HEALTHINESS, THROUGH THE MATERIAL CULTURE OF LATE IRON AGE AND ROMAN LARGE URBAN-TYPE SETTLEMENTS OF SOUTH-EAST BRITAIN

TWO VOLUMES
VOLUME 2

ANGELA LOUISE TURNER-WILSON

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements of Bournemouth University for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Bournemouth University

October 2009

LIST OF CONTENTS

VOLUME 2

PART III

APPENDICES

		Pages
List of Tables		4
Appendix 1	Tables for Chapters 7 to 11	9
Appendix 2	Data-Set References	97
Appendix 3	Copy of Publication	120
Appendix 4	Copy of Access Database	131

PART III APPENDICES

APPENDIX 1 LIST OF TABLES

Tables	are co	lour	coded	28	foll	OWS
1 abics	are co.	ioui	coucu	as	TOH	UWS.

		Mirrors	
		Combs	
		Glass Unguent Containers	
		Bronze Cosmetic Grinders	
		Additional Toilet Items	
			Page
Sites			
Table 1		Frequency of Small Finds by Site	
	1-5(a)	Mirrors, Combs, Glass Unguent Containers, Bronze Cosmetic Grinders,	9
		Additional Toilet Items	
	5(b)	Additional Toilet Items (Specific)	10
Table 2		Cumulative Percentage Frequency of Small Finds by Site	
	1-5	Mirrors, Combs, Glass Unguent Containers, Bronze Cosmetic Grinders,	1
		Additional Toilet Items	
a , ,			
Context	S		
Table 3		Frequency of Small Finds by Context & Site	
		Mirrors, Combs Glass Unguent Containers, Bronze Cosmetic Grinders	12 13
		Additional Toilet Items	1.
		Additional Toilet Items (Specific)	1.5
Table 4		Multiple Depositions of Small Finds, by Site. Sub-Site, & Context	
	1-2	Mirrors, Combs	16
		Glass Unguent Containers, Bronze Cosmetic Grinders	17
	5	Additional Toilet Items	18
Table 5		Frequency of Small Finds by Context & Context Date	
		Mirrors, Combs	19
		Glass Unguent Containers, Bronze Cosmetic Grinders Additional Toilet Items	20 21
	3	Additional Tollet Renis	۷.
Associat	ed Sm	all Finds	
	cu om		
Table 6	1_2	Frequency of Small Finds, Associated one with another, by Context Mirrors, Combs	22
		Glass Unguent Containers, Bronze Cosmetic Grinders	23
		Additional Toilet Items	24
Table 7		Frequency of Additional Toilet Items, with Small Finds, by Context	
Table /		Mirrors, Combs	25
		Glass Unguent Containers, Bronze Cosmetic Grinders	26
Table 8		Multiple Depositions of Small Finds, and Frequency of Small Finds, by	
		Site, Sub-Site, and Context	
		Mirrors, Combs	27
		Glass Unguent Containers, Bronze Cosmetic Grinders	28
	5	Additional Toilet Items	29
A sseed of	ed No	a Toilet Finds	
	icu 1901	n-Toilet Finds	
Table 9		Number of Occasions Non-Toilet Finds were Associated with Small Finds, by Context	
	1-2	Mirrors, Combs	30
		Glass Unguent Containers, Bronze Cosmetic Grinders	31
	5	Additional Toilet Items	32

Associated	Human Skeletal Remains	
Table 10	Frequency of Human Skeletal Remains from One Body, Associated with Small Finds, by Gender and Site	
	1-2 Mirrors, Combs	33
	3-4 Glass Unguent Containers, Bronze Cosmetic Grinders	34
	5 Additional Toilet Items	35
Table 11	Frequency of Human Skeletal Remains from One Body, Associated with Small Finds, by Age and Site	
	1-2 Mirrors, Combs	36
	3-4 Glass Unguent Containers, Bronze Cosmetic Grinders	37
	5 Additional Toilet Items	38
Table 12	Frequency of Human Skeletal Remains from Two Bodies, Associated with Small Finds by Gender, Age, and Site	
	1-5 Mirrors, Combs, Glass Unguent Containers, Bronze Cosmetic Grinders,	39
	Additional Toilet Items	
Associated	Animal Skeletal Remains	
Table 13		
Table 15	Small Find Count and Associated Animal Skeletal Remains, by Site and Context	
	1-2 Mirrors, Combs	40
	3-4 Glass Unguent Containers, Bronze Cosmetic Grinders	41
	5 Additional Toilet Items	42
Material		
Table 14	Frequency of Small Finds, By Material and Sites	
	1-2 Mirrors, Combs	43
Table 15	Frequency of Small Finds, by Material and Context	
Table 13	1-2 Mirrors, Combs	44
	,	77
Table 16	Frequency of Small Finds, by Context and Completeness	
	1-4 Mirrors, Combs, Glass Unguent Containers, Bronze Cosmetic Grinders	45
Table 17	Multiple Depositions of Small Finds, by Material, Site and Context	
14010 17	1-2 Mirrors, Combs	46
Table 18	Frequency of Small Finds, by Material and Context Dates	
Table 10	1-2 Mirrors, Combs	47
	1 2 Millots, Colles	77
Form		
Table 19	Frequency of Small Finds, by Form and Site	
	-2, 4 Mirrors, Combs, and Bronze Cosmetic Grinders	48
Table 20	Frequency of Small Finds, by Form and Context	40
1-	-2, 4 Mirrors, Combs, and Bronze Cosmetic Grinders	49
Table 21	Multiple Depositions of Small Finds, by Form, Site, & Context	
	1-2 Mirrors, Combs	50
Table 22	Frequency of Small Finds, by Form & Material	
	1-2 Mirrors, Combs	51
T-L1 22		
Table 23	Frequency of Small Finds, by Form & Context Dates	50
1-	-2, 4 Mirrors, Combs, and Bronze Cosmetic Grinders	52

Types			
Table 24	1-2	Frequency of Small Finds, by Type and Site Mirrors, Combs	53
Table 25	1-2	Frequency of Small Finds, by Type and Context Mirrors, Combs	54
Table 26	1-2	Multiple Depositions of Small Finds, by Type, Site and Context Mirrors, Combs	55
Table 27	1-2	Frequency of Small Finds, by Type and Material Mirrors, Combs	56
Table 28	1-2	Frequency of Small Finds, by Type and Form Mirrors, Combs	57
Table 29	1-2	Frequency of Small Finds, by Type and Context Dates Mirrors, Combs	58
Zoomorph	ic T	ypes	
Table 30	1-2 3-4	,	59 60
Table 31		Frequency of Small Finds, by Zoomorphic Type and Context Mirrors, Combs Glass Unguent Containers, Bronze Cosmetic Grinders	61 62
Table 32	1-2	Frequency of Small Finds, by Zoomorphic Type and Material Mirrors, Combs	63
Table 33		Frequency of Small Finds, by Zoomorphic Type and Form Mirrors, Combs Bronze Cosmetic Grinders	64 65
Table 34	1-2	Frequency of Small Finds, by Zoomorphic Type and Small Find Type Mirrors, Combs	66
Table 35		Frequency of Small Finds, by Zoomorphic Type and Date Mirrors, Combs Glass Unguent Containers, Bronze Cosmetic Grinders	67 68
Coloured a	and	Colourless	
Table 36/3		Frequency of Small Finds: by Colour and Site; by Colour and Context Glass Unguent Containers	69
Table 38	3	Multiple Depositions of Small Finds, by Colour, Site, Sub-site and Context Glass Unguent Containers	70
Table 39/4	0	Frequency of Small Finds: by Colour and Zoomorphic Type;	
	3	by Colour and Date Glass Unguent Containers	71

Stamped		
Table 41	Frequency of Small Finds, Stamped and Site 2-3 Combs, Glass Unguent Containers	72
Table 42	Frequency of Small Finds, Stamped and Context 2-3 Combs, Glass Unguent Containers	73
Table 43/	/44/45 Frequency of Small Finds: Stamped and Material; Stamped and Form; Stamped and Type	
	2 Combs	74
Table 46	Frequency of Small Finds, Stamped and Zoomorphic Type 2-3 Combs, Glass Unguent Containers	75
Table 47	Frequency of Small Finds, Stamped and Coloured 3 Glass Unguent Containers	76
Table 48	Frequency of Small Finds, Stamped and Date 2-3 Combs, Glass Unguent Containers	77
Decoration	on.	
Table 49	Frequency of Small Finds, by Decoration and Site	
	1-2 Mirrors, Combs3-4 Glass Unguent Containers, Bronze Cosmetic Grinders	78 79
Table 50		
	1-2 Mirrors, Combs3-4 Glass Unguent Containers, Bronze Cosmetic Grinders	80 81
Table 51		
	1-2 Mirrors, Combs 3 Glass Unguent Containers	82 83
Table 52	Frequency of Small Finds, by Decoration and Material	03
Table 32	1-2 Mirrors, Combs	84
Table 53	Frequency of Small Finds, by Decoration and Form	
	1-2, 4 Mirrors, Combs, and Bronze Cosmetic Grinders	85
Table 54	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
	1-2 Mirrors, Combs	86
Table 55	1 1 1	07
	1-2 Mirrors, Combs3-4 Glass Unguent Containers, Bronze Cosmetic Grinders	87 88
Table 56	Frequency of Small Finds, by Decoration and Colour	
Table 50	3 Glass Unguent Containers	89
Table 57	Frequency of Small Finds, by Decoration and Stamp 2-3 Combs, Glass Unguent Containers	90
Table 58		
	1-2 Mirrors, Combs	91
	3-4 Glass Unquent Containers Bronze Cosmetic Grinders	92

Covers and Cases Table 59/60 Frequency of Small Finds: by Covers and Cases, and Site; by Covers and Cases, and Context 1 Mirrors 93 Multiple Depositions of Small Finds, by Covers and Cases, Site and Context Table 61 94 Table 62/63/64 Frequency of Small Finds: by Covers and Cases, and Material; by Covers and Cases, and Form; by Covers and Cases, and Type 1 Mirrors 95 **Table 65/66** Frequency of Small Finds: by Covers and Cases, and Decoration; by Covers and Cases, and Context Date

96

1 Mirrors

Site	Mirrors	TOTAL
	n	%
Canterbury	13	11%
Chichester	10	8%
Colchester	12	10%
London	53	44%
Silchester	3	2%
St Albans	23	19%
Winchester	7	6%
TOTAL	121	100%

Table 1-1. Frequency of Mirrors by Site

Site	Combs	TOTAL
	n	%
Canterbury	2	2%
Chichester	2	2%
Colchester	10	11%
London	37	41%
Silchester	1	1%
St Albans	0	0%
Winchester	38	42%
TOTAL	90	100%

Table 1-2. Frequency of Combs by Site

Site	Ung Cont	TOTAL
	n	%
Canterbury	54	9%
Chichester	17	3%
Colchester	316	51%
London	160	26%
Silchester	16	3%
St Albans	48	8%
Winchester	3	0%
TOTAL	614	100%

Table 1-3. Frequency of Glass Unguent Containers by Site

Site	Cos Grind	TOTAL
	n	%
Canterbury	1	3%
Chichester	3	9%
Colchester	20	59%
London	8	24%
Silchester	0	0%
St Albans	2	6%
Winchester	0	0%
TOTAL	34	100%

Table 1-4. Frequency of Bronze Cosmetic Grinders by Site

Site	Additional Toilet Items	TOTAL
	n	%
Contonbuny	61	8%
Canterbury	-	
Chichester	33	5%
Colchester	192	27%
London	262	36%
Silchester	40	6%
St Albans	103	14%
Winchester	33	5%
TOTAL	724	100%

Table 1-5(a). Frequency of Additional Toilet Items by Sites

Site						Additiona	l Toilet It	ems					TOTAL
												Unspec	
	Toilet	Nail							Stirring	Mixing	Surgical	Toilet	
	Set	Cleaner	Tweezers	Spatula	Ligulae	Shears	Strigils	Razors	Rods	Pallets	Instruments	Items	
	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n
Canterbury	1	11	18	11	7	0	0	1	0	4	1	7	61
Chichester	1	1	3	2	11	0	0	2	1	2	1	9	33
Colchester	9	24	34	6	60	2	0	1	12	9	30	5	192
London	3	24	37	7	99	1	3	3	40	23	11	11	262
Silchester	2	4	8	3	7	1	1	6	0	0	4	4	40
St Albans	2	20	26	2	22	4	4	1	2	1	7	12	103
Winchester	0	9	9	1	10	0	0	0	1	2	0	1	33
TOTAL	18	93	135	32	216	8	8	14	56	41	54	49	724

Table 1-5(b). Frequency of Additional Toilet Items (Specific), by Sites

Site	Mirrors	Sub Total
	%	%
London	44%	
St Albans	19%	63%
Canterbury	11%	
Chichester	8%	
Colchester	10%	29%
Silchester	6%	
Winchester	2%	8%
TOTAL	100%	100%

Table 2-1. Cumulative Percentage Frequency of Mirrors by Site

Site	Ung Cont	Sub Total
	%	%
London	26%	
Colchester	51%	77%
Canterbury	9%	
St Albans	8%	17%
Chichester	3%	
Silchester	3%	
Winchester	0%	6%
TOTAL	100%	100%

Table 2-3. Cumulative Percentage Frequency of Glass Unguent Containers by Site

Site	Additional Toilet Items	Cumulative
	0/	0/
	%	%
London	36%	
Colchester	26%	62%
St Albans	14%	14%
Canterbury	8%	
Chichester	5%	
Silchester	6%	
Winchester	5%	24%
TOTAL	100%	100%

Table 2-5. Cumulative Percentage Frequency of Additional Toilet Items by Site

Site	Combs	Sub Total
	%	%
London	41%	
Winchester	42%	83%
Colchester	11%	11%
Chichester	2%	
Canterbury	2%	
Silchester	1%	
St Albans	0%	5%
TOTAL	100%	100%

Table 2-2. Cumulative Percentage Frequency of Combs by Site

Site	Cos Grind	Sub Total
	%	%
London	24%	
Colchester	59%	83%
Chichester	9%	
St Albans	6%	15%
Canterbury	3%	
Silchester	0%	
Winchester	0%	3%
TOTAL	100%	100%

Table 2-4. Cumulative Percentage Frequency of Bronze Cosmetic Grinders by Site

Context							Si	te							TOT	ΓAL
	Cante	rbury	Chich	nester	Colch	nester	Lon	don	Silch	ester	St Al	bans	Winch	nester		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Domestic Bldgs	0	0%	0	0%	2	17%	6	11%	0	0%	1	4%	0	0%	9	7%
Public Occup	1	8%	0	0%	1	8%	3	6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	5	4%
Grave	5	38%	4	40%	1	8%	10	19%	1	33%	8	35%	4	57%	33	27%
Cemetery Area	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	9%	1	14%	3	2%
Military Bldgs	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Well	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	14%	1	1%
Other Features	2	15%	5	50%	4	33%	11	21%	0	0%	11	48%	0	0%	33	27%
Unstrat/Residual	4	31%	0	0%	3	25%	6	11%	1	33%	1	4%	1	14%	16	13%
Not Reported	1	8%	1	10%	1	8%	17	32%	1	33%	0	0%	0	0%	21	17%
TOTAL	13	100%	10	100%	12	100%	53	100%	3	100%	23	100%	7	100%	121	100%

Table 3-1. Frequency of Mirrors by Context and Site

Context							Si	te							TOT	ΓAL
	Cante	rbury	Chich	nester	Colch	ester	Lon	don	Silch	ester	St Alb	ans	Winch	nester		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Domestic Bldgs	0	0%	0	0%	1	10%	1	3%	0	0%	0	0%	2	5%	4	4%
Public Occup	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	3%
Grave	0	0%	1	50%	7	70%	3	8%	0	0%	0	0%	29	76%	40	44%
Cemetery Area	0	0%	0	0%	1	10%	1	3%	0	0%	0	0%	1	3%	3	3%
Military Bldgs	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Well	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Other Features	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	8%	0	0%	0	0%	2	5%	5	6%
Unstrat/Residual	0	0%	0	0%	1	10%	1	3%	0	0%	0	0%	1	3%	3	3%
Not Reported	0	0%	1	50%	0	0%	27	73%	1	100%	0	0%	3	8%	32	36%
TOTAL	2	100%	2	100%	10	100%	37	100%	1	100%	0	0%	38	100%	90	100%

Table 3-2. Frequency of Combs by Context and Site

Context							Si	te							TOT	ΓAL
	Cante	rbury	Chich	nester	Colch	nester	Lon	don	Silch	nester	St Al	bans	Winch	nester		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Domestic Bldgs	4	7%	0	0%	64	20%	55	34%	12	75%	21	44%	0	0%	156	25%
Public Occup	3	6%	0	0%	99	31%	0	0%	0	0%	6	13%	0	0%	108	18%
Grave	3	6%	6	35%	15	5%	23	14%	0	0%	7	15%	1	33%	55	9%
Cemetery Area	1	2%	0	0%	6	2%	7	4%	0	0%	0	0%	1	33%	15	2%
Military Bldgs	0	0%	0	0%	10	3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	10	2%
Well	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%
Other Features	12	22%	10	59%	33	10%	62	39%	2	13%	14	29%	0	0%	133	22%
Unstrat/Residual	31	57%	0	0%	89	28%	9	6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	129	21%
Not Reported	0	0%	1	6%	0	0%	3	2%	2	13%	0	0%	1	33%	7	1%
TOTAL	54	100%	17	100%	316	100%	160	100%	16	100%	48	100%	3	100%	614	100%

Table 3-3. Frequency of Glass Unguent Containers by Context and Site

Context							Si	te							TOT	ΓAL
	Canterl	oury	Chich	ester	Colch	nester	Lon	don	Silch	ester	St Al	bans	Winch	ester		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Domestic Bldgs	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	13%	0	0%	1	50%	0	0%	2	6%
Public Occup	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	3%
Grave	0	0%	1	33%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%	1	50%	0	0%	3	9%
Cemetery Area	0	0%	0	0%	5	25%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	5	15%
Military Bldgs	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Well	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Other Features	0	0%	1	33%	3	15%	2	25%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	6	18%
Unstrat/Residual	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Not Reported	0	0%	1	6%	11	55%	5	63%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	17	50%
TOTAL	1	2%	3	73%	20	100%	8	100%	0	0%	2	100%	0	0%	34	100%

Table 3-4. Frequency of Bronze Cosmetic Grinders by Context and Site

Context							Si	te							TOT	ΓAL
	Cante	rbury	Chich	nester	Colch	nester	Lon	don	Silch	ester	St Al	bans	Winch	nester		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Domestic Bldgs	18	30%	2	6%	28	15%	41	16%	6	15%	33	32%	8	24%	136	19%
Public Occup	4	7%	5	15%	26	14%	12	5%	0	0%	3	3%	2	6%	52	7%
Grave	1	2%	4	12%	14	7%	9	3%	0	0%	15	15%	1	3%	44	6%
Cemetery Area	0	0%	0	0%	11	6%	1	0%	0	0%	4	4%	0	0%	16	2%
Military Bldgs	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%
Well	1	2%	3	9%	0	0%	2	1%	4	10%	0	0%	0	0%	10	1%
Other Features	22	36%	18	55%	55	29%	96	37%	21	53%	46	45%	14	42%	272	38%
Unstrat/Residual	14	23%	1	3%	54	28%	7	3%	3	8%	1	1%	6	18%	86	12%
Not Reported	1	2%	0	0%	4	2%	93	35%	6	15%	1	1%	2	6%	107	15%
TOTAL	61	100%	33	100%	192	100%	262	100%	40	100%	103	100%	33	100%	724	100%

Table 3-5(a). Frequency of Additional Toilet Items by Context and Site

L		
;	,	
•	•	ľ

Context						Additiona	l Toilet It	ems					TOTAL
												Unspec	
	Toilet	Nail							Stirring	Mixing	Surgical	Toilet	
	Set	Cleaner	Tweezers	Spatula	Ligulae	Shears	Strigils	Razors	Rods	Pallets	Instruments	Items	
	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n
Domestic Bldgs	3	17	28	6	39	2	2	4	9	9	8	9	136
Public Occup	2	6	11	4	11	1	0	0	6	3	5	3	52
Grave	2	2	5	1	3	2	3	1	0	3	13	9	44
Cemetery Area	2	1	5	0	6	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	16
Military Bldgs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Well	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	1	1	1	3	10
Other Features	4	45	56	13	84	1	2	6	11	12	16	22	272
Unstrat/Residual	3	14	16	3	25	1	0	1	7	8	6	2	86
Not Reported	2	8	14	4	45	1	1	2	21	4	4	1	107
TOTAL	18	93	135	32	216	8	8	14	56	41	54	49	724

Table 3-5(b). Frequency of Additional Toilet Items (Specific), by Context

Site	Sub-Site	Context	Mirrors
			n
London	Eastern Cemetery	Grave	2
London	Eastern Cemetery	Grave	2
London	West Tenter Street	Grave	2
Winchester	Victoria Road	Grave	2
St Albans	Lower Slope, Folly Lane	Other Features	2
St Albans	Roman Settlement, King Harry Lane	Other Features	2

Table 4-1. Multiple Depositions of Mirrors by Site, Sub-site and Context

Site	Sub-Site	Context	Combs
			n
London	Cannon St Station N	Not Reported	2
London	11-11A Pudding Lane	Not Reported	2

Table 4-2. Multiple Depositions of Combs by Site, Sub-site and Context

Site	Sub-Site	Context	Ung Cont
			n
Colchester	St Mary's Hospital	Grave	3
	Beverley Rd	Grave	2
	Stanway	Grave	2
London	15-23 Southwark St	Other Features	8
	Leadenhall Court	Other Features	5
	15-23 Southwark St	Other Features	4
	15-23 Southwark St	Domestic Bldgs	3
	15-23 Southwark St	Domestic Bldgs	3
	201-211 Borough High St	Other Features	3
	Watling St	Grave	3
	15-23 Southwark St	Other Features	2
	15-23 Southwark St	Domestic Bldgs	2
	15-23 Southwark St	Grave	2
	Leadenhall Court	Domestic Bldgs	2
	Leadenhall Court	Domestic Bldgs	2
	Leadenhall Court	Other Features	2
	Leadenhall Court	Domestic Bldgs	2
	199 Borough High St	Other Features	2
	199 Borough High St	Other Features	2
	Eastern Cemetery	Grave	2
	Eastern Cemetery	Grave	2
	106-114 Borough High St	Domestic Bldgs	2
	Watling St	Grave	2
	Spitalfield	Grave	2
Silchester	Forum Basilica	Domestic Bldgs	2
	Forum Basilica	Domestic Bldgs	2
	Forum Basilica	Domestic Bldgs	2
	Forum Basilica	Domestic Bldgs	2
St Albans	Lower Slope, Folly Lane	Grave	3

Table 4-3. Multiple Depositions of Glass Unguent Containers by Site, Sub-site and Context

Site	Sub-Site	Context	Cos Grind
			n
	Nil Return		

Table 4-4. Multiple Depositions of Cosmetic Grinders by Site, Subsite and Context

Site	Sub-Site	Context	Additional
			Toilet
			Items
			n
Canterbury	Marlowe Car Park	Domestic Bldgs	3
	St Gabriel's Chapel	Other Features	2
Chichester	St Pancras	Grave	2
	Eastgate Needlemakers	Well	2
Colchester	Stanway	Grave	13
	The Gilberd School	Public Occupation	2
	Sheepen	Domestic Bldgs	2
London	Flood Wollow Business	Nat Danasta d	
London	Fleet Valley Project Leadenhall Court	Not Reported Public Occupation	2
	Leadenhall Court	*	3
		Domestic Bldgs Grave	2
	West Tenter St		2
	Eastern Cemetery	Grave	2
	Spital Field 15-23 Southwark St	Grave	
		Other Features Other Features	4
	Billingsgate Buildings Triangle Palmerston House	Other Features Other Features	4
		Other Features Other Features	2
	Courages Brewery Bottling Plant Custom House	Other Features Other Features	2
		Other Features Other Features	2 2 2 2 2 2
	20-30 Aldgate		
	201-211 Borough High St Leadenhall Court	Other Features	2
		Not Reported	2
	Billingsgate Market Lorry Park	Not Reported	2
	Billingsgate Market Lorry Park Blossoms Inn, Nr Cheapside	Not Reported Not Reported	2
	Brossoms fini, i ir Cheapside	T tot Reported	_
Silchester	Insula IX	Well	3
	Insula IX	Other Features	2
	Inner Earthwork & various other sites	Other Features	2
St Albans	Iron Age Cemetery, King Harry Lane	Grave	۷
	Roman Settlement, King Harry Lane	Other Features	3
	Insula XIII	Domestic Bldgs	2
	Insula II	Domestic Bldgs	2
	Roman Settlement, King Harry Lane	Domestic Bldgs	2
	Iron Age Cemetery, King Harry Lane	Grave	2
	Iron Age Cemetery, King Harry Lane	Grave	2
	Rescue Excavation, King Harry Lane	Grave	2
	Lower Slope, Folly Lane	Other Features	2
	Lower Slope, Folly Lane	Other Features	2
	Lower Slope, Folly Lane	Other Features	2
Winchester	Victoria Road	Domestic Bldgs	2
, inchester	Victoria Road Victoria Road	Other Features	2
	, resolu roud	Calor i Cataros	2
	1		

