Spinal cord injury (SCI) is a cause of major neurological disability, and no satisfactory treatment is currently available. Evidence suggests that polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) could target some of the pathological mechanisms that underlie damage after SCI. We examined the effects of treatment with PUFAs after lateral spinal cord hemisection in the rat. The ω-3 PUFAs α-linolenic acid and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) injected 30 min after injury induced significantly improved locomotor performance and neuroprotection, including decreased lesion size and apoptosis and increased neuronal and oligodendrocyte survival. Evidence showing a decrease in RNA/DNA oxidation suggests that the neuroprotective effect of ω-3 PUFAs involved a significant antioxidant function. In contrast, animals treated with arachidonic acid, an ω-6 PUFA, had a significantly worse outcome than controls. We confirmed the neuroprotective effect of ω-3 PUFAs by examining the effects of DHA treatment after spinal cord compression injury. Results indicated that DHA administered 30 min after spinal cord compression not only greatly increased survival of neurons but also resulted in significantly better locomotor performance for up to 6 weeks after injury.

This report shows a striking difference in efficacy between the effects of treatment with ω-3 and ω-6 PUFAs on the outcome of SCI, with ω-3 PUFAs being neuroprotective and ω-6 PUFAs having a damaging effect. Given the proven clinical safety of ω-3 PUFAs, our observations show that these PUFAs have significant therapeutic potential in SCI. In contrast, the use of preparations enriched in ω-6 PUFAs after injury could worsen outcome after SCI.
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### Introduction

The devastating effects of spinal cord injury (SCI) are a result of not only the initial injury but also a process of spreading secondary degeneration that affects both local neurons and glia, and en passant axons. Several pathophysiological mechanisms underlie this degeneration, including excitotoxicity (Hall and Braughler, 1986) and inflammation triggered by macrophages and microglia that release inflammatory cytokines (Profyris et al., 2004). Although numerous treatments have targeted these pathogenetic processes in preclinical studies (Leker and Shohami, 2002), most have had limited success in clinical trials. One of the reasons for this may be the complexity of the secondary degenerative response. Many treatments affect only one aspect of this response, and a successful treatment will probably have to target several of these mechanisms.

Over the past 10 years, there has been increased interest in the health benefits of polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs), with evidence emerging that ω-3 PUFAs have significant therapeutic potential in neurology and psychiatry (Lauritzen et al., 2000; Emsley et al., 2003). PUFAs are structural components of phospholipids, which are the main constituents of cell membranes. PUFAs of the ω-3 series include α-linolenic acid (ALA), eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA), the latter two being the longer chain compounds, whereas ALA is the biosynthetic precursor. Recent evidence shows that ω-3 PUFAs can modulate several of the processes that contribute to the secondary degeneration in the CNS. For example, ω-3 PUFAs have antioxidant effects (Sarsilmaz et al., 2003) as well as anti-inflammatory effects through inhibition of the production of proinflammatory cytokines (Endres and von Schacky, 1996). PUFAs can also block apoptosis (Martin et al., 2002), and several studies have documented their neuroprotective effects in vitro and in vivo (Blondeau et al., 2002; Wang et al., 2003). These observations suggest that ω-3 PUFAs could have significant therapeutic potential in the treatment of SCI.

The present study examined the effects of PUFA treatment in a rat model of SCI. The ω-3 PUFAs ALA and DHA were injected 30 min after a spinal cord hemisection, and their effects were studied on hindlimb locomotor function, lesion size, cell survival, and apoptosis. To begin to determine the mechanism of any
neuroprotective effect of PUFA treatment, we also examined the degree of RNA/DNA oxidation, a process shown to be present in both neurodegenerative diseases and after traumatic CNS injury (Facheris et al., 2004). To establish whether any neuroprotective effects were specific for ω-3 PUFAs, we also examined the effects of the ω-6 PUFA arachidonic acid (AA) and the ω-9 monounsaturated fatty acid oleic acid (OA). AA and OA have complex profiles and have been shown to induce both neuroprotection and neurodegeneration (Katsuki and Okuda, 1995; Toborek et al., 1999; Rodriguez-Rodriguez et al., 2004), depending on the experimental model. In addition, to further confirm the efficacy of ω-3 PUFAs after spinal cord injury, we examined the effects of DHA treatment after spinal cord compression injury on neuronal survival as well as hindlimb locomotor recovery.

