

1 **WaderMORPH – A user-friendly individual-based model to advise shorebird**
2 **policy and management**

3 A. D. West,¹ R. A. Stillman,^{1*} A. Drewitt,² N. J. Frost,³ M. Mander,⁴ C. Miles,⁵ R. Langston,⁶
4 W. G. Sanderson⁷ & J. Willis⁸

5 1 School of Conservation Sciences, Bournemouth University, Talbot Campus, Poole, Dorset,
6 BH12 5BB, UK

7 2 Natural England, Northminster House, Northminster Rd, Peterborough, PE1 1UA, UK

8 3 ABP Marine Environmental Research Ltd, Suite B, Waterside House, Town Quay,
9 Southampton, SO14 2AQ, UK

10 4 Eastern Sea Fisheries Joint Committee, 6 North Lynn Business Village, Bergen Way, King's
11 Lynn, Norfolk, PE30 2JG, UK

12 5 Scottish Natural Heritage, Carmont House, The Crichton, Bankend Road, Dumfries, DG1
13 4ZF, UK

14 6 Royal Society for the Protection of Birds, The Lodge, Potton Road, Sandy, Bedfordshire,
15 SG19 2DL, UK

16 7 Countryside Council for Wales, Maes-y-Ffynnon, Ffordd Penrhos, Bangor, Gwynedd, LL57
17 2DW, UK

18 8 HR Wallingford Ltd, Howbery Park, Wallingford, Oxfordshire, OX10 8BA, UK

19 * Author for correspondence: rstillman@bournemouth.ac.uk

20

21 **Summary**

22 1. Conservation objectives for non-breeding shorebirds (waders) are determined from their
23 population size. Individual-based models (IBMs) have accurately predicted mortality rate (a
24 determinant of population size) of these species, and are a tool for advising coastal
25 management and policy. However, due to their complexity, the use of these IBMs has been
26 restricted to specialist modellers in the scientific community, whereas, ideally, they should be
27 accessible to non-specialists with a direct interest in coastal issues.

28 2. We describe how this limitation has been addressed by the development of WaderMORPH,
29 a user-friendly interface to a shorebird IBM, MORPH, that runs within Microsoft Windows.
30 WaderMORPH hides technical and mathematical details of parameterisation from the user,
31 and allows models to be parameterised in a series of simple steps. We provide an overview of
32 WaderMORPH and its range of applications. WaderMORPH, its user guide and an example
33 dataset can be downloaded from <http://individualecology.bournemouth.ac.uk>.

34 **Key words:** Climate change; Coastal conservation; Environmental change; Foraging
35 behaviour; Individual-based model; Shellfishery management

36 **Introduction**

37 Conservation objectives for non-breeding coastal birds (shorebirds and wildfowl) are
38 determined from their population size at coastal sites. To advise coastal managers, models
39 must predict quantitatively the effects of environmental change (e.g. caused by habitat
40 management, industrial development, human activities or climate change) on population size
41 or the demographic rates (e.g. mortality) that determine it. This has not been possible using
42 simple models (Stillman & Goss-Custard 2010).

43 Individual-based models (IBMs) (Grimm & Railsback 2005), which predict population
44 processes from the behaviour and fates of fitness-maximising individuals of varying
45 competitive ability, have provided a tool for making these predictions. Coastal bird IBMs
46 have predicted accurately overwinter mortality, and the foraging behaviour from which
47 mortality predictions are derived (e.g. Stillman *et al.* 2003). Such IBMs have been
48 parameterised for over 20 European sites over the last decade, and used to predict the effect
49 on survival in coastal birds of sea level rise, habitat loss, wind farm development, shellfishing
50 and human disturbance (e.g. Stillman *et al.* 2003; Durell *et al.* 2006; Caldow *et al.* 2007; West
51 *et al.* 2007). Parameters can be measured and predictions made within the relatively short
52 time scale required to inform conservation management. Stillman & Goss-Custard (2010)
53 provides an overview of these IBMs, including the reason for their development, their range
54 of use and testing. Stillman (2008) describes the latest IBM, MORPH, which is applicable to a
55 wider range of systems than previous models.

56 Although these IBMs have advised coastal management and policy, they have had the major
57 drawback that their use has been restricted to specialist modellers in the scientific community,
58 whereas, ideally, they should be accessible to non-specialists with a direct interest in coastal
59 management and policy. The reason that these IBMs have not been usable by non-specialists
60 is that parameterising, running and interpreting the results of these models has been a very
61 technical task, requiring specialist modelling and data analysis expertise. For example, the
62 MORPH model takes its settings from large text files containing potentially complex
63 equations and so can only be used by shorebird specialists with technical and mathematical
64 skills.

