## Original article:

# On the origin of the Norwegian lemming

Vendela K. Lagerholm<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Edson Sandoval-Castellanos<sup>1,2</sup>, Dorothee Ehrich<sup>3</sup>, Natalia I. Abramson<sup>4</sup>, Adam Nadachowski<sup>5</sup>, Daniela C. Kalthoff<sup>6</sup>, Mietje Germonpré<sup>7</sup>, Anders Angerbjörn<sup>2</sup>, John R. Stewart<sup>8</sup>, Love Dalén<sup>1</sup>

- 1. Department of Bioinformatics and Genetics, Swedish Museum of Natural History, Stockholm, 10405, Sweden
- 2. Department of Zoology, Stockholm University, Stockholm, 10405, Sweden
- 3. Department of Arctic and Marine Biology, University of Tromsø, Tromsø, 9037, Norway
- 4. Zoological Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, St. Petersburg, 199034, Russia
- Institute of Systematics and Evolution of Animals, Polish Academy of Sciences, Kraków, 31-016, Poland
- 6. Department of Zoology, Swedish Museum of Natural History, Stockholm, 10405, Sweden
- 7. Operational Direction "Earth and History of Life", Royal Belgian Institute of Natural Sciences, Brussels, 1000, Belgium
- Faculty of Science and Technology, Bournemouth University, Dorset, BH12 5BB, United Kingdom

Keywords: Speciation, colonisation, extinction, Pleistocene, ancient DNA, Lemmus

\* Correspondence: Vendela K. Lagerholm, Fax: +46 (0)8 5195 5181, E-mail: vendela.k.lagerholm@nrm.se

Running title: Norwegian lemming palaeogenetics

## 1 Abstract

2 The Pleistocene glacial cycles resulted in significant changes in species distributions, and it has 3 been discussed whether this caused increased rates of population divergence and speciation. One 4 species that is likely to have evolved during the Pleistocene is the Norwegian lemming (*Lemmus* 5 lemmus). However, the origin of this species, both in terms of when and from what ancestral 6 taxon it evolved, has been difficult to ascertain. Here, we use ancient DNA recovered from 7 lemming remains from a series of Late Pleistocene and Holocene sites to explore the species' 8 evolutionary history. The results revealed considerable genetic differentiation between glacial 9 and contemporary samples. Moreover, the analyses provided strong support for a divergence time 10 prior to the Last Glacial Maximum, therefore likely ruling out a post-glacial colonisation of 11 Scandinavia. Consequently, it appears that the Norwegian lemming evolved from a small 12 population that survived the Last Glacial Maximum in an ice-free Scandinavian refugium.

### 13 Introduction

14 Changes in the distribution of organisms are thought to be one of the main drivers of speciation 15 (Hewitt 1996; Mayr 1963). This is because habitat fragmentation and long distance colonisation 16 events can lead to isolation of conspecific populations, with subsequent evolutionary divergence 17 due to genetic drift and natural selection. The Pleistocene glacial cycles (c. 2.6 million to 12 18 thousand years ago) are considered to have had a major impact on the distribution and abundance 19 of species, especially in temperate and polar regions (Stewart et al. 2010). It has therefore been 20 proposed that glacial cycles have led to increased rates of speciation, both due to prolonged 21 periods of isolation in refugia and due to colonisation of, and adaptation to, previously 22 uninhabitable regions (Hewitt 1996; Johnson & Cicero 2004; Rand 1948). However, this view 23 has been a topic of considerable debate in recent decades, since it has been argued that 24 phylogenetic estimates of speciation rates are not higher during the Pleistocene compared to 25 earlier time periods (Klicka & Zink 1997). Moreover, the lengths of glacials and interglacials 26 have been considered too short to permit evolution of reproductive isolation among conspecific 27 populations (Brochmann et al. 2003; Lister 2004). On the other hand, theoretical work (Mayr 28 1954), as well as some empirical studies (Johnson et al. 1996), suggest that speciation can occur 29 rapidly under certain conditions.

30 One of the main problems in investigating whether the Pleistocene climate fluctuations 31 influenced speciation stems from the difficulty in identifying the precise location and timing of 32 such events. This is partly due to that morphological divergence is expected to be low during 33 early stages of speciation, and thus typically invisible in the fossil record. In addition, inference

4

34 using modern DNA data and fossil-based molecular clocks usually lack sufficient resolution to 35 reconstruct past speciation events (Ho et al. 2011a; Hofreiter & Barnes 2010; Lister 2004). 36 One species that likely evolved comparatively recently is the Norwegian lemming (*Lemmus*) 37 *lemmus*). Today, the Norwegian lemming inhabits the mountain tundra of Fennoscandia, a region 38 encompassing the Scandinavian Peninsula, Finland and the Kola Peninsula (Fig. 1), which is 39 thought to have been completely covered by the Scandinavian Ice Sheet between approximately 40 30 to 16 thousand calendar years before present (kyr BP) (Mangerud et al. 2011; Svendsen et al. 41 2004). In this paper, we refer to this time period as the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM), although 42 it should be noted that other more narrow definitions have been used in other studies (Svensson et 43 al. 2006).

The Norwegian lemming is the only endemic mammal in Fennoscandia, and its origin is therefore somewhat of a mystery. Previous genetic analyses on modern DNA have shown that it is too different from its sister species, the Siberian lemming (*L. sibiricus*), to have evolved from a post-glacial common ancestor (Fedorov & Stenseth 2001). Consequently, the Norwegian lemming either originates from a non-Siberian source population outside the Scandinavian Ice Sheet (Østbye *et al.* 2006), or it originates from a small population that survived the Last Glacial Maximum in a local northern refugium (Ekman 1922).

Numerous fossil remains have shown that lemmings of the genus *Lemmus* were common inhabitants of the vast steppe-tundra of midlatitude Europe and Asia during the Late Pleistocene glacial period (e.g. Nadachowski 1982). Being members of a cold-adapted genus, these southern populations disappeared during the transition to the current Holocene interglacial, and it has not yet been established whether they became extinct or shifted their distribution to more northern latitudes as the temperature increased. It has been postulated that some of these southern *Lemmus*  57 populations tracked their tundra habitat to the Scandinavian Peninsula as the ice margin retreated, 58 and subsequently founded the modern Norwegian lemming population (Østbye et al. 2006). In 59 contrast to the post-glacial colonisation hypothesis, it has also been proposed that the species 60 actually survived the last glaciation *in situ* in an ice-free area of Scandinavia, possibly on Andøya 61 or on a part of the continental shelf that was exposed during times of low sea level (Ekman 1922; 62 Fedorov & Stenseth 2001). However, there is no fossil evidence of Norwegian lemmings in 63 Scandinavia during the Last Glacial Maximum to support this second hypothesis, although Lemmus sp. bones of ~ 36 kyr BP in age have been found in Norway from the Ålesund 64 65 interstadial, indicating the presence of lemmings in the area before the last glacial advance 66 (Larsen et al. 1987).