Table 4-5. Multiple Depositions of Additional Toilet Items by Site, Sub-site and Context

Context								Conte	xt Dates								TOT	ΓAL
	LI	A	LIA/	ERB	LIA/F	RB	ER	RB	R	В	LF	RB	Unstrat/I	Residual	Not Re	ported		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Domestic Bldgs	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	7	16%	1	6%	1	10%	0	0%	0	0%	9	7%
Public Occup	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	7%	1	6%	1	10%	0	0%	0	0%	5	4%
Grave	1	100%	6	100%	0	0%	12	28%	9	50%	2	20%	0	0%	3	11%	33	27%
Cemetery Area	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	5%	1	6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	2%
Military Bldgs	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0	0	0%
Well	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	10%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%
Other Features	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	19	44%	6	33%	5	50%	0	0%	3	11%	33	27%
Unstrat/Residual	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	16	100%	0	0%	16	13%
Not Reported	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	21	78%	21	17%
TOTAL	1	100%	6	100%	0	0%	43	100%	18	100%	10	100%	16	100%	27	100%	121	100%

Table 5-1. Frequency of Mirrors by Context and Context Date

Context								Conte	xt Dates								TO	ΓAL
	LIA		LIA/E	RB	LIA/F	RB	EI	RB	R	В	LF	RB	Unstrat/l	Residual	Not Re	ported		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Domestic Bldgs	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	50%	1	25%	2	4%	0	0%	0	0%	4	4%
Public Occup	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	6%	0	0%	0	0%	3	3%
Grave	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	40	83%	0	0%	0	0%	40	44%
Cemetery Area	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	25%	1	2%	0	0%	1	3%	3	3%
Military Bldgs	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0	0	0%
Well	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Other Features	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	50%	2	50%	2	4%	0	0%	0	0%	5	6%
Unstrat/Residual	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%	0	0%	3	3%
Not Reported	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	32	97%	32	36%
TOTAL	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	4	100%	48	100%	3	100%	33	100%	90	100%

Table 5-2. Frequency of Combs by Context and Context Date

Context								Conte	xt Dates								TOT	ΓAL
	LIA		LIA/	ERB	LIA/	RB	EF	RB	R	В	LF	RВ	Unstrat/I	Residual	Not Re	ported		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Domestic Bldgs	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	101	42%	30	25%	25	24%	0	0%	0	0%	156	25%
Public Occup	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	43	18%	40	33%	25	24%	0	0%	0	0%	108	18%
Grave	0	0%	1	50%	2	100%	10	4%	19	16%	19	18%	0	0%	4	31%	55	9%
Cemetery Area	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	8	7%	5	5%	0	0%	1	8%	15	2%
Military Bldgs	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	10	4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0	10	2%
Well	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%
Other Features	0	0%	1	50%	0	0%	75	31%	25	20%	31	30%	0	0%	1	8%	133	22%
Unstrat/Residual	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	129	100%	0	0%	129	21%
Not Reported	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	7	54%	7	1%
TOTAL	0	0%	2	100%	2	100%	241	100%	122	100%	105	100%	129	100%	13	100%	614	100%

Table 5-3. Frequency of Glass Unguent Containers by Context and Context Date

Context								Conte	xt Dates								TOT	ΓAL
	LIA		LIA/	ERB	LIA/R	RB	EF	RB	R	В	Ll	RB	Unstrat/R	Residual	Not Re	ported		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Domestic Bldgs	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	25%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	2	6%
Public Occup	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	14%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	3%
Grave	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	2	29%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	9%
Cemetery Area	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	14%	0	0%	0	0%	4	19%	5	15%
Military Bldgs	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0	0	0%
Well	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Other Features	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	75%	2	29%	0	0%	0	0%	1	5%	6	18%
Unstrat/Residual	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Not Reported	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	14%	0	0%	0	0%	16	76%	17	50%
TOTAL	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	4	100%	7	100%	1	100%	0	0%	21	100%	34	100%

Table 5-4. Frequency of Bronze Cosmetic Grinders by Context and Context Date

Context								Conte	xt Dates								TOT	ΓAL
	LI	A	LIA/	ERB	LIA	/RB	EI	RB	R	В	LF	RB	Unstrat/l	Residual	Not Re	ported		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Domestic Bldgs	0	0%	1	14%	0	0%	71	30%	39	30%	16	16%	0	0%	9	6%	136	19%
Public Occup	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	26	11%	14	11%	8	8%	0	0%	4	3%	52	7%
Grave	5	63%	6	86%	13	100%	4	2%	8	6%	8	8%	0	0%	0	0%	44	6%
Cemetery Area	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	2%	3	2%	9	9%	0	0%	0	0%	16	2%
Military Bldgs	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0	1	0%
Well	1	13%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	4	3%	4	4%	0	0%	0	0%	10	1%
Other Features	2	25%	0	0%	0	0%	128	55%	60	47%	57	56%	0	0%	25	17%	272	38%
Unstrat/Residual	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	86	100%	0	0%	86	12%
Not Reported	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	107	74%	107	15%
TOTAL	8	100%	7	100%	13	100%	234	100%	129	100%	102	100%	86	100%	145	100%	724	100%

Table 5-5. Frequency of Additional Toilet Items by Context and Context Date

Context	Small Finds											
		Glass	Bronze	Additional								
		Unguent	Cosmetic	Toilet								
	Combs	Containers	Grinders	Items								
	n	n	n	n								
Domestic Bldgs	0	0	0	0								
Public Occup	0	0	0	3								
Grave	0	4	0	0								
Cemetery Area	0	0	0	0								
Military Bldgs	0	0	0	0								
Well	0	0	0	0								
Other Features	0	0	0	6								
Unstrat/Residual	0	0	0	0								
Not Reported	0	0	0	6								
TOTAL	0	4	0	15								
TOTAL COUNT	90	614	34	724								

Table 6-1. Frequency of Small Finds, Associated with Mirrors, by Context

Context		Small Finds										
		Glass	Bronze	Additional								
		Unguent	Cosmetic	Toilet								
	Mirrors	Containers	Grinders	Items								
	n	n	n	n								
Domestic Bldgs	0	0	0	0								
Public Occup	0	0	0	0								
Grave	0	1	0	0								
Cemetery Area	0	0	0	0								
Military Bldgs	0	0	0	0								
Well	0	0	0	0								
Other Features	0	1	0	0								
Unstrat/Residual	0	0	0	0								
Not Reported	0	0	0	0								
TOTAL	0	2	0	0								
TOTAL COUNT	121	614	34	724								

Table 6-2. Frequency of Small Finds, Associated with Combs, by Context

22

Context		Small Finds									
			Bronze	Additional							
			Cosmetic	Toilet							
	Mirrors	Combs	Grinders	Items							
	n	n	n	n							
Domestic Bldgs	0	0	0	4							
Public Occup	0	0	0	0							
Grave	5	2	0	5							
Cemetery Area	0	1	0	1							
Military Bldgs	0	0	0	0							
Well	0	0	0	0							
Other Features	0	0	0	10							
Unstrat/Residual	0	0	0	0							
Not Reported	0	0	0	0							
TOTAL	5	3	0	20							
TOTAL COUNT	121	90	34	724							

Table 6-3. Frequency of Small Finds, Associated with Glass Unguent Containers, by Context

Context		Small Finds										
			Glass	Additional								
			Unguent	Toilet								
	Mirrors	Combs	Containers	Items								
	n	n	n	n								
Domestic Bldgs	0	0	0	2								
Public Occup	0	0	0	0								
Grave	0	0	0	2								
Cemetery Area	0	0	0	0								
Military Bldgs	0	0	0	0								
Well	0	0	0	0								
Other Features	0	0	0	0								
Unstrat/Residual	0	0	0	0								
Not Reported	0	0	0	2								
TOTAL	0	0	0	6								
TOTAL COUNT	121	90	614	724								

Table 6-4. Frequency of Small Finds, Associated with Bronze Cosmetic Grinders, by Context

23

Context		Small	Finds	
			Glass	Bronze
			Unguent	Cosmetic
	Mirrors	Combs	Containers	Grinders
	n	n	n	n
Domestic Bldgs	0	0	6	1
Public Occup	1	0	0	0
Grave	0	0	4	2
Cemetery Area	0	0	1	0
Military Bldgs	0	0	0	0
Well	0	0	0	0
Other Features	6	0	14	0
Unstrat/Residual	0	0	0	0
Not Reported	3	0	0	1
TOTAL	10	0	25	4
TOTAL COUNT	121	90	614	34

Table 6-5. Frequency of Small Finds, Associated with Additional Toilet Items, by Context

Context						Additiona	l Toilet It	ems					TOTAL
												Unspec	
	Toilet	Nail							Stirring	Mixing	Surgical	Toilet	
	Set	Cleaner	Tweezers	Spatula	Ligulae	Shears	Strigils	Razors	Rods	Pallets	Instruments	Items	
	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n
Domestic Bldgs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Public Occup	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	3
Grave	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cemetery Area	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military Bldgs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Well	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Features	0	1	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	6
Unstrat/Residual	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Not Reported	0	2	0	2	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	6
TOTAL	1	3	2	2	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	15

Table 7-1. Frequency of Additional Toilet Items , Associated with Mirrors, by Context

Context						Additiona	al Toilet It	ems					TOTAL
												Unspec	
	Toilet	Nail							Stirring	Mixing	Surgical	Toilet	
	Set	Cleaner	Tweezers	Spatula	Ligulae	Shears	Strigils	Razors	Rods	Pallets	Instruments	Items	
	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n
Domestic Bldgs													
Public Occup													
Grave													
Cemetery Area						NIL	RETURN						
Military Bldgs													
Well													
Other Features													
Unstrat/Residual													
Not Reported													
TOTAL													

Table 7-2. Frequency of Additional Toilet Items, Associated with Combs, by Context

Context						Additiona	l Toilet It	ems					TOTAL
												Unspec	
	Toilet	Nail							Stirring	Mixing	Surgical	Toilet	
	Set	Cleaner	Tweezers	Spatula	Ligulae	Shears	Strigils	Razors	Rods	Pallets	Instruments	Items	
	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n
Domestic Bldgs	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4
Public Occup	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grave	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	3	5
Cemetery Area	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Military Bldgs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Well	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Features	0	1	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	3	10
Unstrat/Residual	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Not Reported	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	0	1	4	1	3	0	2	0	0	1	1	7	20

Table 7-3. Frequency of Additional Toilet Items, Asociated with Glass Unguent Containers, by Context

Context						Additiona	l Toilet It	ems					TOTAL
												Unspec	
	Toilet	Nail							Stirring	Mixing	Surgical	Toilet	
	Set	Cleaner	Tweezers	Spatula	Ligulae	Shears	Strigils	Razors	Rods	Pallets	Instruments	Items	
	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n
Domestic Bldgs	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Public Occup	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grave	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Cemetery Area	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military Bldgs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Well	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Features	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unstrat/Residual	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Not Reported	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
TOTAL	1	1	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6

Table 7-4. Frequency of Additional Toilet Items, Associated with Bronze Cosmetic Grinders, by Context

	•
٠.	٦
_	

Site	Sub-Site	Mirrors	Context	Associated Small Finds					
					Glass	Bronze	Additional		
					Unguent	Cosmetic	Toilet		
				Combs	Containers	Grinders	Items		
		n		n	n	n	n		
London	Eastern Cemetery	2	Grave	0	2	0	0		
London	West Tenter St	2	Grave	0	1	0	0		
St Albans	Lower Slope, Folly Lane	2	Other Features	0	0	0	1		
TOTAL				0	3	0	1		

Table 8-1. Multiple Depositions of Mirrors and Frequency of Associated Small Finds, by Site, Sub-Site, and Context

Site	Sub-Site	Combs	Context		Associated	Small Find	S
					Glass	Bronze	Additional
					Unguent	Cosmetic	Toilet
				Mirrors	Containers	Grinders	Items
	n			n	n	n	
			NIL RETUR	an .			

Table 8-2. Multiple Depositions of Combs and Frequency of Associated Small Finds, by Site, Sub-Site, and Context

Site	Sub-Site	Glass Unguent Containers	Context		Associated	Small Find	s
						Bronze	Additional
						Cosmetic	Toilet
				Mirrors	Combs	Grinders	Items
		n		n	n	n	n
London	15-23 Southwark St	8	Other Features	0	0	0	2
London	15-23 Southwark St	3	Domestic Bldgs	0	0	0	1
London	Eastern Cemetery	2	Grave	2	0	0	0
London	Spitalfield	2	Grave	0	0	0	2
London	199 Borough High St	2	Other Features	0	0	0	1
London	Leadenhall Court	2	Other Features	0	0	0	1
TOTAL				2	0	0	7

Table 8-3. Multiple Depositions of Glass Unguent Containers and Frequency of Associated Small Finds, by Site, Sub-Site, and Context

Site	Sub-Site	Bronze Cosmetic	Context		Associated	Small Find	s
						Glass	Additional
						Unguent	Toilet
				Mirrors	Combs	Containers	Items
		n		n	n	n	n
	NIL RETURN						

Table 8-4. Multiple Depositions of Cosmetic Grinders and Frequency of Associated Small Finds, by Site, Sub-Site, and Context

		Additional Toilet					
Site	Sub-Site	Items	Context	Associated Small Finds			
						Glass	Bronze
						Unguent	Cosmetic
				Mirrors	Combs	Containers	Grinders
		n		n	n	n	n
London	Fleet Valley Project	4	Not Reported	1	0	0	0
London	Leadenhall Court	3	Public Occup	1	0	0	0
St Albans	Insular II	2	Domestic Bldgs	0	0	0	1
St Albans	Roman Settlement, King Harry Lane	2	Domestic Bldgs	0	0	1	0
St Albans	Rescue Excavation, King Harry Lane	2	Grave	0	0	1	0
London	Spitalfield	2	Grave	0	0	2	0
London	Blossoms Inn, near Cheapside	2	Not Reported	0	0	0	1
St Albans	Lower Slope, Folly Lane	2	Other Features	1	0	0	0
London	201-211 Borough High St	2	Other Features	0	0	1	0
London	15-23 Southwark St	2	Other Features	0	0	7	0
TOTAL				3	0	12	2

Table 8-5. Multiple Depositions of Additional Toilet Items and Frequency of Associated Small Finds, by Site, Sub-Site, and Context

Context		Non-Toilet Finds						
						Other		
	Coins	Hair Pins	Jewellery	Vessels	Weapons	Artefacts		
Domestic Bldgs	1	0	1	4	0	5	11	
Public Occup	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	
Grave	5	0	11	15	0	11	42	
Cemetery Area	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Military Bldgs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Well	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Other Feature	0	0	6	9	0	12	27	
Unstrat/Residual	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Not Reported	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
TOTAL	6	0	19	28	0	29	82	

Table 9-1. Number of Occasions Non-Toilet Finds were Associated with Mirrors, by Context

Context		Non-Toilet Finds						
						Other		
	Coins	Hair Pins	Jewellery	Vessels	Weapons	Artefacts		
Domestic Bldgs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Public Occup	1	0	2	1	0	2	6	
Grave	6	2	13	9	0	3	33	
Cemetery Area	1	0	0	1	0	0	2	
Military Bldgs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Well	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Other Features	0	0	1	2	0	2	5	
Unstrat/Residual	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Not Reported	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
TOTAL	8	2	16	13	0	7	46	

Table 9-2. Number of Occasions Non-Toilet Finds were Associated with Combs, by Context

Context		Non-Toilet Finds						
						Other		
	Coins	Hair Pins	Jewellery	Vessels	Weapons	Artefacts		
Domestic Bldgs	9	1	16	41	0	29	96	
Public Occup	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	
Grave	6	2	8	27	1	16	60	
Cemetery Area	2	0	0	3	0	0	5	
Military Bldgs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Well	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	
Other Feature	14	2	13	42	0	29	100	
Unstrat/Residual	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Not Reported	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
TOTAL	31	5	37	115	1	76	265	

Table 9-3. Number of Occasions Non-Toilet Finds were Associated with Glass Unguent Containers, by Context

Context		Non-Toilet Finds							
						Other			
	Coins	Hair Pins	Jewellery	Vessels	Weapons	Artefacts			
Domestic Bldgs	1	0	0	0	0	2	3		
Public Occup	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Grave	1	0	2	2	0	0	5		
Cemetery Area	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Military Bldgs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Well	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Other Features	0	0	0	0	0	1	1		
Unstrat/Residual	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Not Reported	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
TOTAL	2	0	2	2	0	3	9		

Table 9-4. Number of Occasions Non-Toilet Finds were Associated with Bronze Cosmetic Grinders, by Context

Context		Non-Toilet Finds							
						Other			
	Coins	Hair Pins	Jewellery	Vessels	Weapons	Artefacts			
Domestic Bldgs	10	2	14	13	0	25	64		
Public Occup	1	0	4	3	1	9	18		
Grave	4	1	10	9	1	7	32		
Cemetery Area	0	0	1	1	0	0	2		
Military Bldgs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Well	2	1	4	4	0	5	16		
Other Features	19	8	32	56	1	61	177		
Unstrat/Residual	0	0	1	0	0	0	1		
Not Reported	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
TOTAL	36	12	66	86	3	107	310		

Table 9-5. Number of Occasions Non-Toilet Finds were Associated with Additional Toilet Items, by Context

Site		Gender					
			Not				
	Male	Female	Reported				
	n	n	n	n			
Canterbury	1	0	1	2			
Chichester	0	1	0	1			
Colchester	0	0	0	0			
London	1	4	1	6			
Silchester	0	0	0	0			
St Albans	1	1	3	5			
Winchester	0	0	0	0			
TOTAL	3	6	5	14			

Table 10-1. Frequency of Human Skeletal Remains from One Body, Associated with Mirrors by Gender and Site

Site			TOTAL	
			Not	
	Male	Female	Reported	
	n	n	n	n
Canterbury	0	0	1	1
Chichester	0	1	0	1
Colchester	1	4	2	7
London	0	1	0	1
Silchester	0	0	0	0
St Albans	0	0	0	0
Winchester	3	5	14	22
TOTAL	4	11	17	32

Table 10-2. Frequency of Human Skeletal Remains from One Body, Associated with Combs by Gender and Site

Site		Gender					
			Not				
	Male	Female	Reported				
	n	n	n	n			
Canterbury	1	0	1	2			
Chichester	0	0	2	2			
Colchester	1	1	3	5			
London	4	2	7	13			
Silchester	0	0	0	0			
St Albans	1	0	2	3			
Winchester	0	0	0	0			
TOTAL	7	3	15	25			

Table 10-3. Frequency of Human Skeletal Remains from One Body, Associated with Glass Unguent Containers by Gender and Site

Site		TOTAL		
			Not	
	Male	Female	Reported	
	n	n	n	n
Canterbury	0	0	0	0
Chichester	0	0	0	0
Colchester	0	0	1	1
London	0	0	0	0
Silchester	0	0	0	0
St Albans	1	0	0	1
Winchester	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	1	0	1	2

Table 10-4. Frequency of Human Skeletal Remains from One Body, Associated with Bronze Cosmetic Grinders by Gender and Site

Site		Gender					
			Not				
	Male	Female	Reported				
	n	n	n	n			
Canterbury	0	0	0	0			
Chichester	0	0	0	0			
Colchester	0	0	1	1			
London	4	1	3	8			
Silchester	0	0	0	0			
St Albans	3	0	5	8			
Winchester	0	0	0	0			
TOTAL	7	1	9	17			

Table 10-5. Frequency of Human Skeletal Remains from One Body, Associated with Additional Toilet Items by Gender and Site

Site	Age								
				Young					
			Young	Middle	Middle	Mature	Adult	Not	
	Neonate	Child	Adult	Adult	Adult	Adult	(estimate)	Reported	
	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n
Canterbury	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2
Chichester	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Colchester	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
London	0	0	0	2	1	0	3	0	6
Silchester	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
St Albans	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	4	5
Wnchester	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	1	0	1	2	1	0	4	5	14

Table 11-1. Frequency of Human Skeletal Remains from One Body, Associated with Mirrors by Age and Site

Site	Age								
				Young					
			Young	Middle	Middle	Mature	Adult	Not	
	Neonate	Child	Adult	Adult	Adult	Adult	(estimate)	Reported	
	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n
Canterbury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Chichester	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Colchester	0	3	0	1	0	0	3	0	7
London	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Silchester	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
St Albans	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wnchester	0	3	4	7	1	0	7	0	22
TOTAL	0	6	5	8	1	0	11	1	32

Table 11-2. Frequency of Human Skeletal Remains from One Body, Associated with Combs by Age and Site

Site				1	Age				TOTAL
				Young					
			Young	Middle	Middle	Mature	Adult	Not	
	Neonate	Child	Adult	Adult	Adult	Adult	(estimate)	Reported	
	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n
Canterbury	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	2
Chichester	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
Colchester	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	2	5
London	0	2	2	4	0	0	3	2	13
Silchester	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
St Albans	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	3
Wnchester	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	0	3	2	7	0	0	7	6	25

Table 11-3. Frequency of Human Skeletal Remains from One Body, Associated with Glass Unguent Containers by Age and Site

Site				1	Age				TOTAL
				Young					
			Young	Middle	Middle	Mature	Adult	Not	
	Neonate	Child	Adult	Adult	Adult	Adult	(estimate)	Reported	
	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n
Canterbury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chichester	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Colchester	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
London	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Silchester	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
St Albans	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Wnchester	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2

Table 11-4. Frequency of Human Skeletal Remains from One Body, Associated with Bronze Cosmetic Grinders by Age and Site

Site				1	Age				TOTAL
				Young					
			Young	Middle	Middle	Mature	Adult	Not	
	Neonate	Child	Adult	Adult	Adult	Adult	(estimate)	Reported	
	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n
Canterbury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chichester	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Colchester	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
London	0	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	8
Silchester	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
St Albans	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	3	8
Winchester	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	0	1	1	1	1	1	7	5	17

Table 11-5. Frequency of Human Skeletal Remains from One Body, Associated with Additional Toilet Items by Age and Site

Site	Mirrors	Fir	st Burial	2nd Burial			
	Frequency	Gender	Age	Gender	Age		
Canterbury	1	Unknown	Adult (estimate)	Unknown	Young Adult		
Silchester	1	Unknown	Adult (estimate)	Unknown	Child		
St Albans	1	Unknown	Adult (estimate)	Unknown	Neonate		
TOTAL	3						

Table 12-1. Frequency of Human Skeletal Remains from Two Bodies, Associated with Mirrors by Gender, Age and Site

Site	Combs	Fir	st Burial	2n	d Burial
		Gender	Age	Gender	Age
			NIL RETURN		
TOTAL					

Table 12-2. Frequency of Human Skeletal Remains from Two Bodies, Associated with Combs by Gender, Age and Site

Site	Ung Con	Fir	st Burial	2nd Burial				
	Frequency	Gender	Age	Gender	Age			
Canterbury	1	Male	Adult (estimate)	Unknown	Adult (estimate)			
Colchester	3	Female	Young Adult	Female	Young Adult			
St Albans	1	Unknown	Adult (estimate)	Unknown	Child			
TOTAL	5							

Table 12-3. Frequency of Human Skeletal Remains from Two Bodies, Associated with Glass Unguent Containers by Gender, Age and Site

Site	Grinders	Fir	st Burial	2nd Burial			
		Gender Age		Gender	Age		
			NIL RETURN				
TOTAL							

Table 12-4. Frequency of Human Skeletal Remains from Two Bodies, Associated with Bronze Cosmetic Grinders by Gender, Age and Site

Site	Additional Toilet Items	Fir	st Burial	211	d Burial
		Gender	Age	Gender	Age
			NIL RETURN		
TOTAL					

Table 12-5. Frequency of Human Skeletal Remains from Two Bodies, Associated with Additional Toilet Items by Gender, Age and Site

Site	Mirrors	Context					Aı	nimal Skel	etal Remain	ns				
			Bird	Bird										
	n		(dom)	(wild)	Cat	Cattle	Chick	Deer	Dog	Fish	Goat	Horse	Pig	Sheep
London	2	Grave	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
London	1	Other Features	X	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
London	1	Other Features	X	X	x	X	X	✓	X	x	X	x	X	X
St Albans	1	Grave	х	x	x	x	x	х	x	x	x	х	✓	х
St Albans	1	Grave	х	✓	X	X	X	X	X	х	х	X	✓	Х
Silchester	1	Grave	X	X	X	X	X	X	Х	X	X	X	✓	X

Table13-1. Mirror Count and Associated Animal Skeletal Remains, by Site and Context

Site	Combs	Context		Animal Skeletal Remains										
			Bird	Bird										
	n		(dom)	(wild)	Cat	Cattle	Chick	Deer	Dog	Fish	Goat	Horse	Pig	Sheep
London	1	Other Features	X	X	X	✓	X	✓	X	X	X	X	✓	✓
London	1	Other Features	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	✓	X	X

Table 13-2. Comb Count and Associated Animal Skeletal Remains, by Site and Context