Materials and Methods

Animals and surgical procedures. Spinal cord hemisection and perfusion were performed as described previously (King et al., 2004). All animal procedures were approved by the United Kingdom Home Office. Adult male Wistar rats (200–250 g) were anesthetized using 2–3% halothane, the spinal cord between the T7 and T9 levels was exposed by laminectomy, and a hemisection extending from the midline to the lateral edge of the spinal cord was made with iris scissors. The lesion site was covered with gelfoam, and the overlying muscle and skin were sutured. Thirty minutes after hemisection, animals received a tail vein injection of vehicle (n = 8) and fire a fatty acids at a dose of 250 mmol/kg in a volume of 5 ml/kg: the ω-3 PUFAs ALA (n = 5) or DHA (n = 10), the ω-6 PUFA AA (n = 5), or the ω-9 monounsaturated fatty acid OA (n = 10). Fatty acids were dissolved at 1 mM in ethanol and then diluted with physiological saline, and solutions were adjusted to pH 7.4. All animals survived for 1 week after surgery.

A second group of animals received a static compression injury of the spinal cord as described previously (Nyström et al., 1988; Huang et al., 2006). Briefly, 22 animals were anesthetized using 2–3% halothane, and the spinal cord at the T12 level was exposed by laminectomy. The T11 and T13 spinal processes were then clamped to prevent movement of the spinal cord. A compression plate (area, 2 × 5 mm) that was suspended from a platform via a metal rod was then placed on the dura of the exposed spinal cord, and a 50 g weight was placed on the platform for 5 min. The lesion site was then closed (see above). Thirty minutes after compression, animals received a tail vein injection of either vehicle or DHA (see details above). The bladders of the animals were manually voided twice a day for the first week and once daily thereafter until the establishment of reflex voiding. Animals survived for either 7 d (vehicle, n = 5; DHA, n = 5) or 6 weeks (vehicle, n = 6; DHA, n = 6).

At the appropriate posturgical interval, animals were deeply anesthetized with sodium pentobarbital (50 mg/kg, i.p.) and perfused through the ascending aorta with 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1M phosphate buffered saline twice as well as hindlimb locomotor recovery.

To assess the effects on spinal tracts, sections were processed with the myelin stain Luxol fast blue. Sections were incubated at 37°C overnight in 0.1% Luxol fast blue (Sigma) in 95% ethanol and 0.05% glacial acetic acid and then differentiated in 1% lithium carbonate followed by 70% ethanol. Sections were then rinsed in tap water, dehydrated, and coverslipped.

Behavioral assessment. Hindlimb locomotor function for animals that received a spinal cord hemisection was assessed 1, 3, 5, and 7 d after surgery in animals that received spinal cord hemisection. For the week before surgery, animals were habituated and trained on all behavioral tasks. The tasks used were as follows: (1) beam walk, (2) horizontal ladder, and (3) open field. The beam walk test was similar to that described by Metz et al. (2000). Animals were scored on their ability to traverse 1.2-m-long wooden beams of three different widths (4.5, 3.5, and 2.5 cm). The following scoring system was used: 0 for traversing less than half the beam, 0.5 for traversing half the length of the beam, 1 for traversing the whole beam, 1.5 for traversing the whole beam and showing at least some stepping with the hindlimb ipsilateral to the lesion, and 2 for traversing the whole beam with accurate foot placement of the hindlimb ipsilateral to the lesion. Animals that scored a zero on any beam were not tested on narrower beams and were assigned a score of zero for these beams. A maximum score of six was possible. In the horizontal ladder test, animals were assessed on the number of footslips made while crossing a 1-m-long horizontal ladder (11 cm wide with 5 cm between each rung). A footslip was defined as a failure to at least partially grasp an individual rung with the hindlimb ipsilateral to the lesion. In the open field test, animals were placed in an open field and rated on a 21-point scale for the use of the hindlimb ipsilateral to the side of the lesion, using the Basso, Beattie, Bresnahan scale (BBB score) (Basso et al., 1996). Animals that received spinal cord compression and survived for 6 weeks were assessed at 1 d, 1 week, 4 weeks, and 6 weeks after surgery on this test.