The aim of this study was to use ancient DNA from *Lemmus* spp. remains to further investigate the evolutionary history of the Norwegian lemming. More explicitly, we examined the two contrasting hypotheses (Fig. 2) discussed above to resolve whether the Norwegian lemming is derived either from a post-glacial colonisation from midlatitude Europe (scenario 1), or from a population of lemmings that colonised Scandinavia before the Last Glacial Maximum and then survived locally in an ice-free northern refugium (scenario 2).

73

#### 74 Materials and methods

#### 75 Data collection

A total of 54 Late Pleistocene *Lemmus* spp. mandibles, spanning between ~ 12 kyr and 48 kyr BP
in age, were collected from 11 paleontological sites across the genus' glacial range in midlatitude
Europe (Fig. 1; Table S1, Supporting Information). Further, we also included 27 mandibles from

79 early-mid Holocene lemmings (between ~ 3 kyr and 8 kyr BP in age) found in the Sirijorda Cave 80 in northern Norway. A modified version of protocol C in Yang et al. (1998) was used to extract 81 DNA from the Late Pleistocene samples, whereas Qiagen's QIAamp Tissue kit was used for the 82 Holocene cave samples as described in Fernández et al. (2006). For the modern data set, 17 83 Norwegian lemming (L. lemmus) tissue samples from seven localities along the Swedish 84 mountain range were extracted at the Swedish Museum of Natural History using the QIAamp 85 DNA mini kit (Qiagen), with the protocol DNA Purification from Tissues. In order to get an 86 estimate of the interspecific variation in European *Lemmus* spp. we also extracted DNA from 11 87 modern Siberian lemming (L. sibiricus) bone samples from three localities within the north-88 western phylogeographic group (Fedorov et al. 1999) using the same protocol as for the Late 89 Pleistocene Lemmus spp. samples. To avoid confusion, the early-mid Holocene Norwegian cave 90 samples are hereafter called Holocene Scandinavian, while the modern samples of L. lemmus 91 (Norwegian lemming) are called modern Scandinavian.

We targeted two mitochondrial regions previously used in modern phylogenetic studies of the Lemmus genus, comprising the first hypervariable part of the control region (CR) and parts of the cytochrome b (cyt b) gene. Further details regarding DNA extraction, PCR amplification and sequencing are presented in the Supporting Information online.

The pre-PCR work on the Late Pleistocene samples was carried out in the ancient DNA laboratory at the Swedish Museum of Natural History, where no previous work on *Lemmus* spp. had been done. For all Late Pleistocene samples, at least two independent amplifications were done in order to resolve erroneous bases caused by misincorporation during PCR. The Holocene Scandinavian samples were analysed in the ancient DNA laboratory at Laboratoire d'Ecologie Alpine in Grenoble, France, where no rodent samples had been analysed before. Since the 102 sequences obtained from Sirijorda cave only displayed variation in nucleotide positions that are 103 variable in extant lemming populations, it seemed unlikely that the observed variation could have 104 been caused by PCR misincorporation. The Sirijorda sequences were therefore not considered 105 necessary to replicate through multiple PCRs. All working surfaces and lab equipment were 106 regularly sterilised with UV light, bleach or hydrochloric acid, and extraction and PCR blanks 107 were extensively used to monitor possible contamination. The pre-PCR work on the modern 108 samples was carried out at the Swedish Museum of Natural History, in laboratories physically 109 separated from both the ancient DNA and post-PCR facilities.

Eleven Late Pleistocene lemming mandibles that gave successful DNA sequences were dated at the Oxford Radiocarbon Accelerator Unit. Five of these produced radiocarbon dates, which were calibrated to calendar years before present using OxCal 4.1.7 (Bronk Ramsey 2009) and the IntCal 09 calibration curve (Reimer *et al.* 2009). The remaining six samples failed due to low collagen yields, likely owing to the small size of the lemming mandibles rather than poor biomolecular preservation. All dates, including the inferred ages of the remaining samples in the data set, are listed in Table S1, Supporting Information.

