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**Introduction**

Sociologists and other social scientists can bring different perspectives and theoretical understandings to research into many common health topics. One of the specific contributions of sociology is that of two different models, a social model and a medical model of an illness and health. This editorial (a) outlines the nature of the medical and social model; (b) illustrates the two models using pregnancy and childbirth as an example; and (b) stresses the importance of the application of these models in clinical practice.

**The medical model versus the social model**

We have seen a rapid growth in science and medicine across the industrialised world in the late 19th century and early 20th century. The growth coincided with a growing trust/popularity of rationalism and the idea that one day we would have discovered all laws of nature and society and be able to cure all diseases. These developments were accompanied by a growth in the professions, in health as well as other occupations, and rapid growth of hospitals as institutions. Health care professionals are socialised into thinking along the lines
of a (bio)-medical model, a model largely based on physiology and biology which has a rather mechanical view of disease, illness and the human body. The disease is assumed to be in the patients, resulting in rather individualistic diagnosis and treatment. The medical model is ‘easy’ to understand, rational, based on medical science and diagnosis relies largely on objective measurement of symptoms and clinical observation. Thus the medical model offers individual treatment solutions for individual patients.

The social model argues that there is inter-dependency between the ill person and their immediate and wider environments. The social model focuses on everyday life and the social, socio-economic, cultural and environmental aspects of health. The social model considers a wider range of factors that affect someone’s health, such factors as lifestyle, gender, poverty (or health inequality more generally), discrimination, and where and how we live. The disease is assumed to part of the patients, caused, exacerbated or improved by the way their live the lives in their physical and socio-economic environment. The social model is generally not individualist, but complex and multi-dimensional and often does not offer easy solutions. Solutions to health problem can be found at a population level and hence can be political or social as well as, for example, changes in the individual’s lifestyle.

It is important to bear in mind that the medical and social model is not something static. We view a disease, impairment or a patient somewhere on a spectrum with a very social perspective at one end of the scale and a very medical one at the opposite end of that scale. The process of changing from a more social model to a more medical model is called medicalisation and the opposite is referred to as de-medicalisation.

Childbirth as an example of the medical/social model

The social model maintains that pregnancy and childbirth are largely physiological events that occur in most women’s lives. Following this line of thinking, the social model argues that pregnancy and childbirth do not normally need medical intervention or the transfer to hospital. A social model of care accepts childbirth as a normal social event in which preventative measures can be used (Ireland and van Teijlingen 2013). The medical model portrays a different view, namely that childbirth is potentially pathological, and therefore every woman is potentially at risk when she is pregnant and/or in labour. Again following this line of thinking, every woman should deliver in hospital with high-technology screening equipment supervised by expert obstetricians. In other words, those following the medical model want to make us believe that pregnancy and childbirth are only safe in retrospect.
Why does it matter which model we adhere to?

The first thing to remember is that that the way we perceive or define ‘the problem’ also affects the kind of solutions we see and we seek. For example, if we perceive obesity to be a medical problem we would seek the solution for overweight people to be getting a gastric band fitted or using appetite suppressing drugs. However, if we regard obesity from the perspective of a social model we may suggest that the solution lies in a sugar tax (to make sugary drinks and foods more expensive) or lifestyle changes at the community level.

This editorial highlights that someone’s perspective of birth is not simply semantic. Thus, whether a midwife describes her role as being ‘with woman’ through labour or as someone who ‘delivers’ women of babies does not just demonstrates a more or less currently politically correct description. No, it suggests having different perspectives or world views of pregnancy and childbirth.

The claim by the dominant idea (ideology) that the medical model is the most appropriate and hence safest approach to pregnancy and childbirth not only controls women but also their families and friends and their health care providers. The editorial argues that understanding the underlying sociological models of pregnancy and childbirth can help politicians, journalists, policy-makers, midwives, doctors, and other health care providers, childbirth activists as well as pregnant women and new mothers (and their partners) to put issues around ‘normal birth’ into perspective.
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