



Factors when setting up online discussions -> impact on message quality and student engagement

- Task type – collaborative?
- Group composition - random allocation?
- Moderated or laissez faire?
- Synchronous / asynchronous?



Tasks: Participate in 3 online discussions (15% x3)
Lead one online discussion = 55%

Assessment 1
Assess each message for evaluation
Assess initial and final message for co-ordination
Holistically assess discussion leadership skills

Assess Critical Evaluation

- questioning / building on previous messages / research
- extent and timeliness of resources used e.g. recent and not already covered in lectures
- reflect on credibility of sources (academic + other media)

Assess Leadership / Teamwork Skills

- encourage interactivity / motivating comments (social presence)
- initiate: definitions, boundaries, plan
- summary: research and views
- weave research and responses into questions



Assessment 2
Reflective essays on:

Processes (50%)

- link to group theory and online research

Content (50%)

- identify how understanding of the topic changed
- reflect on credibility of sources (academic + other media)
- links to personal experiences
- apply theory to wider context

CONCLUSIONS

Assessment methods need to be modernised, to reflect changes in learning activities taking place with Web 2.0 -> consider experiences / expectations of current generation

Consider individual differences in assessment, e.g. students with written communication difficulties or English as a second language