65 In this paper we describe how this limitation has been addressed by the development of
66 WaderMORPH, a user-friendly interface to the MORPH model that runs within Microsoft

67 Windows. We provide an overview of WaderMORPH and its range of applications.
68 WaderMORPH can be downloaded from <http://individualecology.bournemouth.ac.uk>.

69 **The model**

70 The purpose of WaderMORPH is to provide an interface which allows end-users to create and
71 edit MORPH's simulation files without having to deal with their complexity. It packages all
72 the complexity of MORPH's parameters into a series of modules which can be included in the
73 model simply by selecting options on a series of onscreen forms. Technical and mathematical
74 details of parameterisation are shielded from the user. The end user is then only required to
75 enter details specific to their particular situation, such as the species of bird present and their
76 numbers, the types of prey present and their abundance. WaderMORPH runs the MORPH
77 model using the generated parameter file, and presents the user with a summary of the
78 predictions. In this way, the predictive capability of the MORPH model for shorebird
79 populations can be made available for use by a wider range of organisations. WaderMORPH
80 was developed as a collaborative project between the author's of this paper. WaderMORPH
81 was developed using CodeGear Delphi 2007 (www.codegear.com) taking into account the
82 requirements of and testing by the remaining project partners. WaderMORPH comes with all
83 the data needed to set up a sample model.

84 WaderMORPH divides the processes of parameterising an IBM into the following steps,
85 during each of which the user is prompted for information through one or more onscreen
86 forms. The first steps are to enter the location of the study site (to determine day length) and
87 the first and last days of the simulation. The next step is to enter the number of bird species to
88 be included in the model. These are selected from a list of species comprising, at the time of
89 writing, Dunlin *Calidris alpina*, Ringed Plover *Charadrius hiaticula*, Knot *Calidris canutus*,
90 Redshank *Tringa totanus*, Grey Plover *Pluvialis squatarola*, Black-tailed Godwit *Limosa*

91 *limosa*, Bar-tailed Godwit *Limosa lapponica*, Oystercatcher *Haematopus ostralegus* and
92 Curlew *Numenius arquata*. WaderMORPH builds the parameter file using equations
93 predicting the feeding rate of these species as a function of food (see Goss-Custard *et al.*
94 (2006) Fig. 1 for examples) and competitor density (see Stillman *et al.* (2002) Fig. 4 for
95 examples). It also parameterises the body mass and energy requirements of the bird species
96 (see Stillman *et al.* (2005) Fig. 4 for examples). The user needs to enter the number of
97 individuals of each species, how this varies throughout the course of winter and the diets
98 (prey species and size range) consumed by each species (see Stillman *et al.* (2005) Fig. 5 for
99 examples). WaderMORPH incorporates individual variation in foraging efficiency and
100 dominance, drawn for each individual from a normal and uniform distribution respectively.
101 The next steps define the number of patches in the model, and the number and densities of
102 prey species on each patch. The user needs to enter the size of each patch (e.g. area of a
103 cockle *Cerastoderma edule* or mussel *Mytilus edulis* bed). Prey species are selected from a
104 list comprising major shorebird prey including, at the time of writing, marine worms,
105 earthworms, cockles, mussels, *Hydrobia* sp., *Corophium* sp., *Scrobicularia plana*, and
106 *Macoma balthica*. WaderMORPH builds the parameter file using typical or user-defined
107 masses of these species, and changes in numerical density through the winter. The user needs
108 to enter the initial numerical density of each species on each patch at the start of winter (see
109 Stillman *et al.* (2005) Fig. 3, and Durell *et al.* (2006) Table 4 for examples). The last step is to
110 select details of shellfishing and disturbance from a list of options. Shellfishing removes
111 shellfish at a rate entered by the user, and disturbs birds over a predefined or user-entered
112 distance.

113 The typical process of simulating the effect of environmental change will be to first
114 parameterise the model for the present-day environment. Simulations will then be run to
115 determine how accurately the model predictions differ from observations from the real system