Image and data analysis. The sections were viewed on a Leica (Wetzlar, Germany) epifluorescence microscope using Y3 (tetramethylrhodamine isothiocyanate) or L4 (fluorescein isothiocyanate) filter blocks. Images were taken using a Hamamatsu (Herrsching, Germany) C4742–95 digital camera and HiPic software (Hamamatsu). Figures were prepared using Adobe Photoshop (Adobe Systems, San Jose, CA).

Quantitative analysis for the number of TUNEL-positive nuclei was conducted by capturing an image of TUNEL labeling in the intact tissue immediately rostral to the hemisection site. All TUNEL-positive nuclei that were captured in a 500 × 500 μm squared area were analyzed. An identical procedure was conducted in the intact tissue immediately caudal to the hemisection site. For each animal, a measure was taken in a section through the dorsal horn, a section which included the central canal and a section through the ventral horn. The cell counts in the six sections were then used to determine the total number of TUNEL-labeled cells per squared millimeter, measured around the lesion area for each animal. Identical analyses were conducted for the number of NeuN-labeled and APC-labeled cells. A similar analysis was conducted for ohG-labeled cells, with the exception that only sections through the dorsal horn and ventral horn were counted. In addition, for each animal, an estimate of the lesion size was made by tracing the area within the borders of the lesion as defined by GFAP labeling (see Fig. 1) through a section that included the central canal. A similar quantitative analysis of NeuN-labeled cells was conducted in animals that received spinal cord compression. Briefly, all NeuN-labeled cells within a 100 × 100 μm measuring frame placed within the dorsal and ventral horns on both the left and right sides were counted. Identical measures were taken from six equally spaced sections throughout the center of the lesion for each animal, and the number of NeuN-labeled cells per squared millimeter was determined.

For all quantitative analysis of anatomical data, measures were made with the experimenter blind to the animals’ treatment group. For each of the above measures, an ANOVA was conducted comparing the treat-
ment groups, followed by post hoc analysis when appropriate. For behavioral data, two-way repeated-measures ANOVA were conducted comparing the treatment groups over the number of test sessions for a particular behavioral task, followed by post hoc analysis when appropriate.

Results

Spinal cord hemisection

Lesion size

Seven days after hemisection injury, the lesion site appeared as an area devoid of GFAP staining, which extended from the midline to the lateral edge of the spinal cord (Fig. 1A–C). The lesion extent was visibly smaller in DHA- and ALA-treated animals compared with OA- and vehicle-treated animals (Fig. 1A,B). In addition, AA-treated animals had larger lesions than the other four groups, and in the case of two animals showed “islands” of damage that were discontinuous with the original lesion site (Fig. 1C). Quantitative analysis confirmed that DHA- and ALA-treated animals had significantly smaller lesions than either OA- or vehicle-treated animals (all comparisons, p < 0.05), and that AA-treated animals (Fig. 1C) had significantly larger lesions than all other groups (Table 1).

Apoptosis

TUNEL-labeled nuclei (Fig. 2A) were clearly visible, and counterstaining with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (Fig. 2B) confirmed chromatin condensation and fragmentation in the nuclei of TUNEL-labeled cells. TUNEL labeling was present in all groups at 7 d after hemisection injury, with the vast majority of apoptotic nuclei present within 500 μm of the lesion site. Quantitative analysis showed that DHA- and ALA-treated animals had significantly fewer (30–40%) TUNEL-labeled cells than OA-, AA-, and vehicle-treated animals (all comparisons, p < 0.05) (Table 1). In contrast, AA treatment resulted in significantly more TUNEL-labeled nuclei than control animals (p < 0.05). Double labeling using immunofluorescence to label neurons (Fig. 2C, NeuN) or oligodendrocytes (Fig. 2D, APC) showed that approximately equal percentages of these cell types had apoptotic nuclei, although most TUNEL-labeled cells were not APC or NeuN immunoreactive. In contrast, no astrocytes (GFAP-labeled cells) were seen with TUNEL-positive nuclei. It is not clear in what type of cells the remaining TUNEL-positive nuclei were present. However, it is possible that a loss of antigenicity in dying neurons or oligodendrocytes prevented double labeling from occurring in some cells that were actually undergoing apoptosis.

