1	The effect of spatial resolution on projected responses to climate warming.
2	
3	
4	P. K. Gillingham ^{1, 4} , B. Huntley ² , W. E. Kunin ³ and C. D. Thomas ¹
5	
6	
7	
8	¹ Department of Biology, University of York, Wentworth Way, Heslington, York, YO10 5DD,
9	UK.
10	
11	² Durham University, School of Biological and Biomedical Sciences, South Road, Durham,
12	UK.
13	
14	³ Institute of Integrative and Comparative Biology, Faculty of Biological Sciences, University
15	of Leeds, Leeds, UK.
16	
17	4 School of Applied Sciences, Bournemouth University, Talbot Campus, Fern Barrow, Poole,
18	UK.
19	
20	
21	Correspondence: Phillipa K. Gillingham, School of Applied Sciences, Bournemouth
22	University, Talbot Campus, Fern Barrow, Poole, BH12 5BB.
23	Email: pgillingham@bournemouth.ac.uk
24	
25	Running Title: Future habitat suitability varies with resolution.

26	
27	(A) ABSTRACT
28	
29	(B) Aim
30	To determine how changing the resolution of modelled climate surfaces can affect estimates
31	of the amount of thermally suitable habitat available to species under different levels of
32	warming.
33	
34	(B) Location
35	Lake Vyrnwy RSPB Reserve, which covers around 9,700 hectares of a topographically
36	diverse landscape in Wales.
37	
38	(B) Methods
39	A recently published microclimate model was used to predict maximum, minimum and mean
40	temperatures at 5 x 5 m resolution for the study site, under current and possible future
41	conditions. These temperature surfaces were then averaged to produce coarser resolution
42	surfaces, up to a maximum of 1 x 1 km resolution. Ground beetles were collected using pitfall
43	traps between May and August 2008. GLMs were fitted to the temperature surfaces to predict
44	the amount of landscape suitable for a northerly-distributed ground beetle, Carabus
45	glabratus, and the most southerly distributed ground beetle found at the site, Poecilus
46	versicolor, under current and possible future conditions.
47	
48	(B) Results
49	A wider range of temperatures are expected within our site when temperature is modelled at

50 finer resolutions. Fitting GLMs at different resolutions resulted in the inclusion of different

51 temperature variables in the best models. Coarser resolution models tended to have higher

52 prediction error, and different resolution models predicted that different amounts of the

53 landscape would remain or become suitable in future. There was less agreement between

54 models for *C. glabratus* than for *P. versicolor*.

55

56 (B) Main Conclusions

In our example system, different resolution analyses result in different predictions about the ability of populations to survive climatic warming. Higher resolution analyses are not only likely to provide more accurate estimates of expected patterns of change, but also to highlight potential microclimatic refugia for the conservation of species that otherwise might appear to be threatened with regional or global extinction.

62

63 (B) Keywords

64 Carabus glabratus, Climate change, Coleoptera, conservation, distribution models,

65 extinction, *Poecilus versicolor*.

66

67 (A) INTRODUCTION

68

Many studies use climatic variables such as temperature to define the range of conditions that species can occupy. At large spatial extents, such climatic variables are commonly found to be associated with the presence and absence of species (Peterson, 2001). Environmental "niche" or "bioclimate" models, which are based on the associations of species' distributions with climatic variables, are often then used to predict changes in the area available to species following future climatic change (e.g. Huntley *et al.*, 1995; Leathwick *et al.*, 1996; Peterson *et al.*, 2001; Thomas *et al.*, 2004; Elith & Leathwick, 2009; Kearney *et al.*, 2010; Bellard et al 76 2012) and changes in species' richness within an area following climatic warming (Hannah et 77 al., 2005; Menéndez et al., 2006; Huntley et al., 2007). In the past, these climate envelope studies have normally considered the associations between climate and species' distributions 78 at relatively coarse resolutions (usually 1 km² or coarser; commonly around 100 km² 79 resolution in Britain, or 2500 km² in Europe). This corresponds to the availability of species' 80 81 distribution data (e.g. National Biodiversity Network, NBN, http://data.nbn.org.uk) and/or of climate surfaces; and gives the capacity to run models quickly for large geographic areas. It 82 83 also corresponds to resolution at which climate is perceived to be an important factor when 84 determining distributions (McGill, 2010). However, a recent study suggested that climate can 85 be important at finer resolutions (Gillingham et al., 2012). In addition, some distribution 86 records are submitted with greater spatial precision and climate surfaces are increasingly 87 downscaled to finer resolutions (Guan et al., 2009) as computational power continues to 88 increase. This makes the prospect of producing fine-resolution bioclimate models increasingly realistic (e.g. Montoya et al., 2009; Seo et al., 2009). Here we consider the 89 90 implications of modelling distribution change at different spatial resolutions.

91

92 A number of previous studies suggest that the spatial resolution of analysis could affect 93 estimates of species declines and extinction. Thomas & Abery (1995) found that the observed decline rates of 12 British butterfly species were 35 % higher when estimated using a 4 km^2 94 grid than when based on a 100 km² grid. For *Plebejus argus*, the loss of 90 % of the local 95 populations resulted in a loss of only 56 % of 4 km² grid squares. Similarly, Thomas *et al.*, 96 97 (2006) found that much higher rates of retraction at low latitude/elevation range boundaries were detected when they were measured using a 1 km^2 grid than when using a 100 km^2 grid. 98 99 This may be a particular issue in mountainous regions, where coarse-resolution grid cells may 100 contain a wide range of environments and population densities (Shoo et al., 2006). Within

101 such a grid square, there is likely to be a wide range of microclimatic conditions (Ashcroft et 102 al., 2009), potentially resulting in the presence of locally-suitable conditions for species at 103 their thermal margins, the existence of which might not be apparent at a coarser resolution. 104 Many species are associated with locally suitable microclimates at their range margins, where 105 the coarser-scale mean climate is less suitable than at the centres of their ranges (Thomas et 106 al., 1999; Bryant et al., 2002; Lennon et al., 2002; Stefanescu et al., 2004). Thus, models that 107 utilise fine resolution data should be more accurate than those using coarse resolution climate 108 data (Ferrier *et al.*, 2002) in areas of high relief and for predicting the likely persistence of 109 species in small areas such as individual nature reserves.