116 (see Stillman *et al.* (2003) Fig. 3, and Stillman *et al.* (2000) Figs. 2-9 for example tests).
117 These will predict for the overwinter period the percentage survival, body mass, proportion of
118 time feeding and distribution of each bird species. At this stage, a decision will need to be
119 taken as to whether the “un-calibrated” model predicts the observations with sufficient
120 accuracy for confidence to be placed in its predictions for new environmental conditions. If it
121 is decided that predictions are not sufficiently accurate, a process of calibration will be
122 required. Calibration will involve systematically changing the value of one or more
123 “calibrated” parameters over an expected range; for example, adding additional food supplies,
124 changing the amount of food available within patches to account for any uncertainties,
125 changing assumptions on the effect of disturbance on the birds (see Durell *et al.* 2006 and
126 2007 for examples of calibration). Simulations will then be run for each combination of
127 calibrated parameter values, and the best “calibrated” model taken as that with the
128 combination of parameters with the minimum difference between predictions and
129 observations. After this calibration process another decision needs to be made as to whether
130 the calibrated model describes the real system with sufficient accuracy for confidence to be
131 placed in predictions for new environmental conditions. Assuming that sufficient confidence
132 can be placed in either the un-calibrated or calibrated model, environmental change is
133 simulated by editing the parameter file to incorporate changes; for example, increasing or
134 decreasing the amount of shellfishing (e.g. Stillman *et al.* 2001, 2003; Goss-Custard *et al.*
135 2004) or disturbance (e.g. West *et al.* 2002), adding or removing habitat (e.g. Durell *et al.*
136 2005; Stillman *et al.* 2005). Simulations are then re-run and the predictions of interest (usually
137 overwinter mortality rate) compared with those in the absence of environmental change.
138 Replicate simulations based on the same set of parameter values will usually produce slightly
139 different predictions due to random variation variations within the model (e.g. individual
140 variation in the foraging efficiency and dominance of model individuals. It is therefore

141 advised that, throughout the modelling process, at least three, preferably more, replicate
142 simulations are run for each combination of parameters, and predictions averaged.

143 Full details of the process of parameterising, running and interpreting the predictions of
144 WaderMORPH are given in the model's user guide.

145 **Discussion**

146 WaderMORPH simplifies the process of parameterising and running IBMs, but interpreting
147 the results of such models, and ensuring that they are correctly parameterised can still be a
148 complicated task. Therefore, predictions should be carefully scrutinised and compared with as
149 much observed data as possible to raise confidence that the simulations for the current
150 environment are reliable. Models should also be kept as simple as possible (e.g. restricting
151 patch and prey and bird species numbers) to simplify the interpretation of results. Even with
152 these considerations, some numerical proficiency will be required in the user of
153 WaderMORPH. The key technical tasks will be (i) collating data on the numerical density of
154 prey sizes classes on different patches, (ii) ensuring that mistakes are not made when
155 calculating and entering parameters, (iii) keeping track of various parameter files and
156 associated result files, (iv) transferring data from result files into a suitable computer package
157 for analysis and (v) plotting and / or performing statistical analysis to determine the influence
158 of simulated scenarios on predictions.

159 The idea behind the development of WaderMORPH was to allow coastal interest groups to
160 have access to the models that have to date been most successful at predicting the
161 consequences of environmental change for coastal shorebirds. The plan is that "opposing"
162 interest groups may one day have copies of the same model on which they can run
163 simulations to understand the impact of alternative site management strategies. For example,
164 conservation and shellfishery organisations may run simulations to predict the consequences

165 of alternative fishery quotas for the survival rates of the birds. This situation has not yet been
166 reached, but announcing the existence of WaderMORPH through this paper is hoped to be the
167 first step. The use of WaderMORPH is of course not restricted to such coastal interest groups,
168 and it is hoped that it may also be used by ecological consultants, or as an educational tool for
169 students.

170 Although the current version of WaderMORPH is restricted to European coastal shorebirds
171 (as it currently only contains parameters for these species), it has been developed in a flexible
172 way that will allow its parameterisation for other species and locations in the future. Anyone
173 interested in applying WaderMORPH to a non-European system, or to bird or prey species
174 not listed above, is asked to contact the correspondence author with details of the system, bird
175 and prey species. Provided that suitable data (e.g. prey mass and length relationships, and bird
176 foraging behaviour) are available for the system, or can be calculated from the literature (e.g.
177 Goss-Custard *et al.* 2006), the prey and bird species parameters, as well as the system's
178 location will be incorporated into an updated version of the downloadable model. These prey
179 and bird species and the system's location will then be available as options within the model.
180 Through this process the number of shorebird systems to which WaderMORPH is applicable
181 will increase over time. We are also in the early stages of applying MORPH to wildfowl,
182 farmland birds and freshwater fish. If these applications prove to be useful for management
183 and policy, the next step will be to develop a user-friendly interface for these systems.

184 **Acknowledgements**

185 WaderMORPH development was funded by Natural Environment Research Council
186 Knowledge Transfer grant NE/F009305/1. We are very grateful to Patrick Triplet and two
187 anonymous referees for very helpful comments on the manuscript.

