

**Case studies of five interprofessional education initiatives
from seven international universities**

| | |
|------------------|--|
| Journal: | <i>Journal of Nursing Scholarship</i> |
| Manuscript ID | Draft |
| Manuscript Type: | Original Manuscript |
| Key Words: | Patient outcomes/Health care outcomes/Treatment outcomes < Administration, Program evaluation < Administration, Education/Curriculum/learning < Education, Nursing Practice, Communication < Other |
| | |

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Review

Case studies of five interprofessional education initiatives from seven international universities

Abstract

Background

Although teamwork and interprofessional collaboration are critical to patient safety, healthcare graduates frequently report that they often feel ill-prepared to confidently communicate and collaborate with other team members. While interprofessional education has been advocated as a way of addressing this issue, there are multiple barriers to its systematic and sustained integration in undergraduate healthcare programs. Despite these challenges, examples of effective IPE initiatives have emerged.

Purpose

This paper profiles seven case studies of innovative interprofessional education activities that have been successfully implemented across five countries, for a variety of learners and using different delivery modalities. The evaluation results from these interprofessional education activities attest to their impact and positive outcomes.

Conclusion

These case studies demonstrate that the barriers to interprofessional education can be overcome when creative and targeted approaches are used. This paper provides a wealth of ideas for the successful design and implementation of interprofessional education initiatives and will be of benefit to educators wishing to expand their repertoire of teaching approaches.

Clinical Relevance:

A body of research attests to the relationship between interprofessional communication, teamwork and patient outcomes. Interprofessional education is imperative for facilitating the development of graduates' communication and teamwork skills, however, innovative

1
2
3 approaches are needed to overcome the perceived and actual impediments to its
4
5 implementation.

7 **Key words**

9 Interprofessional education, nursing student, patient outcomes, collaborative practice,
10
11 communication, teamwork

16 **Introduction**

17
18 A wide body of research attests to the relationship between interprofessional communication,
19
20 teamwork and patient outcomes (Levett-Jones, Oates & MacDonald-Wicks, 2014; Reeves,
21
22 Goldman, Burton & Sawatzky-Girling, 2010). Yet, too often healthcare graduates lack the
23
24 confidence and skills to communicate and collaborate effectively as members of
25
26 interprofessional teams (Wilson, Palmer, Levett-Jones, Gilligan & Outram, 2016).
27
28 Interprofessional Education (IPE) has been proposed as the most appropriate educational
29
30 strategy for facilitating the development of these skills (World Health Organization, 2011).
31
32 The key purpose of IPE is to prepare healthcare graduates with the capabilities required for
33
34 the collaborative practice skills required for the effective care of the complex health problems
35
36 that face individuals and communities into the future (Teodorczuk et al., 2016). However,
37
38 multiple barriers to the efficient, effective, sustained and systematic integration of IPE in
39
40 undergraduate education programs have been described in the literature (Lapkin, Levett-
41
42 Jones & Gilligan, 2012). Despite these challenges, examples of successful and innovative IPE
43
44 initiatives have emerged.

45
46
47
48
49 The aim of this paper is to profile a series of case studies of creative IPE activities that have
50
51 been successfully implemented across five countries, using online and face-to-face teaching
52
53 approaches, in classrooms, clinical and community settings, for both undergraduate and
54
55

1
2
3 postgraduate students, and that target a range of specific learning outcomes and practice
4
5 issues.
6
7
8

9 10 **Background**

11 Healthcare professionals are required to work both autonomously and collaboratively in
12
13 complex and dynamic clinical environments. Stone (2009) defined interprofessional
14
15 collaboration as all members of the healthcare team participating, communicating, and
16
17 relying on each other to achieve common goals and patient outcomes. This concept is further
18
19 extended by D'Amour and Oandasan (2005, p. 9) as “the process by which professionals
20
21 reflect on and develop ways of practicing that provide an integrated and cohesive answer to
22
23 the needs of the client/family/population.” An effective interprofessional team requires
24
25 knowledge and understanding of each member’s roles and responsibilities as well as mutual
26
27 respect for and valuing of the unique contributions made by each professional group to
28
29 patient care (Wilson et al, 2016). When teams communicate and collaborate effectively,
30
31 knowledge and information is shared, joint decision-making is enabled and team members
32
33 feel more confident and empowered to assume leadership for patient care issues appropriate
34
35 to their expertise (World Health Organization, 2010). There is convincing evidence that well-
36
37 functioning interprofessional teams have a positive impact on patient outcomes (Zwarenstein,
38
39 Goldman & Reeves, 2009). However, too often deeply entrenched cultures, power
40
41 differentials and the hierarchical nature of healthcare environments, can present barriers to
42
43 interprofessional collaborative practice. Thus, many healthcare graduates enter clinical
44
45 environments where the rhetoric of teamwork contrasts markedly with workplace realities
46
47 (Rice et al, 2010).
48
49
50
51
52