	Glass													
gr.	Unguent													
Site	Cont	Context		Animal Skeletal Remains										
			Bird	Bird										
	n		(dom)	(wild)	Cat	Cattle	Chick	Deer	Dog	Fish	Goat	Horse	Pig	Sheep
Colchester	1	Other Features	X	X	X	✓	X	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓
Colchester	1	Other Features	X	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	X	✓	✓
London	1	Other Features	✓	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	✓
London	1	Other Features	✓	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	✓
London	1	Other Features	✓	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	✓
London	1	Cemetery Area	✓	X	X	✓	X	✓	X	X	X	X	✓	✓
Silchester	1	Other Features	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	✓	✓	✓	X
Winchester	1	Grave	х	✓	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	✓	Х
Winchester	1	Cemetery	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X

Table 13-3. Glass Unguent Container Count and Associated Animal Skeletal Remains, by Site and Context

	Bronze Cosmetic													
Site	Grinders	Context					A	nimal Skel	etal Remai	ns				
			Bird	Bird										
	n		(dom)	(wild)	Cat	Cattle	Chick	Deer	Dog	Fish	Goat	Horse	Pig	Sheep
St Albans	1	Grave	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	X

Table 13-4. Cosmetic Grinder Count and Associated Animal Skeletal Remains, by Site and Context

g.,	Additional Toilet							. 101 1	. I.D.					
Site	Items	Context	D:1	D' 1			An	imal Skel	etal Rema	ins				
			Bird	Bird	C .	G 11	CI. I	Б	ъ	Г' 1	C ,		D.	C1
	n		(dom)	(wild)	Cat	Cattle	Chick	Deer	Dog	Fish	Goat	Horse	Pig	Sheep
Colchester		Public Occup	х	х	х	√	X	✓	Х	х	√	x	√	✓
Colchester		Other Features	х	X	Х	✓	х	Х		X	✓	X	✓	✓
Colchester		Other Features	X	Х	X	✓	X	X			✓	x	X	✓
London	2	Other Features	X	Х	✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	✓	X	х
London	1	Cemetery Area	✓	X	X	✓	X	✓	X	X	X	X	✓	✓
London		Other Features	х	X	X	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	X
London	1	Other Features	х	x	X	х	X	X	✓	х	✓	✓	X	✓
London		Other Features	х	x	Х	✓	X	X	X	х	X	х	X	х
London	1	Other Features	х	х	Х	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Silchester	3	Well	х	✓	х	✓	X	X	X	X	✓	x	✓	✓
Silchester	2	Other Features	✓	✓	X	✓	X	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓
Silchester		Other Features	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	✓	✓	✓	X
Silchester		Other Features	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Silchester	1	Other Features	х	х	X	х	X	✓	X	Х	✓	✓	✓	✓
St Albans	4	Grave	х	Х	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	x	✓	х
St Albans	1	Grave	х	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	X
St Albans	1	Grave	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	X
St Albans	1	Grave	X	Х	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	Х	✓	X
Winchester	1	Other Features	X	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	✓	x	X	✓

Table 13-5. Additional Toilet Item Count and Associated Animal Skeletal Remains, by Site and Context

Site				Mat	erial				TOT	AL
	Specu	ılum	Gla	SS	Silver/E	Bronze	Not Rep	ported		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Canterbury	5	6%	0	0%	0	0%	8	22%	13	11%
Chichester	8	10%	0	0%	0	0%	2	5%	10	8%
Colchester	11	14%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	12	10%
London	39	48%	2	100%	0	0%	12	32%	53	44%
Silchester	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	1	3%	3	2%
St Albans	9	11%	0	0%	0	0%	14	38%	23	19%
Winchester	7	9%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	7	6%
TOTAL	81	100%	2	100%	1	100%	37	100%	121	100%
% of All Mirors	67%		2%		1%		31%			

Table 14-1. Frequency of Mirrors, By Material and Site

Site					Mate	rial					TOTA	A L
	Wo	od	Boı	ne	Ant	ler	Ivo	ry	Not Rep	orted		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Canterbury	0	0%	1	3%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%
Chichester	0	0%	2	6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%
Colchester	0	0%	0	0%	10	37%	0	0%	0	0%	10	11%
London	26	90%	8	24%	2	7%	1	100%	0	0%	37	41%
Silchester	0	0%	1	3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%
St Albans	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Winchester	3	10%	21	64%	14	52%	0	0%	0	0%	38	42%
TOTAL	29	100%	33	100%	27	100%	1	100%	0	0%	90	100%
% of All Combs	32%		37%		30%		1%		0%			

Table 14-2. Frequency of Combs, By Material and Site

Context				Mat	erial				TOT	AL
	Specu	lum	Gla	iss	Silver/E	Bronze	Not Rep	ported		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Domestic Bldgs	6	7%	0	0%	0	0%	3	8%	9	7%
Public Occup	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	3	8%	5	4%
Grave	28	35%	2	100%	0	0%	3	8%	33	27%
Cemetery Area	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	2	5%	3	2%
Military Bldgs	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Well	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%
Other Features	19	23%	0	0%	1	100%	13	35%	33	27%
Unstrat/Residual	6	7%	0	0%	0	0%	10	27%	16	13%
Not Reported	18	22%	0	0%	0	0%	3	8%	21	17%
TOTAL	81	100%	2	100%	1	100%	37	100%	121	100%

Table 15-1. Frequency of Mirrors, by Material and Context

Context					Material							
	Wo	od	Box	ne	Ant	ler	Ivo	ry	Not Re	ported		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Domestic Bldgs	0	0%	0	0%	3	11%	1	100%	0	0%	4	4%
Public Occup	1	3%	1	3%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	3	3%
Grave	1	3%	23	70%	16	59%	0	0%	0	0%	40	44%
Cemetery Area	1	3%	0	0%	2	7%	0	0%	0	0%	3	3%
Military Bldgs	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Well	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Other Features	3	10%	0	0%	2	7%	0	0%	0	0%	5	6%
Unstrat/Residual	0	0%	1	3%	2	7%	0	0%	0	0%	3	3%
Not Reported	23	79%	8	24%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	32	36%
TOTAL	29	100%	33	100%	27	100%	1	100%	0	0%	90	100%

Table 15-2. Frequency of Combs, by Material and Context

Context	Complete	Fragment
	n	n
Domestic Bldgs	0	9
Public Occup	0	5
Grave	15	18
Cemetery Area	0	3
Military Bldgs	0	0
Well	0	1
Other Features	0	33
Unstrat/Residual	0	16
Not Reported	0	21
TOTAL	15	106

Table 16-1. Frequency of Mirrors, by Context and Completeness

Context	Complete	Fragment
	n	n
Domestic Bldgs	1	155
Public Occup	1	107
Grave	33	22
Cemetery Area	2	13
Military Bldgs	0	10
Well	0	1
Other Features	3	130
Unstrat/Residual	0	129
Not Reported	2	5
TOTAL	42	572

Table16-3. Frequency of Glass Unguent Containers, by Context and Completeness

Context	Complete	Fragment
	n	n
Domestic Bldgs	1	3 3
Public Occup	0	3
Grave	6	34
Cemetery Area	0	3
Military Bldgs	0	0
Well	0	0
Other Features	0	5
Unstrat/Residual	0	3
Not Reported	2	30
TOTAL	9	81

Table 16-2. Frequency of Combs, by Context and Completeness

Context	Complete	Fragment
	n	n
Domestic Bldgs	2	0
Public Occup	1	0
Grave	3	0
Cemetery Area	5	0
Military Bldgs	0	0
Well	0	0
Other Features	5	1
Unstrat/Residual	0	0
Not Reported	13	4
TOTAL	29	5

Table16-4. Frequency of Bronze Cosmetic Grinders, by Context and Completeness

Site	Mirrors	Context		Material	
					Not
			Speculum	Glass	Reported
	n		n	n	n
London	2	Grave	1	1	0
London	2	Grave	2	0	0
London	2	Grave	2	0	0
St Albans	2	Other Features	0	0	2
St Albans	2	Other Features	0	0	2
Winchester	2	Grave	2	0	0
TOTAL			7	1	4

Table17-1. Multiple Depositions of Mirrors, by Material, Site and Context

Site	Combs	Context	Material								
						Not					
			Wood	Bone	Antler	Reported					
	n		n	n	n	n					
London	2	Not Reported	1	1	0	0					
London	2	Not Reported	0	2	0	0					
TOTAL			1	3	0	0					

Table 17-2. Multiple Depositions of Combs, by Material, Site and Context

Dates				Mat	erial				TOT	AL
	Specu	lum	Gla	iss	Silver/l	Silver/Bronze		ported		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
LIA	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%
LIA/ERB	6	7%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	6	5%
LIA/RB	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
ERB	28	35%	1	50%	1	100%	13	35%	43	36%
RB	15	19%	1	50%	0	0%	2	5%	18	15%
LRB	3	4%	0	0%	0	0%	7	19%	10	8%
Unstrat/Residual	6	7%	0	0%	0	0%	10	27%	16	13%
Not Reported	22	27%	0	0%	0	0%	5	14%	27	22%
TOTAL	81	100%	2	100%	1	100%	37	100%	121	100%

Table 18-1. Frequency of Mirrors, by Material and Context Dates

Dates		I				erial					TOT	AL
	Woo	od	Box	ne	Ant	ler	Ivo	ory	Not Rep	orted		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
LIA	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
LIA/ERB	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
LIA/RB	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
ERB	1	3%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	2	2%
RB	3	10%	0	0%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	4	4%
LRB	2	7%	24	73%	22	81%	0	0%	0	0%	48	53%
Unstrat/Residual	0	0%	1	3%	2	7%	0	0%	0	0%	3	3%
Not Reported	23	79%	8	24%	2	7%	0	0%	0	0%	33	37%
TOTAL	29	100%	33	100%	27	100%	1	100%	0	0%	90	100%

Table 18-2. Frequency of Combs, by Material and Context Dates

Site			For	ms			TOT	'AL
	Circu	ılar	Rectan	ıgular	Not Re	ported		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Canterbury	9	24%	1	8%	3	4%	13	11%
Chichester	4	11%	5	38%	1	1%	10	8%
Colchester	7	18%	1	8%	4	6%	12	10%
London	12	32%	4	31%	37	53%	53	44%
Silchester	1	3%	0	0%	2	3%	3	2%
St Albans	3	8%	0	0%	20	29%	23	19%
Winchester	2	5%	2	15%	3	4%	7	6%
TOTAL	38	100%	13	100%	70	100%	121	100%
% All Mirrors	31%		11%		58%			

Table 19-1. Frequency of Mirrors, by Form and Site

Site		Forms							
	Single	Piece	Compos	ite P'ce	Not Re	ported			
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Canterbury	0	0%	1	2%	1	3%	2	2%	
Chichester	0	0%	1	2%	1	3%	2	2%	
Colchester	0	0%	10	20%	0	0%	10	11%	
London	2	50%	5	10%	30	81%	37	41%	
Silchester	0	0%	1	2%	0	0%	1	1%	
St Albans	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Winchester	2	50%	31	63%	5	14%	38	42%	
TOTAL	4	100%	49	100%	37	100%	90	100%	
% All Combs	4%		54%		41%				

Table 19-2. Frequency of Combs, by Form and Site

Site		Forms	Forms						
	Mortar	Pestle	Sets						
	n	n	n	n					
Canterbury	0	0	1	1					
Chichester	1	1	1	3					
Colchester	16	3	1	20					
London	1	5	2	8					
Silchester	0	0	0	0					
St Albans	0	0	2	2					
Winchester	0	0	0	0					
TOTAL	18	9	7	34					
% All Bronze	53%	26%	21%	<u> </u>					

Cosmetic Grinders

Table 19-4. Frequency of Bronze Cosmetic Grinders, by Form and Site

Context			For	ms			TOT	AL
	Circu	ılar	Rectan	gular	Not Re	ported		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Domestic Bldgs	5	13%	0	0%	4	6%	9	7%
Public Occup	1	3%	0	0%	4	6%	5	4%
Grave	15	39%	6	46%	12	17%	33	27%
Cemetery Area	0	0%	0	0%	3	4%	3	2%
Military Bldgs	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Well	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	1	1%
Other Features	11	29%	4	31%	18	26%	33	27%
Unstrat/Residual	4	11%	3	23%	9	13%	16	13%
Not Reported	2	5%	0	0%	19	27%	21	17%
TOTAL	38	100%	13	100%	70	100%	121	100%

Table 20-1. Frequency of Mirrors, by Form and Context

Context			For	ms			TOT	AL
	Single	Piece	Compos	ite P'ce	Not Rep	ported		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Domestic Bldgs	1	25%	3	6%	0	0%	4	4%
Public Occup	0	0%	2	4%	1	3%	3	3%
Grave	1	25%	37	76%	2	5%	40	44%
Cemetery Area	1	25%	1	2%	1	3%	3	3%
Military Bldgs	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Well	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Other Features	0	0%	3	6%	2	5%	5	6%
Unstrat/Residual	0	0%	2	4%	1	3%	3	3%
Not Reported	1	25%	1	2%	30	81%	32	36%
TOTAL	4	100%	49	100%	37	100%	90	100%

Table 20-2. Frequency of Combs, by Form and Context

Context		Total		
	Mortar	Pestle	Sets	
	n	n	n	n
Domestic Bldgs	0	1	1	2
Public Occup	0	0	1	1
Grave	0	0	3	3
Cemetery Area	4	1	0	5
Military Bldgs	0	0	0	0
Well	0	0	0	0
Other Features	4	2	0	6
Unstrat/Residual	0	0	0	0
Not Reported	10	5	2	17
TOTAL	18	9	7	34

Table 20-4. Frequency of Bronze Cosmetic Grinders, by Form and Context

Site	Mirrors	Context		Forms	
			Circular	Rectangular	Not Reported
	n		n	n	n
London	2	Grave	2	0	0
London	2	Grave	0	0	2
London	2	Grave	1	1	0
Winchester	2	Grave	1	1	0
St Albans	2	Other Features	0	0	2
St Albans	2	Other Features	0	0	2
TOTAL	12		4	2	6

Table 21-1. Multiple Depositions of Mirrors, by Form, Site and Context

Site	Combs	Context		Forms	
			Single Piece	Composite P'ce	Not Reported
	n		n	n	n
London		Not Reported			2
London	2	Not Reported			2
TOTAL	4		0	0	4

Table 21-2. Multiple Depositions of Combs, by Form, Site and Context

Material			For	ms			TOT	TOTAL	
	Circu	ılar	Rectar	Rectangular		ported			
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Speculum	29	76%	11	85%	41	59%	81	67%	
Glass	1	3%	0	0%	1	1%	2	2%	
Silver/Bronze	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	1	1%	
Not Reported	8	21%	2	15%	27	39%	37	31%	
TOTAL	38	100%	13	100%	70	100%	121	100%	

Table 22-1. Frequency of Mirrors, by Form and Material

Material		Forms							
	Single	Piece	Composite P'ce		Not Re	ported			
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Wood	2	50%	2	4%	25	68%	29	32%	
Bone	1	25%	24	49%	8	22%	33	37%	
Antler	0	0%	23	47%	4	11%	27	30%	
Ivory	1	25%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	
Not Reported	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
TOTAL	4	100%	49	100%	37	100%	90	100%	

Table 22-2. Frequency of Combs, by Form and Material

Dates				TOTAL				
	Circular		Rectar	Rectangular Not Re		ported		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
LIA	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	1	1%
LIA/ERB	1	3%	0	0%	5	7%	6	5%
LIA/RB	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
ERB	18	47%	6	46%	19	27%	43	36%
RB	10	26%	3	23%	5	7%	18	15%
LRB	1	3%	0	0%	9	13%	10	8%
Unstrat/Residual	4	11%	3	23%	9	13%	16	13%
Not Reported	4	11%	1	8%	22	31%	27	22%
TOTAL	38	100%	13	100%	70	100%	121	100%

Table 23-1. Frequency of Mirrors, by Form and Context Dates

Dates				TOTAL				
	Single	Piece	Compos	ite P'ce	Not Rep	ported		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
LIA	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
LIA/ERB	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
LIA/RB	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
ERB	1	25%	0	0%	1	3%	2	2%
RB	1	25%	2	4%	1	3%	4	4%
LRB	1	25%	44	90%	3	8%	48	53%
Unstrat/Residual	0	0%	2	4%	1	3%	3	3%
Not Reported	1	25%	1	2%	31	84%	33	37%
TOTAL	4	100%	49	100%	37	100%	90	100%

Table 23-2. Frequency of Combs, by Form and Context Dates

Dates		Forms		Total
	Mortar	Pestle	Sets	
	n	n	n	n
LIA	0	0	0	0
LIA/ERB	0	0	1	1
LIA/RB	0	0	0	0
ERB	1	2	1	4
RB	3	1	3	7
LRB	0	1	0	1
Unstrat/Residual	0	0	0	0
Not Reported	14	5	2	21
TOTAL	18	9	7	34

Table 23-4. Frequency of Bronze Cosmetic Grinders, by Form and Context Dates

Site		Types		TOTAL
	Handles	Box Lid	Not Reported	
	n	n	n	n
Canterbury	3	0	10	13
Chichester	0	0	10	10
Colchester	3	0	9	12
London	2	1	50	53
Silchester	1	0	2	3
St Albans	2	0	21	23
Winchester	2	0	5	7
TOTAL	13	1	107	121

% All Mirrors 11% 1% 88%

Table 24-1. Frequency of Mirrors by Type and Site

Site		Types		TOTAL
	Single	Double	Not	
	Sided	Sided	Reported	
	n	n	n	n
Canterbury	1	1	0	2
Chichester	0	1	1	2
Colchester	0	10	0	10
London	2	21	14	37
Silchester	0	1	0	1
St Albans	0	0	0	0
Winchester	4	20	14	38
TOTAL	7	54	29	90

% All Combs 8% 60% 32%

Table 24-2. Frequency of Combs by Type and Site

Context		Type		TOTAL
			Not	
	Handles	Box Lid	Reported	
	n	n	n	n
Domestic Bldgs	1	0	8	9
Public Occup	0	0	5	5
Grave	8	1	24	33
Cemetery Area	0	0	3	3
Military Bldgs	0	0	0	0
Well	0	0	1	1
Other Features	3	0	30	33
Unstrat/Residual	1	0	15	16
Not Reported	0	0	21	21
TOTAL	13	1	107	121

Table 25-1. Frequency of Mirrors by Type and Context

Context		Type		TOTAL
	Single	Double	Not	
	Sided	Sided	Reported	
	n	n	n	n
Domestic Bldgs	2	2	0	4
Public Occup	1	2	0	3
Grave	2	28	10	40
Cemetery Area	1	1	1	3
Military Bldgs	0	0	0	0
Well	0	0	0	0
Other Features	0	3	2	5
Unstrat/Residual	0	2	1	3
Not Reported	1	16	15	32
TOTAL	7	54	29	90

Table 25-2. Frequency of Combs by Type and Context

Site	Mirrors	Context		Туре	
			Handle	Box Lid	Not Reported
	n		n	n	n
London	2	Grave	0	1	1
London	2	Grave	0	0	2
London	2	Grave	0	0	2
Winchester	2	Grave	1	0	1
St Albans	2	Other Features	0	0	2
St Albans	2	Other Features	0	0	2
TOTAL	12		1	1	10

Table 26-1. Multiple Depositions of Mirrors, by Type, Site and Context

Site	Combs	Context	Туре					
			Single-Sided	Not Reported				
	n		n	n	n			
London	2	Not Reported	0	0	2			
London	2	Not Reported	0	0	2			
TOTAL	4		0	0	4			

Table 26-2. Multiple Depositions of Combs, by Type, Site and Context

Material				TOT	ΆL			
	Handle		Box Lid		Not Re	ported		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Speculum	10	77%	1	100%	70	65%	81	67%
Glass	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%	2	2%
Silver/Bronze	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	1	1%
Not Reported	3	23%	0	0%	34	32%	37	31%
TOTAL	13	100%	1	100%	107	100%	121	100%

Table 27-1. Frequency of Mirrors, by Type and Material

Material		Туре						TOTAL	
	Single-Sided		Double	-Sided	Not Re	ported	oorted		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Wood	1	14%	18	33%	10	34%	29	32%	
Bone	3	43%	17	31%	13	45%	33	37%	
Antler	3	43%	18	33%	6	21%	27	30%	
Ivory	0	0%	1	2%	0	0%	1	1%	
Not Reported	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
TOTAL	7	100%	54	100%	29	100%	90	100%	

Table 27-2. Frequency of Combs, by Type and Material

Form			TOTAL					
	Hand	lles	Box Lid		Not Reported			
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Circular	11	85%	1	100%	26	24%	38	31%
Rectangular	0	0%	0	0%	13	12%	13	11%
Not Reported	2	15%	0	0%	68	64%	70	58%
TOTAL	13	100%	1	100%	107	100%	121	100%

Table 28-1. Frequency of Mirrors, by Type and Form

Form		Type							
	Single-Sided		Double-Sided		Not Re	ported			
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Single Piece	2	29%	2	4%	0	0%	4	4%	
Composite P'ce	4	57%	36	67%	9	31%	49	54%	
Not Reported	1	14%	16	30%	20	69%	37	41%	
TOTAL	7	100%	54	100%	29	100%	90	100%	

Table 28-2. Frequency of Combs, by Type and Form

Dates			Tyj	pes			TOT	AL
	Hand	lles	Box Lid		Not Reported			
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
LIA	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	1	1%
LIA/ERB	1	8%	0	0%	5	5%	6	5%
LIA/RB	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
ERB	6	46%	1	100%	36	34%	43	36%
RB	1	8%	0	0%	17	16%	18	15%
LRB	1	8%	0	0%	9	8%	10	8%
Unstrat/Residual	1	8%	0	0%	15	14%	16	13%
Not Reported	3	23%	0	0%	24	22%	27	22%
TOTAL	13	100%	1	100%	107	100%	121	100%

Table 29-1. Frequency of Mirrors by Type and Context Dates

Dates			Ty	pe			TOT	'AL
	Single-Sided		Double	-Sided	Not Re	ported		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
LIA	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
LIA/ERB	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
LIA/RB	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
ERB	0	0%	2	4%	0	0%	2	2%
RB	1	14%	2	4%	1	3%	4	4%
LRB	5	71%	32	59%	11	38%	48	53%
Unstrat/Residual	0	0%	2	4%	1	3%	3	3%
Not Reported	1	14%	16	30%	16	55%	33	37%
TOTAL	7	100%	54	100%	29	100%	90	100%

Table 29-2. Frequency of Combs, by Type and Context Dates

Site				Zoomorp	hic Types				TOTAL
								Not	
	Bird	Cat	Cattle	Dragon	Horse	Marine	Animal	Reported	
	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n
Canterbury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	13
Chichester	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	10
Colchester	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	12
London	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	53	53
Silchester	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3
St Albans	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23	23
Winchester	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	6	7
TOTAL	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	120	121
% All Mirrors				1%				99%	

Table 30-1. Frequency of Mirrors by Zoomorphic Type and Site

Site				Zoomorp	hic Types				TOTAL
	Bird	Cat	Cattle	Dragon	Horse	Marine	Animal	Not Reported	
	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n
Canterbury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
Chichester	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
Colchester	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	7	10
London	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	37	37
Silchester	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
St Albans	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Winchester	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	34	38
TOTAL	1	0	0	0	3	0	3	83	90
% All Mirrors	1%				3%		3%	92%	•

Table 30-2. Frequency of Combs by Zoomorphic Type and Site

Site				Zoomorp	hic Types				TOTAL
								Not	
	Bird	Cat	Cattle	Dragon	Horse	Marine	Animal	Reported	
	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n
Canterbury	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	47	54
Chichester	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	16	17
Colchester	0	0	0	0	0	15	0	301	316
London	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	154	160
Silchester	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	14	16
St Albans	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	47	48
Winchester	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3
TOTAL	1	0	0	0	0	31	0	582	614

% All Glass Unguent
Containers 0% 5% 95%

Table 30-3. Frequency of Glass Unguent Containers by Zoomorphic Type and Site

Site				Zoomorp	hic Types				TOTAL
								Not	
	Bird	Cat	Cattle	Dragon	Horse	Marine	Animal	Reported	
	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n
Canterbury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Chichester	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	3
Colchester	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	16	20
London	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	7	8
Silchester	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
St Albans	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	2
Winchester	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	1	1	6	0	0	0	0	26	34

% All Bronze Cosmetic
Grinders 3% 3% 18% 76%

Table 30-4. Frequency of Bronze Cosmetic Grinders by Zoomorphic Type and Site

Context				Zoomorp	hic Types				TOTAL
								Not	
	Bird	Cat	Cattle	Dragon	Horse	Marine	Animal	Reported	
	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n
Domestic Bldgs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	13
Public Occup	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	10
Grave	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	12	13
Cemetery Area	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military Bldgs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Well	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	53	53
Other Features	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3
Unstrat/Residual	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23	23
Not Reported	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	6
TOTAL	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	120	121

Table 31-1. Frequency of Mirrors by Zoomorphic Type and Context

Context				Zoomorp	hic Types				TOTAL
								Not	
	Bird	Cat	Cattle	Dragon	Horse	Marine	Animal	Reported	
	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n
D D11	11	n	11	11	11	11	11	11	n
Domestic Bldgs	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	4
Public Occup	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3
Grave	1	0	0	0	2	0	1	36	40
Cemetery Area	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	3
Military Bldgs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Well	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Features	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5
Unstrat/Residual	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3
Not Reported	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	32	32
TOTAL	1	0	0	0	3	0	3	83	90

