survey and excavation, with works being supervised by an archaeologist where necessary. [Au(abr)]

OASIS ID: no

## Southampton

### Southampton UA

(D.80.1962/2008)

SU43901050

Parish: Hound

Postal Code: SO199EP

**CENTENARY QUAY, WOOLSTON, SOUTHAMPTON**

***Centenary Quay, Woolston, Southampton. Environmental Statement Volume 1. Non-technical Summary***

Palmer Johnson Hythe : Palmer Johnson, 2008, 10pp, colour pls, figs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* Palmer Johnson



An Environmental Impact Assessment was carried out in advance of the redevelopment of the former Vosper Thorneycroft shipyard. The site had been previously developed and it was expected that the potential for unknown archaeological features was limited. Notwithstanding this, a series of intrusive investigations were to be undertaken to record deposits. Following this, it was unlikely that there would be any significant effect on the archaeological resource. [Au(abr)]

OASIS ID: no

## Surrey

### Mole Valley

(D.43.1963/2008)

TQ18804880

Parish: Brockham

Postal Code: RH3 7AX

#### **HOLMWOOD, A1 WELL SITE**

##### ***Holmwood, A1 Well Site, Surrey. Non-technical Summary***

Barton Willmore London : Barton Willmore, 2008, 9pp, colour pls, figs, tabs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* Barton Willmore

An Environmental Impact Assessment was carried out relating to proposals to construct a temporary drilling in order to evaluate the presence of oil or gas. No known archaeological remains were identified within the study area. Any remains that had existed were thought to have been severely impacted by logging activities. The risk of effects on the unknown archaeological resource was very low, therefore no further investigations were thought to be necessary. [Au(abr)]

OASIS ID: no

### Runnymede

(D.43.1964/2008)

TQ00506650

Parish: Chobham

Postal Code: GU254ED

#### **TRUMPS FARM ENERGY-FROM-WASTE FACILITY**

##### ***Trumps Farm Energy-from-Waste Facility. Non-technical Summary***

Barton Willmore London : Barton Willmore, 2008, 9pp, colour pls, figs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* Barton Willmore

The proposed works were not assessed to have any physical or visual impact on any statutorily protected heritage assets. It was considered that any potential that the site may have possessed was likely to have been lost, due to recent land use regimes. Archaeological monitoring of groundworks was recommended to mitigate against the possibility of any unforeseen remains being found during groundworks. [Au(abr)]

OASIS ID: no

## Cornwall

### Caradon

(D.15.1967/2008)

SX42507050

Parish: Calstock

Postal Code: PL189AF

#### **DRAKEWALLS MINE, ST. ANNE'S CHAPEL, CORNWALL**

***Drakewalls Mine, St. Anne's Chapel, Cornwall. Impact Assessment Report***

Buck, CTruro : Historic Environment Service Projects. Cornwall County Council, Report: 2008R030 2008, 42pp, colour pls, figs, tabs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* Historic Environment Service Projects. Cornwall County Council

The site comprised a number of building relating to mine workings, including a fully extant engine house and chimney, a boiler house and a walled dressing floor compound. Some rebuilding and remodelling of the masonry was thought to be necessary. Impart recommendations included a programme of historic buildings consultancy before works started. [Au(adp)]

OASIS ID: no

**Restormel**

(D.15.1969/2008)

SW87276030

Parish: Colan

Postal Code: TR8 4LN

**LAND AT TRELOGGAN ROAD, NEWQUAY*****Land at Treloggan Road, Newquay, Cornwall. Environmental Statement, Archaeological Assessment***

Sturgess, J Truro : Historic Environment Service Projects. Cornwall County Council, Report: 2008R013 2008, 49pp, colour pls, figs, tabs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* Historic Environment Service Projects. Cornwall County Council

The proposed development would affect an area whose historic character was wholly "Anciently Enclosed Land", but did not lay within any areas of statutory historic designations. There was thought to be potential for prehistoric and medieval remains, especially medieval field systems. In addition, the surrounding land contained a number of rounds or enclosures indicative of prehistoric settlement, as well as nearby Bronze Age barrow sites. A total of 20 archaeological sites were identified within the vicinity of the proposed development area, the most at risk being a medieval field system, the site of a spring, a possible well and water course, one standing building and two sites of former buildings. [Au(adp)]