117

#### 118 Data analyses

Sequences were aligned and edited using the software SeqMan in the package Lasergene v8.1.5 (DNASTAR). BioEdit v1.7.3 (Hall 1999) was subsequently used to construct a combined data set of 520 bp, consisting of both CR (168 bp) and cyt *b* (352 bp) sequences. Additionally, we used a partial data set of 172 bp (96 bp CR and 76 bp cyt *b*) that also included the Holocene Scandinavian lemming sequences. The Late Pleistocene sample sites were assigned to six 127 Temporal statistical parsimony networks were created with the R-script TempNet v1.4 (Prost & Anderson 2011) to display the haplotypes found in the different time periods (the Holocene and 128 129 the Late Pleistocene). The phylogenetic relationships among all samples and the divergence times 130 for different lineages were calculated with the software BEAST v1.6.1 (Drummond & Rambaut 131 2007). Initially, the analyses were performed using the nucleotide substitution model GTR+G, as 132 an analysis in MrModeltest v2.3 (Nylander 2004) showed this to be the most appropriate model 133 of nucleotide substitution. However, due to poor mixing of the Markov chain Monte Carlo 134 (MCMC) this was later changed to the simpler model HKY+G. The phylogenetic analyses were 135 performed using a strict molecular clock with fixed mutation rates. Based on the previously published rates of 17 % Myr<sup>-1</sup> (CR) and 5 % Myr<sup>-1</sup> (cyt b) (Fedorov & Stenseth 2001), and the 136 137 relative length of each gene region in our combined data sets, we set the mutation rate to 8.9 % Myr<sup>-1</sup> and 11.7 % Myr<sup>-1</sup> respectively for the 520 bp and the 172 bp alignments. However, since 138 139 the mutation rate could have a strong impact on the subsequent analyses, and concerns have been 140 raised about biases in mutation rate estimates (Ho et al. 2011a), we also ran the analyses using mutation rates of 30 % and 50 % Myr<sup>-1</sup> which encompass the range of previously published 141 142 estimates from ancient DNA data sets on large herbivores, such as saiga (Saiga tatarica; Campos 143 et al. 2010a) and bison (Bison bison; Shapiro et al. 2004). It should be noted that the issue of 144 elevated mutation rates in ancient DNA data sets is a topic of discussion (Ho et al. 2011b; Navascués et al. 2010; Navascués & Emerson 2009), wherefore using a rate of 50 % Myr<sup>-1</sup> in our 145 146 analyses may seem unreasonably high. Nonetheless, we decided to include it since the mutation 147 rate is inversely proportional to the split time estimated in the coalescent simulations and we 148 wanted to exclude the possibility of selecting the wrong scenario due to using a too low mutation 149 rate. The dates of all ancient sequences, obtained either from direct radiocarbon dating or from 150 inferred ages based on stratigraphy and published dates, were included in the analyses. However, 151 due to uncertainties regarding the age of the Ural deposit, the date of these sequences were 152 instead inferred using the option Tip sampling, with a wide uniform prior encompassing the 153 proposed age (28.6 kyr  $\pm$  15 kyr BP). To assess the robustness of the analysis, BEAST was also 154 run using only the sequences that had specific ages, i.e. the modern samples and those of the 155 ancient remains that were successfully radiocarbon dated. However, this did not affect the overall 156 topology of the phylogeny, nor the split times among major clades (data not shown). All analyses 157 were made with a randomly generated starting tree, and the length of the MCMC was set to 30 158 and 50 million generations for the partial and the complete data sets, respectively, with 159 parameters logged to file every 1,000 generations. Two independent runs were made for each 160 analysis, and the results were checked in TRACER v1.5 (Rambaut & Drummond 2007) to ensure 161 that runs were converging on the same distribution. The sample of trees obtained from a BEAST 162 run was summarised with TreeAnnotator v1.6.1 to a maximum clade credibility tree with median 163 node heights, using a burnin of 10 % and a posterior probability limit of 0.5, and the output was 164 graphically edited in FigTree v1.3.1 (Rambaut 2009).

We also constructed a phylogenetic tree in MrBayes v3.2.2 (Ronquist *et al.* 2012) from the partial data set, in order to investigate the reliability of our topology also without using sample ages and mutation rate as priors. Using the tundra vole, *Microtus oeconomus*, as an outgroup (GenBank accession no AY305172; Galbreath & Cook 2004) we ran the analyses for 5 million generations with the HKY+G substitution model, with a sample and print frequency set to 100. Two independent runs were made, with results checked in Tracer v1.5 (Rambaut & Drummond
2007) to ensure convergence before discarding 10 % as burnin. The combined tree file was
graphically edited in FigTree v1.3.1 (Rambaut 2009).

173 Approximate Bayesian Computation coupled with coalescent simulations was carried out using 174 the partial dataset, in order to test the two contrasting hypotheses regarding the Norwegian 175 lemming's (L. lemmus) glacial history. The statistical inference relied on one single parameter: 176 the time separating all the Scandinavian lemmings (modern and early-mid Holocene) from their 177 closest non-Scandinavian glacial relatives. This inference was based on hypothesis testing using 178 acceptance ratios (Bayes factors) of the simulations of the two proposed scenarios, and the 179 estimation of the mentioned divergence time. The program Bayesian Serial SimCoal (Anderson 180 et al. 2005; Excoffier et al. 2000) was used to run coalescent simulations (Fig. S1, Supporting 181 Information) for three different analyses: simulations for performing a model comparison 182 (hypothesis contrast) using Bayes factors, simulations for estimating the parameters of interest, 183 and simulations for a cross-validation test using pseudo-observed datasets. Pilot simulations were 184 carried out to test different prior distributions and their effect on the posteriors, as well as to 185 define proper parameter values. Also, a comprehensive selection of summary statistics was 186 carried out in order to select an appropriate and informative set. Other pilot simulations explored 187 alternative population sizes, mutation rates (fixed or sampled from a prior), as well as alternative 188 scenarios and statistical groups. For the parameters estimation, optimisation simulations were 189 made in order to improve the fit of the simulations to the data, and thereby increasing the 190 accuracy of the estimates. Thus, the prior distributions in the final simulations were tuned 191 according to the obtained posteriors in the optimisation runs (but using wider variances) 192 (Bertorelle et al. 2010; Lopes et al. 2009).

193 The model that was simulated (Fig. S1, Supporting Information) consisted of four populations 194 (Scandinavia, Siberia, glacial England and glacial continental Europe) whose lineages coalesced 195 backwards in time. Population sizes were simulated with initial exponential priors ( $\lambda$ =250,000). 196 This was used because exponential priors sample uniformly in a logarithmic scale, which is 197 advantageous when parameters have ranges covering several orders of magnitude, as in the case 198 of lemming populations sizes which potentially can reach millions of individuals. The parameter 199 value was set to 250,000 for an optimal acceptance rate of the simulations. The Scandinavian 200 population was also set to have an exponential growth starting 11.5 kyr BP, corresponding to a 201 post-glacial population expansion into previously ice-covered Scandinavian areas, since that was 202 expected under both of the hypothesised scenarios. The ages of all Late Pleistocene samples were 203 assigned from normal prior distributions (around the dates listed in Table S1, Supporting 204 Information) to account for the uncertainty in the age estimates, both when these were derived 205 from radiocarbon dating and when inferred from stratigraphic contexts. Generation time was set 206 to 1 per year. As in the BEAST analyses, the simulations were made with three fixed mutation rates; 11.7 %, 30 % and 50 % Myr<sup>-1</sup>. Post simulation analyses were made in a custom software 207 208 (available upon request) written in the programming language Fortran 95. In order to deal with 209 the large number of summary statistics employed, the rejection was performed by using a vector 210 containing the threshold distances for every summary statistic (Table S2, Supporting 211 Information). In addition, summary statistics were normalised with the distance between the 212 median of the simulated values and the observed value, which empirically yielded better results than using the variance. Further details regarding the simulation procedures are given in the 213 214 Supporting Information online.