Table 31-2. Frequency of Combs, by Zoomorphic Type and Context

Context				Zoomorp	hic Types				TOTAL
								Not	
	Bird	Cat	Cattle	Dragon	Horse	Marine	Animal	Reported	
	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n
Domestic Bldgs	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	151	156
Public Occup	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	102	108
Grave	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	52	55
Cemetery Area	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	15
Military Bldgs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	10
Well	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Other Features	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	126	133
Unstrat/Residual	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	120	129
Not Reported	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	5	7
TOTAL	1	0	0	0	0	31	0	582	614

Table 31-3. Frequency of Glass Unguent Containers, by Zoomorphic Type and Context

Context				Zoomorp	hic Types				TOTAL
								Not	
	Bird	Cat	Cattle	Dragon	Horse	Marine	Animal	Reported	
	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n
Domestic Bldgs	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	2
Public Occup	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Grave	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	3
Cemetery Area	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	3	5
Military Bldgs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Well	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Features	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	4	6
Unstrat/Residual	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Not Reported	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	15	17
TOTAL	1	1	6	0	0	0	0	26	34

Table 31-4. Frequency of Bronze Cosmetic Grinders, by Zoomorphic Type and Context

	1	١
- 7	-	

Material				Zoomorp	hic Types				TOTAL
								Not	
	Bird	Cat	Cattle	Dragon	Horse	Marine	Animal	Reported	
	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n
Speculum	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	80	81
Glass	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
Silver/Bronze	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Not Reported	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	37	37
TOTAL	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	120	121

Table 32-1. Frequency of Mirrors, by Zoomorphic Type and Material

Material				Zoomorp	hic Types				TOTAL
								Not	
	Bird	Cat	Cattle	Dragon	Horse	Marine	Animal	Reported	
	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n
Wood	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	29	29
Bone	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	33	33
Antler	1	0	0	0	3	0	3	20	27
Ivory	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Not Reported	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	1	0	0	0	3	0	3	83	90

Table 32-2. Frequency of Combs, by Zoomorphic Type and Material

-	$\overline{}$
•	•
	Ν

Form		Zoomorphic Types									
								Not			
	Bird	Cat	Cattle	Dragon	Horse	Marine	Animal	Reported			
	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n		
Circular	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	37	38		
Rectangular	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	13		
Not Reported	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	70	70		
TOTAL	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	120	121		

Table 33-1. Frequency of Mirrors, by Zoomorphic Type and Form

Form		Zoomorphic Types									
								Not			
	Bird	Cat	Cattle	Dragon	Horse	Marine	Animal	Reported			
	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n		
Single Piece	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	4		
Composite Piec	1	0	0	0	3	0	3	42	49		
Not Reported	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	37	37		
TOTAL	1	0	0	0	3	0	3	83	90		

Table 33-2. Frequency of Combs, by Zoomorphic Type and Form

Form		Zoomorphic Types									
								Not			
	Bird	Cat	Cattle	Dragon	Horse	Marine	Animal	Reported			
	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n		
Mortar	1	0	4	0	0	0	0	13	18		
Pestle	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	9		
Sets **	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	4	7		
TOTAL	1	1	6	0	0	0	0	26	34		

Table 33-4. Frequency of Bronze Cosmetic Grinders, by Zoomorphic Type and Form

^{**} In the Sets it is only the Mortar that shows the Zoomorphic Type

Object Type				Zoomorp	hic Types				TOTAL
								Not	
	Bird	Cat	Cattle	Dragon	Horse	Marine	Animal	Reported	
	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n
Handle	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	12	13
Box Lid	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Not Reported	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	107	107
TOTAL	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	120	121

Table 34-1. Frequency of Mirrors, by Zoomorphic Type and Object Type

Object Type		Zoomorphic Types									
								Not			
	Bird	Cat	Cattle	Dragon	Horse	Marine	Animal	Reported			
	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n		
Single-Sided	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	6	7		
Double-Sided	1	0	0	0	2	0	3	48	54		
Not Reported	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	29	29		
TOTAL	1	0	0	0	3	0	3	83	90		

Table 34-2. Frequency of Combs, by Zoomorphic Type and Object Type

Dates				Zoomorp	hic Types				TOTAL
								Not	
	Bird	Cat	Cattle	Dragon	Horse	Marine	Animal	Reported	
	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n
LIA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
LIA/ERB	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	6
LIA/RB	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ERB	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	42	43
RB	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	18
LRB	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	10
Unstrat/Residual	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	16
Not Reported	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	27	27
TOTAL	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	120	121

Table 35-1. Frequency of Mirrors, by Zoomorphic Type and Date

Dates				Zoomorp	hic Types				TOTAL
								Not	
	Bird	Cat	Cattle	Dragon	Horse	Marine	Animal	Reported	
	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n
LIA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
LIA/ERB	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
LIA/RB	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ERB	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
RB	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4
LRB	1	0	0	0	3	0	2	42	48
Unstrat/Residual	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3
Not Reported	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	33	33
TOTAL	1	0	0	0	3	0	3	83	90

Table 35-2. Frequency of Combs, by Zoomorphic Type and Date

Dates				Zoomorp	hic Types				TOTAL
								Not	
	Bird	Cat	Cattle	Dragon	Horse	Marine	Animal	Reported	
	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n
LIA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
LIA/ERB	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
LIA/RB	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
ERB	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	234	241
RB	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	116	122
LRB	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	97	105
Unstrat/Residual	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	120	129
Not Reported	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	11	13
TOTAL	1	0	0	0	0	31	0	582	614

Table 35-3. Frequency of Glass Unguent Containers, by Zoomorphic Type and Date

Dates				Zoomorp	hic Types				TOTAL
								Not	
	Bird	Cat	Cattle	Dragon	Horse	Marine	Animal	Reported	
	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n
LIA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
LIA/ERB	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
LIA/RB	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ERB	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	4
RB	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	7
LRB	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Unstrat/Residual	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Not Reported	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	18	21
TOTAL	1	1	6	0	0	0	0	26	34

Table 35-4. Frequency of Bronze Cosmetic Grinders, by Zoomorphic Type and Date

Site	Colour			TOTAL
			Not	
	Coloured	Colourless	Reported	
	n	n	n	n
Canterbury	42	6	6	54
Chichester	15	0	2	17
Colchester	289	24	3	316
London	136	13	11	160
Silchester	15	1	0	16
St Albans	45	2	1	48
Winchester	2	0	1	3
TOTAL	544	46	24	614

Table 36-3. Frequency of Glass Unguent Containers, by Colour and Site

Context	Colour			TOTAL
			Not	
	Coloured	Colourless	Reported	
	n	n	n	n
Domestic Bldgs	147	8	1	156
Public Occup	100	6	2	108
Grave	36	12	7	55
Cemetery Area	9	2	4	15
Military Bldgs	10	0	0	10
Well	1	0	0	1
Other Features	121	8	4	133
Unstrat/Residual	117	10	2	129
Not Reported	3	0	4	7
TOTAL	544	46	24	614

Table 37-3. Frequency of Glass Unguent Containers, by Colour and Context

						Not
Site	Sub-Site	Ung Cont	Context	Col	C'less	Reported
		n		n	n	
Colchester	St Mary's Hospital	3	Grave	0	0	3
	Beverley Rd	2	Grave	2	0	0
	Stanway	2	Grave	1	1	0
London	15-23 Southwark St	8	Other Features	8	0	0
	Leadenhall Court	5	Other Features	5	0	0
	15-23 Southwark St	4	Other Features	4	0	0
	15-23 Southwark St	3	Domestic Bldgs	3	0	0
	15-23 Southwark St	3	Domestic Bldgs	3	0	0
	201-211 Borough High St	3	Other Features	3	0	0
	Watling St	3	Grave	3	0	0
	15-23 Southwark St	2	Other Features	2	0	0
	15-23 Southwark St	2	Domestic Bldgs	2	0	0
	15-23 Southwark St	2	Grave	2	0	0
	Leadenhall Court	2	Domestic Bldgs	2	0	0
	Leadenhall Court	2	Domestic Bldgs	2	0	0
	Leadenhall Court	2	Other Features	2	0	0
	Leadenhall Court	2	Domestic Bldgs	2	0	0
	199 Borough High St	2	Other Features	2	0	0
	199 Borough High St	2	Other Features	1	1	0
	Eastern Cemetery	2	Grave	2	0	0
	Eastern Cemetery	2	Grave	1	1	0
	106-114 Borough High St	2	Domestic Bldgs	2	0	0
	Watling St	2	Grave	1	1	0
	Spitalfield	2	Grave	0	2	0
Silchester	Forum Basilica	2	Domestic Bldgs	2	0	0
	Forum Basilica	2	Domestic Bldgs	2	0	0
	Forum Basilica	2	Domestic Bldgs	2	0	0
	Forum Basilica	2	Domestic Bldgs	2	0	0
St Albans	Lower Slope, Folly Lane	3	Grave	3	0	0

Table 38-3. Multiple Depositions of Glass Unguent Containers, by Colour, Site, Sub-site and Context

Zoomorphic Type	Colour			TOTAL
			Not	
	Coloured	Colourless	Reported	
	n	n	n	n
Bird	1	0	0	1
Cat	0	0	0	0
Cattle	0	0	0	0
Dragon	0	0	0	0
Horse	0	0	0	0
Marine	27	0	4	31
Animal	0	0	0	0
Not Reported	516	46	20	582
TOTAL	544	46	24	614

Table 39-3. Frequency of Glass Unguent Containers, by Colour and Zoomorphic Type

Date	Colour			TOTAL
			Not	
	Coloured	Colourless	Reported	
	n	n	n	n
LIA	0	0	0	0
LIA/ERB	2	0	0	2
LIA/RB	1	1	0	2
ERB	223	12	6	241
RB	103	10	9	122
LRB	91	13	1	105
Unstrat/Residual	117	10	2	129
Not Reported	7	0	6	13
TOTAL	544	46	24	614

Table 40-3. Frequency of Glass Unguent Containers, by Colour and Date

Site	Star	TOTAL	
	Yes	No	
	n	n	n
Canterbury	0	2	2
Chichester	0	2	2
Colchester	0	10	10
London	1	36	37
Silchester	0	1	1
St Albans	0	0	0
Winchester	0	38	38
TOTAL	1	89	90

Table 41-2. Frequency of Combs, Stamped and Site

Site	Star	TOTAL	
	Yes	No	
	n	n	n
Canterbury	0	54	54
Chichester	2	15	17
Colchester	0	316	316
London	3	157	160
Silchester	0	16	16
St Albans	0	48	48
Winchester	0	3	3
TOTAL	5	609	614

Table 41-3. Frequency of Glass Unguent Containers, Stamped and Site

Context	Star	Stamped				
	Yes	No				
	n	n	n			
Domestic Bldgs	0	4	4			
Public Occup	0	3	3			
Grave	0	40	40			
Cemetery Area	0	3	3			
Military Bldgs	0	0	0			
Well	0	0	0			
Other Features	0	5	5			
Unstrat/Residual	0	3	3			
Not Reported	1	31	32			
TOTAL	1	89	90			

Table 42-2. Frequency of Combs, Stamped and Context

Context	Star	nped	TOTAL
	Yes	No	
	n	n	n
Domestic Bldgs	0	156	156
Public Occup	0	108	108
Grave	2	53	55
Cemetery Area	0	15	15
Military Bldgs	0	10	10
Well	0	1	1
Other Features	2	131	133
Unstrat/Residual	0	129	129
Not Reported	1	6	7
TOTAL	5	609	614

Table 42-3. Frequency of Glass Unguent Containers, Stamped and Context

Material	Star	nped	TOTAL
	Yes	No	
	n	n	n
Wood	1	28	29
Bone	0	33	33
Antler	0	27	27
Ivory	0	1	1
Not Reported	0	0	0
TOTAL	1	89	90

Table 43-2. Frequency of Combs, Stamped and Material

Form	Star	TOTAL	
	Yes	No	
	n	n	n
Single Piece	0	4	4
Composite Piece	0	49	49
Not Reported	1	36	37
TOTAL	1	89	90

Table 44-2. Frequency of Combs, Stamped and Form

Type	Star	Stamped				
	Yes	Yes No				
	n	n	n			
Single-Sided	0	7	7			
Double-Sided	1	53	54			
Not Reported	0	29	29			
TOTAL	1	89	90			

Table 45-2. Frequency of Combs, Stamped and Type

Zoomorphic Type	Sta	mped	TOTAL
	Yes	No	
	n	n	n
Bird	0	1	1
Cat	0	0	0
Cattle	0	0	0
Dragon	0	0	0
Horse	0	3	3
Marine	0	0	0
Animal	0	3	3
Not Reported	1	82	83
TOTAL	1	89	90

Table 46-2. Frequency of Combs, Stamped and Zoomorphic Type

Zoomorphic Type	Sta	mped	TOTAL
	Yes	No	
	n	n	n
Bird	0	1	1
Cat	0	0	0
Cattle	0	0	0
Dragon	0	0	0
Horse	0	0	0
Marine	0	31	31
Animal	0	0	0
Not Reported	5	577	582
TOTAL	5	609	614

Table 46-3. Frequency of Glass Unguent Containers, Stamped and Zoomorphic Type

Colour	Stan	TOTAL	
	Yes	No	
	n	n	n
Coloured	3	541	544
Colourless	0	46	46
Not Reported	2	22	24
TOTAL	5	609	614

Table 47-3. Frequency of Glass Unguent Containers, Stamped and Coloured

Date	Sta	mped	TOTAL
	Yes	No	
	n	n	n
LIA	0	0	0
LIA/ERB	0	0	0
LIA/RB	0	0	0
ERB	0	2	2
RB	0	4	4
LRB	0	48	48
Unstrat/Residual	0	3	3
Not Reported	1	32	33
TOTAL	1	89	90

Table 48-2. Frequency of Combs, Stamped and Date

Date	Sta	mped	TOTAL
	Yes	No	
	n	n	n
LIA	0	0	0
LIA/ERB	0	2	2
LIA/RB	0	2	2
ERB	2	239	241
RB	2	120	122
LRB	0	105	105
Unstrat/Residual	0	129	129
Not Reported	1	12	13
TOTAL	5	609	614

Table 48-3. Frequency of Glass Unguent Containers, Stamped and Date

Site	Decoration									TOT	AL	
	Circu	lar	Abstra	act	Circ + Ab	stract	Pictor	ial	No Dec/Not	Reported		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Canterbury	4	24%	1	25%	0%	0%	0	0%	8	8%	13	11%
Chichester	0	0%	0	0%	0%	0%	0	0%	10	10%	10	8%
Colchester	4	24%	1	25%	0%	0%	0	0%	7	7%	12	10%
London	7	41%	0	0%	0%	0%	1	100%	45	45%	53	44%
Silchester	0	0%	1	25%	0%	0%	0	0%	2	2%	3	2%
St Albans	2	12%	1	25%	0%	0%	0	0%	20	20%	23	19%
Winchester	0	0%	0	0%	0%	0%	0	0%	7	7%	7	6%
TOTAL	17	100%	4	100%	0%	0%	1	100%	99	100%	121	100%
% All Mirrors	14%		3%		0%		1%		82%			

Table 49-1. Frequency of Mirrors, by Decoration and Site

Site		Decoration									TOT	AL
	Circu	lar	Abstr	act	Circ + Abstract		Pictorial		No Dec/Not Reported			
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Canterbury	1	11%	1	11%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%
Chichester	1	11%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	2%	2	2%
Colchester	1	11%	3	33%	3	33%	0	0%	3	5%	7	9%
London	0	0%	0	0%	1	11%	0	0%	36	57%	36	44%
Silchester	0	0%	1	11%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%
St Albans	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Winchester	6	67%	4	44%	5	56%	0	0%	23	37%	33	41%
TOTAL	9	100%	9	100%	9	100%	0	0%	63	100%	81	100%
% All Combs	10%		10%		10%		0%		70%			

Table 49-2. Frequency of Combs, by Decoration and Site

Containers

Site					Decor	ration					TOT	AL
	Circu	lar	Abstra	act	Circ + A	bstract	Pictor	rial	No Dec/Not	Reported		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Canterbury	5	26%	1	3%	0	0%	1	33%	47	8%	54	9%
Chichester	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	17	3%	17	3%
Colchester	8	42%	26	79%	3	50%	2	67%	277	50%	316	51%
London	2	11%	0	0%	1	17%	0	0%	157	28%	160	26%
Silchester	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	16	3%	16	3%
St Albans	4	21%	6	18%	2	33%	0	0%	36	7%	48	8%
Winchester	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	1%	3	0%
TOTAL	19	100%	33	100%	6	100%	3	100%	553	100%	614	100%
% All Glass Unguent	3%		5%		1%		0%		90%			

Table 49-3. Frequency of Glass Unguent Containers, by Decoration and Site

Site					Decor	ation					TOT	AL
	Circula	ır	Abstra	act	Circ + Ab	stract	Pictori	al	No Dec/Not	Reported		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Canterbury	0	0%	1	8%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	3%
Chichester	0	0%	1	8%	0	0%	0	0%	2	9%	3	9%
Colchester	0	0%	7	58%	0	0%	0	0%	13	59%	20	59%
London	0	0%	2	17%	0	0%	0	0%	6	27%	8	24%
Silchester	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
St Albans	0	0%	1	8%	0	0%	0	0%	1	5%	2	6%
Winchester	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
TOTAL	0	0%	12	100%	0	0%	0	0%	22	100%	34	100%
% All Bronze Cosmetic	0%		35%		0%		0%		65%			
Grinders												

Table 49-4. Frequency of Bronze Cosmetic Grinders, by Decoration and Site

Context					Decor	ated					TOTA	AL
	Circu	lar	Abstr	act	Circ + Ab	stract	Picto	rial	No Dec/Not	Reported		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Domestic Bldgs	2	12%	1	25%	0	0%	0	0%	6	6%	9	7%
Public Occup	2	12%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	3%	5	4%
Grave	5	29%	1	25%	0	0%	1	100%	26	26%	33	27%
Cemetery Area	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	3%	3	2%
Military Bldgs	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Well	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	1	1%
Other Features	6	35%	2	50%	0	0%	0	0%	25	25%	33	27%
Unstrat/Residual	2	12%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	14	14%	16	13%
Not Reported	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	21	21%	21	17%
TOTAL	17	100%	4	100%	0	0%	1	100%	99	100%	121	100%

Table 50-1. Frequency of Mirrors, by Decoration and Context

Context					Deco	rated					TOT	AL
	Circu	lar	Abst	ract	Circ + A	bstract	Picto	orial	No Dec/No	t Reported		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Domestic Bldgs	0	0%	1	11%	1	11%	0	0%	2	3%	4	4%
Public Occup	1	11%	1	11%	0	0%	0	0%	1	2%	3	3%
Grave	8	89%	3	33%	6	67%	0	0%	23	37%	40	44%
Cemetery Area	0	0%	0	0%	1	11%	0	0%	2	3%	3	3%
Military Bldgs	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Well	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Other Features	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	5	8%	5	6%
Unstrat/Residual	0	0%	1	11%	0	0%	0	0%	2	3%	3	3%
Not Reported	0	0%	3	33%	1	11%	0	0%	28	44%	32	36%
TOTAL	9	100%	9	100%	9	100%	0	0%	63	100%	90	100%

Table 50-2. Frequency of Combs, by Decoration and Context

Context					Deco	rated					TOT	AL
	Circul	ar	Abstr	act	Circ + A	bstract	Pictor	rial	No Dec/Not	Reported		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Domestic Bldgs	5	26%	11	33%	3	50%	1	33%	136	25%	156	25%
Public Occup	3	16%	8	24%	0	0%	0	0%	97	18%	108	18%
Grave	3	16%	4	12%	1	17%	0	0%	47	8%	55	9%
Cemetery Area	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	14	3%	15	2%
Military Bldgs	0	0%	1	3%	0	0%	0	0%	9	2%	10	2%
Well	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%
Other Features	3	16%	2	6%	1	17%	1	33%	126	23%	133	22%
Unstrat/Residual	4	0%	7	21%	1	17%	1	33%	116	21%	129	21%
Not Reported	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	7	1%	7	1%
TOTAL	19	79%	33	100%	6	100%	3	100%	553	100%	614	100%

Table 50-3. Frequency of Glass Unguent Containers, by Decoration and Context

Context					Deco	rated					TOT	AL
	Circula	ar	Abstr	act	Circ + Al	ostract	Picto	rial	No Dec/No	t Reported		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Domestic Bldgs	0	0%	1	8%	0	0%	0	0%	1	5%	2	6%
Public Occup	0	0%	1	8%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	3%
Grave	0	0%	2	17%	0	0%	0	0%	1	5%	3	9%
Cemetery Area	0	0%	2	17%	0	0%	0	0%	3	14%	5	15%
Military Bldgs	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Well	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Other Features	0	0%	1	8%	0	0%	0	0%	5	23%	6	18%
Unstrat/Residual	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Not Reported	0	0%	5	42%	0	0%	0	0%	12	55%	17	50%
TOTAL	0	0%	12	100%	0	0%	0	0%	22	100%	34	100%

Table 50-4. Frequency of Bronze Cosmetic Grinders, by Decoration and Context

Site	Mirrors	Context			Decorate	d		TOTAL
					Circ +		No Dec/ Not	
			Circular	Abstract	Abstract	Pictorial	Reported	
	n		n	n	n	n	n	n
London	2	Grave	1	0	0	0	1	2
London	2	Grave	0	0	0	0	2	2
London	2	Grave	0	0	0	1	1	2
Winchester	2	Grave	0	0	0	0	2	2
St Albans	2	Other Features	0	0	0	0	2	2
St Albans	2	Other Features	0	0	0	0	2	2
TOTAL	12							

Table 51-1. Multiple Depositions of Mirrors, by Decoration, Site and Context

Site	Combs	Context			Decorate	d		TOTAL
					Circ +		No Dec/ Not	
			Circular	Abstract	Abstract	Pictorial	Reported	
	n		n	n	n	n	n	n
London	2	Not Reported	0	0	0	0	2	2
London	2	Not Reported	0	0	0	0	2	2
TOTAL	4							

Table 51-2. Multiple Depositions of Combs, by Decoration, Site and Context

Site	Flasks	Context			Decorat	ed		TOTAL
					Circ +		No Dec/ Not	
			Circular	Abstract	Abstract	Pictorial	Reported	
			Circular	riostract	Hostract	Tictoriur	reported	
	n		n	n	n	n	n	n
Colchester	3	Grave	0	0	0	0	3	3
	2		0	0	0	0	2	2
	2	Grave	0	0	0	0	2	2
	0			0	0			
London		Other Features	0	0	0	0	8	8
	5		0	0	0	0	5	5
		Other Features	0	0	0	0	4	4
		Domestic Bldgs	0	0	0	0	3	3
		Domestic Bldgs	0	0	0	0	3	3
	3		0	0	0	0	3	3
		Grave	0	0	0	0	3	3
		Other Features	0	0	0	0	2	2
		Domestic Bldgs	0	0	0	0	2	2
		Grave	0	0	0	0	2	2
	2	Domestic Bldgs	0	0	0	0	2	2
	2	Domestic Bldgs	0	0	0	0	2	2
	2	Other Features	0	0	0	0	2	2
	2	Domestic Bldgs	0	0	0	0	2	2
	2	Other Features	0	0	0	0	2	2
	2	Other Features	0	0	0	0	2	2
	2	Grave	0	0	0	0	2	2
	2	Grave	0	0	0	0	2	2
	2	Domestic Bldgs	0	0	0	0	2	2
		Grave	0	0	0	0	2	2
	2	Grave	0	0	1	0	1	2
Silchester	2	Domestic Bldgs	0	0	0	0	2	2
SHOHOSTOL		Domestic Bldgs Domestic Bldgs	0	0	0	0	2	2
		Domestic Bldgs Domestic Bldgs	0	0	0	0	2	2
		Domestic Bldgs Domestic Bldgs	0	0	0	0	2	2
~								
St Albans		Grave	0	0	0	0	3	3
TOTAL	75							

Table 51-3. Multiple Depositions of Glass Unguent Containers, by Decoration, Site and Context

Material			Decoration			TOTAL
					No Dec/	
			Circ +		Not	
	Circular	Abstract	Abstract	Pictorial	Reported	
	n	n	n	n	n	n
Speculum	13	4	0	1	63	81
Glass	0	0	0	0	2	2
Silver/Bronze	0	0	0	0	1	1
Not Reported	4	0	0	0	33	37
TOTAL	17	4	0	1	99	121

Table 52-1. Frequency of Mirrors, by Decoration and Material

Material			Decoration			TOTAL
					No Dec/	
			Circ +		Not	
	Circular	Abstract	Abstract	Pictorial	Reported	
	n	n	n	n	n	n
Wood	0	3	0	0	26	29
Bone	6	1	2	0	24	33
Antler	3	5	7	0	12	27
Ivory	0	0	0	0	1	1
Not Reported	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	9	9	9	0	63	90

Table 52-2. Frequency of Combs, by Decoration and Material

Form			Decoration			TOTAL
					No Dec/	
			Circ +		Not	
	Circular	Abstract	Abstract	Pictorial	Reported	
	n	n	n	n	n	n
Circular	15	4	0	1	18	38
Rectangular	0	0	0	0	13	13
Not Reported	2	0	0	0	68	70
TOTAL	17	4	0	1	99	121