110

111 These resolution issues mean that estimates of rates of decline under climatic change may be 112 either too high or too low when using coarse resolution data and model projections. There is a 113 possibility that coarse resolution models will overestimate the area available to species 114 (Trivedi et al., 2008) if, for example, a species only lives in the coldest 1 % of a coarse-115 grained grid cell, which contains a heterogeneous mix of different microclimatic conditions, 116 but statistically appears to be able to inhabit the mean temperature of the grid square. Under 117 modest climate warming, the coldest 1 % may become unsuitable for the species, even if the 118 average temperature of the grid square still appears to remain suitable. Under this 119 circumstance, a coarse resolution model may be slow to predict the disappearance of thermal 120 refugia, and may therefore underestimate the rate of decline. Alternatively, however, fine 121 resolution models may predict the persistence of these thermal refugia for longer into the future with climatic warming than do low resolution models (Randin et al., 2009). So far, 122 123 there are very few studies that have attempted to discover whether over- or under-estimation 124 of declines is likely to be projected using coarse resolution models. Those that have been attempted have been restricted to plants, which, being sessile, might be expected to have a 125

different propensity to survive in spatially restricted thermal refugia than more mobileorganisms.

128

129 Previous studies that explored the implications of using models at different spatial resolutions 130 interpolated fine-resolution climate surfaces using lapse rates (i.e., adjusting local 131 temperatures to take account of the local elevation; Trivedi et al., 2008; Randin et al., 2009). 132 However, variation in aspect and slope can have even larger effects than elevation on local 133 temperature (Suggitt et al., 2011). Given this uncertainty, and contrasting conclusions in the 134 literature, it is not clear whether fine-resolution analysis is expected to predict reduced 135 (Trivedi et al., 2008) or increased (Randin et al., 2009) persistence, compared to coarse-136 resolution analyses. Here, we present the first study to compare the effects of different 137 resolution models on predicted landscape suitability for a species where the effects of slope, 138 aspect, hill-shading and elevation on local temperatures are included.

139

140 In this study, we sampled the abundance of ground beetles from within a single 10 x 10 km 141 square (Figure 1). Because ground beetles are predatory, they should not be directly limited to 142 particular vegetation types, although some preferences may be caused due to the 143 microclimate experienced under different canopies. The study site is an area with substantial 144 topographic variation, which thus gives a range of predicted temperatures depending on the 145 resolution of the data (Figure 2), and sampled 1 km grid squares sometimes contained both 146 sample locations where species were present and those where they were absent (e.g. see 147 Figure 1). Because our records have a spatial precision of 5 m and include abundance data 148 rather than presence/absence data, we were able to use them to answer several questions: 149 1) How does the spatial resolution used when modelling alter our perceptions of the 150 temperatures of topographically diverse areas?

2) Does the projected percentage of a landscape that will remain suitable northern or southernspecies following climatic change vary with the resolution of the distribution model?

153

154 (A) **METHODS**

155

156 (B) Field Sampling

157

Ground beetles were collected by pitfall trapping from the start of May to the end of August
2008. Trapping took place on the Royal Society for the Protection of Birds (RSPB) Lake
Vyrnwy reserve.

161

Lake Vyrnwy is situated adjacent to Snowdonia National Park in Wales (52° 47' 09" N, 162 03° 30' 49" W) and covers around 9,700 hectares. It contains several different vegetation 163 164 types, but all trapping was undertaken in heathland dominated by *Calluna vulgaris* (heather) 165 that occurs mainly on peaty soils. This heathland is actively managed for wildlife with a combination of mowing, burning and grazing being employed to maintain a mosaic of 166 167 heather and grassland. The lowest areas of the reserve are around 350 m a.s.l., with the 168 highest around 620 m a.s.l. The location and elevational range of the site means that it is at 169 the southern range margin of many species with northerly distributions within the UK. 170

Stratified random sampling was used to select 40 locations. A 5 x 5 m grid was laid across the landscape, then grid cells were stratified into twelve categories on the basis of combinations of slope, aspect and elevation, with a thirteenth category for areas at the lowest elevations; three sampling locations were then randomly positioned within each of the first 12 strata, and four in the last. This ensured that the full range of microclimates present at the site 176 was sampled. Sample points were georeferenced in the field using a handheld Global Positioning System (Garmin GPS 60). At each sample location, five pitfall traps were 177 178 deployed in a circle of 2 m diameter. Traps consisted of two standard plastic vending cups of 179 7 cm diameter nested together and sunk into the soil so that the rim of the inner cup was flush 180 with the soil surface. Each trap was filled to a 2.5 cm depth with ethylene glycol antifreeze. 181 Traps were covered with a lid made up of a terracotta coloured plastic saucer suspended 11 cm above the ground and trap using galvanised wire. This served the dual purpose of limiting 182 183 liquid loss by evaporation and limiting flooding from rainfall. A surround of chicken wire 184 (mesh diameter 20 mm) completed the lid, with the aim of excluding small mammals from 185 the trap.

186

187 Traps were emptied at monthly intervals, with the antifreeze solution being replaced when 188 necessary. Catch was pooled for all five traps across the entire sampling season for each 189 location, providing a total of 18,795 trap-hours across the entire landscape. All carabid 190 beetles were identified to species. One northerly-distributed ground beetle, Carabus 191 glabratus and the most southerly distributed ground beetle, Poecilus versicolor, were selected 192 as examples for distributional modelling. C. glabratus might be expected to decline under 193 climate change, whilst *P. versicolor* is expected to benefit from climate change. Both species 194 fulfilled modelling criteria used in previous studies (see Gillingham et al., 2012).