oh\(^8\)G labeling

oh\(^8\)G immunoreactivity appeared as punctate cytoplasmic labeling, with little or no labeling within the nucleus itself (Fig. 3). Few labeled cells were seen in the white matter. This, combined with the morphological appearance of labeled cells, suggests that most oh\(^8\)G-positive cells were neurons. DHA- and ALA-treated animals had visibly fewer oh\(^8\)G-positive cells (Fig. 3B) than either the control (Fig. 3A) or OA-treated animals. In contrast, AA treatment resulted in a clear increase in oh\(^8\)G labeling (Fig. 3C, Table 1) compared with the other groups. This increase was seen not only adjacent to the lesion site but also at several millimeters from the lesion, in an area where oh\(^8\)G labeling was not present in the other groups. ANOVA confirmed significant differences between the PUFA-treated groups in the number of oh\(^8\)G-labeled cells adjacent to the lesion site in both the dorsal and ventral horns (both comparisons, p < 0.001). Subsequent post hoc analysis showed that in the ventral horn, there were fewer oh\(^8\)G-labeled cells in the DHA- and ALA-treated groups compared with the other groups, whereas AA-treated animals had significantly more labeled cells than all other groups (all comparisons, p < 0.05). Similar results were seen in the dorsal horn, with the exception that ALA-treated animals were not significantly different from OA-treated or control animals in the number of oh\(^8\)G-labeled cells.

Neurons

Seven days after hemisection injury, the number of NeuN-labeled nuclei (Fig. 4A–C) present in the intact tissue adjacent to the lesion site was greater in ALA- and DHA-treated animals compared with vehicle-, AA-, and OA-treated animals. This difference was most pronounced in tissue immediately adjacent to the lesion site, where numerous NeuN-labeled cells were present in ALA- and DHA-treated animals, but very few labeled cells were present in the remaining groups. Quantitative analysis confirmed these differences, with significantly more NeuN-labeled neurons after DHA and ALA treatment compared with the remaining groups (all comparisons, p < 0.05) (Table 1). In addition, AA-treated animals had significantly fewer NeuN-labeled cells than vehicle controls (p < 0.05) and also appeared to have smaller neurons than those in the other groups.

Oligodendrocytes and myelin

Analysis of APC labeling showed a similar effect to that for NeuN labeling, with DHA- and ALA-treated animals clearly having more APC-labeled oligodendrocytes than vehicle-, AA-, and OA-treated animals (Fig. 4D–F). Quantitative analysis confirmed that DHA- and ALA-treated animals had significantly more APC-labeled oligodendrocytes than these groups (all comparisons, p < 0.05) (Table 1) and also showed that AA-treated animals had significantly fewer APC-labeled cells than all other groups (Table 1) (all comparisons, p < 0.05). The protective effect of ALA and DHA on oligodendrocytes was also reflected in the results obtained with the myelin stain, Luxol fast blue (Fig. 5). Although myelin in the white matter ipsilateral to the lesion showed degeneration in all groups (Fig. 5A, B) compared with the corresponding white matter contralateral to the lesion (Fig. 5C), myelin ipsilateral to the lesion in DHA-treated (Fig. 5D) and ALA-treated animals clearly showed less vacuolization and disruption compared with the other three groups (Fig. 5E). This decreased degeneration was seen several millimeters from the site of injury.
Macrophages
Examination of ED1 labeling indicated that there was no difference in the density of ED1-positive macrophages within the lesion site for any of the treatment groups (Table 1). However, AA-treated animals had a higher density of ED1 labeling in the intact tissue adjacent to the lesion, compared with the other four groups (all comparisons, \( p < 0.05 \) (Table 1), and this increase was apparent as far as 2–3 mm from the lesion site.

Locomotor behavior
All animals obtained maximum scores for each task (21 on the BBB, 6 on the beam walk, and 0 errors on the horizontal ladder) during the 3–4 d of training and habituation before receiving injury. Postsurgically, DHA- and ALA-treated animals performed significantly better than the remaining groups on all three behavioral tests during the latter days of testing (days 5–7).