Table 53-1. Frequency of Mirrors, by Decoration and Form

Form		Decoration					
					No Dec/		
			Circ +		Not		
	Circular	Abstract	Abstract	Pictorial	Reported		
	n	n	n	n	n	n	
Single Piece	0	1	1	0	2	4	
Composite Piece	8	6	8	0	27	49	
Not Reported	1	2	0	0	34	37	
TOTAL	9	9	9	0	63	90	

Table 53-2. Frequency of Combs, by Decoration and Form

Form		Decoration					
					No Dec/		
			Circ +		Not		
	Circular	Abstract	Abstract	Pictorial	Reported		
	n	n	n	n	n	n	
Mortar	0	7	0	0	11	18	
Pestle	0	0	0	0	9	9	
Sets **	0	5	0	0	2	7	
TOTAL	0	12	0	0	22	34	

Table 53-4. Frequency of Bronze Cosmetic Grinders, by Decoration and Form

^{**} There is one Set with Abstract Decoration on both Mortar and Pestle, and four Sets where the Abstract Decoration is only on the Mortar

Type			Decoration			TOTAL	
					No Dec/		
			Circ +		Not		
	Circular	Abstract	Abstract	Pictorial	Reported		
	n	n	n	n	n	n	
Handle	3	2	0	0	8	13	
Box Lid	0	0	0	1	0	1	
Total	3	2	0	1	8	14	
Not Reported							
				Total		121	

Table 54-1. Frequency of Mirrors, by Decoration and Type

Туре		Decoration						
					No			
			Circ +		Dec/Not			
	Circular	Abstract	Abstract	Pictorial	Reported			
	n	n	n	n	n	n		
Single-Sided	1	0	3	0	3	7		
Double-Sided	8	6	6	0	34	54		
TOTAL	9	6	9	0	37	61		
Not Reported								
Total								

Table 54-2. Frequency of Combs, by Decoration and Type

Zoomorphic Type			Decoration			TOTAL
					No Dec/	
			Circ +		Not	
	Circular	Abstract	Abstract	Pictorial	Reported	
	n	n	n	n	n	n
Bird	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cat	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cattle	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dragon	0	0	0	0	1	1
Horse	0	0	0	0	0	0
Marine	0	0	0	0	0	0
Animal	0	0	0	0	0	0
Not Reported	17	4	0	1	98	120
TOTAL	17	4	0	1	99	121

Table 55-1. Frequency of Mirrors, by Decoration and Zoomorphic Type

Zoomorphic Type		Decoration						
					No Dec/			
			Circ +		Not			
	Circular	Abstract	Abstract	Pictorial	Reported			
	n	n	n	n	n	n		
Bird	0	0	0	0	1	1		
Cat	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Cattle	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Dragon	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Horse	1	0	2	0	0	3		
Marine	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Animal	0	1	2	0	0	3		
Not Reported	8	8	5	0	62	83		
TOTAL	9	9	9	0	63	90		

Table 55-2. Frequency of Combs, by Decoration and Zoomorphic Type

87

Zoomorphic Type			Decoration			TOTAL
					No Dec/	
			Circ +		Not	
	Circular	Abstract	Abstract	Pictorial	Reported	
	n	n	n	n	n	n
Bird	0	0	0	0	1	1
Cat	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cattle	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dragon	0	0	0	0	0	0
Horse	0	0	0	0	0	0
Marine	1	2	0	0	28	31
Animal	0	0	0	0	0	0
Not Reported	18	31	6	3	524	582
TOTAL	19	33	6	3	553	614

Table 55-3. Frequency of Glass Unguent Containers, by Decoration and Zoomorphic Type

Zoomorphic Type		Decoration					
					No Dec/		
			Circ +		Not		
	Circular	Abstract	Abstract	Pictorial	Reported		
	n	n	n	n	n	n	
Bird	0	0	0	0	1	1	
Cat	0	1	0	0	0	1	
Cattle	0	4	0	0	2	6	
Dragon	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Horse	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Marine	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Animal	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Not Reported	0	7	0	0	19	26	
TOTAL	0	12	0	0	22	34	

Table 55-4. Frequency of Bronze Cosmetic Grinders, by Decoration and Zoomorphic Type

Colour		Decoration					
					No Dec/		
			Circ +		Not		
	Circular	Abstract	Abstract	Pictorial	Reported		
	n	n	n	n	n	n	
Coloured	15	30	3	3	493	544	
Colourless	3	3	3	0	37	46	
Not Reported	1	0	0	0	23	24	
TOTAL	19	33	6	3	553	614	

Table 56-3. Frequency of Glass Unguent Containers, by Decoration and Colour

Stamped		Decoration					
					No Dec/		
			Circ +		Not		
	Circular	Abstract	Abstract	Pictorial	Reported		
	n	n	n	n	n	n	
Yes	0	0	0	0	1	1	
No	9	9	9	0	62	89	
TOTAL	9	9	9	0	63	90	

Table 57-2. Frequency of Combs, by Decoration and Stamp

Stamped		Decoration						
					No Dec/			
			Circ +		Not			
	Circular	Abstract	Abstract	Pictorial	Reported			
	n	n	n	n	n	n		
Yes	0	0	0	0	5	5		
No	19	33	6	3	548	609		
TOTAL	19	33	6	3	553	614		

Table 57-3. Frequency of Glass Unguent Containers, by Decoration and Stamp

Dates		D	ecoration			TOTAL
					No Dec/	
			Circ +		Not	
	Circular	Abstract	Abstract	Pictorial	Recorded	
	n	n	n	n	n	n
LIA	0	0	0	0	1	1
LIA/ERB	0	1	0	0	5	6
LIA/RB	0	0	0	0	0	0
ERB	10	2	0	1	30	43
RB	4	0	0	0	14	18
LRB	1	0	0	0	9	10
Unstrat/Residual	2	0	0	0	14	16
Not Reported	0	1	0	0	26	27
TOTAL	17	4	0	1	99	121

Table 58-1. Frequency of Mirrors, by Decoration and Context Dates

Dates	Decoration					TOTAL
					No Dec/	
			Circ +		Not	
	Circular	Abstract	Abstract	Pictorial	Recorded	
	n	n	n	n	n	n
LIA	0	0	0	0	0	0
LIA/ERB	0	0	0	0	0	0
LIA/RB	0	0	0	0	0	0
ERB	0	0	0	0	2	2
RB	0	1	0	0	3	4
LRB	9	4	8	0	27	48
Unstrat/Residual	0	1	0	0	2	3
Not Reported	0	3	1	0	29	33
TOTAL	9	9	9	0	63	90

Table 58-2. Frequency of Combs, by Decoration and Context Dates

Dates		D	ecoration			TOTAL
					No Dec/	
			Circ +		Not	
	Circular	Abstract	Abstract	Pictorial	Recorded	
	n	n	n	n	n	n
LIA	0	0	0	0	0	0
LIA/ERB	1	0	0	0	1	2
LIA/RB	0	0	0	0	2	2
ERB	5	11	2	1	222	241
RB	1	8	0	1	112	122
LRB	7	6	3	0	89	105
Unstrat/Residual	4	7	1	1	116	129
Not Reported	1	1	0	0	11	13
TOTAL	19	33	6	3	553	614

Table 58-3. Frequency of Glass Unguent Containers, by Decoration and Context Dates

Dates		Decoration				
					No Dec/	
			Circ +		Not	
	Circular	Abstract	Abstract	Pictorial	Recorded	
	n	n	n	n	n	n
LIA	0	0	0	0	0	0
LIA/ERB	0	0	0	0	1	1
LIA/RB	0	0	0	0	0	0
ERB	0	2	0	0	2	4
RB	0	4	0	0	3	7
LRB	0	0	0	0	1	1
Unstrat/Residual	0	0	0	0	0	0
Not Reported	0	6	0	0	15	21
TOTAL	0	12	0	0	22	34

Table 58-4. Frequency of Bronze Cosmetic Grinders, by Decoration and Context Dates

Site	Covers	& Cases	Total
	Frames	Covers	
	n	n	n
Canterbury	0	0	0
Chichester	1	2	3
Colchester	0	0	0
London	0	1	1
Silchester	0	0	0
St Albans	0	0	0
Winchester	3	0	3
Total	4	3	7
	Not Reporte	ed	114
	TOTAL		121

Table 59-1. Frequency of Mirrors, by Covers and Cases, and Site

Context	Covers	Total	
	Frames	Covers	
	n	n	n
Domestic Bldgs	0	0	0
Public Occup	0	0	0
Grave	4	2	6
Cemetery Area	0	0	0
Military Bldgs	0	0	0
Well	0	0	0
Other Features	0	0	0
Unstrat/Residual	0	0	0
Not Reported	0	1	1
Total	4	3	7
	114		
	TOTAL		121

Table 60-1. Frequency of Mirrors, by Covers and Cases, and Context

Site	Mirrors	Context	Covers a	nd Cases
			Frames	Covers
	n		n	n
London	2	Grave	0	0
London	2	Grave	0	0
London	2	Grave	0	0
Winchester	2	Grave	1	0
St Albans	2	Other Features	0	0
St Albans	2	Discard Area	0	0
TOTAL	12			

Table 61-1. Multiple Depositions of Mirrors by Covers and Cases, Site and Context

Material	Covers	Covers & Cases		
	Frames	Covers		
	n	n	n	
Speculum	4	3	7	
Glass	0	0	0	
Silver/Bronze	0	0	0	
Not Reported	0	0	0	
Total	4	3	7	
	Not Reporte	d	114	
	121			

Table 62-1. Frequency of Mirrors, by Covers and Cases, and Material

Form	Covers	Covers & Cases		
	Frames	Covers		
	n	n	n	
Cirular	1	0	1	
Rectangle	3	2	5	
Not Reported	0	1	1	
Total	4	3	7	
Not Reported			114	
TOTAL			121	

Table 63-1. Frequency of Mirrors, by Covers and Cases, and Form

Type	Covers	Total	
	Frames	Covers	
	n	n	n
Handles	1	0	1
Box Lid	0	0	0
Not Reported	3	3	6
Total	4	3	7
Not Reported			114
TOTAL			121

Table 64-1. Frequency of Mirrors, by Covers and Cases, and Type

Decoration	Covers	Total	
	Frames	Covers	
	n	n	n
Circular	0	0	0
Abstract	0	0	0
Pictorial	0	0	0
No Decoration	4	3	7
Total	4	3	7
	Not Reporte	d	114
	121		

Table 65-1. Frequency of Mirrors, by Covers and Cases, and Decoration

Date	Covers	Total	
	Frames	Covers	
	n	n	n
LIA	0	0	0
LIA/ERB	0	0	0
LIA/RB	0	0	0
ERB	3	1	4
RB	1	1	2
LRB	0	0	0
Unstrat/Residual	0	0	0
Not Reported	0	1	1
Total	4	3	7
	Not Reporte	d	114
	TOTAL		121

Table 66-1. Frequency of Mirrors, by Covers and Cases, and Context Dates

			APPENDIX 2	
G*4			SET REFERI	
Site name	Sub-site	Region	Site Period*	Data-Set References
Canterbury	41, St George's Street	Kent	MP	Blockley, P., 1988. Excavations at 41, St George's Street, Canterbury, 1985. Archaeologia Cantiana, 106, 59-155.
Canterbury	5, New Street, St. Dunstan's	Kent	RB	Taylor, M., 1985. 5, New Street, St. Dunstan's. <i>Archaeologia Cantiana</i> , 102, 247-248.
Canterbury	Area Y, Burgate Street	Kent	MP	Charlesworth D. and Price, A. J., 1987. The Roman and Saxon glass. <i>In:</i> Frere, S. S., Bennett, P., Rady, J. and Stow, S., eds. <i>Canterbury excavations: intra-and extra-mural sites, 1949-55 and 1980-84. Volume VIII.</i> Maidstone: Canterbury Archaeological Trust, 220-231.
Canterbury	Cakebread Robey	Kent	RB	Jackson, R., 1985. Cosmetic sets from late Iron Age and Roman Britain. <i>Britannia</i> , 16, 165-192.
Canterbury	Castle (CXXIV) Castle Trench III	Kent	MP	Charlesworth D. and Price, A. J., 1987. The Roman and Saxon glass. <i>In:</i> Frere, S. S., Bennett, P., Rady, J. and Stow, S., eds. <i>Canterbury excavations: intra-and extra-mural sites, 1949-55 and 1980-84. Volume VIII.</i> Maidstone: Canterbury Archaeological Trust, 220-231.
Canterbury	Church Lane	Kent	MP	Frere, S. S., Stow, S. and Bennett, P., 1982. Excavations on the Roman and Medieval Defences of Canterbury. Maidstone: Canterbury Archaeology Trust.
Canterbury	Cranmer House, London Road	Kent	MP	Frere, S. S., Bennett, P., Rady, J. and Stow, S., 1987. <i>Canterbury excavations: intra-and extra-mural sites, 1949-55 and 1980-84. Volume VIII.</i> Maidstone: Canterbury Archaeological Trust.
Canterbury	Defences 90ft South of the Riding Gate	Kent	RB	Frere, S. S., Stow, S. and Bennett, P., 1982. Excavations on the Roman and Medieval Defences of Canterbury. Maidstone: Canterbury Archaeology Trust.
Canterbury	Land adjacent to nos 10-16 Wincheap	Kent	MP	Shand, G., 2003. Land adjacent to nos 10-16, Wincheap. <i>Canterbury's Archaeology</i> , 2002-2003, 16-17.

Canterbury	Linacre Garden	Kent	MP	Driver, J. C., Rady, J. and Sparks, M., 1990. Excavations in the cathedral precincts, 2, Linacre Gardens, 'Meister Omers' and St Gabriel's Chapel. Maidstone: Canterbury Archaeological Trust.
Canterbury	Sessions House, Longport	Kent	MP	Keppie, L. J. F., Esmonde Cleary, A. S., Hassall, M. W. C., Tomlin, R. S. O. and Burnham, B. C., 1998. Roman Britain 1997. <i>Britannia</i> , 29, 431.
Canterbury	Marlowe Car Park	Kent	MP	Blockley, K., Blockley, M., Blockley, P., Frere, S. and Stow, S., 1995. Excavations in the Marlowe Car Park and surrounding areas. Volume V. Part II: the finds. Maidstone: Canterbury Archaeological Trust.
Canterbury	Rosemary Lane Car Park	Kent	MP	Bennett, P., Frere, S. S. and Stow, S., 1982. Excavations at Canterbury Castle. Volume I. Maidstone: Canterbury Archaeological Trust.
Canterbury	St Augustine's Abbey	Kent	RB	Lloyd-Morgan, G., 1984. Some mirrors from Roman Canterbury. <i>Archaeologia Cantiana</i> , 99, 231-236. Sherlock, D. and Woods, H., 1988. <i>St Augustine's Abbey: the report on excavations, 1960-78.</i> Maidstone: Kent Archaeological Society.
Canterbury	St Dunstan's Terrace	Kent	RB	Diack, M., 2002. St Dunstan's Terrace. <i>Canterbury's Archaeology</i> , 2001-2002, 14-17.
Canterbury	Site near to St Edmunds Church	Kent	RB	Lloyd-Morgan, G., 1984. Some mirrors from Roman Canterbury. <i>Archaeologia Cantiana</i> , 99, 231-236.
Canterbury	St Gabriel's Chapel	Kent	MP	Driver, J. C., Rady, J. and Sparks, M., 1990. Excavations in the cathedral precincts, 2, Linacre Gardens, 'Meister Omers' and St Gabriel's Chapel. Maidstone: Canterbury Archaeological Trust.
Canterbury	St George Street Bath-Building	Kent	MP	Charlesworth D. and Price, A. J., 1987. The Roman and Saxon glass. <i>In:</i> Frere, S. S., Bennett, P., Rady, J. and Stow, S., eds. <i>Canterbury excavations: intra-and extra-mural sites, 1949-55 and 1980-84. Volume VIII.</i> Maidstone: Canterbury Archaeological Trust, 220-231.

Canterbury	St George's Church	Kent	MP	Canterbury Archaeology Trust, 1992. The Canterbury Lane trench, St George's Church. <i>Archaeologia Cantiana</i> , 110, 361-362.
Canterbury	St George's Lane	Kent	MP	Charlesworth D. and Price, A. J., 1987. The Roman and Saxon glass. <i>In:</i> Frere, S. S., Bennett, P., Rady, J. and Stow, S., eds. <i>Canterbury excavations: intra-and extra-mural sites, 1949-55 and 1980-84. Volume VIII.</i> Maidstone: Canterbury Archaeological Trust, 220-231.
Canterbury	St George's Street	Kent	MP	Charlesworth D. and Price, A. J., 1987. The Roman and Saxon glass. <i>In:</i> Frere, S. S., Bennett, P., Rady, J. and Stow, S., eds. <i>Canterbury excavations: intra-and extra-mural sites, 1949-55 and 1980-84. Volume VIII.</i> Maidstone: Canterbury Archaeological Trust, 220-231.
Canterbury	St John's Lane	Kent	MP	Frere, S. S., Bennett, P., Rady, J. and Stow, S., 1987. <i>Canterbury excavations: intra-and extra-mural sites, 1949-55 and 1980-84. Volume VIII.</i> Maidstone: Canterbury Archaeological Trust.
Canterbury	South-west Angle of Canterbury Town Wall, Westgate Gardens	Kent	RB	Johns, C. M., and Potter, T. W., 1985. The Canterbury Late Roman Treasure. Antiquaries Journal, 65, Part II, 312-352.
Canterbury	The Apsed Building	Kent	MP	Charlesworth D. and Price, A. J., 1987. The Roman and Saxon glass. <i>In:</i> Frere, S. S., Bennett, P., Rady, J. and Stow, S., eds. <i>Canterbury excavations: intra-and extra-mural sites, 1949-55 and 1980-84. Volume VIII.</i> Maidstone: Canterbury Archaeological Trust, 220-231.
Canterbury	West Side of Canterbury Lane	Kent	RB	Charlesworth D. and Price, A. J., 1987. The Roman and Saxon glass. <i>In:</i> Frere, S. S., Bennett, P., Rady, J. and Stow, S., eds. <i>Canterbury excavations: intra-and extra-mural sites, 1949-55 and 1980-84. Volume VIII.</i> Maidstone: Canterbury Archaeological Trust, 220-231.
Canterbury	Westgate Court Farm	Kent	MP	Lloyd-Morgan, G., 1984. Some mirrors from Roman Canterbury. <i>Archaeologia Cantiana</i> , 99, 231-236. Bennett, P., 1982. Old Westgate Court Farm site. <i>Canterbury Archaeological Trust Annual Report</i> , 1981-1982, 11-12.

Canterbury	Wincheap roundabout, Canterbury Castle, Martyrs Field	Kent	MP	Anderson, A. and Blockley, P. 1987. Station Road East. <i>Canterbury's</i> <i>Archaeology</i> , 1986-7, 20.
Chichester	Cattlemarket	West Sussex	RB	Down, A., 1989. <i>Chichester Excavations</i> 6. Chichester: Phillimore.
Chichester	Central Car Park David Greig	West Sussex	MP	Down, A., 1974. <i>Chichester Excavations</i> 2. Chichester: Phillimore.
Chichester	Central Girls School and Clemens Yard, North-West Quadrant	West Sussex	MP	Down, A., 1978. <i>Chichester Excavations</i> 3. Chichester: Phillimore.
Chichester	Chapel Street NW Quadrant	West Sussex	MP	Down, A., 1981. <i>Chichester Excavations</i> 5. Chichester: Phillimore.
Chichester	City Walls West Walls	West Sussex	MP	Down, A. and Magilton, J., 1993. Chichester Excavations 8. Chichester: Chichester District Council.
Chichester	County Hall	West Sussex	MP	Down, A., 1989. <i>Chichester Excavations</i> 6. Chichester: Phillimore.
Chichester	East Pallant House	West Sussex	MP	Down, A., 1989. <i>Chichester Excavations</i> 6. Chichester: Phillimore.
Chichester	Eastgate Needlemakers	West Sussex	MP	Down, A., 1981. <i>Chichester Excavations</i> 5. Chichester: Phillimore.
Chichester	Gospel Hall Site North-West Quadrant	West Sussex	MP	Down, A., 1978. <i>Chichester Excavations</i> 3. Chichester: Phillimore.
Chichester	Purchase's Garden and land rear of Chapel St North-West Quadrant	West Sussex	MP	Down, A., 1978. <i>Chichester Excavations</i> 3. Chichester: Phillimore.
Chichester	St Pancras	West Sussex	MP	Down, A. and Rule, M., 1971. <i>Chichester Excavations 1</i> . Chichester: Chichester Civic Society Excavations Committee
Chichester	Theological College Westgate	West Sussex	MP	Down, A. and Magilton, J., 1993. Chichester Excavations 8. Chichester: Chichester District Council.
Chichester	Tower Street Car Park North-West Quadrant	West Sussex	MP	Down, A., 1978. <i>Chichester Excavations</i> 3. Chichester: Phillimore.

Colchester	21-31, Long Wyre Street	Essex	MP	Brooks, H., 2004. Excavations at 21-31 Long Wyre Street, Colchester, Essex, in 1998. <i>In</i> : Crummy, P., ed. <i>Journal of the</i> <i>Colchester Archaeological Trust</i> . Colchester: Colchester Archaeological Trust, 26-52.
Colchester	32-34, Lexden Road, Sovereign Crescent	Essex	RB	Esmonde Cleary, A. S., 1999. <i>Britannia</i> , 30, 353.
Colchester	Abbey Field	Essex	LIA/ERB	Crossan, C., 2001. Roman burial ground. Cremation cemetery under a sports field. <i>The Colchester Archaeologist</i> , 14, 5-7.
Colchester	Angel Yard	Essex	MP	Shimmin, D. and Carter, G., 1996. Excavations at Angel Yard, High Street, Colchester, 1986 and 1989. <i>Essex</i> <i>Archaeology and History</i> , 27, 35-83.
Colchester	Area J1, North of the Colchester Garrison.	Essex	RB	Jackson, R., 2006. Colchester, cosmetic sets and context. <i>In:</i> Ottaway, P., ed. <i>A victory celebration: papers on the archaeology of Colchester.</i> Colchester: Friends of Colchester Archaeological Trust, 105-112.
Colchester	Balkerne Gardens	Essex	MP	Cool, H. E. M. and Price, J., 1995. Colchester archaeological report 8. Roman vessel glass from excavations in Colchester, 1971-1985. Colchester: Colchester Archaeological Trust.
Colchester	Balkerne Lane	Essex	ERB/LRB	Crummy, N., 1983. Colchester archaeological report 2: The Roman small finds from excavations in Colchester 1971-9. Colchester: Colchester Archaeological Trust.
Colchester	Beverley Road, Lexden	Essex	RB	Eckardt, C., 1999. The Colchester 'child's grave'. <i>Britannia</i> , 30, 57-90.
Colchester	Butt Road	Essex	MP	Crummy, N., 1983. Colchester archaeological report 2. The Roman small finds from excavations in Colchester 1971- 9. Colchester: Colchester Archaeological Trust.
Colchester	Castle Park Bowling Green	Essex	RB	Jackson, R., 1985. Cosmetic sets from late Iron Age and Roman Britain. <i>Britannia</i> , 16, 165-192.
Colchester	Cemetery Area Outside Balkerne Gate.	Essex	RB	Jackson, R., 1985. Cosmetic sets from late Iron Age and Roman Britain. <i>Britannia</i> , 16, 165-192.

Colchester	Colchester Lead Coffin Grave.	Essex	RB	Price, J. and Cottam, S., 1998. Romano-British glass vessels: a handbook. York: Council for British Archaeology. Brailsford, J. W., 1958. Guide to the antiquities of Roman Britain. London: Trustees of the British Museum.
Colchester	Crouched Friars	Essex	MP	Crummy, N., 1985. Report on the small finds from Crouched Friars, Colchester. <i>Colchester Archaeological Group Annual Bulletin</i> , 28, 36-39.
Colchester	Culver Street	Essex	MP	Cool, H. E. M. and Price, J., 1995. Colchester archaeological report 8. Roman vessel glass from excavations in Colchester, 1971-1985. Colchester: Colchester Archaeological Trust.
Colchester	Cups Hotel	Essex	MP	Crummy, N., 1983. Colchester archaeological report 2. The Roman small finds from excavations in Colchester 1971- 9. Colchester: Colchester Archaeological Trust.
Colchester	Hyderabad Barracks	Essex	LIA/RB	Sealey, P. R., 2006. Two new decorated Iron Age mirror finds from Essex. <i>In:</i> Ottaway, P., ed. <i>A victory celebration:</i> papers on the archaeology of Colchester. Colchester: Friends of Colchester Archaeological Trust, 11-18.
Colchester	Lexden	Essex	LIA/ERB	Orr, K. and Crummy, P., 2004. New light on an old problem. <i>The Colchester Archaeologist</i> , 17, 2-7.
Colchester	Lion Walk	Essex	MP	Crummy, N., 1983. Colchester archaeological report 2. The Roman small finds from excavations in Colchester 1971- 9. Colchester, Colchester Archaeological Trust Ltd.
Colchester	Long Wyre Street	Essex	MP	Crummy, N., 1983. Colchester archaeological report 2. The Roman small finds from excavations in Colchester 1971- 9. Colchester: Colchester Archaeological Trust.
Colchester	Maldon Road	Essex	MP	Crummy, N., 1983. Colchester archaeological report 2. The Roman small finds from excavations in Colchester 1971- 9. Colchester: Colchester Archaeological Trust.
Colchester	Middleborough	Essex	MP	Crummy, N., 1983. Colchester archaeological report 2. The Roman small finds from excavations in Colchester 1971- 9. Colchester: Colchester Archaeological Trust.