195

196 (B) Microclimate modelling

197

198 A recently published microclimate model, which has been ground-truthed and found to

199 perform well when predicting vegetation surface temperatures in two different

200 topographically heterogeneous landscapes (Bennie et al., 2008), and additionally verified for

201 this landscape (Gillingham, 2011) was coded into C++ (Programme available on request from 202 PKG). Wind speed, air temperature and radiation data was obtained for the Vyrnwy meteorological station (52° 45' 25" N, 03° 38' 45" W) from the British Atmospheric Data 203 204 Centre (BADC, www.badc.rl.ac.uk). A digital elevation model (DEM) at 5 x 5 m resolution 205 (hereafter referred to as 5 m resolution) and 1 m vertical precision for the site was obtained 206 from the NERC Earth Observation Data Centre (NEODC, neodc.nerc.ac.uk). Slope and 207 aspect values for each 5 m cell were calculated using standard functions in ArcMap. The 208 microclimate model used this information to generate hourly predicted temperatures at 209 vegetation surface height at 5 m resolution from the start of September 2007 until the end of 210 August 2008.

211

212 The microclimate model adjusted the temperature values from the Vyrnwy meteorological 213 station to take account of the elevation difference between the met station and each point in 214 the landscape, as well as differences in direct and indirect radiation associated with different 215 slopes and aspects at different times of the day and year (including effects of hill-shading, 216 and assuming homogenous cloud cover across the entire site; Bennie et al., 2008). From these 217 hourly surfaces of predicted temperature at vegetation height, annual mean temperature, 218 maximum temperature in July (T_{max}) and minimum temperature in December (T_{min}) were 219 extracted for use in the distribution model at 5 m resolution.

220

We used interpolated, rather than directly-measured, temperatures in the present study because: (a) much of the value of distribution models arises from their ability to generalise from sample points to other locations (in the present and future), and hence this requires the use of climatic surfaces that can be extrapolated in space and time, (b) ground beetles can move over short distances (see below), and so will experience temperatures over a larger spatial scale than single point measurements (logger temperatures at fixed points under a
heather canopy are variable), and (c) we placed five pitfall traps within each sample location,
so some measure of the expected "average condition" of such an area is more relevant to our
sample data than the temperature at a single point. Resources were not available to place
multiple loggers in each sample location.

231

232 (B) Statistical Modelling

233

234 Abundance (number of individuals trapped across the season) of C. glabratus and P. 235 *versicolor* were the response variables to which predictor variables were fitted using a 236 Generalised Linear Model (GLM) with Negative Binomial error structure and a log link 237 function. The annual mean (T_{mean}) , July maximum (T_{max}) and December minimum (T_{min}) 238 temperatures as calculated by the microclimate model were included as predictor variables. 239 Trapping effort, corresponding to the proportion of the trapping effort that was lost to damage 240 at each location (e.g., loss of two of the five pitfall traps at a given location in one month), 241 was included as a log-offset in all models to allow for variations in catch caused by damage 242 to pitfall traps. An indication of model fit was calculated using the function cv.glm in the boot 243 package in R. The default leave-one out cross validation was used to calculate adjusted 244 prediction error. To give an indication of how informative our models were, delta-AIC was 245 calculated by subtracting AIC of the intersect-only model from AIC of the selected model. All 246 possible models were fitted, and as the models were to be used to illustrate predicted 247 scenarios, the best model was selected as the one with lowest prediction error. However, 248 because there is co-linearity within our explanatory variables (see Table S1 in Supporting 249 Information), we fitted models in three additional ways to increase confidence in our 250 conclusions. We additionally removed correlated variables (see Tables S2-S3 and Figures S1S2), we selected the best model using Multimodel Inference (see Tables S4-S5 and Figures
S3-S4) and we selected the best model by backwards stepwise regression using AIC (see
Tables S6-S7 and Figures S5-S6). These methods have been found to be effective when
dealing with correlated explanatory variables (Smith *et al.*, 2009). All statistical analyses
were carried out in R version 2.9.0 (R Development Core Team, 2008).

256

257 Coarser resolution temperature surfaces were generated by blocking up the data in 100 m 258 increments using the mean value of the enclosed 5 m cells, to a maximum of 1 km resolution 259 (for examples see Figure 2, note that resolution refers to the length of the side of each cell, 260 such that 100 x 100 m cells are referred to as "100 m resolution"). GLMs were refitted to 261 these lower resolution layers as before. Where more than one sampling location fell within a 262 given coarse resolution cell, both abundance and trapping effort values were pooled to create 263 one value for each variable per cell, such that coarse resolution cells containing more than 264 one trapping location had much higher values for trapping effort. The trapping effort offset 265 was retained in all models because it reflects the way the data were collected and 266 manipulated.

267

268 We used 5 m resolution grids as the finest resolution considered for several practical and 269 biological reasons: (a) this is the finest resolution at which elevational data are widely 270 available; (b) this resolution seems relevant to the short-distance (daily, weekly) movements 271 of adults and large larvae (P. versicolor has been found to move 7 m per day in heathland, 272 whilst adults of other ground beetle species have been observed to move less than one metre 273 over 48 hours; Brouwers & Newton, 2009); (c) the entire durations of eggs, pupae, and 274 probably early larval instars will be contained within such an area. However, it should be 275 noted that population persistence and abundance for our example organism may also be

determined by larger-scale processes (e.g., average suitability at 100 m or coarser resolution),
and hence we should not conclude that the finest-resolution analysis is the only one of
relevance.

279

280 (B) Future Projections

281

282 The microclimate model was used to predict hourly temperature surfaces for the site for 283 temperature increases in half degree steps to 6 °C, which is the central estimate of the 284 maximum predicted by 2085 for the UK under the maximum emissions scenario 285 (http://ukclimateprojections.defra.gov.uk). This resulted in slightly uneven warming across 286 the site, with cooler areas warming slightly more than hotter ones, thus reducing the range of 287 available temperatures within the site. This approach was used in order to compare the effects 288 of data resolution on future predictions and it is not within the remit of our study to comment 289 on the likelihood of future scenarios of climatic change.