In the open field, at least some plantar stepping was present in the majority of DHA- and ALA-treated animals by the seventh day after surgery, whereas plantar stepping was present in no more than two animals in each of the vehicle-, OA-, or AA-treated animals. Statistical analysis confirmed that ALA- and DHA-treated animals had significantly greater scores on ratings of hindlimb locomotor use in the open field BBB score (Basso et al., 1996) than the remaining three groups (\( p < 0.05 \)) during the third, fifth, and seventh day after surgery (all comparisons, \( p < 0.05 \), whereas the vehicle-, OA-, and AA-treated groups did not differ at any time point (Fig. 6A).

ALA- and DHA-treated animals also performed better on the beam walk task, with all but two animals from each of these groups able to walk completely across the 3.5 cm beam and a majority of animals in each of these groups able to walk completely across the 2.5-cm-wide (narrowest) beam by 7 d after surgery. In contrast, fewer than half of the animals in each of the vehicle-, OA-, and AA-treated groups were able to cross completely the 3.5-cm-wide beam, with at least one animal in each group unable to walk completely across the widest beam (4.5 cm) by the seventh day after surgery. Statistical analysis showed that animals in the ALA- and DHA-treated groups had significantly better scores on the beam walk task during the fifth and seventh days after surgery than the vehicle-, OA-, and AA-treated groups (all comparisons, \( p < 0.05 \)) (Fig. 6B). The three latter groups were similar at all time points.

Results from the horizontal ladder showed that although both ALA and DHA significantly decreased the number of footslips of the hindpaw ipsilateral to the lesion, DHA had a slightly greater

Table 1. Effects of PUFA treatment on lesion size and cell survival 7 d after hemisection injury

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Vehicle (saline)</th>
<th>ALA (omega-3 PUFA)</th>
<th>DHA (omega-3 PUFA)</th>
<th>OA (omega-9 MUFA)</th>
<th>AA (omega-6 PUFA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lesion size (mm²)</td>
<td>0.52 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.30 ± 0.03a</td>
<td>0.12 ± 0.05a</td>
<td>0.64 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.86 ± 0.05a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TUNEL plus nuclei (per mm²)</td>
<td>8.67 ± 0.91</td>
<td>6.51 ± 0.87a</td>
<td>5.42 ± 0.73a</td>
<td>9.57 ± 1.03</td>
<td>11.21 ± 1.21b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NeuN plus cells (per mm²)</td>
<td>60.42 ± 4.26</td>
<td>81.47 ± 6.68a</td>
<td>88.87 ± 3.54a</td>
<td>58.15 ± 3.23</td>
<td>49.33 ± 2.37c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APC plus cells (per mm²)</td>
<td>35.78 ± 1.47</td>
<td>41.76 ± 1.29a</td>
<td>39.74 ± 2.40d</td>
<td>33.28 ± 2.44</td>
<td>29.70 ± 1.49d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED1, within lesion (%)</td>
<td>17.72 ± 3.79</td>
<td>13.72 ± 4.31</td>
<td>19.41 ± 4.01</td>
<td>16.89 ± 2.98</td>
<td>16.89 ± 2.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED1, outside lesion (%)</td>
<td>33.67 ± 5.57</td>
<td>28.91 ± 4.91</td>
<td>36.72 ± 6.02</td>
<td>29.71 ± 4.48</td>
<td>21.22 ± 7.09c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>oh8G plus cells, dorsal (per mm²)</td>
<td>14.70 ± 0.82</td>
<td>10.90 ± 1.20</td>
<td>8.30 ± 0.62d</td>
<td>14.40 ± 2.30</td>
<td>22.50 ± 2.50c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>oh8G plus cells, ventral (per mm²)</td>
<td>10.10 ± 0.76</td>
<td>5.80 ± 0.62d</td>
<td>5.30 ± 0.64d</td>
<td>10.60 ± 1.60</td>
<td>15.20 ± 2.36d</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significantly different from vehicle-, AA-, and OA-treated animals (\( p < 0.05 \)).