53
54 Barr et al. (2005) suggests that opportunities for health professional students to learn together
55
56 will lead to them being better prepared for working within interprofessional teams, ultimately
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 leading to improved patient care. IPE occurs when learners from two or more professions
4
5 learn about, from and with each other to enable effective collaboration and improved health
6
7 outcomes (Centre for the Advancement of Interprofessional Education, 2002). However,
8
9 contemporary teaching and learning approaches in higher education do not always facilitate
10
11 the development of healthcare students' communication, collaboration and teamwork skills,
12
13 and formal education and assessment in these areas is often neglected (Leonard, Graham &
14
15 Bonacum, 2011). Additionally, when educational opportunities are offered, they tend to
16
17 focus mainly on communication with patients and much less attention is given to
18
19 communicating with other health professionals. As a result graduates and their employers
20
21 often report that they are not well equipped to communicate and contribute effectively as
22
23 members of healthcare teams (Wilson et al, 2016).
24
25
26

27 The IPE agenda emerged more than thirty years ago but its prominence came to the fore
28
29 following multiple international patient safety reports detailing adverse patient outcomes
30
31 resulting from poor interprofessional communication and collaborative practice.
32
33 Consequently, these concerns led to changing policy directions in regards to IPE (Carey
34
35 Madill & Manogue, 2010). For example, in Canada, there is a clear policy direction
36
37 supporting the incorporation of IPE into health professional education with the Health
38
39 Council of Canada recommending that each university health sciences program offer an IPE
40
41 subject (Bandali et al., 2010). Similarly, the Institute of Medicine (2010) in the United States
42
43 advocated that healthcare professionals should be educated to deliver person-centred care as
44
45 members of interprofessional teams. In the United Kingdom (UK) outrage at the findings of
46
47 the Bristol Royal Infirmary inquiry, which attributed a significant proportion of clinical errors
48
49 to poor interprofessional teamwork (Department of Health, 2002), led to IPE becoming a
50
51 mandatory inclusion in preregistration training in health and social care programs
52
53 (Department of Health & Quality Assurance Agency, 2006). In Australia, the importance of
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 IPE has been emphasised in reports such as Towards a National Primary Health Care
4 Strategy (Department of Health and Ageing, 2008) and Garling's Special Commission of
5 Inquiry into Acute Care Services in NSW Public Hospitals (2008). Both reports
6 recommended that university education should be undertaken in a manner that supports
7 interprofessional teamwork and collaboration. However, these recommendations have not yet
8 translated into the implementation of systematic and sustainable IPE initiatives in all
9 healthcare programs. It is evident that, despite the progress that has been made, strategies to
10 overcome the barriers to IPE and examples of having done so are still needed.

11
12 In the following section of this paper, seven diverse case studies of innovative but practical
13 IPE activities are profiled. These examples have been drawn from five countries and illustrate
14 the use of different IPE modalities, in different contexts and with different student cohorts.
15 Each case study is supported with evaluation data that attest to the effectiveness of the IPE
16 experience presented.

17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 **1. Interprofessional Education for Quality Use of Medicines (Australia)**

36 In Australia, opportunities for healthcare students to engage in IPE experience are often
37 limited by the constraints imposed by timetabling and large student cohorts. To overcome
38 these issues academics from the University of Newcastle designed a set of e-learning IPE
39 modules to enable nursing, medical and pharmacy students to learn *from* and *about* each
40 other's roles in the medication team, even when they do not have the opportunity to learn
41 *with* each other (www.ipeforqum.com.au). Evidence suggests that online IPE experiences can
42 contribute to an improved understanding of professional roles and responsibilities,
43 enhancement of students' attitudes towards each other, and improved interprofessional
44 communication and teamwork skills (McKee, Goodridge, Remillard, & D'Eon, 2010)