Colchester	Near Balkerne Lane.	Essex	RB	Portable Antiquities Scheme online
Colchester	Not reported	Essex	LIA/RB	Jackson, R., 1985. Cosmetic sets from late Iron Age and Roman Britain. <i>Britannia</i> , 16, 165-192.
Colchester	Osborne Street	Essex	MP	Shimmin, D., 1994. Excavations at Osborne Street, Colchester. <i>Essex Archaeology and History</i> , 25, 49-59.
Colchester	Roman Theatre, Gosbecks	Essex	RB	Dunnett, R., 1971. The excavation of the Roman theatre at Gosbecks. <i>Britannia</i> , 2, 27-47.
Colchester	Sheepen	Essex	LIA/ERB	Niblett, R., 1985. Sheepen: an early Roman industrial site at Camulodunum. London: Council for British Archaeology (Council for British Archaeology Research Report 57).
Colchester	Somerford, 147, Lexden Road	Essex	RB	Brasier, M. D., 1985. Excavations at 147 Lexden Road, Colchester. <i>Essex</i> <i>Archaeology and History</i> , 16, 145-149.
Colchester	St Mary's Hospital	Essex	RB	Colchester Archaeology Trust, 2002. Major excavations begin at St Mary's Hospital. <i>The Colchester Archaeologist</i> , 15, 10-15.
				Crummy, N., 2006. Worshipping Mercury on Balkerne Hill, Colchester. <i>In:</i> Ottaway, P., ed. <i>A victory celebration: papers on the archaeology of Colchester.</i> Colchester: Friends of Colchester Archaeological Trust, 55-68.
Colchester	Stanway	Essex	LIA/ERB	Crummy, P., 1997. Colchester: the Stanway burials. <i>Current Archaeology</i> , 153, 337-343.
Colchester	The Gilberd School	Essex	MP	Cool, H. E. M. and Price, J., 1995. Colchester archaeological report 8. Roman vessel glass from excavations in Colchester, 1971-1985. Colchester: Colchester Archaeological Trust.
London	1 Poultry	City of London	MP	London Archaeological Archive and Research Centre online Pugsley, P., 2003. <i>Roman domestic wood</i> Oxford: Archaeopress (British
				Archaeological Report International Series 1118).

London	10 Arthur Street	City of London	MP	London Archaeological Archive and Research Centre online
				Frere, S. S., Hassall, M. W. C. and Tomlin, R. S. O., 1986. Roman Britain 1985. <i>Britannia</i> , 17, 407.
London	10 Milk Street	City of London	MP	London Archaeological Archive and Research Centre online
				Perring, D., Roskams, S. and Allen, P., 1991. Early development of Roman London west of the Walbrook. The archaeology of Roman London volume 2. London: Museum of London and CBA. (Council for British Archaeology Research Report 70).
London	10-12 Copthall Avenue	City of London	RB	London Archaeological Archive and Research Centre online
				Schofield, J. and Maloney, C., 1998. Archaeology in the City of London 1907- 1991. London: Museum of London.
London	11-11A Pudding Lane	City of London	MP	London Archaeological Archive and Research Centre online
				Bateman, N. and Milne, G., 1983. A Roman harbour in London: excavations and observations near Pudding Lane, City of London 1979-82. <i>Britannia</i> , 14, 207-226.
London	145-146 Leadenhall Street	City of London	RB	London Archaeological Archive and Research Centre online
				Frere, S. S. and Tomlin, R. S. O., 1991. Roman Britain 1990. <i>Britannia</i> , 22, 268.
London	1-6 Milk Street	City of London	MP	London Archaeological Archive and Research Centre online
				Perring, D., Roskams, S. and Allen, P., 1991. Early development of Roman London west of the Walbrook. The archaeology of Roman London volume 2. London: Museum of London and Council of British Archaeology (Council for British Archaeology, Research Report 70).
London	168 Fenchurch Street	City of London	RB	Dunwoodie, L., 2004. <i>Pre-Boudiccan and later activity on the site of the forum.</i> London: Museum of London Archaeology Service.

London	1-7 Whittington Avenue	City of London	MP	London Archaeological Archive and Research Centre online
				Frere, S. S. and Tomlin, R. S. O., 1991. Roman Britain 1990. <i>Britannia</i> , 22, 268.
London	20-30 Aldgate	City of London	MP	Chapman, H. and Johnson, T., 1973. Excavations at Aldgate and Bush Lane House in the City of London, 1972. Transactions of the London and Middlesex Archaeological Society, 24, 1-73.
London	2-3 Cross Keys Court	City of London	MP	London Archaeological Archive and Research Centre online.
				Frere, S. S., Hassall, M. W. C. and Tomlin, R. S. O., 1983. Roman Britain 1982. <i>Britannia</i> , 14, 311.
London	23-25 Austin Friars	City of London	RB	London Archaeological Archive and Research Centre online
				Schofield, J. and Maloney, C., 1998. Archaeology in the City of London 1907- 1991. London: Museum of London.
London	24-25 Ironmonger Lane	City of London	MP	London Archaeological Archive and Research Centre online
				Perring, D., Roskams, S. and Allen, P., 1991. Early development of Roman London west of the Walbrook. The archaeology of Roman London volume 2. London: Museum of London and Council for British Archaeology (Council of British Archaeology Research Report 70).
London	24-30 West Smithfield	City of London	MP	London Archaeological Archive and Research Centre online
				Frere, S. S. and Tomlin, R. S. O., 1991. Roman Britain 1990. <i>Britannia</i> , 22, 271.
London	25-51 St Mary Axe, 9 Helen's Place	City of London	MP	London Archaeological Archive and Research Centre online
				Frere, S. S. and Tomlin, R. S. O., 1991. Roman Britain 1990. <i>Britannia</i> , 22, 267-268.
London	27-30 Lime Street	City of London	MP	London Archaeological Archive and Research Centre online
				Frere, S. S., Hassall, M. W. C. and Tomlin, R. S. O., 1985. Roman Britain 1984. <i>Britannia</i> , 16, 297.

London	274-280, 296- 306, Bishopsgate	City of London	MP	London Archaeological Archive and Research Centre online
				Frere, S. S. and Tomlin, R. S. O., 1991. Roman Britain 1990. <i>Britannia</i> , 22, 272.
London	28-34 Bishopsgate, 2-3 Crosby Square	City of London	MP	London Archaeological Archive and Research Centre online
				Frere, S. S. Hassall, M. W. C. and Tomlin, R. S. O., 1983. Roman Britain 1982. <i>Britannia</i> , 14, 311.
London	36-37 King Street	City of London	MP	London Archaeological Archive and Research Centre online
				Rowsome, P., 1987. Roman street life. <i>Archaeology Today</i> , 5, October, 22-25.
London	3-9 Newgate Street	City of London	MP	Pitt, K., 2006. Roman and medieval development south of Newgate. London: Museum of London Archaeology Service.
London	4 Billiter Street/34-35 Leadenhall Street	City of London	MP	Frere, S. S. and Tomlin, R. S. O., 1991. Roman Britain 1990. <i>Britannia</i> , 22, 268.
London	41-46 King William Street, 18-20 Fish Street	City of London	RB	Burnham, B. C., Keppie, L. J. F., Cleary, A. S., Hassall, M.W.C. and Tomlin, R.S.O., 1996. Roman Britain in 1995. <i>Britannia</i> , 27, 427.
London	43 London Wall	City of London	MP	London Archaeological Archive and Research Centre online
				Frere, S. S., Hassall, M. W. C. and Tomlin, R. S. O., 1985. Roman Britain 1984. <i>Britannia</i> , 16, 297.
London	48-50 Cannon Street	City of London	MP	Boddington, A., 1979. Excavations at 48-50 Cannon Street, City of London. Transactions of the London and Middlesex Archaeological Society, 30, 1-27.
London	5-12 Fenchurch Street, 1 Philpot Lane	City of London	MP	London Archaeological Archive and Research Centre online
				Hammer, F., 1985. Early Roman buildings in Fenchurch Street. <i>Popular Archaeology</i> , 6, 7-13.
London	55-61 Moorgate, 75-79 Coleman Street	City of London	MP	London Archaeological Archive and Research Centre online
				Frere, S. S., Hassall, M. W. C. and Tomlin, R. S. O., 1988. Roman Britain 1987. <i>Britannia</i> , 19, 463.

London	60 London Wall	City of London	MP	London Archaeological Archive and Research Centre online
				Frere, S. S. and Tomlin, R. S. O., 1991. Roman Britain 1990. <i>Britannia</i> , 22, 265-266.
London	7-10 Foster Lane, 5-6 Rose and Crown Court	City of London	MP	London Archaeological Archive and Research Centre online
				Frere, S. S., Hassall, M. W. C. and Tomlin, R. S. O., 1983. Roman Britain 1982. <i>Britannia</i> , 14, 312.
London	7-12 Aldersgate Street	City of London	MP	London Archaeological Archive and Research Centre online
				Frere, S. S., Hassall, M. W. C. and Tomlin, R. S. O., 1985. Roman Britain 1984. <i>Britannia</i> , 16, 296.
London	71-77 Leadenhall Street, 32-40 Mitre Street	City of London	MP	London Archaeological Archive and Research Centre online
				Riviere, S., 1985. The Excavation at Mitre Street. <i>Popular Archaeology</i> , 6 (12), 37-41.
London	76 Cannon Street	City of London	RB	London Archaeological Archive and Research Centre online
				Frere, S. S., Hassall, M. W. C. and Tomlin, R. S. O., 1987. Roman Britain 1986. <i>Britannia</i> , 18, 336.
London	76-86 Bishopsgate, Angel Inn	City of London	MP	London Archaeological Archive and Research Centre online
	Tanget sam			Schofield, J. and Maloney, C., 1998. Archaeology in the City of London 1907-1991. London: Museum of London.
London	8 Telegraph Street	City of London	MP	London Archaeological Archive and Research Centre online
				Schofield, J. and Maloney, C., 1998. Archaeology in the City of London 1907-1991. London: Museum of London.
London	9-19 Throgmorton Avenue	City of London	RB	London Archaeological Archive and Research Centre online
	Avenue			Frere, S. S., Hassall, M. W. C. and Tomlin, R. S. O., 1987. Roman Britain 1986. <i>Britannia</i> , 18, 336.

London	Abacus House, 33-39 Gutter Lane, 5-6 Carey Lane	City of London	MP	London Archaeological Archive and Research Centre online Schofield, J. and Maloney, C., 1998. Archaeology in the City of London 1907-1991. London: Museum of London.
London	Africa House, 42- 49 Leadenhall Street	City of London	MP	London Archaeological Archive and Research Centre online Woods, D., Rhodes, M. and Dyson, T.,
				1975. Africa House Sections, London 1973. Transactions of the London and Middlesex Archaeological Society, 26, 252-259.
London	Angel Court	City of London	MP	Blurton, T. R., 1977. Excavations at Angel Court, Walbrook, 1974. Transactions of the London and Middlesex Archaeological Society, 28, 14-99.
London	Atlantic House	City of London	MP	Watson, S., 2003. An excavation in the western cemetery of Roman London London: Museum of London Archaeology Service (Archaeology Studies Series 7). Frere, S. S. and Tomlin, R. S. O., 1991.
London	Baltic House	City of	MP	Roman Britain 1990. <i>Britannia</i> , 22, 271. Howe, E., 2002. <i>Roman defences and</i>
		London		medieval industry. Excavations at Baltic House, City of London. London: Museum of London Archaeology Service.
London	Baynard House, Queen Victoria Street	City of London	RB	London Archaeological Archive and Research Centre online Hill, C., Millett, M. and Blagg, T., 1980. The Roman riverside wall and monumental arch in London. London: London and Middlesex Archaeological Society (Special Paper 3).
London	Billingsgate Buildings Triangle	City of London	MP	London Archaeological Archive and Research Centre online Jones, D., 1980. Excavations at Billingsgate Buildings Triangle, Lower Thames Street, 1974. London: London and Middlesex Archaeological Society (Special Paper No 4).
London	Billingsgate Market Lorry Park, Lower Thames Street	City of London	MP	London Archaeological Archive and Research Centre online Brigham, T. and Hillam, J., 1990. The late Roman waterfront in London. <i>Britannia</i> , 21, 99-183.

London	Blossoms Inn, 20-27 Lawrence Lane, Near Cheapside	City of London	RB	Jackson, R., 1993. The function and manufacture of Romano-British cosmetic grinders: two important new finds from London. <i>Antiquaries Journal</i> , 73, 165-169.
London	Bucklersbury House (Temple of Mithras)	City of London	MP	Shepherd, J. D., 1998. The temple of Mithras, London. London: English Heritage (Archaeological Report 12). Jones, C. E. E., 1983. A review of Roman lead-alloy material. Transactions of London and Middlesex Archaeological
London	Cannon Street Station (South)	City of London	MP	Society, 34, 49-59. London Archaeological Archive and Research Centre online Frere, S. S. and Tomlin, R. S. O., 1991. Roman Britain 1990. <i>Britannia</i> , 22, 270.
London	Cannon Street Station (North)	City of London	MP	London Archaeological Archive and Research Centre online Frere, S. S. and Tomlin, R. S. O., 1991. Roman Britain 1990. <i>Britannia</i> , 22, 270.
London	Cheapside and Queen St	City of London	MP	London Archaeological Archive and Research Centre online Hill, J. and Woodger, A., 1999. Excavations at 72-75 Cheapside/83-93 Queen Street, City of London. London: Museum of London Archaeology Service.
London	Colchester House, Woodruffe House, Savage Gardens, Pepys Street, 9 Cooper's Row	City of London	MP	London Archaeological Archive and Research Centre online Burnham, B. C., Keppie, L. J. F., Esmonde Cleary, A. S., Hassall, M. W. C. and Tomlin, R. S. O., 1994. Roman Britain in 1993. <i>Britannia</i> , 25, 281.
London	Cripplegate	City of London	MP	Howe, E. and Lakin, D., 2004. Roman and medieval Cripplegate, City of London. London: Museum of London Archaeological Service.
London	Custom House	City of London	MP	London Archaeological Archive and Research Centre online Tatton-Brown, T., 1974. Excavations at the Custom House site, City of London - Part 1. Transactions of the London and Middlesex Archaeological Society, 25, 117-215.

London	Docklands Light Railway Shaft, Bucklersbury, 3 Queen Victoria Street	City of London	MP	London Archaeological Archive and Research Centre online Frere, S. S. and Tomlin, R. S. O., 1991. Roman Britain 1990. <i>Britannia</i> , 22, 266.
London	Dowgate Hill House, 14-16 Dowgate Hill, Upper Thames Street	City of London	RB	London Archaeological Archive and Research Centre online Brigham, T. and Hillam, J., 1990. The late Roman waterfront in London. <i>Britannia</i> , 21, 129-131.
London	Eastern Cemetery	City of London	RB	Barber, B. and Bowsher, D., 2000. <i>The eastern cemetery of Roman London</i> . London: Museum of London Archaeology Service.
London	Fleet Valley Project, Holborn Viaduct, Old Bailey, Ludgate Court, Ludgate Broadway, Black Friars Lane, Queen Victoria Street, New Bridge Street, Farringdon Street	City of London	MP	London Archaeological Archive and Research Centre online Schofield, J. and Maloney, C., 1998. Archaeology in the City of London 1907-1991. London: Museum of London
London	General Post Office	City of London	MP	London Archaeological Archive and Research Centre online Perring, D., Roskams, S. and Allen, P., 1991. Early development of Roman London west of the Walbrook. London: Museum of London and Council for British Archaeology (Council for British Archaeology Research Report 70).
London	Governor's House	City of London	MP	Brigham, T. and Woodger, A., 2001. Roman and medieval townhouses on the London waterfront. London: Museum of London Archaeology Service.
London	GPO Middle Area	City of London	MP	London Archaeological Archive and Research Centre online Roskams, S., 1980. GPO Newgate Street, 1975-9; the Roman levels. <i>The London Archaeologist</i> , 3 (15), 403-406.
London	GPO Tunnel, Gracechurch Street	City of London	RB	London Archaeological Archive and Research Centre online Marsden, P., 1987. The Roman forum site in London. Discoveries before 1985. London: HMSO.

London	Great Alie Street and Bishopsgate	City of London	RB	Price, J. and Cottam, S., 1998. <i>Romano-British glass vessels: a handbook.</i> York: Council for British Archaeology.
London	Huggin Hill Baths	City of London	MP	London Archaeological Archive and Research Centre online Frere, S. S. and Tomlin, R. S. O., 1991. Roman Britain 1990. <i>Britannia</i> , 22, 269-270.
London	Leadenhall Court, Gracechurch Street, Leadenhall Street	City of London	RB	London Archaeological Archive and Research Centre online Milne, G. and Wardle, A., 1993. Early Roman development at Leadenhall Court, London and related research. <i>Transactions of the London and Middlesex Archaeological Society</i> , 44, 23-169.
London	Leith House, 47- 57 Gresham Street, 22-26 Wood Street	City of London	MP	London Archaeological Archive and Research Centre online Frere, S. S., Hassall, M. W. C. and Tomlin, R. S. O., 1989. Roman Britain 1988. <i>Britannia</i> , 20, 307.
London	Lloyd's Register, 71, Fenchurch Street	City of London	MP	Bluer, R., Brigham, T. and Nielsen, R., 2006. Roman and later development east of the forum and Cornhill. London: Museum of London Archaeology Service (Monograph 30).
London	Ludgate Hill Car Park, Pilgrim Street, Black Friars Lane, 35 New Bridge Street, 1-6, Imperial Parade	City of London	MP	London Archaeological Archive and Research Centre online Schofield, J. and Maloney, C., 1998. Archaeology in the City of London 1907-1991. London: Museum of London.
London	Miles Lane, 132- 137 Upper Thames Street, 15-17 Arthur Street, 33-38 King William Street	City of London	MP	London Archaeological Archive and Research Centre online Miller, L., 1982. Miles Lane: the early Roman waterfront. <i>The London Archaeologist</i> , 4 (6), 143-147.
London	Moorgate Hall, 143-171 Moorgate	City of London	MP	London Archaeological Archive and Research Centre online Frere, S. S. and Tomlin, R. S. O., 1991. Roman Britain 1990. <i>Britannia</i> , 22, 271.
London	Moorgate Street	City of London	RB	Wilson, D. R., Wright, R. P. and M. W. C., Hassall, 1971. Roman Britain in 1970. <i>Britannia</i> , 2, 299.

London	Palmerston House, 41-63 Bishopsgate	City of London	MP	London Archaeological Archive and Research Centre online
				Frere, S. S. and Tomlin, R. S. O., 1991. Roman Britain 1990. <i>Britannia</i> , 22, 267.
London	Paternoster Square	City of London	MP	Watson, S. and Heard, K., 2006. Development on Roman London's western hill. Excavations at Paternoster Square, City of London. London: Museum of London Archaeology Service.
London	Pinners' Hall, Great Winchester Street, 8 Austin Friars Square, 105-108 Old Broad Street	City of London	RB	London Archaeological Archive and Research Centre online Frere, S. S. and Tomlin, R. S. O., 1991. Roman Britain 1990. <i>Britannia</i> , 22, 266.
London	Rangoon Street	City of London	MP	London Archaeological Archive and Research Centre online Bowler, D. 1983. Rangoon Street. Popular Archaeology, 5 (6), 13-18.
London	Spitalfield	City of London	RB	Thomas, C., 1999. Laid to rest on a pillow of bay leaves. <i>British Archaeology</i> , December, 50, 8-11.
London	St Albans House, 124 Wood Street	City of London	RB	London Archaeological Archive and Research Centre online Frere, S. S., Hassall, M. W. C. and Tomlin, R. S. O., 1987. Roman Britain 1986. <i>Britannia</i> , 18, 335.
London	St Magnus House, New Fresh Wharf	City of London	MP	London Archaeological Archive and Research Centre online Miller, L., Schofield, J. and Rhodes, M., 1986. <i>The Roman quay at St Magnus House, London</i> . London: London and Middlesex Archaeological Society (Special Paper no.8).
London	St Margaret's Rectory, St Olave's Court, Ironmonger Lane	City of London	MP	London Archaeological Archive and Research Centre online Frere, S. S., Hassall, M. W. C. and Tomlin, R. S. O., 1987. Roman Britain 1986. <i>Britannia</i> , 18, 335.
London	St Martin Orgar Churchyard, 24- 23 King William Street	City of London	MP	London Archaeological Archive and Research Centre online Schofield, J. and Maloney, C., 1998. Archaeology in the City of London 1907-1991. London: Museum of London.

London	St Peter's Hill, 223-225 Upper Thames Street, Castle Baynard Street, Queen Victoria Street, Bennet's Hill	City of London	MP	London Archaeological Archive and Research Centre online Rankov, N. B., Hassall, M. W. C. and Tomlin, R. S. O., 1982. Roman Britain in 1981. <i>Britannia</i> , 13, 374.
London	St Swithin's Lane, Sherbourne Lane, Cannon Street	City of London	MP	London Archaeological Archive and Research Centre online Schofield, J. and Maloney, C., 1998. Archaeology in the City of London 1907-1991. London: Museum of London.
London	Swan Lane Car Park	City of London	MP	London Archaeological Archive and Research Centre online Brigham, T. and Hillam, J., 1990. The late Roman waterfront in London. <i>Britannia</i> , 21, 111-117.
London	Thames Foreshore	City of London	MP	Pugsley, P., 2003. Roman domestic wood. Oxford: Archaeopress (British Archaeological Report International Series 1118).
London	The George Public House, 86 Fenchurch Street	City of London	MP	London Archaeological Archive and Research Centre online Grew, F. O., Hassall, M. W. C. and Tomlin, R.S.O., 1981. Roman Britain 1980. <i>Britannia</i> , 12, 351.
London	Tower Hill	City of London	MP	Whipp, D., 1980. Excavations at Tower Hill. <i>Transactions of the London and Middlesex Archaeological Society</i> , 31, 47-94.
London	Tower of London	City of London	MP	Parnell, G., 1985. The Roman and Medieval defences and the later development of the inmost ward, Tower of London: excavations 1955-1977. Transactions of the London and Middlesex Archaeological Society, 36, 1-75.
London	Upper Walbrook Valley	City of London	RB	Maloney, C., 1990. <i>The Upper Walbrook Valley in the Roman period</i> . London: Council for British Archaeology.
London	Walbrook Wharf (Public Cleansing Depot), Upper Thames Street	City of London	MP	London Archaeological Archive and Research Centre online Pugsley, P., 2003. <i>Roman domestic wood</i> Oxford: Archaeopress (British Archaeological Report International Series 1118).

London	West Tenter Street	City of London	ERB/LRB	Whytehead, R., 1986. The excavation of an area within a Roman cemetery at West Tenter Street, London. <i>Transactions of the London and Middlesex Archaeological Society</i> , 37, 23-124.
London	106-114 Borough High Street	South- wark, London	MP	Schwab, I., 1978, 106-114 Borough High Street. <i>In:</i> Bird, J., Graham, A. H., Sheldon, H. and Townsend, P., eds. <i>Southwark excavations 1972-1974</i> . London: London and Middlesex Archaeological Society, 177-220.
London	15-23 Southwark Street	South- wark, London	MP	Cowan, C., 1992. A possible <i>mansio</i> in Roman Southwark: excavations at 15-23, Southwark Street, 1980-1986. Transactions of the London and Middlesex Archaeological Society, 43, 3-191.
London	1-7 St Thomas Street	South- wark, London	MP	Dennis, G., 1978. 1-7 St Thomas Street. <i>In:</i> Bird, J., Graham, A. H., Sheldon, H. and Townsend, P., eds. <i>Southwark excavations</i> 1972-1974. London: London and Middlesex Archaeological Society, 291-422.
London	170-194 Borough High Street	South- wark, London	MP	Grew, F. O., Hassall, M. W. C. and Tomlin, R. S. O., 1980. Roman Britain in 1979. <i>Britannia</i> , 11, 382.
London	199 Borough High Street	South- wark, London	MP	Schaaf, L., 1988. 199 Borough High Street. <i>In:</i> Hinton, P., eds. <i>Excavations in</i> <i>Southwark 1973-76, Lambeth 1973-79</i> . London: London and Middlesex Archaeological Society and Surrey Archaeological Society (Joint Publication No 3), 83-132.
London	201-211 Borough High Street	South- wark, London	MP	Ferretti, E. and Graham, A. H., 1978, 201-211 Borough High Street. <i>In:</i> Bird, J., Graham, A. H., Sheldon, H. and Townsend, P., eds. <i>Southwark excavations</i> 1972-1974. London: London and Middlesex Archaeological Society, 53-176.
London	88 Borough High Street	South- wark, London	MP	Yule, B. and Hinton, P., 1988. 88 Borough High Street. <i>In:</i> Hinton, P., eds. <i>Excavations in Southwark 1973-76, Lambeth 1973-79.</i> London: London and Middlesex Archaeological Society and Surrey Archaeological Society (Joint Publication No 3) 71-81.