290

291 The 5 m resolution future temperature surfaces were again blocked to the resolutions 292 previously used, and the respective model equations derived previously were applied to these 293 future surfaces to predict the abundance of C. glabratus and P. versicolor. A threshold of one 294 or more individuals predicted to be present within a cell given a maximum sampling effort of 295 4 months of continuous capture in five pitfall traps (which corresponds to our sampling 296 season with no damage to traps) was used to estimate the percentage of the total landscape 297 that is expected to be climatically suitable for the species with each temperature increase, 298 based on these models. This corresponds to our ability to detect the species at any particular 299 location, if it is present. One individual present in a trap would be likely to indicate larger 300 numbers present in the immediate environment, as pitfall traps do not trap 100% of

301	individuals present, rather provide an indication of activity density (Baars, 1979). We have an
302	important caveat here. Our purpose is to illustrate the role of spatial resolution on projections,
303	and not to make a specific prognosis for C. glabratus or P. versicolor. The latter would
304	require the inclusion of more sample points and species-specific data (e.g., spatial scales of
305	movement and persistence, see above; additional prey or habitat data and a quantified
306	relationship between population density and detection probability), and testing of the
307	predictive accuracy of the regression models over a number of years. It is not within the remit
308	of this study to discover the relationship between the number of trapped individuals and
309	whether a population is viable, as this information is not available for the majority of species
310	for which climate envelope modelling is undertaken.
311	
312	(A) RESULTS
313	
314	(B) Microclimate surfaces
315	
316	The microclimate surfaces are illustrated using July maximum temperatures (T_{max} , see Figure
317	2). The range of T_{max} values that appeared to exist within the landscape decreased greatly as
318	one moved from a 5 m resolution analysis (11.2 °C range; 22.4 °C to 33.6 °C) to 1000 m
319	resolution (3.9 °C range; 26.1 °C to 30.0 °C). This compares to the maximum temperature of
320	23.5 $^{\circ}$ C recorded at the Lake Vyrnwy meteorological station for July 2008 (this temperature
321	is within a Stevenson screen at 1.5 m height, and hence tends to be lower than average T_{max}
322	values estimated for the vegetation surface). In general, as the resolution of the analysis
323	became coarser, the range of temperatures declined, with the coolest observable locations
324	being warmer and the highest observable temperatures being cooler, although this effect was
325	much less marked for T_{mean} and T_{min} (Figure 3).

326

327 (B) Current Distributions

328

For the northern species, *C. glabratus*, T_{max} was the only independent variable apart from trapping effort to be retained in the best model at 5 m resolution. It showed a negative effect $(\beta = -0.91, n = 40, p < 0.0001)$ on the abundance of *C. glabratus*. There were fewer *C. glabratus* in areas with warmer summer (July) T_{max} temperatures, as expected for a species at the southern limit of its distribution. Comparable results were obtained when fitting models at 200 m, 600 m, and 900 m resolution.

335

336 Using the 100 m resolution temperature surface yielded a different result. Annual mean 337 temperature had a negative effect ($\beta = -1.21$, n = 40, p < 0.0001) on the abundance of C. 338 glabratus Comparable results were achieved when fitting models at 700m and 800m 339 resolutions. The overall relationship between abundance and the three temperature variables 340 was negative at most resolutions (i.e. increasing abundance in cooler locations). However, at 341 400 m, 500 m and 1 km resolution, a positive relationship was found between temperature 342 and abundance. The coefficients fitted show a large standard error compared to the effect 343 size, so at these resolutions an effect of temperature was not seen, despite the comparatively 344 low prediction error associated with the 500 m resolution model. In addition, these models all 345 predicted the entire landscape to be suitable under current conditions, which is not the case (see figure 1). 346

347

For the southern species, *P. versicolor*, annual T_{mean} was the only independent variable apart from trapping effort to be retained in the best model at 5 m resolution. It showed a positive effect ($\beta = 4.44$, n = 40, p < 0.0001) on the abundance of *P. versicolor*. There were more *P*. *versicolor* in areas with warmer mean temperatures, as expected for a species with a more
southerly distribution. Comparable results were obtained when fitting models at 200 m, 400
m, 600 m, 800 m and 900 m resolution.

354

Using the 100 m resolution temperature surface again yielded a different result. T_{max} had a positive effect ($\beta = 1.44$, n = 40, p = 0.0012) on the abundance of *P. versicolor*. At 300 m and 700 m resolutions, T_{min} and T_{max} were both retained, showing a positive relationship with the abundance of *P. versicolor*. In all cases, the relationship between abundance and the three temperature variables was positive (i.e. increasing abundance in warmer locations). For both species, prediction error varied with resolution (Tables 1 and 2). The greatest prediction errors were found for models fitted at 800m resolution or coarser.

362

363 **(B) Future distributions**

364

365 Each of the above models was then applied to the entire landscape, first for the current climate, and then for warming of up to 6 °C. For the northern species, C. glabratus, the 366 percentage of landscape perceived to be thermally suitable at current temperatures (i.e. we 367 would expect to catch one individual or more in five pitfall traps over a four month period) 368 369 varied from over 99 % at 100 m resolution to just over 90% at 200 m and 300 m resolutions. 370 The area projected to remain thermally suitable, following climatic warming for C. glabratus 371 within the study landscape, decreased in a non-linear fashion for all resolutions with informative models (see Figure 4). Different resolutions of data/models resulted in different 372 373 rates of decline in the percentage of thermally suitable habitat associated with increasing 374 temperatures (Figure 4). Following a 3 °C rise in temperature, one model predicted that < 1 375 % of the landscape would remain suitable (100 m resolution model), whilst one model

predicted that 67 % of the landscape would remain suitable (800 m resolution model). The
rate of decline was not predictable based on the resolution of the data used to fit models.
However, the informative models based on different resolutions did agree on one point –
almost the entire landscape would be thermally unsuitable following a 6 °C rise in
temperature.

381

For the southerly-distributed *P. versicolor*, the percentage of the landscape predicted to be suitable increased in a non-linear manner at all resolutions (Figure 5). At current temperatures, between 29% (at 100m resolution) and just under 41% (at 900m resolution) of the landscape was predicted to be suitable. There was much more agreement between resolutions about the rate of increase of suitability for this species, and all models except the 400 m resolution model agreed that the entire landscape would be suitable following a 2 °C rise in temperature.

389

390 There is an important point to be made with regard to model selection, as different model 391 selection methods resulted in different variables being retained for any one resolution for 392 both species (see Tables S2-S7). For P. versicolor the retention of different variables had little 393 effect on the model predictions, and agreement was high between all of the different methods 394 (Figures S2, S4 and S6). However, for C. glabratus the results were very different at any one 395 resolution depending on the model selection method used (Figures S1, S3 and S5). The most 396 robust conclusion is that almost all resolution models, regardless of selection method, 397 predicted that almost the entire landscape would be perceived as unsuitable following a 4 °C 398 rise in temperature.