1
2
3 We targeted medication safety in the IPE modules because: (a) prescribing, dispensing and
4
5 administering medications are interdependent processes that require collaboration between
6
7 all members of the medication team (Madegowda, Hill, & Anderson, 2007); (b) safe
8
9 medication practices are a focus of the global strategy to improve patient safety (Sears, Ross-
10
11 White, & Godfrey, 2012); and (c) the prevalence of adverse patient outcomes associated
12
13 with medication errors remains unacceptably high with the World Health Organization
14
15 (2012) estimating that more than 50% of all medications are prescribed, dispensed or
16
17 administered inappropriately.
18
19

20
21 Each of the five e-learning modules includes a video recording of a patient journey that is
22
23 based on an authentic representation of an actual clinical situation. A number are re-
24
25 enactments or adaptations of publically available critical incident reports or coronial inquests.
26
27 They present patients and clinicians of different genders, age and ethnic backgrounds. The
28
29 IPE modules have been flexibly designed so that educators can select the most appropriate
30
31 resource to align with the particular learning objectives of their subject. Although these IPE
32
33 modules provide an ideal platform for students from two or more professions to learn
34
35 together, they are also effective when used for teaching single disciplines as they illustrate the
36
37 roles and contributions of all members of the medication team. The modules can be used
38
39 online for self-directed learning or as stimulus materials for lectures or tutorials. A facilitator
40
41 guide is provided to support educators in their integration of IPE into their teaching.
42
43
44

45
46 Qualitative feedback about the modules has been consistently positive. Students appreciated
47
48 that real patient scenarios were presented in the modules and that the e-learning modules
49
50 were easy to navigate:
51

52
53 *I liked that it was based on an actual case, proving that these things really do happen and*
54
55 *that clear and concise IP communication (including documentation) is essential to patient*
56
57 *care.*
58
59
60

1
2
3 *As a visual learner being able to see a roleplay of how to communicate effectively with*
4 *patients and other staff makes a big difference to how I plan to be a future RN.*

5
6
7 *Vanessa's story humanised the problem of poor teamwork, communication and medication*
8 *errors.*
9

10
11
12 Findings from a quasi-experimental study also attest to the effectiveness of the IPE modules. Three
13 hundred and twenty nursing, pharmacy and medical students were allocated to either an experimental
14 (n= 155) or control group (n= 165). Participants in the experimental group who completed the
15 modules demonstrated significantly higher intentions to practice in a way that enhances collaborative
16 practice and medication safety than those in the control group who did not have access to the modules
17 (p < 0.001) (Lapkin, Levett-Jones & Gilligan, 2015).
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

27 **2. Learning with other healthcare students in population practice (United States)**

28
29 Many IPE initiatives focus on co-learning in the classroom; however, the School of Nursing
30 at Oregon Health and Science University developed the Interprofessional Care Access
31 Network (I-CAN), an authentic interprofessional clinical experience. Students are allocated
32 to a neighbourhood with vulnerable and underserved populations and a high prevalence of
33 poverty and/or complex health needs. There are three neighbourhoods where students serve:
34 (1) an inner city neighbourhood with many people who are homeless and live in single room
35 occupancy (SRO) hotels; (2) a rural community, served by a large Spanish-speaking clinic;
36 and (3) an urban neighbourhood with large numbers of recent immigrants and refugees,
37 primarily Bhutanese, Congolese, and Syrian.
38
39

40
41
42 Throughout the term, nursing students carry a caseload of 2-4 clients who require care
43 coordination services, and are referred by partner agencies within these neighbourhoods.
44
45 Nursing students work side-by-side with medical, dental and/or pharmacy students visiting
46 clients in their homes or a common meeting place. Typical examples of these services include
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 a pharmacy and nursing student working together to provide education and support to a
4
5 homeless client with mental health issues who has difficulty obtaining and using prescribed
6
7 medications; and nursing, dental and medical students addressing issues associated with poor
8
9 nutrition and dentition in neighbourhoods where unstable housing and food scarcity are
10
11 common (Wros, Mathews, Voss & Bookman et al., 2015).
12

13
14 Students are supported by nursing faculty-in-residence (FIRs) who provide continuity for
15
16 clients and the project as a whole (Wros et al., 2015). The FIRs also facilitate ongoing
17
18 population health projects in which nursing students participate and serve as resources in the
19
20 neighbourhoods with interprofessional teams. Feedback about the I-CAN IPE experiences
21
22 has been consistently positive, for example:
23

24
25 *I really enjoyed working amongst teams of nursing, dental, physician assistant and medical*
26
27 *students to share ideas, learn from each other, and develop plans to best serve the needs of the*
28
29 *clients [pharmacy student].*
30