**Significantly different from all other groups (\( p < 0.05 \)).

***Significantly different from vehicle-, DHA-, and ALA-treated animals (\( p < 0.05 \)).

Figure 2. TUNEL labeling of apoptotic nuclei adjacent to the spinal cord hemisection site after a single intravenous injection of either saline or PUFA after 7 d of survival. TUNEL-labeled nuclei (A) were clearly identifiable in all treatment groups and were confirmed by counterstaining with the nuclear stain 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (B) (corresponding arrows in A and B show double-labeled nuclei). Double labeling (C) for TUNEL (green) and NeuN (red) showed occasional apoptotic nuclei within neurons (arrows). Similarly, double labeling (D) for APC (red) and TUNEL (green) showed occasional double-labeled oligodendrocytes (arrow). Numerous TUNEL single-labeled cells were also present (arrowhead). Scale bars: A–D, 50 μm.

Figure 3. oh8G labeling of RNA/DNA oxidation in cells adjacent to the spinal cord hemisection site after a single intravenous injection of either saline or PUFA after 7 d of survival. The number of oh8G-labeled neurons in the area immediately adjacent to the lesion site in vehicle-treated (A) and OA-treated (not pictured) animals was similar. Both of these groups had noticeably more oh8G-labeled cells than either DHA-treated (B) and ALA-treated (not pictured) animals, which had few oh8G-labeled cells. In contrast, AA-treated animals (C) had substantially more oh8G-labeled cells than all other groups. Scale bar, 50 μm.
effect than ALA, resulting in fewer footslips than all other groups (including the ALA group) at 5 d after surgery ($p < 0.05$), whereas both the ALA and DHA groups had fewer ipsilateral footslips than the remaining three groups at 7 d after surgery ($p < 0.05$) (Fig. 6C). Analysis of foot slips of the hindlimb contralateral to the lesion showed a different pattern (Fig. 6D). Most notably, DHA but not ALA resulted in significantly fewer hindlimb slips compared with vehicle controls (all comparisons, $p < 0.05$). In addition, this improvement was seen earlier (at the first and third days after surgery) than in the other measures of locomotor function. Last, AA resulted in significantly worse performance than not only the DHA- and ALA-treated groups but also the vehicle control group at 1, 3, and 5 d after surgery ($p < 0.05$).

**Spinal cord compression injury**

**Neurons**

Examination of NeuN labeling after spinal cord compression confirmed the neuroprotective effects of DHA treatment seen after spinal cord hemisection. Specifically, DHA-treated animals clearly had more NeuN-labeled cells than saline-treated animals in all areas of the gray matter at both 1 week (Fig. 7A, B) and 6 weeks after injury (Fig. 7C,D). Quantitative analysis (Fig. 7E) confirmed that control (saline-treated) compression injury animals had significantly fewer NeuN-labeled cells at 1 week (56% decrease) and 6 weeks (66% decrease) after surgery compared with DHA-treated animals ($p$ values $<0.05$).

**Locomotor behavior**

On the first day after surgery, the DHA- and saline-treated animals did not have significantly different BBB scores (Fig. 8), with all animals in both groups showing little or no movement of either hindlimb. By 1 week after surgery, although both DHA and saline-treated animals had improved hindlimb locomotor function, DHA-treated animals had clearly shown more improvement with significantly higher open field ratings (>3 points on the BBB scale) than saline-treated animals ($p < 0.05$). By 6 weeks after surgery, although hindlimb locomotor performance of both DHA- and saline-treated animals had improved, animals treated with DHA maintained significantly better hindlimb locomotor performance compared with saline-treated controls ($p < 0.05$).