London	93-95 Borough High Street	South- wark, London	MP	Sheldon, H., 1978. 93-95 Borough High Street. <i>In:</i> Bird, J., Graham, A. H., Sheldon, H. and Townsend, P., eds. <i>Southwark excavations 1972-1974</i> . London: London and Middlesex Archaeological Society, 423-469.
London	Courage's Brewery Bottling Plant, north-west Southwark	South- wark, London	MP	Cowan, C., 2003. Urban development in north-west Roman Southwark. Excavations 1974-1990. London: Museum of London Archaeology Service (Monograph 16).
London	Ewer Street	South- wark, London	RB	Price, J. and Cottam, S., 1998. Romano-British glass vessels: a handbook. York: Council for British Archaeology. Brailsford, J. W., 1958. Guide to the antiquities of Roman Britain. London: Trustees of the British Museum. Plouviez, J., 1973. Roman Southwark. London Archaeologist, 2 (5), 106-113.
London	Harper Road	South- wark, London	RB	London Archaeological Archive and Research Centre online Dean, M. and Hammerson, M., 1980. Three inhumation burials from Southwark. The London Archaeologist, 4 (1), 17-22.
London	Hibernia Wharf, Montague Close	South- wark, London	MP	London Archaeological Archive and Research Centre online Thompson, A., Westman, A. and Dyson, T., (eds.) 1998. Archaeology in Greater London 1965-1990. London: Museum of London.
London	Settlement in Roman Southwark	South- wark, London	RB	Drummond-Murray, J., Thompson, P. and Cowan, C., 2002. <i>Settlement in Roman Southwark</i> . London: Museum of London Archaeology Service.
London	Swan Street/Great Dover Street	South- wark, London	LRB	Graham, A. H., 1978, Swan Street/Great Dover Street. <i>In:</i> Bird, J., Graham, A. H., Sheldon, H. and Townsend, P., eds. <i>Southwark excavations 1972-1974</i> . London, London and Middlesex Archaeological Society, 473-495.
London	Tabard Square	South- wark, London	MP	Durrani, N., 2004. Tabard Square. Current Archaeology, 192, 540-547.

London	The Bonded	South-	MP	Graham, A.H., 1978. The bonded
	Warehouse, Montague Close	wark, London		warehouse, Montague Close. <i>In:</i> Bird, J., Graham, A. H., Sheldon, H. and Townsend, P., eds. <i>Southwark excavations</i> 1972-1974. London: London and Middlesex Archaeological Society, 237-290.
London	Toppings and Sun Wharves	South- wark, London	MP	Sheldon, H., 1974. Excavations at Toppings and Sun Wharves, Southwark 1970-1972. Transactions of the London and Middlesex Archaeological Society, 25, 1-115.
London	Watling Street	South- wark, London	RB	Mackinder, A., 2000. A Romano-British cemetery on Watling street. London: Museum of London Archaeology Services.
London	Winchester Palace	South- wark, London	MP	Yule, B., 2005. A prestigious Roman building complex on the Southwark waterfront. London: Museum of London Archaeology Service (Monograph 23).
Silchester	Forum Basilica	Hamp- shire	LIA/RB	Fulford, M. and Timby, J., 2000. Late Iron Age and Roman Silchester: excavations on the site of the Forum Basilica 1977, 1980-1986. London: Society for the Promotion of Roman Studies (Britannia Monograph Series No 15).
Silchester	Inner Earthwork and Various Other Sites in the Town	Hamp- shire	LIA	Boon, G. C., 1969. Belgic and Roman Silchester: the excavations of 1954-58 with an excursus on the early history of Calleva. Oxford: Society of Antiquaries of London. Boon, G. C., 1974. Silchester: the Roman town of Calleva. London: David and Charles.
Silchester	Insula 9	Hamp- shire	LRB	Fulford, M., Clarke, A. and Eckardt, H. 2006. <i>Life and labour in late Roman Silchester</i> . London: Society for the Promotion of Roman Studies (Britannia Monograph Series No. 22).
Silchester	Latchmere Green	Hamp- shire	LIA/ERB	Fulford, M. and Creighton, J., 1998. A late Iron Age mirror burial from Latchmere Green, near Silchester, Hampshire. <i>Proceedings of the Prehistoric Society</i> , 64, 331-342.
Silchester	Manor Farm	Hamp- shire	RB	Fulford, M., 1984. Silchester. Excavation of the defences 1974-1980. London: Society for the Promotion of Roman Studies (Britannia Monograph Series no 5).

Silchester	South-West Angle	Hamp- shire	RB	Fulford, M., 1984. Silchester. Excavation of the defences 1974-1980. London: Society for the Promotion of Roman Studies (Britannia Monograph Series no 5).
St Albans	Gorhambury	Hertford- shire	MP	Neal, D. S., Wardle, A. and Hunn, J., 1990. Excavations on the Iron Age, Roman and Medieval settlement at Gorhambury, St Albans. London: Historic Buildings and Monuments Commission for England.
St Albans	IA Cem King Harry Lane	Hertford- shire	LIA/ERB	Stead, I. M. and Rigby, V., 1989. Verulamium: the King Harry Lane site. London: Historic Buildings and Monuments Commission for England.
St Albans	Insula 2	Hertford- shire	LIA/RB	Niblett, R., Manning, W. and Saunders, C., 2006. Verulamium: excavations within the Roman town 1986-88. <i>Britannia</i> , 37, 53-188.
St Albans	Insula 3	Hertford- shire	LIA/RB	Niblett, R., Manning, W. and Saunders, C., 2006. Verulamium: excavations within the Roman town 1986-88. <i>Britannia</i> , 37, 53-188.
St Albans	Insula 13	Hertford- shire	LIA/RB	Niblett, R., Manning, W. and Saunders, C., 2006. Verulamium: excavations within the Roman town 1986-88. <i>Britannia</i> , 37, 53-188.
St Albans	Insula 14	Hertford- shire	RB	Frere, S., 1972. <i>Verulamium excavations. Volume I.</i> Oxford: Society of Antiquaries.
St Albans	Insula 17	Hertford- shire	RB	Frere, S., 1984. <i>Verulamium excavations. Volume III.</i> Oxford: Oxford Committee for Archaeology (Monograph 1).
St Albans	Insula 21	Hertford- shire	RB	Frere, S., 1984. <i>Verulamium excavations</i> . <i>Volume III</i> . Oxford: Oxford Committee for Archaeology (Monograph 1).
St Albans	Insula 22	Hertford- shire	RB	Frere, S., 1984. <i>Verulamium excavations</i> . <i>Volume III</i> . Oxford: Oxford Committee for Archaeology (Monograph 1).
St Albans	Insula 27	Hertford- shire	RB	Frere, S., 1984. <i>Verulamium excavations. Volume III.</i> Oxford: Oxford Committee for Archaeology (Monograph 1).
St Albans	Insula 28	Hertford- shire	RB	Frere, S., 1984. <i>Verulamium excavations. Volume III.</i> Oxford: Oxford Committee for Archaeology (Monograph 1).
St Albans	Kingsbury	Hertford- shire	RB	Price, J. and Cottam, S., 1998. <i>Romano-British glass vessels: a handbook</i> . York: Council for British Archaeology.

St Albans	London Gate	Hertford- shire	RB	Gibson, A. G., 1982. A Roman iron cautery from Verulamium. <i>Britannia</i> , 13, 303-304.
St Albans	Lower Slope, Folly Lane	Hertford- shire	MP	Niblett, R., 1999. Folly Lane, Verulamium. London: Society for the Promotion of Roman Studies (Britannia Monograph Series No 14).
St Albans	Rescue Excavation, King Harry Lane	Hertford- shire	ERB	Niblett, R., 1990. Verulamium. <i>Current Archaeology</i> , 10 (13), 412-15. Niblett, R. and Reeves, P., 1990. A wealthy early Roman cremation from Verulamium. <i>Antiquaries Journal</i> , 70, 441-446.
St Albans	Roman Burials, King Harry Lane	Hertford- shire	RB	Stead, I. M. and Rigby, V., 1989. Verulamium: the King Harry Lane site. London: Historic Buildings and Monuments Commission for England.
St Albans	Roman Settlement, King Harry Lane	Hertford- shire	MP	Stead, I. M. and Rigby, V., 1989. Verulamium: the King Harry Lane site. London: Historic Buildings and Monuments Commission for England.
Winchester	Cathedral Car Park	Hamp- shire	RB	Pugsley, P., 2003. Roman domestic wood. Oxford: Archaeopress (British Archaeological Report International Series 1118). Biddle, M., 1964. Excavations at Winchester 1962-1963. Second interim report. Antiquaries Journal, 44, 188-217.
Winchester	Cathedral Green	Hamp- shire	MP	Biddle, M., 1970. Excavations at Winchester 1969. Eighth interim report. <i>Antiquaries Journal</i> , 50, 277-326.
Winchester	Chester Road	Hamp- shire	RB	Rees, H., Crummy, N., Ottaway, P. J.and Dunn, G., 2008. Artefacts and society in Roman and Medieval Winchester. Small finds from the suburbs and defences, 1971- 1986. Winchester: Winchester Museums Service.
Winchester	Eagle Hotel, Andover Rd	Hamp- shire	LRB	Teague, S. C., 1998. Excavations at Eagle Hotel, Winchester. Unpublished Archive Report. Winchester: Winchester Museum Services.
Winchester	Frederick Place, Tower Street	Hamp- shire	MP	Collis, J., 1978. Winchester Excavations, Volume II: 1949-1960. Winchester: City of Winchester.

Winchester	Hyde Street	Hamp- shire	LRB	Rees, H., Crummy, N., Ottaway, P. J. and Dunn, G., 2008. Artefacts and society in Roman and Medieval Winchester. Small finds from the suburbs and defences, 1971-1986. Winchester: Winchester Museums Service.		
Winchester	Kingdon's Workshop	Hamp- shire	MP	Cunliffe, B., 1964. Winchester Excavations 1949-1960. Volume I. Winchester: City of Winchester Museums and Libraries Committee.		
Winchester	Lankhills Cemetery	Hamp- shire	ERB/LRB	Clarke, G., 1979. Pre-Roman and Roman Winchester. Part II. The Roman Cemetery. Oxford: Oxford University Press.		
Winchester	Milland	Hamp- shire	ERB	Collis, J., 1978. Winchester Excavations, Volume II: 1949-1960. Winchester: City of Winchester.		
Winchester	St Martin's Close	Hamp- shire	LRB	Rees, H., Crummy, N., Ottaway, P. J. and Dunn, G., 2008. Artefacts and society in Roman and Medieval Winchester. Small finds from the suburbs and defences, 1971-1986. Winchester: Winchester Museums Service.		
Winchester	The Brooks	Hamp- shire	MP	Winchester Museum Service, unpublished. The Brooks, Winchester. The Roman and post-Roman finds report. Zant, J. M., 1993. The Brooks, Winchester, 1987-1988. The Roman structural remains. Winchester: Winchester Museum Services.		
Winchester	Victoria Road	Hamp- shire	MP	Rees, H., Crummy, N., Ottaway, P. J. and Dunn, G., 2008. Artefacts and society in Roman and Medieval Winchester. Small finds from the suburbs and defences, 1971-1986. Winchester: Winchester Museums Service.		
Winchester	Westgate Car Park	Hamp- shire	MP	Collis, J., 1978. Winchester Excavations, Volume II: 1949-1960. Winchester: City of Winchester.		
Winchester	Winnall Housing Estate	Hamp- shire	LIA/RB	Collis, J., 1978. Winchester Excavations, Volume II: 1949-1960. Winchester: City of Winchester.		
* Period	I	I .	l			
LIA	Late Iron Age (circ	ca 150 BC-AI	O 43)			
LIA/ERB	Late Iron Age and	Early Roman	o-British (circ	a 150 BC-AD 199)		
LIA/RB	Late Iron Age and	Romano-Briti	sh (circa 150	BC-AD 450)		
ERB	Early Romano-Brit	•				
RB	Romano-British (circa AD 43-450)					
LRB	Late Romano-Britis	sh (circa AD	200-450)			
MP	Multi-period					

Appendix 3

The Appearance of Health. The Symbolic Construction of the Healthy Body through Urban Cemetery Evidence from Late Iron Age and Early Roman Britain.

Angela Turner-Wilson

Introduction

Health is of interest to those who study the past. Many have done so from a medical perspective which has led to interesting and varied insights into ancient disease and illness. This work however lies at the other end of the health spectrum, in the idea of 'wellness'. This paper begins by exploring these themes, before examining evidence of good health in the archaeological record for late Iron Age and Roman Britain. It then considers concepts of the body and healthiness drawn from the biological and social fields. These suggest good health can be understood through body parts such as the face in relation to perception and the social gaze. Good health can then be seen as part of living and well-being, a shared concept between self and other. This idea is extended with a discussion concerning artefacts and agency.

The paper then focuses on toilet instruments and cosmetic items, which have traditionally been associated with personal grooming. J D Hill (1997: 98–107) and others have added to these ideas by proposing that during the late Iron Age and early Roman period, there was a new concern with identity and appearance by the elite in southern Britain. While these objects have been found in domestic locations, some are associated with graves. This seems quite unusual given their function. The discussion is then developed by considering toilet and cosmetic implements associated with cemetery sites, located close to towns in south east Britain. These are then considered through a theoretical lens, leading to the suggestion that this type of material may have contributed to the symbolic reconstruction of healthiness in the dead.

Concepts of Health

There has been a large amount of work which has greatly contributed to understandings of illness and disease in antiquity. In trying to summarize some of this the following topics might be suggested. Biological processes, pathological changes and physical illnesses have all been investigated (e.g. Molleson 1992: 43–67; Roberts and Cox 2003: 89–104, 107–163). The role of the healer as a professional, a skilled practitioner or as a family member, has been discussed, as have the places of healing or medical practice, such as hospitals, surgeries and temples (Nutton 2004: 249, 281; Carr 2002: 58–73; Jackson 2005: 97–98; Scarborough 1969: 76–80, 84; Selwyn 1991: 8–9; Allason–Jones 1999: 134–136; Baker 2002: 69–79; Cruse 2004: 93–105; Barefoot 2005: 206–212). The curative elements within religion and magic, such as the significance of the deposition of anatomical votive objects, have received some attention (Henig 1984: 151–152; Jackson 1988: 157–166; Cruse, 2004: 113–125, 130–131). Therapeutic practices, including the medicinal use of

plants and diet, as well as treatments such as those undertaken by specialist eye doctors or surgeons are popular areas of investigation (e.g. Cruse 2004: 61–75; Ciaraldi 2002: 81–85; King 2001: 44–51; Jackson 1996: 177–187). Surgical instruments from a burial at Stanway near Colchester, for example, have been interpreted as the tools of a doctor or a late Iron Age healing practitioner (Boon 1974: 137; Crummy 1997: 337–342; Jackson 1997: 1471–1473; Crummy 2002: 47–57; Carr 2003: 117–125; Cruse 2004: 160–162). Other small items such as *ligulae* have been seen as having functional roles in both medicine and cosmetics (Allason–Jones 2005: 126).

But is some of this work influenced by our modern medical models of health? Within this framework medical professionals diagnose and treat illness. The body is seen through Cartesian philosophy as a machine that can be repaired. It may feel right and comfortable, but it has had its critics. Michel Foucault (1963: ix–xix) suggested the healthcare establishment gained dominance by developing new terms to describe the body, and indeed today's medical terminology can confuse and create anxiety for those outside specialist circles. Ivan Illich (1976: 49–52) thought that normal parts of the human condition such as childbirth were medicalised, and that the healthcare profession actually had a vested interest in ensuring there was such a concept as disease. It is these criticisms that led to authors such as the anthropologist Joseph Alter (1999: 43) to ask whether it was possible to escape the ontology of health defined in terms of disease.

More recently the idea of health as healthiness has gained some popularity. Wellness is usually seen as the absence of sickness, but it is of course possible to have a disease and be healthy, and even if a person does not have any illness they can appear healthier at certain times than at others (Caplan 1993: 238). A piece of modern research that considers the question of health was carried out in the 1990s by Mildred Blaxter (1995: 26–32). She and her team undertook a survey of over 9000 individuals in the UK to explore

lay (as opposed to professional) theories of health, and concluded that definitions of this term could be divided into two fields, which she called positive and negative concepts. Negative concepts used words associated with 'illness' and 'disease' so statements included, "health is when you don't have a *cold*" or, "health is when you don't have to think about *pain*". The positive constructs, according to Blaxter, were related to phrases like, "health is being *carefree*", or "health is having loads of *whumph*", (italics own emphasis). It has also been suggested that health and disease are on a continuum. Wellness, (however it is culturally expressed) sits at one end, whilst death is at the other (Seedhouse 2001: 65; Sheridan and Radmacker 1992: 8). A person's position on this line is not static. It can alter allowing for the transient nature of their state of being, making it a continuously negotiable concept.

Healthiness in late Iron Age and Roman Britain

The study of healthiness is slowly becoming a subject in its own right in archaeology and history (e.g. Helen King's 2005a: 1–11 insightful discussion). Good health has been explored through the works of the ancient authors, although it is of course always difficult to know how far the texts influenced every day health matters in late Iron Age and Roman Britain (Wear 1993: 1283). Galenic writings did note that health and humeral balance could be maintained by following an appropriate dietary plan, which was often dictated by the season (Nutton 2004: 240). John Wilkins (2005: 136–149) discusses the work of Athenaios, a Greek author who collected information about diet at the end of the second century A.D. Certainly amongst the medical writers, fruit and vegetables were seen as being reasonably helpful in sustaining health (Wilkins 2005: 143–144).

Interestingly, an investigation into the contents of a cesspit at Bearsden Roman fort, Scotland revealed evidence of what may have been a vegetarian diet (Cruse 2004: 90).

Women's healthiness is another area that has received some attention. Helen King (2005b: 154–160) has investigated the Hippocratic corpus for understandings in this topic. She notes that women's reproductive functions were used to judge their states of healthiness (King 2005b: 156-158). Evidence of a plea for the maintenance of health on behalf of a pregnant lady comes from a spell found at West Deeping Roman Villa, Lincolnshire. It asks for the womb to 'stay in place' and not hurt an unborn child (Hassall and Tomlin 1996: 443–445). This may be associated with the strange idea in antiquity that the womb was mobile and moved around the body like an animal (Jackson 1988: 89). Along with this magic, the gods were often called on to provide health protection. Statuettes from the first or second century A.D. found in London, interpreted as *dea nutrix*, divine protectors of the home, may have been associated with good health. An inscription from Roman Britain found near Kirkby Lonsdale, Lancaster set up by Julius Saturninus states, 'To the holy god Asclepius and to Hygiaea, for the welfare of himself and his own' (Collingwood and Wright 1965: 204, RIB 609). Recent work suggests that Hygieia did indeed represent 'health' and that she was worshipped not only by those who were seeking wellness, but by those already in 'good' health (Stafford 2005: 134; Wilkins 2005: 136). Religious centres such as Bath, had altars that were set up asking divinities for the health, welfare or safety of an individual (Cunliffe 1969: 189). Some temples and civic buildings had ambulatories, which not only provided shelter from inclement weather, but may also have been seen as promoting health through the exposure to fresh air (Woodward, 1992: 37–38; Vitruvius V.9.5).

A number of letters from the Roman fort of Vindolanda contain references to positive health. One says, 'Sollemnis to Paris his brother, very many greetings. I want you to know that I am in very good health, as I hope you are in turn, you neglectful man, who have sent me not even one letter...' (Vindolanda Tablet: 311). Healthiness is also mentioned on a wooden tablet from Roman London. Part of an inscription for a deed of sale concerning a female slave states, 'And that the girl in question is transferred in good health, that she is warranted not to be liable to wander or run away,...(Tomlin 2003: 44–45). So, even if the word 'health' seen on many of these inscriptions was part of a formulaic textual construction, the discussions illustrate that the concept existed.

Perhaps one of the most well known areas of investigation in this field is seen in Roman hygiene (Jackson 1999: 107-116). Baths, latrines, aqueducts and drains have all been studied. There are for instance at least 1000 known surviving remains of Roman baths. Some of these have been excavated in Britain, in towns such as London, Wroxeter, and Bath (e.g. Rowsome 1999: 263–277; White 1999: 279–291; Cunliffe 1969: 89–147). Massages and exercise as well as general socialising were all part of the experience, and it is thought that an urban suite of baths with hot, warm and cold rooms may have been used by all levels of society including slaves (Fagan 1999: 29-34). Loosely associated with bathing is the strigil an object probably used to scrape grime and sweat off the body. Oils or perfumes stored in unguent bottles and flasks may have been applied to the skin at the end of the bathing regime. A number of these vessels, (which may also have been used to store cosmetics) have been found from sites throughout Britain. In the south east, there is evidence of many types of glass flasks or bottles used for these purposes, including tubular, indented, truncated conical and discoid unguent bottles, mercury and bath flasks from settlements such as Colchester, Sheepen, Canterbury, London, Chichester and St Albans (Cool and Price 1995: 148-165, 383-397; Price and Cottam 1998: 169-190). Mirrors made of glass or polished metal could have been used to assist in the application of makeup, although it has been noted that they would have created a flawed reflection (AllasonJones 2005: 138). In addition to these objects, there are also toilet and cosmetic items, often discussed in relation to the body, appearance and general well-being. Before considering these types of small finds in more detail, it is worth taking a moment to explore the themes of the body and healthiness.

Healthiness, the Body, Self and Other

One of the main methods used to understand the body is found in medical anthropology. Palaeopathological work has moved from taking a purely clinical perspective, to the adoption of the biocultural approach, which essentially uses an epidemiological methodology (Roberts 2002: 10-11). Lifestyle evidence from the landscape, climate, society, diet and economy is considered together with the physical remains of bones and teeth to provide insights into the body. The Iron Age and Roman periods have been explored in texts such as Roberts and Cox's (2003: 89–104, 107–163) book 'Health and Disease in Britain', Rebecca Gowland's (2004: 135–146) osteobiography of an individual from Roman Britain, and Rebecca Redfern's (2003: 147-170) work on female health. Redfern investigates the Romano-British urban environment and culture, and considers how this is reflected in the remains of individuals buried in urban cemeteries. One of her samples reveals that females had a lower prevalence of stress indicators, (investigated by using a combination of three osseous changes) compared to men. This, she suggests, may have been as a result of women's enhanced immune systems, meaning they were probably better at tolerating environmental stress than their male counterparts (Redfern (2003: 156– 157).

In addition to these approaches, the body can be seen through the use of ethnographic and social theory. It has been suggested that the body can be transformed through the use of objects, and that they can be used to help create bodily identities and well-being (e.g. Hill 1997: 100–101; Carr 2001: 116; Crummy and Eckardt 2004: 44–46). Bodies are monitored by society and produced by the self or others through patterns of action and interaction (Fowler 2004: 38). Specific bodily parts such as the face can be said to mirror the self (Synnott 1993: 73–102, 103–127). It is a public presence that is defined by perceptual experience. Indeed according to evolutionary theorists, human facial attractiveness and health may be linked. Facial symmetry and facial attractiveness have been found as markers for health and fitness, so the face may be advertising health (Thornhill and Gangestad 1999: 452–459). Modifying the appearance may be undertaken to create a more attractive, healthier image.

The sociologist Erving Goffman (1969: 28–82) considered self presentation as part of a model that human social life was like a theatre. The self regulates and controls its image. People play roles, tailor their performances depending on their audience, and change their behaviour when the audience is absent. For Goffman, the body is a physical part of human agency and is bound to the relationship between the body, the self and social identity (Shilling 2003:77). Impression creating behaviours are produced as people try to enhance and protect their public image. Yet, self and other are inextricably connected. The other can be seen as the person or group that provides meaning on the subject (Cavallero 2001: 120–121).

To be 'healthy' is defined in the Oxford Dictionary as having or 'showing' good health, and as biological and social beings we communicate in, with and through our senses (Sykes 1989: 460; Synnott 1993: 128). Visual perception occurs when the eye receives images which travel via the optic nerve to the occipital lobe of the brain, where *meaning* is attached (Goldstein 1989: 64–107). Within the social construct, the gaze, 'establishes the union and interaction of individuals which constitute society', (Synnott 1993: 226). So

these biological and social elements that form part of the experience of perception for self and other, allows the body, and indeed the face, to communicate healthiness through performance, display and image making.

Agency, Toilet and Cosmetic Items

Theoretical approaches in interpretative archaeologies and material cultural studies have shown that it is possible to see artefacts as meaningful and symbolic, and multiple meanings can be generated from an object (Hodder 1993: xvii; Buchli 2002: 12–19). Christopher Tilley (2000: 421) notes that artefacts are situated in relation to the social, and it is a relationship that is active. Indeed, our life continuously negotiates a relationship with inanimate objects, and a link exists between agents, actions and artefacts (Wobst 2000: 41–42). As Andrew Gardner (2003: 2) says, agency allows for the consideration of how actors are constructed by their meaningful relations with the material world around them. There are intentional actions of agents, which can in turn produce conscious social change (Dobres and Robb 2000: 10). Within this world, individuals draw on their cultural resources for objectification and self-categorization (Swidler 1986: 273–286).