31
32 *Over the 10 week I-CAN program it was rewarding to see how clients were able to accomplish*
33
34 *or make strides towards many of their healthcare goals with the help of their I-CAN team*
35
36 *[physician assistant student].*
37

38
39 *We had the opportunity to work with medical students to provide care to patients in the*
40
41 *community. This collaborative education gave us practice working as a member of an*
42
43 *interprofessional team. Our group came up with the analogy of a football team; the most*
44
45 *effective offense is one where each teammate knows each other's role. Likewise, in order to*
46
47 *provide best patient care, physicians, nurses, and other members of the healthcare team should*
48
49 *have an understanding of each other's roles and responsibilities [nursing student].*
50

51
52 *By working with students from different professional backgrounds we are able to apply our*
53
54 *own knowledge towards a common goal and also learn from each other [pharmacy student].*
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Like many IPE initiatives the major challenge of the I-CAN project was scheduling and
4
5 provision of appropriate opportunities for students to learn together in the same place at the
6
7 same time (Gordon, Lasater, Brunett & Dieckmann et al., 2015).
8
9

10 11 **3. Interprofessional education in a ward setting (Sweden)** 12

13
14 Students from Lund University participate in mandatory-ward based IPE activities during the
15
16 latter part of their educational programs, with learning outcomes focusing on teamwork,
17
18 professional collaboration and preparation for future professional roles. Previous experience
19
20 indicated that opportunities for students to learn about interprofessional collaboration and
21
22 cooperation varied considerably between clinical settings. Therefore, this ward-based
23
24 educational activity was specifically designed to facilitate quality IPE experiences for all
25
26 students.
27
28

29
30 IPE teams consisting of a nursing, medical and physiotherapy student (see Figure 1)
31
32 undertake eight-day clinical placements. During this time students are encouraged to learn
33
34 with, from and about each other to develop knowledge and skills beyond their own
35
36 professional role. Supervisors are on hand at all times; nursing supervisors work day and
37
38 night shifts seven days a week, and the medical and physiotherapy supervisors work
39
40 weekdays.
41
42

43
44 The IPE activity takes place in a 14-bed hospital ward that specialises in the care of older
45
46 people with conditions such as heart failure, pneumonia, and diabetes. Patients and their
47
48 families are informed on admission that undergraduate students will be caring for them
49
50 during their hospital stay and their verbal consent is obtained. Each IPE team has the
51
52 responsibility for providing care for 3-6 patients. Provision of routine personal care, for
53
54 example, provides opportunities for the students to gain to gain a deeper understanding of
55
56 each other's roles, responsibilities, knowledge and skills.
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 This IPE activity has been running, in its current form, since 2013 and the ward has been
4 hosting approximately 60 students per semester. Although patient feedback is not routinely
5 sought, several patients specifically ask to return to the student ward on re-admission.
6
7 Following this IPE experience students meet with their supervisor and a lecturer from the
8 university to reflect on issues associated with their own profession and the transition between
9 their role as students and their professional roles. They are also required to submit a written
10 reflection about their IPE experiences focusing specifically on team collaboration, their role
11 in the professional team, what they learned and how they will make use of their IPE
12 experiences in their future practice. The reflections are graded on both content and quality.
13
14 Students evaluate the IPE experiences using a 10-item questionnaire that uses a 6-point scale.
15
16 Feedback has been highly positive with regards to the three domains of teamwork,
17 communication and supervision, with the exception of physiotherapy students who do not
18 always feel that the IPE experience allows them to *develop their professional competence*
19 (see Figure 1).
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35

36 **4. An IPE activity to enhance understanding of compassionate care, ethical practice,** 37 **team work and professional roles (United Kingdom)**

38
39 At Keele University first year nursing, midwifery, medicine, physiotherapy, pharmacy and
40 biomedical science students participate in an IPE initiative designed to enhance
41 understanding of different health care roles, and the importance of collaboration and team
42 work. Situating the IPE initiative in first year aims to address students' pre-conceived
43 stereotypes about the roles of other team members (Derbyshire & Machin, 2010; Lewitt,
44 Ehrenborg, Scheja & Brauner, 2010; Mandy, Milton & Mandy, 2004). This approach also
45 helps to develop a sense of professional identity, empathy towards other members of the
46 team, and understanding of the importance of effective teamwork and communication
47 (Anderson & Lennox 2009; Baker, Egan-Lee, Martimianakis & Reeves, 2011).
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Students attend an introductory plenary session which outlines the structure, concepts and
4 content of the IPE activity. They then divide into smaller interprofessional groups of no more
5 than 15 students to discuss the concepts of compassionate care, ethical practice, team work
6 and professional healthcare roles. The stimuli for this activity are cases from the Mid
7 Staffordshire NHS Foundation Trust Public Enquiry into patient neglect, safety and death
8 (Francis, 2013). To support the discussion and to help facilitate students' understanding of
9 relevant concepts, the 6Cs (care, compassion, competence, communication, courage and
10 commitment) (Cummings, 2013) and ethical principles of care (Beauchamp & Childress,
11 2009) are provided electronically as pre-reading. This activity takes place over two
12 afternoons with online discussions in between. At the end of this IPE activity each group
13 develops of a poster depicting key issues associated with their case. The poster is then
14 presented by the group to other students, academic staff and service users.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