OASIS ID: no

**North Somerset****North Somerset UA**

(D.69.1974/2008)

ST31956148

Parish: Weston-Super-Mare

**TROPICANA, WESTON-SUPER-MARE*****Tropicana, Weston-super-Mare. Non-Technical Summary***

RPS Consultants London : RPS Consultants, 2008, 26pp, colour pls, figs, tabs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* RPS Consultants

An Environmental Impact Assessment was carried out in response for proposals to redevelop the former Tropicana Pool at Weston-super-Mare into a new leisure destination. The proposed scheme entailed the complete development of the site, with clearance and removal of much of the existing Tropicana structure, to be replaced with a steel, concrete, glass and wood structure that contains a water park, cinema, bowling alley, hotel, and various retail outlets. It will provide lower ground parking, and would be built on around 950 piles. Due to the proximity of a Listed Building [shelter] to the immediate north of the Tropicana site, it was proposed that this structure would be dismantled and re-located along the sea defence some distance to the south of the Tropicana. The assessment made a number of recommendations

in order to avoid, minimise, or offset any significant adverse impacts on the historic environment. These included ensuring the Listed shelter was relocated appropriately and sensitively, and that boreholes were undertaken to sample the peat deposit underneath the site, and analysis undertaken of the peat to provide archaeological and palaeoenvironmental information with respect to the study area. [Au(abr)]

OASIS ID:

## Somerset

### West Somerset

(D.40.1975/2008)

ST20004580

Parish: Stogursey

Postal Code: TA5 1TP

#### **LAND TO THE WEST OF HINKLEY POINT**

#### ***Archaeological Impact Assessment of Geotechnical Investigations: Land to the West of Hinkley Point, Somerset***

Blockley, K Llanidloes : Cambrian Archaeological Projects Ltd., Report: 521 2008, 22pp, colour pls, figs, tabs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* Cambrian Archaeological Projects Ltd.

The main scope and objectives of the archaeological assessment were to reveal by desk-based study, the nature, significance and, where possible, the chronology of the archaeology within the area of the proposed development. The archaeological assessment of the geotechnical proposals revealed that the boreholes would cause no significant damage to the archaeological sites, but that the test pitting may cause some damage. This was assessed as negligible for 26 sites and negligible/minor for 9 sites. Mitigation in the form of an archaeological watching brief was suggested for the test pitting. [Au(abr)]

OASIS ID: cambrian1-63936

## West Midlands Birmingham Area

### Sandwell

(D.06.1977/2008)

SO98509550

Parish: Essington

Postal Code: WS109PQ

#### **PIKEHELVE ECO PARK**

#### ***Pikehelve Eco Park. Environmental Statement Non-technical Summary***

Atkins Heritage London : Atkins Heritage, 2008, 21pp, colour pls, figs, tabs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* Atkins Heritage

Consultation with the Sandwell borough archaeologist confirmed that the existing structures on the site, and those that preceded them, would have removed potential buried remains of any importance. In addition, the setting of buildings within the study area would not be adversely affected by the proposed development. There was not thought to be any requirement to carry out further assessment. [Au(abr)]

OASIS ID: no

## Herefordshire

### County of Herefordshire

(D.60.1978/2008)

SO51504050

Parish: Hereford

Postal Code: HR1 1AA

**HEREFORD LIVESTOCK MARKET RELOCATION*****Hereford Livestock Market Relocation. Environmental Statement***

Amey PLC Oxford : Amey PLC, 2008, 290pp, colour pls, figs, tabs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* Amey PLC

The Hereford Livestock Market was located within an area of many potentially significant archaeological features. Following the provision of mitigation measures, the impact on the Roman road was thought to be minor adverse. Where the mitigation work improved the archaeological understanding, this may have been changed to a minor beneficial impact. [Au(abr)]

OASIS ID: no

## Shropshire

### Bridgnorth

(D.39.1979/2008)