215

#### 216 **Results**

217 Data set

The complete 520 bp sequence targeted in this study was obtained from 23 Late Pleistocene and 219 27 modern samples, while a partial 172 bp fragment was obtained from eight of the early-mid 220 Holocene *Lemmus* spp. samples (Table S1, Supporting Information). We therefore had two data 221 sets containing 50 and 58 sequences, respectively (GenBank accession numbers: JX483882-222 JX483939).

223

#### 224 Genetic diversity and phylogenetic relationships

There was a high genetic variation in the glacial data set with a total of 19 and 17 unique haplotypes found in the complete and partial alignments, respectively (Fig. 3; Fig. S2 and Table S4, Supporting Information). This was also reflected in the estimates of nucleotide and haplotype diversities, which generally were higher in the overall glacial data set, although regional levels of diversity in the glacial populations were comparable to those in the modern-day populations.

Bayesian phylogenetic analyses showed that the diversity is distributed into three clades (Fig. 4). The first two (clades A and B) include the representatives of each of the two modern species, whereas the third (clade C) is basal and only includes Late Pleistocene lemmings. The modern Scandinavian samples form a well supported monophyletic group together with all but one of the Holocene Scandinavian cave samples (Figs 3 and 4; Fig. S3, Supporting Information). For all mutation rates used (11.7, 30 and 50 % Myr<sup>-1</sup>), the estimated time to the most recent common ancestor (tMRCA) for this Scandinavian group and the most closely related Late Pleistocene

sequences pre-date the final retreat of the Scandinavian Ice Sheet (100 kyr, 43 kyr and 32 kyr BP 237 238 respectively; Fig. 4; Table 1). Very similar results were obtained from the network and 239 phylogeny constructed from the 520 bp data set (Fig. S2, Supporting Information), and the 240 tMRCA estimates pre-dated the last glacial retreat also when the 520 bp sequences were used 241 (Table S5, Supporting Information). Further, the robustness of the overall tree topology estimated 242 in BEAST was supported by Bayesian phylogenetic analyses that did not incorporate sequence dates or pre-defined mutation rates (Fig. S3, Supporting Information), although some deeper 243 244 internal nodes found in the BEAST analyses could not be resolved.

245

## 246 Bayesian coalescent simulations of population divergence times

247 Consistent with the phylogenetic results, the Bayesian coalescent simulations of the two 248 hypothesised scenarios strongly supported a population divergence that pre-dated the last glacial retreat (Fig. 5; Table 2). The acceptance ratio yielded a higher support for this scenario, with 249 Bayes factors of 7.4, 48.3 and 37.2 (for mutation rates of 11.7, 30 and 50 % Myr<sup>-1</sup>, respectively). 250 251 In the pseudo-observed datasets (PODs) analysis, the probabilities of selecting the right scenario were 0.67 and 0.81 for scenarios 1 and 2, respectively, when a mutation rate of 11.7% Myr<sup>-1</sup> was 252 253 assumed. Additionally, the analysis that took into account the observed Bayes factor, in which 254 the only PODs that were considered were those with a Bayes factor equal to or larger than the 255 observed one, yielded values of 0.98 and 0.89 for scenarios 1 and 2 respectively. For the mutation rate of 30% Myr<sup>-1</sup>, the corresponding values were 0.90 and 0.90 in the first run, and 0.97 and 0.97 256 when considering the observed Bayes factor. The mutation rate of 50% Myr<sup>-1</sup> resulted in values 257

of 0.94 and 0.90 in the first run, which rose to 0.98 and 0.99 respectively after the observedBayes factor was taken into account.

Two of the summary statistics allowed a good differentiation between our hypotheses; the mean number of pairwise differences and the  $F_{ST}$  between Scandinavian lemmings (including both modern and early-mid Holocene cave samples) and their closest glacial relatives (Fig. S4, Supporting Information). The observed values for both these statistics were too high to correspond to scenario 1 (*p*-value 0.06-0.0098), but were not significantly differentiated from scenario 2 (*p*-value 0.36-0.09).

266 When using coalescent simulations coupled with Approximate Bayesian Computation analysis, 267 there is always a concern that the true scenario is not incorporated among the models tested 268 (Templeton 2009). However, one way to address this problem is to assess how well the models fit 269 to the empirical data (Csillery et al. 2010). To address this, we compared the posterior 270 distributions with the summary statistics of the observed data set. The results showed that the 271 observed data had a close fit to the non-rejected summary statistics in the simulated data sets, 272 which indicates that the simulated models provide a good fit to the empirical data (Fig. S5, 273 Supporting Information).

274

## 275 **Discussion**

Our results indicate a large genetic variation in the lemming populations that inhabited the steppe-tundra region of midlatitude Europe during the Late Pleistocene period. In particular, the glacial populations in Eastern Europe appear to have had a very high nucleotide diversity, which could reflect long-term occupation in the region (Table S4, Supporting Information). It should be noted, however, that these diversity estimates may to some extent be inflated due to the heterochronous nature of the data (Depaulis *et al.* 2009). Nonetheless, the seemingly high genetic variation in the glacial populations, as well as the large effective population sizes estimated in the Bayesian coalescent simulations (Fig. S6, Supporting Information), support the view that *Lemmus* spp. were common in the European Late Pleistocene steppe-tundra ecosystem.

285 The modern Scandinavian population displays low levels of nucleotide diversity and a star-like 286 pattern in the haplotype network (Fig. 3; Table S4, Supporting Information), which indicate a 287 previous reduction in population size followed by a demographic expansion, as also previously 288 demonstrated in a mismatch distribution test done by Fedorov & Stenseth (2001). This could 289 either correspond to a bottleneck during the Last Glacial Maximum in line with the hypothesis of 290 local glacial survival (i.e. scenario 2), or a post-glacial founder event (i.e. scenario 1). Both these 291 hypotheses are supported by the observation that all but one of the Scandinavian cave samples 292 from the early-mid Holocene fall within the diversity of the modern samples (Figs. 3 and 4), thus 293 making a more recent genetic bottleneck unlikely.