399

400 (A) DISCUSSION

401

In a landscape such as ours, topography can change markedly over a scale of tens to hundreds of metres, such that a coarser resolution cell may contain both north- and south-facing slopes, as well as several hundred metres of elevational range. These differences in topography and thus temperature conditions result in many coarse grid cells containing some areas that are much cooler and others that are much hotter than average (Figure 2). Our analyses showed that projections of future distributions are highly sensitive to this variation.

408

409 The statistical models (GLM) models that we fitted to the current distributions of our two 410 study species were consistent, and sensible, in that the northerly distributed C. glabratus was 411 mostly frequently encountered in the coolest parts of the landscape and that the southerly 412 distributed *P. versicolor* was predominantly found in the warmest locations (at all 413 resolutions). The finer resolution models generated the slowest rate of decline in the northern 414 C. glabratus and the slowest predicted increase in the southern P. versicolor (although 415 analyses for this species were far less sensitive to resolution). By modelling at resolutions far 416 coarser than the environments that individuals actually experience, we apparently underestimated the capacity of northern C. glabratus to survive in small-scale refugia; a 417 418 finding typical of other studies that have used fine-resolution models (Gottfried et al., 1999; 419 Williams et al., 2003; Seo et al., 2009), although these studies did not expressly compare 420 model resolutions. For the southern *P. versicolor*, the coarsest 1 km resolution analysis may 421 equally fail to identify warm microsites, and thereby under-estimate the potential for 422 colonisation. However, it was not as simple as this because different temperature variables 423 (annual mean, winter minimum, summer maximum) entered the models at different 424 resolutions, and under different model selection methods. This resulted in C. glabratus 425 appearing least sensitive to warming in some of the intermediate-resolution analyses. The

most conservative conclusion we can make is that that projected sensitivities to climate
warming are strongly dependent on the spatial resolution of analysis and the model selection
method. Nonetheless, we were able to find some agreement in that almost all models
predicted that the entire landscape would be perceived as unsuitable for *C. glabratus*following a 4 °C rise in temperature.

431

432 There are two comparable studies, which reached opposite conclusions on the effect of 433 resolution on the apparent suitability of a landscape following climatic change. Randin *et al.*, 434 (2009) projected higher levels of persistence for high-resolution models of plant distributions 435 in the Swiss Alps, whilst Trivedi et al., (2008) found lower projected persistence in high 436 resolution models of Scottish plants than in coarse resolution models. Both studies used 437 elevation as the sole means for interpolating temperature to finer resolutions (i.e. colder at 438 higher elevations), without considering the effects of slope and aspect. North and south facing slopes can differ by as much as 7 °C (Suggitt et al., 2011), so the coldest place within a 439 440 landscape may be on a polewards-facing slope rather than at its highest point. However, 441 topographic effects depend on the climatic variable considered (Suggitt *et al.*, 2011). Low 442 elevation areas may experience lower minimum temperatures than mid-elevations due to cold 443 air pooling (Geiger, 1973), and the highest maximum temperatures are commonly found on 444 steep south facing hillsides (in the northern hemisphere) rather than at the lowest elevations 445 (Bennie et al., 2010; Suggitt et al., 2011); whilst the lowest maxima (i.e. areas that are suitable refugia for species preferring cooler conditions) occur at moderate rather than high 446 447 elevations in some landscapes (Ashcroft et al., 2008), where some shelter is available from 448 hot, dry winds. Hence we suggest that elevation-only models are insufficient for high 449 resolution modelling of climatic effects on species' distributions. We suspect that the opposite 450 results of Randin et al., (2009) and Trivedi et al., (2008) may arise partly because of the

451 higher elevational, and hence temperature (based on lapse rate), range of Switzerland than 452 Scotland. The microclimate model we used makes a step forwards by including the effects of 453 radiation and wind speed, but there is scope for improvement by including effects such as 454 cold air pooling. In any case, modelling at a range of different resolutions, rather than just coarse and fine resolution, highlighted the unpredictability of projections, with different 455 456 resolution models predicting different rates of decline of a northern species. Therefore, the 457 selection of different resolutions by the above-mentioned authors may be one potential source 458 of their opposite results.

459

460 The observed discrepancy between the perceptions of different resolution models, along with 461 the fact that finer resolution models have been found to perform better than coarser ones in 462 predicting species' distributions (Engler et al., 2004), leads us to conclude that more 463 modelling should be done at a range of resolutions across different taxa and regions, at least 464 until we understand the circumstances leading to the prediction of higher or lower estimations 465 of extinction when fine resolution data are used. Further research on the capacities of species 466 from different taxonomic groups to persist in local microclimates is also needed. Small areas 467 of suitable microclimates do not necessarily imply that sufficient area will survive to ensure long-term population persistence. There is also the question of which is the most appropriate 468 469 resolution to model at for any given species. This will vary among species depending on the 470 movement rates of individuals and the area required to support populations. Where such data 471 do exist, individual-based mechanistic models (rather than the correlative ones used here) 472 may be more appropriate as they provide a dynamic response to change based on well 473 understood processes (e.g. Clark et al., 2001; Wallentin et al., 2008). However, for the vast 474 majority of species (as for the example species modelled in this study), such data do not exist. In these cases, comparing results from a range of resolutions to give a range of values for 475

476 predicted suitability of landscapes is a step forward in representing the needs of the species477 more accurately.

478

479 A challenge is the need for high resolution analyses over large spatial extents, and a more 480 systematic approach to sampling would be beneficial (Eyre et al., 2005). To date, studies 481 (including ours) often focus on relatively small geographical extents when modelling at a fine resolution. This is because it is impractical to collect distribution data at the fine resolution 482 483 required for such studies throughout the whole of a species' range. However, the approach 484 that we advocate may become increasingly feasible because: (a) as in this study, one only 485 needs data from a sample of precisely georeferenced locations (it is not necessary to cover 486 every cell); (b) many existing records are already at 100 m grid resolution 487 (http://data.nbn.org.uk); and (c) cheap GPS units mean that many records are now submitted 488 with location data that have a precision of < 20 m. Such records could be used to model 489 species distributions at a fine resolution across whole countries in order to make a fair 490 comparison between coarse and fine resolution models across large geographical extents. 491 These models would then give conservation managers a better idea of the priority areas that 492 should be managed for species of interest, both within single sites and on a national and 493 international basis.