**Discussion**

This study demonstrates for the first time that ω-3 PUFAs are neuroprotective in traumatic SCI and shows that, in contrast, exposure to ω-6 PUFAs in the aftermath of injury could significantly worsen outcome. ALA or DHA treatment 30 min after hemisection of the spinal cord resulted in decreased lesion size, reduced apoptotic cell death, increased neuronal and oligodendrocyte survival, and improved locomotor function. The efficacy of ω-3 PUFAs is supported by studies showing that DHA increases the activity of antioxidant enzymes such as glutathione peroxidase and glutathione reductase in hippocampal cells in culture (Wang et al., 2003), and that ω-3 PUFAs enhance in vivo the activity of antioxidant systems in the CNS (Sarsilmaz et al., 2003; Songur et al., 2004). In addition, ω-3 PUFAs are not only neuroprotective in rat
models of neurotoxicity (Zararsiz et al., 2005) and forebrain ischemia (Blondeau et al., 2002; Cao et al., 2004) but also attenuate decreases in the CNS levels of antioxidant enzymes (e.g., catalase, superoxide dismutase, and glutathione peroxidase) in these models. To more clearly address the antioxidant role of DHA treatment, studies in our laboratory examining the effects of DHA and ALA on glial numbers and protein oxidation are currently underway. It should also be noted that ALA and DHA may mediate their neuroprotective effects, including maintenance of lipids in the white matter ipsilateral to the lesion. Adjacent to the lesion site, the myelin in the white matter ipsilateral to the hemisection was disrupted relative to the uninjured side (C, enlargement of area within the top box in A) in all groups. However, myelin in ALA-treated (not pictured) and DHA-treated (B, enlargement of area within the box in B) animals was clearly less disrupted compared with OA-, AA-, and vehicle-treated animals (E, enlargement of area within the bottom box in A), which had large cavities in the white matter several millimeters from the lesion site. Scale bars: (in A) A, B, 200 μm; (in C) C–E, 25 μm.

The striking difference in the neuroprotective efficacy of the ω-3 and ω-6 PUFAs seen in the current study also implicate effects on the inflammatory cascade that occurs after SCI. AA is metabolized by cyclooxygenase (COX) enzymes to two-series prostaglandins and thromboxanes, such as prostaglandin E2 and thromboxane A2, that are converted to leukotrienes by 5-lipoxygenase to produce leukotrienes such as leukotriene B4. These metabolites enhance vascular permeability, increase local blood flow, increase infiltration of leukocytes, and enhance production of proinflammatory cytokines such as tumor necrosis factor α, interleukin 1 (IL-1) and IL-6. In contrast, ω-3 PUFAs can decrease COX activity and the formation of proinflammatory eicosanoids and cytokines (Calder, 2003; Lonergan et al., 2004). SCI results in an increase in tissue AA concentration of up to 20 times (Murphy et al., 1994), and recruitment of neutrophils, macrophages, and microglial cells at the injury site (Dusart and Schwab, 1994). Antagonism of this deleterious cascade may therefore have contributed to the neuroprotective effects of DHA. In contrast, acute AA administration after injury could be expected to augment inflammation and increase cell death, as observed in our study.

It should be noted that the neuroprotective effects of the two tested ω-3 PUFAs (ALA and DHA) can be mediated, at least in part, by different mechanisms. The finding that only 0.2% of ALA is converted to DHA in the rat suggests that the neuroprotective effect of ALA is not simply a result of its conversion into DHA (Demar et al., 2005). A direct neuroprotective mechanism of action could involve two-pore potassium channels, such as TWIK-related potassium channel (TREK) and TWIK-related arachidonic acid-stimulated potassium channel (TRAAK), at which ALA and DHA have significant affinity (Lauritzen et al., 2000; Emsley et al., 2003). In addition, it has been shown that DHA can lead to the formation of mediators such as 10,17-docosatriene (neuroprotectin D1), an endogenous compound with anti-oxidant (Bazan, 2005) and anti-inflammatory (Mukherjee et al., 2004) effects. ALA is converted in vivo to EPA, which is a precursor of the anti-inflammatory series-3 prostaglandins and also inhibits conversion of AA to proinflammatory prostaglandins and leukotrienes (Needleman et al., 1979). Recent pilot data in our laboratory show that EPA is neuroprotective in SCI and support the possibility that some of the effects of DHA are caused by conversion to EPA. Finally, the neuroprotective effect of DHA may also involve a complex pattern of activation of gene transcription, for example through retinoid receptor signaling (Jump, 2002). We have not yet performed detailed pharmacokinetic studies, and we have not analyzed the incorporation of the PUFA in the nervous tissue after the intravenous bolus injection in the rat, but by analogy with what has been reported in studies with radiolabeled AA (Jones et al., 1996), it is likely that a fraction of the injected DHA entered the CNS (<1% reported for AA in animals with an intact blood–brain barrier) and was incorporated rapidly in phospholipids. We injected a bolus of DHA solution at 62.5 μM, and the free DHA in rat plasma is reported to be 10.6 μM, whereas the free DHA in brain is ~1.3 μM (Calderon et al., 2004).
and Kim, 2004). Therefore, the concentrations of DHA remained in a physiological range and were also compatible with the affinity of this fatty acid for targets such as TRAAK/TREK or retinoid receptors, which may be activated by the free DHA, thus being mechanistically involved in the neuroprotection seen.