294 The central, and most common, haplotype in Scandinavia (Fig. 3) is likely to represent either 295 the haplotype that survived the hypothesised LGM bottleneck, or alternatively, the founding 296 haplotype during a post-glacial colonisation. However, this haplotype was not observed in any of 297 the glacial populations that surrounded the Scandinavian Ice Sheet, which could have been 298 expected if the Norwegian lemming (Lemmus lemmus) originated from a post-glacial 299 colonisation from these southern populations. Instead, the most recent common ancestor 300 (MRCA) to the Norwegian lemming and the most closely related glacial lemmings was estimated 301 to have lived between 100 kyr to 32 kyr BP. Even for the extreme mutation rate of 50 % Myr<sup>-1</sup>, 302 the lower bound of the 95% highest posterior density (HPD) interval does not include the time 303 after the final retreat of the Scandinavian Ice Sheet (Table 1). Although the tMRCA may predate 304 the time of actual population divergence, this difference is reduced when the populations are 305 small, which likely was the case for the founder population of the Norwegian lemming. 306 Furthermore, the Bayesian coalescent simulations provided a markedly higher support for a pre-307 LGM divergence between Scandinavian and glacial European populations, with an estimated 308 population divergence time of more than 78 kyr BP (lower 95 % HPD for 50 % mutation rate = 309 32 kyr BP; Table 2). It should be noted that the coalescent framework takes the temporal 310 dimension and co-ancestry relationships into account at once. Therefore, the possibility that the 311 dominant haplotype in extant L. lemmus existed outside the ice sheet during the Last Glacial 312 Maximum, but was not sampled, would not only be the likelihood that it was absent in our glacial 313 European sample, but the likelihood that it was not in the sample and that it did not coalesce with 314 any of the sampled lineages. The estimation performed in the Approximate Bayesian 315 Computation analyses targeted the time of the divergence of the Scandinavian lemmings from 316 their ancestral population, and not the time to the origin of the lineage. The time window between 317 those two events (the origin of the lineage and the origin of the population) is therefore where 318 potentially unsampled lineages could have coalesced, thus producing a more recent origin of the 319 L. lemmus lineage. However, such a scenario was not supported, and instead the results suggest 320 that none of the populations that lived south of the Scandinavian Ice Sheet during the end of the 321 last glaciation were the direct ancestors of the Norwegian lemming. Consequently, the most 322 parsimonious explanation is that the species originates from a population that survived the Last 323 Glacial Maximum in a northern refugium.

The hypothesis of small ice-free refugia in Scandinavia during the Last Glacial Maximum recently gained support in a study by Parducci *et al.* (2012), which reported paleoecological and genetic data suggesting a local glacial survival of pine (*Pinus sylvestris*) and spruce (*Picea abies*) in Scandinavia (but see Birks *et al.* 2012). The data presented in this study thus lends further support to the local northern refugium hypothesis, and suggests that this putative ice-free area was diverse or large enough to harbour both Arctic taxa like lemmings, as well as Boreal trees. Alternatively, there might have existed multiple refugia that were inhabited by differently adapted plant and animal communities, for example at higher altitudes or on the part of the continental shelf that was flooded by rising sea levels during the Holocene (Nesje *et al.* 2007).

333 As indicated by the divergence time estimates in the phylogeny and the Bayesian coalescent 334 simulations, it appears likely that Scandinavia was colonised by European lemmings (Lemmus 335 sp.) during an interstadial period sometime between the Karmøy glaciation, which ended ~ 60 kyr 336 BP (Mangerud et al. 2011), and the last glacial advance ~ 30 kyr BP (see Fig. 1a). The 337 occurrence of *Lemmus* sp. fossil remains in Scandinavia dating to the Ålesund interstadial ~ 36 338 kyr BP (Larsen et al. 1987) also confirms that the region was populated at this time period, 339 although unfortunately we have no genetic information on these. As the Scandinavian Ice Sheet 340 started to grow during late Marine Isotope Stage (MIS) 3, culminating in the full glacial 341 conditions during MIS 2 (Svensson et al. 2006), the lemming population in Scandinavia must 342 have become increasingly small and isolated from the surrounding southern populations. As the 343 ice sheet melted during the early Holocene, Norwegian lemmings originating from the ice-free 344 northern refugium likely expanded into the previously glaciated regions of Scandinavia. 345 Interestingly, the observation in this study of a ~ 8 kyr BP old specimen from Sirijorda Cave in 346 Norway carrying a haplotype today only found in L. sibiricus (Figs. 3 and 4) indicates that 347 Siberian lemmings may have expanded into Scandinavia as the ice sheet melted. Alternatively, 348 introgression between the two species may have led to inclusion of L. sibiricus haplotypes in the *L. lemmus* gene pool. Haplotypes belonging to *L. sibiricus* have, however, not been observed in any modern Norwegian lemmings (this study; Fedorov & Stenseth 2001) and the species does not inhabit the region today. Moreover, this observation is based on one single sample, making further evaluation of the existence and extent of past gene flow from *L. sibiricus* into Scandinavia difficult at present.

354 The results presented here indicate that the end-Pleistocene midlatitude European Lemmus 355 populations did not contribute to the gene pool of the contemporary lemming populations in 356 Scandinavia and northwest Russia. Instead, it appears that the midlatitude populations became 357 extinct at the Pleistocene-Holocene transition, and that this led to a marked decrease in genetic 358 diversity that included the loss of a major mitochondrial (mt) DNA clade (Figs. 3 and 4). 359 Whether this extinct clade represents a divergent population or a separate species is difficult to 360 ascertain at present, since we have only analysed mtDNA. In any case, the observed loss of an 361 entire clade adds to a growing body of evidence suggesting that many glacial populations and 362 species were unable to track the shifts and contractions in habitat that took place at the end of the 363 last Ice Age (Campos et al. 2010a; Campos et al. 2010b; Dalén et al. 2007).

364 An inability of populations to track reductions in habitat availability implies that a succession 365 of expansions and contractions in species ranges, such as the ones that likely took place at the end 366 of the Pleistocene, would have been characterised by a series of population extinctions (Brace et 367 al. 2012). This could provide an explanation for the observation that many extant Holarctic 368 species appear to have lost significant amounts of genetic diversity since the Late Pleistocene 369 (Hofreiter & Barnes 2010). With the ongoing increases in global temperatures, this in turn raises 370 concerns about the fate of extant cold-adapted populations that inhabit the southern margins of 371 the Arctic biome.