Having considered that artefacts can be used with intentionality by free acting individuals, we now examine in more detail some material from the late Iron Age and early Roman period that are closely associated with healthiness. Toilet and cosmetic items have been found at sites in both Continental Europe and Britain. There are, for instance, a number of tweezers identified as coming from the workshops of the presumed Gaul, Agathangelus the bronzesmith. As well as Pompeii, tweezers were found in a tomb at Tarquinia where they were associated with other grave goods, including the fragment of a mirror and a strigil (Gostencnik 2002: 232). Agathangelus—type tweezers have been discovered at Piddington villa, Northamptonshire, while several others have been found in London (Friendship—Taylor 2004: 6; Gostencnik 2002: 232). Indeed, some of these toilet and cosmetic items are associated with sites in south east Britain, an area thought to have shared a number of cultural characteristics with the Continent (Frere 1987: 229; Cunliffe 2005:149).

Toilet implements, which include nail-cleaners, ear-scoops/cosmetic spoons and tweezers, were sometimes kept together as a set (known as a *chatelaine*), on a suspension loop. A nail cleaner, found with belt buckles and a strap end at the late Roman Lankhills cemetery, Winchester, has led to the suggestion that these items were worn suspended from a belt (Crummy and Eckardt 2004: 48). However it is worth noting, that some of these things have been found separately.

Whilst the primary use for nail cleaners is self explanatory, tweezers could have been used to remove unwanted facial hair, while wax was cleaned from the ear using an ear scoop (Adkins and Adkins 1982: 138; Crummy 1983: 58; Hill 1997: 98). Other implements like *ligulae*, (long handled toilet spoons usually made from bone or bronze), may have been used to extract substances such as cosmetics from narrow bottles and flasks. Cosmetic grinders (mainly found in Britain) were probably used to break up eye and face paints (Jackson 1985: 172). There are a number of examples of these small bronze crescent shaped mortars and pestles, many with zoomorphic terminals such as bovid heads (Jackson 1985: 165–169). One has even been found at Worlington, Suffolk in the form of a brooch, suggesting it served a dual purpose (Suffolk County Council Archaeological Service 2003: 3). Less decorative are small pieces of stone with bevelled edges, perhaps used as palettes on which to combine cosmetics. Make-up might have been mixed or applied with a spatula (Crummy 1983: 57). Shears, if used to cut human hair,

could have contributed to a well trimmed, healthy appearance (Hill and Crummy 2005: 2–4).

Although a number of toilet and cosmetic items are associated with domestic settings such as houses and streets (e.g. Crummy 1983: 57–63), some have been found in graves. This is a paradox given that these artefacts are about health, living and appearance. There have been various suggestions as to the reasons and purposes of grave goods in archaeology. They may have equipped the dead for the world of the after life, been possessions of the deceased, or mourners' gifts to the departed (Parker Pearson 1999: 7). In relation to small finds, Patricia Baker (2001: 58) proposes that the presence of medical tools with a body could mean they were used in an unsuccessful operation or by a failed doctor. This, she suggests, may have led to the objects to be seen as socially polluting items, which was why they were placed in the grave (Baker 2001: 58). Howard Williams (2003: 100) adds to this by wondering whether small items such as combs were placed in a grave as they had served the deceased's body.

Healthiness through Bodily Practice

To explore this further let us consider some toilet and cosmetic items, associated with the dead from comparable pre-Roman and early Roman urban cemeteries in the south east of Britain. The burial sites in question lay on the periphery of towns, but they were not separate. Their spatial setting in the landscape meant they had close links with the urban settlements. The largest late Iron Age and Roman cemetery found at St Albans, (*Verulamium*), Hertfordshire, the King Harry Lane site, contained mostly cremations and was in use from about A.D. 1 to A.D. 60 (Stead and Rigby 1989: 398), while the St Pancras cemetery at Chichester, (*Noviomagus Regnentium*), West Sussex (again the majority of burials were cremations), was established in the first century A.D. (Down 1971: 55). The following list provides details of the graves that contained toilet and cosmetic items from these sites.

Graves Containing Toilet and Cosmetic Items from King Harry Lane, St Albans, Hertfordshire, and St Pancras, Chichester, West Sussex.

King Harry Lane, St Albans, Hertfordshire.

- Grave 86, (SB 77), Sex unknown, Phase 3, A.D. 40–60. Tweezers and a component of a toilet set, (brooches and pottery), (Stead and Rigby 1989: 84–85, 104, 294)
- Grave 122, (AN 29), Sex unknown, Unphased. Two pairs of tweezers, (brooches and a nail), (Stead and Rigby 1989: 104, 306)
- Grave 203, (SB 40), Sex unknown, Phase 3, A.D. 40–60. Toilet set (comprises of tweezers and a possible nail–cleaner), cosmetic grinder set, (brooch and pottery), (Stead and Rigby 1989: 84–85, 104, 326)
- Grave 242, (SB 33), Sex unknown, Phase 1, A.D. 1–40. Toilet set (comprising of an ear scoop and nail cleaner), shears, (brooches and pottery), (Stead and Rigby 1989: 84–85, 104, 106, 334)
- Grave 384, (SJ 17), Sex ?male, Phase 1, A.D. 1–40. Shears, (brooch, knife and pottery), (Stead and Rigby 1989: 84–85, 104, 106, 370).
- Grave 422 (SJ 20), Sex unknown, Phase 3, A.D. 40–60. Ear scoop (and pottery), (Stead and Rigby 1989: 84–85, 104, 382)

St Pancras, Chichester, West Sussex.

- Burial group 213, Sex unknown, Trench Z, Period 3, A.D 70–3rd/4th century. Spatula and mixing palette, (iron object and pottery). (Down 1971: 55, 112)
- Burial group 228, Sex unknown, Trench E, Period 3, A.D. 70–3rd/4th century. Cosmetic grinder, *ligula* (brooches, jewellery, needles, pin, coin, bone toggle, glass and pottery), (Down 1971: 55, 113)

Six graves at King Harry Lane contained toilet and cosmetic items; five had more than one of these objects in the same grave. There were only two graves at St Pancras which contained this type of material. Given that there were 472 burials at King Harry Lane and 326 at St Pancras, these items are rare. It has been suggested that some of these individuals were part of a new social elite who were adopting a different life-style and attitude towards the body (Hill 1997: 102). This may explain some of the other associated items in the graves, such as jewellery. It is not known whether the toilet and cosmetic items came from male or female graves. If we now consider some of the cultural practices surrounding cremation rites, and apply these to the King Harry Lane and St Pancras evidence, an interpretation is offered which sees these objects in light of theories surrounding the body, healthiness and agency. Given Christopher Tilley's (2006: 62) suggestion, that artefacts can act to convey information about a variety of symbolic domains through the same media, what follows is one small contribution to our understanding of this type of material in late Iron Age and Roman Britain.

We can see from the texts that the Romans, honoured the honourable in death (Hope 2000: 108). Providing you were not too poor, or seen as a criminal the corpse took centre stage in a funeral. The body was prepared for the funeral by the family or, if they could afford it, by morticians (pollinctores), (Plautus Peonulus 63). John Bodel (2000: 138) notes that the undertakers took their name from the practice of covering the face of the corpse with powder in order to conceal the discolouration of death. After death the corpse was washed, perfumed and dressed. Indeed, funerary evidence from this period has revealed fragments of foot wear suggesting the body was clothed. Having been laid out on a funeral couch (lectus funebris) the corpse was taken by procession for incineration (or inhumation) on a litter. A number of these couches have been identified from Italy, France and Britain, including a possible example from Folly Lane, St Albans (Eckardt 1999: 77; Niblett 1999: 99). Many parts of a funeral rite were public, and in ancient Rome display (especially by the wealthy and elite) was important (Patterson 1992: 15). Livy (5.41) noted that senators prepared for death by putting on their finery. Family members however covered their hair with ashes, wore darkened mourning clothes and those who attended the funeral refrained from bathing (Catullus 64.349–51; Virgil Aeneid 10.844, 12.611). On their return they underwent a cleansing rite as death was seen as a social pollutant.

If it is accepted that cremation rites in south east Britain were similar to those reported by the texts, it could perhaps then be proposed that the presence of small personal items from the King Harry Lane and St Pancras cemeteries, may have been placed there because they were used by the morticians or the family to create the appearance of the living person for this public event. A carefully crafted presentation of the face would have superimposed wellness on the dead, whether male or female. This would be contrasted with the relatives who deliberately disfigured themselves with ashes in their hair. The small personal items may have once belonged to the deceased person, but as they were used on the body they were polluted, and therefore accompanied the corpse. While the healthy self can be defined, modified and controlled in life, in death the body is reliant on others (Hope 2000: 125). The funeral provided a ritual social frame which produced embodied memories for significant others. These others acted as agents in the creation of

this memory by transforming the dead, and briefly reconstructing a form of the living healthy person.

Conclusion

In this paper social and biological theory allows good health to be conceptualised as a transient state of being that is seen and shared with others through perception and performance. Since small items such as tweezers, cosmetic grinders and *ligulae* can be seen as assisting in the active creation and manipulation of the body, it is perhaps possible to see these objects as a set of resources that were utilised by other significant agents to produce healthiness in the face of the deceased. These polluted items then formed part of the death rites. Before the burning of the corpse, those present at the funeral would have memories of a face that spoke of life, living and healthiness.

While this work has focused on the symbolic reconstruction of healthiness there are different avenues that could advance this field. The work could be considered through the comparison of other locations and geographical areas including the Continent, which may yield more information relating to issues such as gender. Further work in the archaeology of healthiness may be extended to consider different types of evidence such as art or inscriptions. Indeed research into good health could include understandings of different life stages, such as rites of passage, or insights into bodily experience.

Archaeology and the Historic Environment Group, School of Conservation Sciences, Bournemouth University

Acknowledgements

This paper is based on my PhD research. I would like to thank my supervisors Mark Maltby, Ellen Hambleton and Timothy Darvill. I would also like to thank Andrew Gardner for his helpful advice and comments on the text. I would like to thank the many people who have supported me throughout this work including, Ralph Jackson, Elisabeth Hsu and Nicholas Saunders. Finally I am very grateful for all the support from my parents and friends, especially Catherine Bullen, Christine Kelly and Louise Pearson. All mistakes are the author's own.

Bibliography

Ancient Sources

Catullus (Translated by P. Green 2005). *The Poems of Catullus*. London: University of California Press

Livy (Translated by T.J. Luce 1998). The Rise of Rome. Books One to Five. Oxford: Oxford University Press. Plautus (Translated by D. R. Slavitt and P. Bovie 1995). The Comedies Volume III. London: John Hopkins Press.

Virgil (Translated by W. F. Jackson Knight 1956). *The Aeneid*. Harmondsworth: Penguin Books. Vitruvius (Translated by F. Granger 1934). *On Architecture*. *Books I–V*, v.1: Loeb Classical Library.

Modern Sources

Adkins, L. and Adkins, R. 1983. The Handbook of British Archaeology. London: Papermac.

Allason-Jones, L. 1999. Health Care in the Roman North. Britannia. 30: 133-146.

Allason-Jones, L. 2005. Women in Roman Britain. 2nd ed. London: British Museum Press.

Alter, J. S. 1999. Heaps of health, metaphysical fitness. Current Anthropology. 40, Supplement: 43-66.

Baker, P. A. 2001. Medicine, culture and military identity. In G. Davies, A. Gardner, K. Lockyear (eds.) TRAC 2000: Proceedings of the Tenth Annual Theoretical Roman Archaeology Conference London 2000. Oxford: Oxbow: 48–68.

Baker, P. 2002. The Roman military valetudinaria: fact or fiction? In R. Arnott (ed.) The archaeology of medicine. Papers given at a session of the annual conference of the Theoretical Archaeology Group held at the University of Birmingham on 20 December 1998. British Archaeological Report International Series 1046. Oxford: Archaeopress: 69–79.

Barefoot, P. 2005. Buildings for health: then and now. In H. King (ed.) *Health in Antiquity* London: Routledge: 205–215.

Blaxter, M. 1995. What is health? In B. Davey, A. Gray and C. Seal (eds.) *Health and Disease: A Reader*. 2nd ed. Buckingham: Open University Press: 26–32.

Bodel, J. 2000. Dealing with the dead. In V.M. Hope and E. Marshall (eds.) *Death and Disease in the Ancient City*. London: Routledge: 128–151.

Boon, G. 1974. Silchester: The Roman town of Calleva. London: David & Charles.

Buchli, V. A. 2002. Introduction. In V. Buchli (ed.) *The material culture reader*. Oxford: Berg: 1–22.

Caplan, A. L. 1993. The concepts of health, illness and disease. In W. F. Bynum, R. Porter (eds.) Companion Encyclopaedia of the History of Medicine. London: Routledge: 233–248.

Carr, G. 2001 'Romanisation' and the body. In G. Davies, A. Gardner and K. Lockyear (eds.) TRAC 2000 Proceedings of the Tenth Annual *Theoretical Roman Archaeological Conference*, *London* 2000. Oxford: Oxbow Books: 112–124.

Carr, G. 2002. A time to live, a time to heal and a time to die: healing and divination in later Iron Age and early Roman Britain. In P.A. Baker and G. Carr (eds.) *Practitioners, Practices and Patients*. Oxford: Oxbow Books: 58–73.

Carr, G. 2003. Creolisation, pidginisation and the interpretation of unique artefacts in early Roman Britain. In G. Carr, E. Swift and Weekes, J. TRAC 2002. Proceedings of the Twelfth Annual Theoretical Roman Archaeology Conference Canterbury 2002. Oxford: Oxbow: 113–125.

Cavallaro, D. 2001. Critical and Cultural Theory. London: Athlone Press.

Ciaraldi, M. 2002. The interpretation of medical plants in the archaeological context: some case studies from Pompeii. In R. Arnott (ed.) *Papers given at a session of the Annual Conference Theoretical Archaeology Group, University of Birmingham, 20 December 1998.* Oxford: Archaeopress: BAR International Series 1046: 81–85

Collingwood, R. G. and Wright, R. P. 1965. *The Roman Inscriptions of Britain*. Stroud: Alan Sutton Publishing.

Cool, H. E. M. and Price, J. 1995. Colchester archaeological report 8: Roman vessel glass from excavations in Colchester, 1971-1985. Colchester: Colchester Archaeological Trust.

Crummy, P. 1997. Colchester: The Stanway burials. Current Archaeology. 153: 337–342.

Crummy, P. 2002. A preliminary account of the doctor's grave at Stanway, Colchester, England. In P.A. Baker and G. Carr (eds.) *Practitioners, Practices and Patients*. Oxford: Oxbow Books: 47–57.

Crummy, N. 1983. Colchester archaeological report 2: The Roman small finds from excavations in Colchester 1971-9. Colchester: Colchester Archaeological Trust.

Crummy, N. and Eckardt, H. 2004. Regional identities and technologies of the self: nail cleaners in Roman Britain. *The Archaeological Journal*. 160: 2003: 44–69.

Cruse, A. 2004. Roman Medicine. Stroud: Tempus.

Cunliffe, B. 1969. Roman Bath. London: The Society of Antiquaries.

Cunliffe, B. 2005. Iron Age Communities in Britain. 4th ed. London: Routledge.

Dobres, M. A. and Robb, J. E. 2000. Agency in archaeology: paradigm or platitude? In M.A. Dobres and J.E. Robb (eds.) *Agency in Archaeology*. London: Routledge: 3–17.

Down A. 1971. The Roman cemetery at St. Pancras. In A. Down and M. Rule Chichester

```
Excavations I. Chichester: Chichester Civic Society Excavations Committee: 53-126.
```

Eckardt, H. 1999. The Colchester 'Child's Grave'. Britannia: 30: 57-132.

Fagan, G. G. 1999. Interpreting the evidence: did slaves bathe at the baths? In J. DeLaine and D. E. Johnston (eds.) Roman Baths and Bathing. Part 1: Bathing and Society. Proceedings of the First International Conference on Roman Baths held at Bath, England, 30 March-4 April 1992. Journal of Roman Archaeology. Supplementary Series 37: 25-34.

Foucault, M. 1963. The Birth of the Clinic. An Archaeology of Medical Perception. London: Tavistock Publication.

Fowler, C. 2004. The Archaeology of Personhood. London: Routledge.

Frere, S. 1987. Britannia. A History of Roman Britain. 3rd ed. London: Routledge.

Friendship-Taylor, R. M. 2004. A new pair of Agathangelus type tweezers from Piddington Roman villa. Lucerna. 28:

Gardner, A. 2003. Seeking a material turn: the artefactuality of the Roman empire. In G. Carr, E. Swift, and J. Weekes (eds.) TRAC 2002. Proceedings of the Twelfth Annual Theoretical Roman *Archaeology Conference Canterbury* 2002. Oxford: Oxbow Books: 1–13.

Goffman, E. 1969. The Presentation of Self in Everyday Life. London: Allen Lane.

Goldstein, E. B. 1989. Sensation and Perception. 3rd ed. Pacific Grove, CA: Brooks/Cole Publishing.

Gostencnik, K. 2002. Agathangelus the bronzesmith: the British finds in their Continental context. Britannia. 33: 227 - 257

Gowland, R. 2004. The social identity of health in late Roman Britain. In B. Croxford, H. Eckardt, J. Meade, J. Weekes, J. (eds.) TRAC 2003: Proceedings of the Thirteenth Annual Theoretical Roman Archaeology Conference Leicester 2003. Oxford: Oxbow Books: 135-146.

Hassall, M. W.C. and Tomlin, R. S. O. 1996. Roman Britain 1995 Inscriptions, Instrumentum Domesticum. Britannia. 27: 443-445.

Henig, M. 1984. Religion in Roman Britain. London: Batsford.

Hill, J. D. 1997. 'The end of one kind of body and the beginning of another kind of body'? Toilet instruments and 'Romanisation' in southern England during the first century AD. In A. Gwilt and C. Haselgrove (eds.) Reconstructing Iron Age Societies. Oxford: Oxbow Books: 96-107.

Hill, J. D. and Crummy, N. 2005. Late Iron Ages shears from Hertfordshire. Lucerna. 30: 2-4.

Hodder, I. 1993. Bridging the divide: a commentary on theoretical Roman archaeology. In E. Scott (ed.) Theoretical Roman Archaeology: First Conference Proceedings. Aldershot: Avebury.

Hope, V. M. 2000. Contempt and respect: the treatment of the corpse in ancient Rome. In V. M Hope and E. Marshall (eds.) Death and Disease in the Ancient City. London: Routledge: 104-127.

Illich, I. 1976. Limits to Medicine. Medical Nemesis - The Expropriation of Health. London: Penguin Books.

Jackson, R. 1985. Cosmetic sets from Late Iron Age and Roman Britain. Britannia: 16: 165-192.

Jackson, R. 1988. Doctors and Disease in the Roman Empire. London: British Museum Press.

Jackson, R. 1996. A new collyrium-stamp from Staines and some thoughts on eye medicine in Roman London and Britannia. In J. Bird, M. Hassall and H. Sheldon (eds.) Interpreting Roman London. Oxford: Oxbow: Oxbow Monograph 58: 177-187.

Jackson, R. 1997. An ancient British medical kit from Stanway, Essex. Lancet. 350: 15 November: 1471-1473.

Jackson, R. 1999. Spas, waters, and hydrotherapy in the Roman world. In J. DeLaine and D. E. Johnston (eds.) Roman Baths and Bathing. Part 1: Bathing and Society. Proceedings of the First International Conference on Roman Baths held at Bath, England, 30 March-4 April 1992. Journal of Roman Archaeology. Supplementary Series 37: 107–116.

Jackson, R. 2005. Holding on to health. In H King (ed.) Health in Antiquity. London: Routledge: 97-119.

King, H. 2001. Greek and Roman Medicine. London: Bristol Classical Press.

King, H. 2005a. What is health? In H. King (ed.) Health in Antiquity. London: Routledge: 1-11.

King, H. 2005b. Women's health and recovery in the Hippocratic corpus. In H. King (ed.) Health in Antiquity. London: Routledge: 150-161.

Molleson, T. 1992. Mortality patterns in the Romano-British cemetery at Poundbury Camp near Dorchester. In S. Bassett (ed.) Death in Towns. Leicester: Leicester University Press: 43-55.

Niblett, R. 1999. The excavation of a ceremonial site at Folly Lane, Verulamium. Britannia Monograph Series 14: London: Society for the Promotion of Roman Studies.

Nutton, V. 2004. Ancient Medicine. London: Routledge.

Parker-Pearson, M. 1999. The Archaeology of Death and Burial. Stroud: Sutton.

Patterson, J. R. 1992. Patronage, collegia and burial in Imperial Rome. In S. Bassett (ed.) Death in Towns. Leicester: Leicester University Press: 15-27.

Price, J. and Cottam, S. 1998. Romano-British glass vessels: a handbook. York: Council for British Archaeology.

Redfern, R. 2003. Sex and the city: A biocultural investigation into female health in Roman Britain.

In G. Carr, E. Swift and J. Weekes (eds.) TRAC 2002: Proceedings of the Twelfth Annual

Theoretical Roman Archaeology Conference Canterbury 2002. Oxford: Oxbow Books: 147–170.

Roberts, C. 2002. Palaeopathology and archaeology: the current state of play. In R. Arnott (ed.) The Archaeology of Medicine. Papers given at a session of the Annual Conference of the Theoretical Archaeology Group held at the University of Birmingham on 20 December 1998. BAR International Series 1046. Oxford: Archaeopress: 1-20. Roberts, C. and Cox, M. 2003. Health and Disease in Britain. Stroud: Sutton.

- Rowsome, P. 1999. The Huggin Hill baths and bathing in London: barometer of the town's changing circumstances? In J.A. DeLaine, D. E. Johnston (eds.) Roman Baths and Bathing. Part 2: Design and Context. Proceedings of the First International Conference on Roman Baths held at Bath, England, 30 March 4 April 1992. Journal of Roman Archaeology. Supplementary Series 37: 263–277.
- Scarborough, J. 1969. Roman Medicine. London: Camelot Press.
- Seedhouse, D. 2001. Health. The foundations for achievement 2nd ed. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons.
- Selwyn, S. 1991. Hospital infection: the first 2500 years. Journal of Hospital Infection. 18 (Supplement A): 5-64.
- Sheridan, C. L., Radmacher, S. A. 1992. *Health Psychology. Challenging the Biomedical Model*. Chichester: John Wiley and Sons.
- Shilling, C. 2003. The Body and Social Theory. 2nd ed. London: Sage.
- Stafford, E. 2005. 'Without you no one is happy': the cult of health in ancient Greece. In H King (ed.) *Health in Antiquity*. London: Routledge: 120–135.
- Stead, I. M. and Rigby, V. 1989. Verulamium. The King Harry Lane Site. London: English Heritage, British Museum Publications.
- Suffolk County Council Archaeological Service, 2003. Finds Recording Scheme, Annual Report 2002–2003. Suffolk County Council Archaeological Service.
- Swidler, A. 1986. Culture in action: symbols and strategies. American Sociological Review. 51:2: 273-286.
- Sykes, J. B. (ed.) 1989. The Concise Oxford Dictionary. 7th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Synnott, A. 1993. The Body Social. London: Routledge.
- Thornhill, R. and Gangestad, S. W. 1999. Facial attractiveness. Trends in Cognitive Sciences. 3: 12: 452-460.
- Tilley, C. 2000. Interpreting material culture. In J. Thomas (ed.) *Interpretive Archaeology*. London: Leicester University Press: 418–426.
- Tilley, C. 2006. Objectification. In C. Tilley, W. Keane, S. Kuchler, M. Rowlands, P. Spyer (eds.) *Handbook of material culture*. London: Sage: 60–73.
- Tomlin, R. S. O. 2003. 'The girl in question': a new text from Roman London. Britannia. 34: 41-51.
- Vindolanda tablets online. Available from:http://www.vindolanda.csad.ox.ac.uk/ [Accessed 25 March 2006]
- Wear, A. 1993. The history of personal hygiene. In W. F. Bynum and R. Porter (eds.) *Companion Encyclopaedia of the History of Medicine Volume Two*. London: Routledge: 1283–1308.
- White, R. 1999. The evolution of the baths complex at Wroxeter, Shropshire. In J. DeLaine and D. E. Johnston (eds.) Roman Baths and Bathing. Part 2: Design and Context. Proceedings of the First International Conference on Roman Baths held at Bath, England, 30 March—4 April 1992. Journal of Roman Archaeology. Supplementary Series 37: 279–291.
- Wilkins, J. 2005. Hygieia at dinner and at the symposium. In H. King (ed.) *Health in Antiquity*. London: Routledge: 136–149.
- Williams, H. 2003. Material culture as memory: combs and cremation in early medieval Britain. Early Medieval Europe. 12: 2: 89–128.
- Wobst, H. M. 2000. Agency in (spite of) material culture. In M. A.Dobres and J. E. Robb (eds.) *Agency in Archaeology*. London: Routledge: 40–50.
- Woodward, A. 1992. Shrines and sacrifice, London: Batsford.