494

495 (A) ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

496

Thanks to Jonathan Bennie for making the microclimate model available prior to publication;
Joseph Chipperfield for coding the microclimate model; CCW and Severn Trent for land
access and permissions; RSPB for support at the site; Various field and lab assistants; Oxford
Natural History Museum and Mark Telfer for assistance with identification; NEODC for

501 Digital Elevation Models and the BADC for climate data; Ralph Clarke for advice on 502 choosing best models. This work was funded by NERC studentship UKPopNet 503 NER/S/R/2006/14336 504 505 REFERENCES 506 Ashcroft, M. B., Chisholm, L. A. & French, K. O. (2008) The effect of exposure on landscape 507 508 soil surface temperatures and species distribution models. *Landscape Ecology* 23, 211-225. 509 510 Ashcroft, M. B., Chisholm, L. A. & French, K. O. (2009) Climate change at the landscape 511 scale: predicting fine-grained spatial heterogeneity in warming and potential refugia for 512 vegetation. Global Change Biology 15, 656-667. 513 514 Baars, M. A. (1979) Catches in pitfall traps in relation to mean densities of carabid beetles. 515 *Oecologia* **41**, 25-46. 516 517 Bellard, C., Bertelsmeier, C., Leadley, P., Thuiller, W. & Courchamp. F. (2012) Impacts of 518 climate change on the future of biodiversity. Ecology Letters, 519 DOI: 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2011.01736.x 520 521 Bennie, J., Huntley, B., Wiltshire, A., Hill, M. O., Baxter, R. (2008) Slope, aspect and 522 climate: spatially explicit and implicit models of topographic microclimate in chalk 523 grassland. Ecological Modelling 216, 47-59. 524 525 Bennie, J., Kubin, E., Wiltshire, A., Huntley, B., Baxter, R. (2010) Predicting spatial and

526	temporal patterns of bud-burst and spring frost risk in north-west Europe: the implications of
527	local adaptation to climate. Global Change Biology 16, 1503-1514.
528	
529	Brouwers, N. C. & Newton, A. C. (2009) Movement rates of woodland invertebrates: a
530	systematic review of empirical evidence. Insect Conservation and Diversity 2, 10-22.
531	
532	Bryant, S. R., Thomas, C. D. & Bale, J. S. (2002) The influence of thermal ecology on the
533	distribution of three nymphalid butterflies. Journal of Applied Ecology 39, 43-55.
534	
535	Clark, M. E., Rose, K. A., Levine, D. A. & Hargrove, W. W. (2001) Predicting climate change
536	effects on Appalachian trout: Combining GIS and individual-based modelling. Ecological
537	<i>Applications</i> 11 , 161-178.
538	
539	Elith, J. & Leathwick, J. R. (2009) Species distribution models: ecological explanation and
540	prediction across space and time. Annual Review of Ecology Evolution and Systematics 40,
541	677-697.
542	
543	Engler, R., Guisan, A. & Rechsteiner, L. (2004) An improved approach for predicting the
544	distribution of rare and endangered species from occurrence and pseudo-absence data.

545 *Journal of Applied Ecology* **41**, 263-274.

546

547 Eyre, M. D., Rushton, S. P., Luff, M. L. & Telfer, M. G. (2005) Investigating the relationships

548 between the distribution of British ground beetle species (Coleoptera, Carabidae) and

549 temperature, precipitation and altitude. *Journal of Biogeography* **32**, 973-983.

- 551 Ferrier, S., Watson, G., Pearce, J. & Drielsma, M. (2002) Extended statistical approaches to
- 552 modelling spatial pattern in biodiversity in northeast New South Wales. I. Species-level

553 modelling. *Biodiversity and Conservation* **11**, 2275-2307.

- 554
- 555 Geiger, R. (1973) The Climate Near the Ground. Harvard University Press, Cambridge,
- 556 Massachusetts.
- 557
- 558 Gillingham, P. K. (2011) The relative importance of microclimate and land use to
- 559 *biodiversity*. PhD Thesis, University of York (available online at
- 560 http://etheses.whiterose.ac.uk/1210/)
- 561
- 562 Gillingham, P. K., Palmer, S. C. F, Huntley, B., Kunin, W. E, Chipperfield, J. D. and Thomas,
- 563 C. D. (2012) The relative importance of climate and habitat in determining the distributions
- of species at different spatial scales: a case study with ground beetles in Great Britain.
- 565 *Ecography*, doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0587.2011.07434.x.
- 566
- 567 Gottfried, M., Pauli, H., Reiter, K. & Grabherr, G. (1999) A fine-scaled predictive model for
- 568 changes in species distribution patterns of high mountain plants induced by climate warming.
- 569 *Diversity and Distributions* **5**, 241-251.
- 570
- 571 Guan, B. T., Hsu, H. W., Wey, T. H. & Tsao, L. S. (2009) Modeling monthly mean
- 572 temperatures for the mountain regions of Taiwan by generalized additive models.
- 573 Agricultural and Forest Meteorology **149**, 281-290.
- 574

- 575 Hannah, L., Midgley, G., Hughes, G. & Bomhard, B. (2005) The view from the cape.
- 576 Extinction risk, protected areas, and climate change. *Bioscience* 55, 231-242.
- 577
- Hodkinson, I. D. (2005) Terrestrial insects along elevation gradients: species and community
 responses to altitude. *Biological Reviews* 80, 489-513.
- 580
- 581 Huntley, B., Berry, P. M., Cramer, W. & McDonald, A. P. (1995). Modelling present and
- 582 potential future ranges of some European higher plants using climate response surfaces.
- 583 Journal of Biogeography 22, 967-1001.
- 584
- 585 Huntley, B., Green, R. E., Collingham, Y. C. & Willis, S. G. (2007) A climatic atlas of

586 European breeding birds. Lynx Edicions, Barcelona.

- 587
- Kearney, M. R., Wintle, B. A. & Porter, W. P. (2010) Correlative and mechanistic models of
 species distribution provide congruent forecasts under climate change. *Conservation Letters*3, 203-213.