372 From an evolutionary perspective, it appears likely that the northern survival of a small and 373 isolated *Lemmus* sp. population during the Last Glacial Maximum may have contributed to the 374 evolution of the Norwegian lemming, or possibly even represents the speciation event itself. This 375 is consistent with the hypothesis that adopting a new refugium provides a mechanism of 376 speciation (Stewart & Stringer 2012). Both the isolation and the small population size could have 377 led to rapid evolutionary changes, consistent with the model of peripatric speciation (Mayr 1963). 378 Such evolutionary changes may also have been reinforced by shifts in local ecological conditions 379 (Orr & Smith 1998) due to the changes in temperature and precipitation associated with the onset 380 of the Last Glacial Maximum, as well alterations in the lemmings' realised niche if their key 381 predators and competitors were unable to persist in the local refugium (Dalén et al. 2007; Hewitt 382 1996; Stewart 2008). Further analyses of autosomal genes, including those under natural 383 selection, from serially sampled Norwegian lemming specimens could thus constitute a unique 384 opportunity to study the speciation process in real time.

- 385
- 386
- 387

## 388 Acknowledgements

We are grateful to field workers within the Scandinavian arctic fox project (SEFALO+), staff at the Tarfala research station, and Maria Noring (Royal Institute of Technology) for contributing with modern samples. We thank Eivind Østbye (University in Oslo) for providing the Holocene Norwegian samples, Ralf W. Schmitz (University of Bonn), Nick Barton (Oxford University), Christelle Draily (Director of Walou Cave Excavations), Annelise Folie (Royal Belgian Institute 394 of Natural Sciences) and Anne Taylor (Cambridge University Museum of Archaeoology and 395 Anthropology) for giving us access to samples from the Neandertal site excavation, Merlin's 396 Cave, Walou Cave, Caverne Marie-Jeanne and Bridged Pot Cave, respectively. We also thank 397 Pierre Taberlet and Christian Miquel (Université de Grenoble) respectively for providing access 398 to the DNA facilities in Grenoble and for technical support in the same lab. Additional field 399 collection of modern samples was supported through grants from the Royal Swedish Academy of 400 Sciences and Riksmusei Vänner to V.K.L. The genetic analyses were funded through grants to 401 L.D. from the Swedish Research Council (VR) and FORMAS through the FP6 BiodivERsA 402 ERA-NET program. E.S-C. also acknowledges support from the Strategic Research Programme 403 EkoKlim at Stockholm University.

## Tables

**Table 1** Median ages, and the 95% highest posterior density (HPD) interval, in thousands (k) of years before present for the phylogenetic tree nodes shown in Fig. 4. The estimated times to the most recent common ancestor of all Scandinavian lemmings and the most closely related Late Pleistocene European lemmings are shown in bold. Based on BEAST analyses of the partial data set, using mutation rates of 11.7 %, 30 % and 50 % Myr<sup>-1</sup>.

	11.7 % Myr <sup>-1</sup> Node age 95 % HPD	30 % Myr <sup>-1</sup>		50 % Myr <sup>-1</sup>		
	Node age	95 % HPD	Node age	95 % HPD	Node age	95 % HPD
Α	467 k	725 k - 285 k	190 k	284 k - 123 k	130 k	184 k - 87 k
В	260 k	414 k - 144 k	128 k	183 k - 84 k	97 k	133 k - 71 k
С	300 k	476 k - 173 k	112 k	173 k - 69 k	75 k	109 k - 52 k
D	152 k	263 k - 72 k	57 k	90 k - 35 k	41 k	59 k - 28 k
E	100 k	169 k - 49 k	43 k	64 k - 26 k	32 k	46 k - 21 k
F	86 k	164 k - 36 k	38 k	59 k - 22 k	30 k	42 k - 20 k
G	78 k	148 k - 33 k	32 k	53 k - 16 k	22 k	36 k - 12 k
Н	65 k	113 k - 33 k	29 k	45 k - 17 k	22 k	33 k - 13 k

**Table 2** Descriptive statistics for the estimated population divergence times between Scandinavian and Late Pleistocene European lemmings, as shown in Fig. 5. The estimates are based on the posterior probability distributions obtained in the Bayesian coalescent simulations of the partial data set, using three different mutation rates.

	11.7 % Myr <sup>-1</sup>	30 % Myr <sup>-1</sup>	50 % Myr <sup>-1</sup>
Mode	101 k	117 k	82 k
Median	93 k	90 k	79 k
Mean	86 k	87 k	78 k
95% HPD Lower	23 k	37 k	32 k

# Figures



Fig. 1

## Scenario 1







Fig. 2







Fig. 4





## References

- Anderson CNK, Ramakrishnan U, Chan YL, Hadly EA (2005) Serial SimCoal: A population genetics model for data from multiple populations and points in time. *Bioinformatics*, 21, 1733-1734.
- Bertorelle G, Benazzo A, Mona S (2010) ABC as a flexible framework to estimate demography over space and time: some cons, many pros. *Molecular Ecology*, **19**, 2609-2625.
- Birks HH, Giesecke T, Hewitt GM, *et al.* (2012) Comment on "Glacial survival of boreal trees in Northern Scandinavia". *Science*, **338**, 742.
- Brace S, Palkopoulou E, Dalén L, *et al.* (2012) Serial population extinctions in a small mammal indicate Late Pleistocene ecosystem instability. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*.
- Brochmann C, Gabrielsen TM, Nordal I, Landvik JY, Elven R (2003) Glacial survival or tabula rasa? The history of North Atlantic biota revisited. *Taxon*, **52**, 417-450.
- Bronk Ramsey C (2009) Bayesian analysis of radiocarbon dates. Radiocarbon 51, 337-360.
- Campos PF, Kristensen T, Orlando L, *et al.* (2010a) Ancient DNA sequences point to a large loss of mitochondrial genetic diversity in the saiga antelope (*Saiga tatarica*) since the Pleistocene. *Molecular Ecology*, **19**, 4863-4875.
- Campos PF, Willerslev E, Sher A, et al. (2010b) Ancient DNA analyses exclude humans as the driving force behind late Pleistocene musk ox (Ovibos moschatus) population dynamics. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 107, 5675-5680.