The decreased lesion size and cell death obtained with ALA and DHA were mirrored by improved locomotor performance. This was seen in both a more rapid recovery and improved level of locomotor performance. This very close correspondence between the histological and behavioral parameters was seen as late as 6 weeks after spinal cord compression and means that it is highly likely that the functional improvements seen with ω-3 PUFAs are a direct consequence of the decreased lesion size and decreased cell death. The large increase in the number of NeuN-labeled neurons seen after ω-3 treatment in both injury paradigms suggests that this reduced secondary degeneration reflects increased survival of neurons. However, our data on oligodendrocyte survival and myelin integrity (Luxol fast blue) would indicate that it is also likely to reflect reduced damage to ascending and descending tracts. It is also important to note that ω-3 fatty acids have been shown to have neurite growth-promoting effects in hippocampal neurons (Calderon and Kim, 2004). We recently confirmed such trophic effects in our laboratory, in studies on primary sensory neurons in culture (L. G. Robson, D. Sidloff, S. C. Dyall, and A. T. Michael-Titus, manuscript in preparation). Omega-3 compounds may therefore have not only neuroprotective but also neuroregenerative effects after SCI.

Together, our data suggest that ω-3 PUFAs have significant therapeutic potential in SCI and are consistent with results with these PUFAs in other models of CNS injury (Blondeau et al., 2002; Cao et al., 2004; Zararsiz et al., 2005). However, some issues deserve additional investigation. For example, before recommendations for clinical use, the choice of compound and dose must be further explored and optimized. The dose of DHA we injected intravenously (250 nmol/kg; i.e., 82 g/kg) is very small compared with the doses of EPA and DHA taken orally by patients in fish oil-containing preparations (e.g., 3–6 g/d) or compared with the intake of DHA as part of lipid preparations administered parenterally in the clinic (e.g., 2.15 g of DHA/100 ml in fish oil-enriched suspensions) (Mayser et al., 2002). Therefore, this neuroprotective dose range could be easily translated to the clinic for use as an intravenous bolus after trauma. Heurteaux et al. (2005) recently showed that ALA treatment can be delayed up to 3 h and still induce significant neuroprotection after cerebral ischemia in the mouse. It is therefore important to determine whether similar (or longer) delays in ω-3 PUFA treatment after SCI still confer neuroprotection. Similarly, the route of administration of these compounds after injury is of interest for their clinical application.
Initial evidence indicates that ω-3 PUFAAs are also neuroprotective after spinal cord injury when given via the diet (Huang et al., 2005). This is particularly relevant for the clinical situation, in light of the current use of lipid emulsions for nutritional support in a variety of patient populations, including surgical and critically ill patients (Calder, 2003).

Omega-3 PUFA preparations have been shown previously to be safe and well tolerated in patients in several diseases (Slangner et al., 2002), which reinforces their potential for rapid translation into clinical use in SCI. Their significant potential in neurology is demonstrated by the remarkable efficacy of DHA in Zellweger’s syndrome (Martinez et al., 2000). In addition, as noted above, lipid emulsions are already given as nutritional support to a variety of vulnerable patient populations (Calder, 2003). However, most preparations used at present contain a significant amount of soybean oil and therefore have a considerable ω-6 component that, according to our results with AA, could worsen outcome after SCI. In contrast, acute ω-3 PUFA administration after trauma as a neuroprotective treatment would confer clear advantages and deserves consideration as a promising innovative approach in SCI management, with easy transfer to the clinic.
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