188 **References**

- 189 Caldow, R. W. G, Stillman, R. A., Durell, S. E. A. le V. dit, West, A. D., McGrorty, S., Goss-
190 Custard, J. D., Wood, P. J. & Humphreys, J. (2007) Benefits to shorebirds from invasion of a
191 non-native shellfish. *Proceedings of the Royal Society, London, Series B*, 274, 1449-1455.
- 192 Durell, S. E. A. le V. dit, Stillman, R. A., Triplet, P., Aulert, C., Biot, D. O. dit, Bouchet, A.,
193 Duhamel, S., Mayot, S. & Goss-Custard, J. D. (2005) Modelling the efficacy of proposed
194 mitigation areas for shorebirds: a case study on the Seine estuary, France. *Biological*
195 *Conservation*, 123, 67-77.
- 196 Durell, S. E. A. le V. dit, Stillman, R. A., Caldow, R. W. G., McGrorty, S., West, A. D. &
197 Humphreys, J. (2006) Modelling the effect of environmental change on shorebirds: a case
198 study on Poole Harbour, UK. *Biological Conservation*, 131, 459-473.
- 199 Durell, S. E. A. le V. dit, Stillman, R. A., McGrorty, S., West, A. D., Goss-Custard, J. D. &
200 Price, D. (2007) Predicting the effect of local and global environmental change on shorebirds:
201 a case study on the Exe estuary, UK. *Wader Study Group Bulletin*, 112, 24-36.
- 202 Goss-Custard, J. D., Stillman, R. A., West, A. D., Caldow, R. W. G., Triplet, P., Durell, S. E.
203 A. Le V. dit & McGrorty, S. (2004) When enough is not enough: shorebirds and shellfishing.
204 *Proceedings of the Royal Society, London, Series B*, 271, 233-237.
- 205 Goss-Custard, J.D., West, A.D., Yates, M.G., Caldow, R.W.G., Stillman, R.A., Bardsley, L.,
206 Castilla, J., Castro, M., Dierschke, V., Durell, S., Eichhorn, G., Ens, B.J., Exo, K.M.,
207 Udayangani-Fernando, P.U., Ferns, P.N., Hockey, P.A.R., Gill, J.A., Johnstone, I., Kalejta-
208 Summers, B., Masero, J.A., Moreira, F., Nagarajan, R.V., Owens, I.P.F., Pacheco, C., Perez-
209 Hurtado, A., Rogers, D., Scheiffarth, G., Sitters, H., Sutherland, W.J., Triplet, P., Worrall,
210 D.H., Zharikov, Y., Zwarts, L. & Pettifor, R.A. (2006) Intake rates and the functional

211 response in shorebirds (Charadriiformes) eating macro-invertebrates. *Biological Reviews*,
212 81(4), 501-29.

213 Grimm, V. & Railsback, S. F. (2005) *Individual-based modeling and ecology*. Princeton
214 University Press, Princeton.

215 Stillman, R. A. (2008) MORPH - An individual-based model to predict the effect of
216 environmental change on foraging animal populations. *Ecological Modelling*, 216, 265-276.

217 Stillman, R. A. & Goss-Custard, J. D. (2010) Individual-based ecology of coastal birds.
218 *Biological Reviews*, in press.

219 Stillman, R. A., Goss-Custard, J. D., West, A. D., McGrorty, S., Caldow, R. W. G., Durell, S.
220 E. A. le V. dit, Norris, K. J., Johnstone, I. G., Ens, B. J., van der Meer, J. & Triplet, P. (2001)
221 Predicting oystercatcher mortality and population size under different regimes of shellfishery
222 management. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 38, 857-868.

223 Stillman, R.A., Poole, A.E., Goss-Custard, J.D., Caldow, R.W.G., Yates, M.G. & Triplet, P.
224 (2002) Predicting the strength of interference more quickly using behaviour-based models.
225 *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 71, 532-41.

226 Stillman, R. A., West, A. D., Goss-Custard, J. D., Caldow, R. W. G., McGrorty, S., Durell, S.
227 E. A. le V. dit, Yates, M., G., Atkinson, P. W., Clark, N. A., Bell, M. C., Dare, P. J. &
228 Mander, M. (2003) An individual behaviour-based model can predict shorebird mortality
229 using routinely collected shellfishery data. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 40, 1090-1101.

230 Stillman, R. A., West, A. D., Goss-Custard, J. D., McGrorty, S., Frost, N. J., Morrissey, D. J.,
231 Kenny, A. J. & Drewitt, A. L. (2005) Predicting site quality for shorebird communities: a case
232 study on the Humber estuary, UK. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 305, 203-217.

233 West, A. D., Goss-Custard, J. D., Stillman, R. A., Caldow, R. W. G., Durell, S. E. A. le V. dit
234 & McGrorty, S. (2002) Predicting the impacts of disturbance on wintering wading birds using
235 a behaviour-based individuals model. *Biological Conservation*, 106, 319-328.

236 West, A. D., Yates, M. G., McGrorty, S. & Stillman, R. A. (2007) Predicting site quality for
237 shorebird communities: a case study on the Wash embayment, UK. *Ecological Modelling*,
238 202, 527-539.