## Analysis

## Re: An open letter to The BMJ editors on qualitative research

*Cite this as: BMJ* 2016;352:i563

## Response to: An open letter to The BMJ editors on qualitative research

*BMJ* 2016; 352 *doi*: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.i563/rr-9</u> (Published 10 February 2016) <u>Article</u>

One of the virtues of qualitative research is its inclusionary nature and ability to give service-users a voice, both through the research process itself (for example, through a wide range of qualitative social science practices that include participatory action research, in-depth interviewing, ethnographic studies, visual anthropology, biographic narrative studies and so forth) and in reports, documents and presentations. The importance of this kind of research cannot be overemphasized, particularly when dealing with the disadvantaged and/or the unheard voice.

The interface of cultures within our contemporary society includes the interface between specific population groups and an additional culture, the medico-social establishment and its subsets. By its nature, therefore, health and social service use is always comprised of an interface of cultures.

Qualitative research has the potential to contribute to such paradigm changes dramatically, particularly in the field of health, healthcare policy and service provision and contribute greatly to concepts such as work-based learning and practice development.

Well done, Trish and colleagues!

Competing interests: No competing interests

## 11 February 2016

Dr Kip Jones Director, Bournemouth University Centre for Qualitative Research Bournemouth University Royal London House, Bournemouth BH1 3LT