SJ66900100

Parish: Barrow

Postal Code: TF125BP

**CAUGHLEY QUARRY*****Caughley Quarry: Volume 2: Environmental Statement***

Brosley Fireclay Ltd Newport : Brosley Fireclay Ltd, 2008, 20pp, figs

*Work undertaken by:* Brosley Fireclay Ltd

An assessment found that there was no above ground evidence of earlier activities. However, an appropriate response might be a watching brief. Any impact on the Grade II Listed Inett Farmhouse would be minimal, the buildings were reconstructed within an industrial rather than agricultural landscape. [Au(adp)]

OASIS ID: no

### Shrewsbury and Atcham

(D.39.1980/2008)

SJ49580778

Parish: Bayston Hill

**CONDOVER QUARRY NORTHERN EXTENSION*****Condover Quarry Northern Extension. Environmental Statement Non-Technical Summary and Mitigation Strategy***

Hanson Maidenhead : Hanson, 2008, 60pp, colour pls, figs, tabs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* Hanson

On the basis of available evidence, the application area was assumed to have a low potential for the recovery of archaeological remains. The SMR did not record any archaeological sites or historic landscape features within the boundaries of the application area. Prehistoric evidence was present within the wider region, but was slight. The skeletons of four mammoths dating to c.13,000 BP were excavated from an organic-rich environment on the existing quarry, but were not associated with any artefacts. A Neolithic settlement was recorded at Sharpstone Hill, c.1.5km to the north of the proposed extension and the ploughed-down remains of a Bronze Age burial barrow was recorded in a field to the west of Bayston Farm. Two Iron Age hillforts were also recorded within 1 km of the site, although it was possible that the earthworks recorded to the east of Bomere Pool were of geological

origin. Within the wider landscape there were a number of records of Roman and Saxon activity. The proposed extension lay adjacent to the Domesday Manor of Begestan, which was believed to be the site of the extant Bayston Farm. The evaluation of Condover Quarry undertaken in 1996 stated that "it was possible that ploughed-flat settlement traces might be expected to survive to the south of the present farm", but no evidence for this is recorded. Cartographic regression of the application area showed that it had changed little over the centuries. Aside from the creation of the quarry the surrounding landscape appeared almost unchanged since the mid 19th century. It was considered that the archaeological potential of the site could be adequately mitigated for by the implementation of an archaeological watching brief at the commencement of soil stripping operations. [Au(abr)]

OASIS ID:

## Staffordshire

(D.41.1981/2008)

SK00785903

### **ANZIO CAMP, LEEK**

#### ***Environmental Impact Assessment Non Technical Summary. Anzio Camp, Leek, Staffordshire***

RPS Consultants Manchester : RPS Consultants, Report: AC3/J 2008, 15pp, colour pls, figs, tabs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* RPS Consultants

Proposals to develop a Continuing Care Retirement Community (CCRC) on the Anzio Camp site, comprising some 250 residential units, were put forward. A study of the archaeological and cultural heritage resource of the site established that it did not lie within or adjacent to a Conservation Area and did not contain any Listed Buildings or Scheduled Ancient Monuments. The closest statutorily protected feature was a cast iron milestone located on the A53 road immediately adjacent to the proposed development area. The proposed development would have little or no effect on this feature or its setting. No registered parks and gardens, historic battlefields or Conservation Areas, or their settings, would be affected by the proposed development. Similarly, no statutorily protected or registered feature or setting would be affected by the proposed development. [Au(abr)]

OASIS ID:

## Lichfield

(D.41.1982/2008)

SK12501250

Parish: Curborough and Elmhurst

Postal Code: WS138ER

### **CURBOROUGH**

#### ***Curborough. Environmental Statement. Non-technical Summary***

Curborough Consortium Lichfield : Curborough Consortium, 2008, 20pp, colour pls, figs, tabs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* Curborough Consortium

Assessment of the site did not locate any evidence for significant archaeological remains. Mitigation was suggested in the event that any archaeological remains were discovered during the course of the development. [Au(abr)]

OASIS ID: no

## Warwickshire

**Stratford-on-Avon**

(D.44.1983/2008)