- Csillery K, Blum MGB, Gaggiotti O, Francois O (2010) Approximate Bayesian Computation (ABC) in practice. *Trends Ecol Evol*, **25**, 410 418.
- Dalén L, Nyström V, Valdiosera C, *et al.* (2007) Ancient DNA reveals lack of postglacial habitat tracking in the arctic fox. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, **104**, 6726-6729.
- Depaulis F, Orlando L, Hänni C (2009) Using Classical Population Genetics Tools with Heterochroneous Data: Time Matters! *PLoS ONE*, **4**, e5541.
- Drummond A, Rambaut A (2007) BEAST: Bayesian evolutionary analysis by sampling trees. BMC Evolutionary Biology, 7, 214.
- Ekman S (1922) *Djurvärldens utbredningshistoria på den Skandinaviska halvön* Albert Bonniers Förlag, Stockholm.
- Excoffier L, Lischer HEL (2010) Arlequin suite ver 3.5: a new series of programs to perform population genetics analyses under Linux and Windows. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, 10, 564-567.
- Excoffier L, Novembre J, Schneider S (2000) SIMCOAL: A general coalescent program for the simulation of molecular data in interconnected populations with arbitrary demography. *Journal of Heredity*, **91**, 506-509.
- Fedorov V, Goropashnaya A, Jarrell GH, Fredga K (1999) Phylogeographic structure and mitochondrial DNA variation in true lemmings (*Lemmus*) from the Eurasian Arctic. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 66, 357-371.
- Fedorov VB, Stenseth NC (2001) Glacial survival of the Norwegian lemming (*Lemmus lemmus*) in Scandinavia: inference from mitochondrial DNA variation. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, **268**, 809-814.

- Fernández H, Hughes S, Vigne J-D, et al. (2006) Divergent mtDNA lineages of goats in an Early Neolithic site, far from the initial domestication areas. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 103, 15375-15379.
- Galbreath KE, Cook JA (2004) Genetic consequences of Pleistocene glaciations for the tundra vole (Microtus oeconomus) in Beringia. *Molecular Ecology*, **13**, 135-148.
- Hall TA (1999) BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. *Nucleic Acids Symposium Series*, **41**, 95-98.
- Hewitt GM (1996) Some genetic consequences of ice ages, and their role in divergence and speciation. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* **58**, 247-276.
- Ho SYW, Lanfear R, Bromham L, *et al.* (2011a) Time-dependent rates of molecular evolution. *Molecular Ecology*, **20**, 3087-3101.
- Ho SYW, Lanfear R, Phillips MJ, et al. (2011b) Bayesian estimation of substitution rates from ancient DNA sequences with low information content. Systematic Biology.
- Hofreiter M, Barnes I (2010) Diversity lost: are all Holarctic large mammal species just relict populations? *BMC Biology*, **8**, 46.
- Johnson NK, Cicero C (2004) New mitochondrial DNA data affirm the importance of Pleistocene speciation in North American birds. *Evolution*, **58**, 1122-1130.
- Johnson TC, Scholz CA, Talbot MR, *et al.* (1996) Late Pleistocene desiccation of Lake Victoria and rapid volution of Cichlid fishes. *Science*, **273**, 1091-1093.
- Klicka J, Zink RM (1997) The importance of recent ice ages in speciation: a failed paradigm. *Science*, **277**, 1666-1669.
- Lagerholm VK, Sandoval-Castellanos E, Ehrich D, *et al.* Data from: On the Origin of the Norwegian Lemming. Dryad Data Repository. doi:10.5061/dryad.jp8r1.

- Larsen E, Gulliksen S, Lauritzen SE, *et al.* (1987) Cave stratigraphy in western Norway; multiple Weichselian glaciations and interstadial vertebrate fauna. *Boreas*, **16**, 267-292.
- Lister AM (2004) The impact of Quaternary Ice Ages on mammalian evolution. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, **359**, 221-241.
- Lopes JS, Balding D, Beaumont MA (2009) PopABC: a program to infer historical demographic parameters. *Bioinformatics*, **25**, 2747 2749.
- Mangerud J, Gyllencreutz R, Lohne Ø, Svendsen JI (2011) Glacial history of Norway. In: *Quaternary glaciations - Extent and chronology. A closer look* (eds. Ehlers J, Gibbard PL, Hughes PD), pp. 279-298. Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- Mayr E (1954) Change of genetic environment and evolution. In: *Evolution as a Process* (eds. Huxley J, Hardy AC, Ford EB), pp. 157-180. Allen & Unwin, London.

Mayr E (1963) Animal species and evolution Harvard University Press, Cambridge.

- Nadachowski A (1982) Late Quaternary rodents of Poland with special reference to morphotype dentition analysis of voles Panstwowe Wydawnictwo Naukowe, Warszawa.
- Navascués M, Depaulis F, Emerson BC (2010) Combining contemporary and ancient DNA in population genetic and phylogeographical studies. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, **10**, 760-772.
- Navascués M, Emerson BC (2009) Elevated substitution rate estimates from ancient DNA: model violation and bias of Bayesian methods. *Molecular Ecology*, **18**, 4390-4397.
- Nesje A, Dahl SO, Linge H, *et al.* (2007) The surface geometry of the Last Glacial Maximum ice sheet in the Andøya-Skånland region, northern Norway, constrained by surface exposure dating and clay mineralogy. *Boreas*, **36**, 227-239.

Orr MR, Smith TB (1998) Ecology and speciation. Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 13, 502-506.

- Parducci L, Jørgensen T, Tollefsrud MM, *et al.* (2012) Glacial survival of boreal trees in northern Scandinavia. *Science*, **335**, 1083-1086.
- Prost S, Anderson CNK (2011) TempNet: a method to display statistical parsimony networks for heterochronous DNA sequence data. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, **2**, 663-667.
- Rambaut A (2009) FigTree v1.3.1: Tree figure drawing tool, p. http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/.
- Rambaut A, Drummond AJ (2007) Tracer v1.4: MCMC trace analyses tool, p. http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/tracer/.
- Rand AL (1948) Glaciation, an isolating factor in speciation. Evolution, 2, 314-321.
- Reimer P, Baillie M, Bard E, et al. (2009) IntCal09 and Marine09 radiocarbon age calibration curves, 0 - 50,000 years cal BP. Radiocarbon 51, 1111-1150.
- Ronquist F, Teslenko M, van der Mark P, et al. (2012) MrBayes 3.2: Efficient Bayesian Phylogenetic Inference and Model Choice Across a Large Model Space. Systematic Biology, 61, 539-542.
- Shapiro B, Drummond AJ, Rambaut A, *et al.* (2004) Rise and fall of the Beringian steppe bison. *Science*, **306**, 1561-1565.
- Stewart JR (2008) The progressive effect of the individualistic response of species to Quaternary climate change: an analysis of British mammalian faunas. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 27, 2499-2508.