30 The interprofessional nature of the learning experience facilitated interesting discussions
31 about the content and professional training requirements of the different health care
32 disciplines. Students (n=562) welcomed the opportunity to learn alongside healthcare
33 students who they would not otherwise interact with during their courses. Feedback from
34 staff was also positive; they valued the unique opportunity to work with colleagues from
35 other schools and the sharing of ideas about learning and teaching practices.
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43

44 Students expressed a marked increase in their understanding of how poor team work,
45 ineffective communication and lack of compassionate care resulted in the poor outcomes
46 described in the Francis Report (2003). The IPE experience dispelled many preconceived
47 ideas that students had previously held and they had an enhanced appreciation of the roles of
48 other healthcare professionals. Biomedical science and pharmacy students shared insightful
49 comments acknowledging that, although they would not be at the forefront of care, they
50 nevertheless made a valuable contribution to the work of the team. They also recognised the
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 relevance of the 6Cs and ethical principles to their roles, that patient dignity and respect were
4
5 integral to managing prescriptions and samples, and that effective communication was
6
7 essential to prevention of errors. Following the IPE activity students expressed a
8
9 determination to apply their learning to their future practice, particularly in regards to
10
11 effective communication, teamwork, respecting other healthcare professionals, and ensuring
12
13 that patients and family members remain at the centre of care provision.
14
15

16
17 This IPE activity has been conducted for two consecutive years. Enabling first year
18
19 healthcare students to analyse actual cases from the Francis Report together has proven to be
20
21 a powerful influence on their educational and professional development. Feedback from
22
23 students who completed the activity in previous years has demonstrated how their learning
24
25 from this activity has influenced their clinical placements with patient safety and
26
27 compassionate remaining top priorities.
28
29

30 31 **5. Building connection between researchers from different disciplines (Australia)**

32
33 When students study in silos they can gain a limited appreciation of the diverse research
34
35 agendas, approaches and world views of different disciplines. However, exposure to
36
37 different philosophies, ontologies, epistemologies and methods can open up new and
38
39 illuminative ways of thinking about social phenomenon, global issues, and disciplinary
40
41 perspectives. Learners can also gain an understanding of the critical thinking skills that tend
42
43 to be emphasised and developed in different research traditions (Tesch, 1990).
44
45

46
47 In this example of IPE the aim was to enhance communication, collegiality and
48
49 interdisciplinary understandings between research students. The POEM (Philosophy,
50
51 Ontology, Epistemology and Methods activity) was a creative way to facilitate conversations
52
53 between students about their similarities and differences. It has been used at Central
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Queensland University as a critical thinking activity with nursing, education and creative
4
5 writing research students participating as part of a research training activity.
6
7

8 The rationale for, and background to this activity was explained to students and they were
9
10 given two examples of POEMS previously constructed by a social worker and an
11
12 occupational therapist (McAllister et al., 2012). Pairs of students from the same discipline
13
14 were asked to reflect on their research approach and philosophy and develop a POEM that
15
16 represents their world views. The POEMS were then shared with the entire group and
17
18 interdisciplinary similarities and differences were discussed. The ensuing discussions were
19
20 illuminative and engaging.
21
22

23
24 Students began to recognise the diversity of approaches used in different disciplines, and that
25
26 research questions and designs are shaped by underpinning knowledge traditions, and
27
28 methods of data collection and analysis. Students said that the activity expanded their
29
30 understanding of methodologies and how different approaches emerged from a discipline's
31
32 particular interest and world view. For example, ethnography emerged from the sociology
33
34 and anthropology disciplines to take a broad and unobtrusive examination of culture; but is
35
36 now being utilised by many other disciplines such as nursing and creative writing students.
37
38