SP41806300

Parish: Southam

Postal Code: CV330BG

**SOUTHAM QUARRY*****Landfilling and Restoration of Cell 3, Southam Quarry. Environmental Impact Assessment Non-technical Summary***

Ove Arup &amp; Partners Ltd Leeds : Ove Arup &amp; Partners Ltd, 2008, 6pp, colour pls, figs, tabs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* Ove Arup & Partners Ltd

Cultural heritage did not appear to have been assessed as part of this Environmental Impact Assessment. [AIP]

OASIS ID: no

(D.44.1984/2008)

SP40806120

Parish: Southam

Postal Code: CV330FG

**THE OLD CEMENT WORKS, SOUTHAM*****Planning Application & Environmental Statement. The Old Cement Works, Southam, Warwickshire***

Cemex Egham : Cemex, 2008, 314pp, colour pls, figs, tabs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* Cemex

The assessment identified potential for previously unknown archaeological remains to be found within the development area during intrusive groundworks. Appropriate mitigation was suggested. [Au(abr)]

OASIS ID: no

## Yorkshire & Humberside

### East Riding of Yorkshire

**Kingston-upon-Hull, City**

(D.57.1985/2008)

TA10152844, TA08902815

Parish: Kingston upon Hull Holy Trinity and St. Mary

Postal Code: HU1 1QR, HU3 2HR

**A63 CASTLE STREET*****A63 Castle Street. Detailed Cultural Heritage Assessment Stage 2***

Dennison, E Tadcaster : Golder Associates, 2008, 122pp, figs, tabs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* Golder Associates, Ed Dennison Archaeological Services Ltd.

A cultural heritage assessment found that some 44 sites would potentially be impacted upon by the least destructive of three proposed road schemes. All three underground options required the demolition of two Listed Buildings and the partial demolition of another, and would involve significant disturbance to the Holy Trinity Burial Ground. [Au(adp)]

OASIS ID: no

## Yorkshire & Humberside

## North Lincolnshire

### North Lincolnshire UA

(D.68.1986/2008)

SE75500050

Parish: Haxey

Postal Code: DN9 2JR

#### **COVE FARM QUARRY, NR. HAXEY**

*Cove Farm Quarry, Nr. Haxey, North Lincolnshire*

WBB Minerals Sandbach : WBB Minerals, 2008, 145pp, colour pls, figs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* WBB Minerals

Three archaeological projects within the boundaries of Cove Farm failed to produce evidence of archaeological activity. Fieldwalking produced only natural flints and 19th and 20th century pot and tile. A single fragment of worked flint of possible Mesolithic date was recovered. [Au(abr)]

*Archaeological periods represented:* ME, MO

OASIS ID: no

## Yorkshire & Humberside

(D.68.1987/2008)

SE91000390

Parish: Messingham

Postal Code: DN173RG

#### **MESSINGHAM QUARRY**

*Proposed Extension to Existing Silica Sand Extraction Operations with Progressive Restoration, Messingham Quarry, North Lincolnshire. Non-technical Summary*

WBB Minerals Sandbach : WBB Minerals, 2008, 18pp, colour pls, figs, tabs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* WBB Minerals

A desk-based assessment was carried out as part of the wider Environmental Impact Assessment, and found a total of 10 known archaeological remains located within the boundary of the proposed quarry extension area. These remains comprised cropmarks thought to correspond with Iron Age or Roman enclosures or boundaries, as well as medieval or post-medieval field boundaries and drainage features. An elongated mound of slag was also identified, and thought to have been of an early medieval date. Further remains were known from the surrounding area. Mitigation measures were suggested. [Au(abr)]

OASIS ID: no

## Yorkshire & Humberside

(D.68.1988/2008)

SE98860610

Parish: Scawby

Postal Code: DN209LU

#### **ENERGY PLANT AT BRIGG**

*Proposed Development of a Biomass Fuelled Renewable Energy Plant at Brigg, North Lincolnshire*

Axis Bretton : Axis, 2008, 248pp, colour pls, figs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* Pre-Construct Archaeology (Lincoln), Axis

It was concluded that the possibility of there being significant archaeological remains of any period on this site were negligible. There was, however, a SAM nearby. [Au(adp)]

OASIS ID: no