- Stewart JR, Lister AM, Barnes I, Dalén L (2010) Refugia revisited: individualistic responses of species in space and time. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 277, 661-671.
- Stewart JR, Stringer CB (2012) Human evolution out of Africa: The role of refugia and climate change. *Science*, **335**, 1317-1321.
- Svendsen JI, Alexanderson H, Astakhov VI, et al. (2004) Late Quaternary ice sheet history of northern Eurasia. Quaternary Science Reviews, 23, 1229-1271.
- Svensson A, Andersen KK, Bigler M, *et al.* (2006) The Greenland ice core chronology 2005, 1542 ka. Part 2: comparison to other records. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 25, 3258.
- Templeton AR (2009) Statistical hypothesis testing in intraspecific phylogeography: nested clade phylogeographical analysis vs. approximate Bayesian computation. *Molecular Ecology*, 18, 319-331.
- Yang DY, Eng B, Waye JS, Dudar JC, Saunders SR (1998) Improved DNA extraction from ancient bones using silica-based spin columns. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*, **105**, 539-543.
- Østbye E, Lauritzen S-E, Moe D, Østbye K (2006) Vertebrate remains in Holocene limestone cave sediments: faunal succession in the Sirijorda Cave, northern Norway. *Boreas*, **35**, 142-158.

## **Figure legends**

**Fig. 1** Temporal and geographic sample distribution. The Scandinavian Ice Sheet's approximate extent is shown for the four time periods, corresponding to (a) the Bø and Ålesund interstadials ~55 kyr to 35 kyr BP (without the short Skjonghelleren stadial ~ 39 kyr BP); (b) the Last Glacial Maximum ~30 kyr to 16 kyr BP; (c) the Younger Dryas stadial ~12 kyr BP (Mangerud *et al.* 2011; Svendsen *et al.* 2004); and (d) the Holocene. The Late Pleistocene sites that yielded successful ancient DNA sequences are illustrated with circles, which are filled blue at their respective time period. Sampling locations for modern specimens are shown as yellow (*L. lemmus*) and red (*L. sibiricus*) squares. The brown diamond represents the cave site from where early-mid Holocene samples were obtained.

**Fig. 2** Schematic illustration of the two hypotheses regarding the evolutionary history of the Norwegian lemming (*Lemmus lemmus*). In scenario 1, the modern population is derived from a post-glacial colonisation from midlatitude Europe following the retreat of the Scandinavian Ice Sheet, whereas the population in scenario 2 has survived in Scandinavia since before the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM). In both scenarios, the lemming populations that inhabited midlatitude Europe ultimately went extinct during Holocene climate warming.

**Fig. 3** Temporal statistical parsimony network. Haplotypes are temporally divided into the Holocene (including both modern and Holocene cave samples) and the Late Pleistocene, with empty circles indicating a haplotype that is missing in one temporal layer but is present in the other. Black dots represent missing haplotypes in the total data set. The number of individuals sharing a haplotype is reflected by its size. The dashed circles and connecting lines between the two temporal layers illustrate the absence of the Scandinavian haplogroup in the Late Pleistocene data set. The analysis is based on the partial data set.

haplotypes are coloured according to their sample region, with numbers referring to the specific haplotype identifiers listed in Table S1 (Supporting Information).

**Fig. 4** Bayesian phylogeny. Modern NW Russian *L. sibiricus* are shown in red, modern Scandinavian *L. lemmus* in yellow, early-mid Holocene Scandinavian samples in brown and Late Pleistocene European samples in blue. The ages of all ancient samples are shown in thousands (k) of years before present, with those from the Studennaya site referring to the calculated median ages obtained from BEAST. S = Scandinavia; R = Russia; R.P = Russian plains; R.U = Russian Urals; P = Poland; G = Germany; B = Belgium; E = England. Posterior probabilities of internal nodes above 0.8 are shown, with letters *A* to *H* referring to the estimated divergence times listed in Table 1. The analysis was performed in BEAST, using the partial data set and a mutation rate of 30 % Myr<sup>-1</sup>.

**Fig. 5** Posterior probability distributions for the population divergence time between Scandinavian lemmings and their closest glacial relatives, based on the Bayesian coalescent simulations of the partial data set, using three different mutation rates. The posterior distributions are truncated at 120 kyr BP, which represents the start of the Late Pleistocene glaciation. The uniform prior distribution is shown with grey bars.

#### **Author contributions**

V.K.L and D.E. designed and performed the DNA analyses, and V.K.L also computed population-genetic statistics, carried out Bayesian phylogenetic analyses and co-wrote the paper; E.S-C. performed and wrote the text on Bayesian coalescent simulations; N.A., A.N., D.C.K., M.G. and A.A. contributed with material and data; J.R.S. contributed with material and information, and helped interpret the data; L.D. conceived and designed the project and co-wrote the paper. All authors discussed the results and contributed to the preparation of the manuscript.

#### **Data Accessibility**

All sequences have been deposited in GenBank under the accession numbers JX483882-JX483939. The sequence alignments, as well as the input files and resulting tree files from BEAST and MrBayes have been deposited in the Dryad Data Repository, doi:10.5061/dryad.jp8r1.

#### **Supporting Information**

Additional supporting information can be found in the online version of this article.

Text S1 Materials and methods.

**Table S1** All samples included in the study.

**Table S2** Summary statistics employed in the Bayesian coalescent simulations.

**Table S3** Genus-specific primers developed for the study.

Table S4 Genetic diversity within modern, Holocene and Late Pleistocene sample regions.

**Table S5** Phylogenetic tree node ages.

Fig. S1 Bayesian coalescent simulation methodology.

Fig. S2 Temporal statistical parsimony network and Bayesian phylogeny constructed in BEAST.

Fig. S3 Bayesian phylogeny constructed in MrBayes.

Fig. S4 Posterior distributions of the employed summary statistics for each scenario separately.

Fig. S5 Posterior distributions of the employed summary statistics for both scenarios combined.

Fig. S6 Obtained posterior probability distributions for different effective population sizes.