- Lakhani, K. H. & Davis, B. N. K. (1982) Multiple regression models of the distribution of
- *Helianthemum chamaecistus* in relation to aspect and slope at Barnack, England. *The Journal of Applied Ecology* 19, 621-629.
- 595
- 596 Leathwick, J. R., Whitehead, D. & McLeod, M. (1996) Predicting changes in the composition
- 597 of New Zealand's indigenous forests in response to global warming: a modelling approach.
- 598 Environmental Software 11, 81-90.
- 599

- 600 Lennon, J. J., Kunin, W. E., Corne, S., Carver, S. & Van Hees, W. W. S. (2002). Are Alaskan
- trees found in locally more favourable sites in marginal areas? Global Ecology and
- 602 *Biogeography* **11**, 103-114.
- 603
- 604 McGill, B. J. (2010). Matters of Scale. *Science*, **328**, 575-576.
- 605
- 606 Menéndez, R., Megias, A. G., Hill, J. K., Braschler, B., Willis, S. G., Collingham, Y., Fox, R.,
- 607 Roy, D. B. & Thomas, C. D. (2006) Species richness changes lag behind climate change.

608 *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **273**, 1465-1470.

609

- 610 Montoya, D., Purves, D. W., Urbieta, I. R. & Zavala, M. A. (2009) Do species distribution
- 611 models explain spatial structure within tree species ranges? Global Ecology and
- 612 *Biogeography* **18**, 662-673.
- 613
- Murphy, P. N. C., Ogilvie, J. & Arp. P. (2009) Topographic modelling of soil moisture
- 615 conditions: a comparison and verification of two models. *European Journal of Soil Science*616 60, 94-109.

617

Peterson, A. T. (2001) Predicting species' geographic distributions based on ecological niche
modeling. *Condor* 103, 599-605.

620

- 621 Peterson, A. T., Sánchez-Cordero, V., Soberón, J., Bartley, J., Buddemeier, R. W. & Navarro-
- 622 Sigüenza, A. G. (2001) Effects of global climate change on geographic distributions of
- 623 Mexican Cracidae. *Ecological Modelling* **144**, 21-30.

- R Development Core Team (2008) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. *R Foundation for Statistical Computing*, Vienna, Austria. ISBN 3-900051-07-0, URL
 http://www.R-project.org.
- 628
- 629 Randin, C. F., Engler, R., Normand, S., Zappa, M., Zimmerman, N. E., Pearman, P. B., Vittoz,
- 630 P., Thuiller, W. & Guisan, A. (2009) Climate change and plant distribution: local models

631 predict high-elevation persistence. *Global Change Biology* **15**, 1557-1569.

- 632
- 633 Seo, C., Thorne, J. H., Hannah, L. & Thuiller, W. (2009) Scale effects in species distribution
- models: implications for conservation planning under climate change. *Biology Letters* 5, 39-

635 43.

636

Shoo, L. P., Williams, S. E. &Hero, J. M. (2006) Detecting climate change induced range
shifts: where and how should we be looking? *Austral Ecology* 31, 22-29.

639

- 640 Smith, A. C., Koper, N., Francis, C. M. & Fahrig, L. (2009) Confronting collinearity:
- 641 comparing methods for disentangling the effects of habitat loss and fragmentation. *Landscape*642 *Ecology* 24, 1271-1285.
- 643
- 644 Stefanescu, C., Herrando, S. & Páramo, F. (2004) Butterfly species richness in the north-west
- 645 Mediterranean Basin: the role of natural and human-induced factors. *Journal of*
- 646 *Biogeography* **31**, 905-915.

- 648 Suggitt, A. J., Gillingham, P. K., Hill, J. K., Huntley, B., Kunin, W. E., Roy, D. B. & Thomas,
- 649 C. D. (2011) Habitat microclimates drive fine-scale variation in extreme temperatures. *Oikos*

650 **120**, 1-8.

651

- Thomas, C. D. & Abery, J. C. G. (1995) Estimating rates of butterfly decline from distribution
 maps the effect of scale. *Biological Conservation* 73, 59-65.
- Thomas, C. D., Franco, A. M. A. & Hill, J. K. (2006) Range retractions and extinction in the
- face of climate warming. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **21**, 415.

656

- Thomas, C. D., Cameron, A., Green, R. E., Bakkenes, M., Beaumont, L. J., Collingham, Y.
- 658 C., Erasmus, B. F. N., de Siqueira, M. F., Grainger, A., Hannah, L., Hughes, L., Huntley, B.,
- van Jaarsveld, A. S., Midgley, G. F., Miles, L., Ortega-Huerta, M. A., Peterson, A. T.,
- Phillips, O. L. & Williams, S. E. (2004) Extinction risk from climate change. *Nature* 427,
 145-148.

662

- Thomas, J. A., Rose, R. J., Clarke, R. T., Thomas, C. D. & Webb, N. R. (1999). Intraspecific
- variation in habitat availability among ectothermic animals near their climatic limits and their
- 665 centres of range. *Functional Ecology* **13**, 55-64.

666

Trivedi, M. R., Berry, P. M., Morecroft, M. D. & Dawson, T. P. (2008) Spatial scale affects
bioclimate model projections of climate change impacts on mountain plants. *Global Change Biology* 14, 1089-1103.

670

- 671 Wallentin, G., Tappeiner, U., Strobl, J. & Tasser, E. (2008) Understanding alpine tree line
- dynamics: An individual-based model. *Ecological Modelling* **218**, 235-246.

- Williams, S. E., Bolitho, E. E. & Fox, S. (2003) Climate change in Australian tropical
- 675 rainforests: an impending environmental catastrophe. Proceedings of the Royal Society

676 *B:Biological Sciences* **270**, 1887-1892.

677

678 Supporting Information

- Table S1: Correlation coefficients between temperature variables
- Table S2: Variable coefficients for C. glabratus, where T_{mean} has been removed
- Table S3: Variable coefficients for *P. versicolor*, where T_{mean} has been removed
- Table S4: Variables included in the best model selected by MMI for *C. glabratus*
- Table S5: Variables included in the best model selected by MMI for *P. versicolor*
- Table S6: Variables included in the best model selected by backwards stepwise regression on
- 685 AIC for *C. glabratus*
- Table S7: Variables included in the best model selected by backwards stepwise regression on
- 687 AIC for *P. versicolor*
- 688 Figure S1: Model predictions for *C. glabratus* based on models in Table S2
- 689 Figure S2: Model predictions for *P. versicolor* based on models in Table S3
- 690 Figure S3: Model predictions for *C. glabratus* based on models in Table S4
- 691 Figure S4: Model predictions for *P. versicolor* based on models in Table S5
- 692 Figure S5: Model predictions for *C. glabratus* based on models in Table S6
- 693 Figure S6: Model predictions for *P. versicolor* based on models in Table S7
- 694
- 695 Biosketch

696

697 Phillipa Gillingham is interested in the ecology and conservation of upland ecosystems, as698 well as the effects of climate change on the distributions of species and how this will affect

conservation in the future. She is currently based at Bournemouth University, where she is a
lecturer in Biogeography. Author contributions: PKG and CDT conceived the idea with
advice from BH and WEK. PKG collected and analysed the data with advice from CDT, BH
and WEK. PKG led the writing, CDT, BH and WEK made extensive comments on all drafts.

704	Table 1: Summary of the Generalised Linear Models fitted at each resolution (Res), with number of sample locations N. Shown are the β -
705	coefficient of the relationship between abundance of <i>Carabus glabratus</i> and annual mean temperature (βT_{mean}), winter minimum temperature (β
706	T_{min}) and summer maximum temperature (βT_{max}) with standard errors in brackets, along with their associated p-values. A + in the Effort column
707	indicates that the log trapping effort was included as an offset in the model. Delta AIC of the best model and prediction error (PE) are also
708	shown.

710	Res	Ν	Effort	β T _{mean}	р	β T _{min}	р	β T _{max}	р	DeltaAIC	PE
711	5	40	+	-	-	-	-	-0.91 (0.23)	<0.0001	-11.09	143.83
712	100	40	+	-1.21 (0.71)	0.0879	-	-	-	-	-3.21	176.65
713	200	40	+	-	-	-	-	-1.05 (0.25)	<0.0001	-12.62	157.53
714	300	36	+	-	-	-	-	-1.17 (0.26)	<0.0001	-12.50	202.42
715	400	34	+	0.84 (0.90)	ns	-	-	-0.57 (0.31)	0.0709	-2.17	212.20
<u>716</u>	500	30	+	-	-	1.08 (0.83)	ns	-0.59 (0.27)	0.0296	-5.54	110.98
717	600	28	+	-	-	-	-	-0.92 (0.43)	0.0338	-2.21	366.30
718	700	25	+	-1.63 (0.98)	0.0950	-	-	-	-	-6.86	501.38
719	800	22	+	-0.82 (0.87)	ns	-	-	-	-	-3.22	532.21
720	900	20	+	-	-	-	-	-0.76 (0.40)	0.0608	-7.84	296.40
721	1000	20	+	-	-	0.07 (1.12)	ns		-	-3.12	530.38
722											

723	Table 2: Summary of the Generalised Linear Models fitted at each resolution (Res), with number of sample locations N. Shown are the β -
724	coefficient of the relationship between abundance of <i>Poecilus versicolor</i> and annual mean temperature (βT_{mean}), winter minimum temperature (β
725	T_{min}) and summer maximum temperature (βT_{max}) with standard errors in brackets, along with their associated p-values. A + in the Effort column
726	indicates that the log trapping effort was included as an offset in the model. Delta AIC of the best model and prediction error (PE) are also

shown.

730	Res	Ν	Effort	βT_{mean}	р	β T _{min}	р	βT_{max}	р	DeltaAIC	PE
731	5	40	+	4.44 (0.71)	<0.0001	-	-	-	-	-24.54	25.58
732	100	40	+		-	-	-	1.44 (0.44)	0.0012	-8.61	32.59
733	200	40	+	4.34 (0.82)	<0.0001	-	-	-	-	-19.38	30.54
734	300	36	+		-	4.30 (0.93)	<0.0001	0.60 (0.31)	0.0494	-15.98	25.43
735	400	34	+	4.06 (1.12)	0.0003	-	-	-	-	-10.07	39.23
736	500	30	+	4.29 (1.29)	0.0008	-	-	0.41 (0.46)	ns	-16.24	47.25
737	600	28	+	5.34 (1.83)	0.0035	-	-	-	-	-11.96	63.09
738	700	25	+		-	2.54 (1.78)	ns	1.65 (0.64)	0.0096	-7.65	9.99
739	800	22	+	4.25 (1.28)	0.0009	-	-	-	-	-9.67	60.18
740	900	20	+	3.57 (2.18)	ns	-	-	-	-	-3.28	93.82
741	1000	20	+	-	-	40.60 (1.59)	0.0038	-	-	-13.42	82.55

742 Figure legends

743 Figure 1: Locations within the landscape that were sampled for *Carabus glabratus*. Presences

(black circles) and Absences (white circles) are shown on a 1 km resolution grid of cells with
 presence only (dark grey), absence only (pale grey) and containing both presence and absence

records (mid grey)

747

Figure 2: The maximum temperature in July 2008 (T_{max}) across the Lake Vyrnwy field site, shown at four different resolutions a) 5 m b) 100 m c) 500 m d) 1000 m

750

Figure 3: The relationship between input data resolution and perception of various parameters at 2008 conditions; a) the maximum of T_{max} (black squares), minimum of T_{max} (black triangles), maximum of T_{mean} (grey squares), minimum of T_{mean} (grey triangles), maximum of

 T_{min} (open squares) and minimum of T_{min} (open triangles) in the landscape.

755

Figure 4: The proportion of the landscape predicted to remain thermally suitable for *Carabus glabratus* following climate warming based on the model with the lowest prediction error.

Each line represents a different resolution model.

Figure 5: The proportion of the landscape predicted to become thermally suitable for *Poecilus versicolor* following climate warming based on the model with the lowest prediction error.
Each line represents a different resolution model.

763

764

765





N

High: 33.6

Low: 22.4

0

2km



High: 33.6 0

Low: 22.4

2km

774

N