39
40 Students stated that this IPE activity assisted them to match their research question with an
41
42 appropriate methodology. For example, one student discussed a practice-led research project
43
44 where a non-fiction writer was researching the use of changing voice in her creative works.
45
46 She was able to better articulate and link the methods (that is, the practice of writing in a
47
48 different voice) and the ontological stance (shifting standpoints helped to evoke the sense of a
49
50 new character who held a different view of the world); with the philosophy and set of
51
52 knowledges underpinning the discipline of creative writing. Another student discussed the
53
54 historical inquiry approach taken to tell the story of a group of First World War nurses. She
55
56 argued that historical research has its own conventions and traditions (philosophy), and
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 requires researchers to be impartial but also curious (ontology), take a rigorous approach to
4 discerning fact from here-say to identify truths (epistemology), but that the retelling of
5 history is always partial, incomplete and dependant on the researcher's interpretation of
6 events (methods).
7
8
9

10
11 Evaluation results (n=17) demonstrated that following the IPE POEM activity students felt
12 they had an enhanced understanding of the research process (71%) and confidence in
13 themselves as researchers (76%). Importantly, they also reported that a key highlight of the
14 activity was the opportunities to communicate with and learn from students from other
15 disciplines.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24 25 **6. IPE patient safety workshops (Singapore)** 26

27
28 In recognition of the relationship between patient safety and effective interprofessional
29 communication and collaboration (World Health Organization, 2011), the Yong Loo Lin
30 School of Medicine at the National University of Singapore, implemented a one-day IPE
31 patient-safety workshop focusing on the six International Patient Safety Goals identified by
32 the Joint Commission (2011). These included: correct patient identification, effective
33 communication, medication safety (high-alert medications), correct patient, site, and
34 procedure for surgery, reduced healthcare associated infections, and reduced falls. By
35 focusing on these issues as an interprofessional group it was hoped that together the students
36 would identify teamwork strategies that could influence their future professional practice.
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

47
48 Each workshop was facilitated by trained academic staff and practitioners from different
49 disciplines. In order to encourage interactivity a variety of teaching and learning strategies
50 were employed, for example, brief lectures, videos, root-cause analyses from real case
51 scenarios, role plays and posters. To date 554 medical, nursing and pharmacy students have
52 participated in the workshops. As with many IPE initiatives there have been challenges.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Foremost among these were the logistical and scheduling issues associated with coordinating
4
5 a large number of students from three different schools and the resource intensive nature of
6
7 the workshops.
8

9
10 Students' (n = 527, response rate 95%,) evaluations of the workshops have been positive and
11
12 an average of 86% of the participants found the six IPE sessions to be 'good' or 'very good'.
13
14 Suggestions for improvement included the use of teamwork games and refined in-house
15
16 videos.
17

20 21 **7. An IPE activity to achieve integrated care (United Kingdom)** 22

23 The integration of health and social care is at the heart of health policy in England
24
25 (Department of Health 2014). It involves care that it is individualised and person-centred
26
27 (National Voices 2013) with effective communication and coordination between members of
28
29 the interprofessional team. Importantly, integrated care aims to ensure that the level of
30
31 control over the planning of care is determined by the patient or service user and that systems
32
33 are in place to support the individual and avoid a crisis. When implemented effectively
34
35 integrated care helps reduce confusion, repetition, duplication and delays (Department of
36
37 Health, 2014)
38
39

40
41 Workforce preparation for integrated care requires the bridging of gaps within and between
42
43 health and social care services through the promotion of positive attitudes that overcome
44
45 boundaries between professions and organisations. The core competencies of integrated care
46
47 include interprofessional working, understanding whole systems networking, patient-centred
48
49 care, approaches to shared decision-making and care pathways (Shaw, Rosen & Rumbold,
50
51 2011).
52
53

54
55 Bournemouth University provides IPE focused on integrated care for all undergraduate
56
57 cohorts from nursing, occupational therapy, paramedic science, midwifery, physiotherapy
58
59
60

1
2
3 and operating department practice. Approximately two hundred students attend each IPE
4
5 integration event during which they collaboratively examine case examples such as a ‘missed
6
7 communication’ that impacted negatively on the patient and their family. They then identify
8
9 strategies to alleviate or prevent this type of error occurring in the future with the support of
10
11 expert practitioners. They then present their emergent ideas to the wider group.
12

13
14 Students work in small and large groups that form, reform, splinter and enlarge on an
15
16 ongoing basis so they work with a range of disciplines on a number of occasions over the
17
18 course of the event. This inter-working and cross-disciplinary engagement enhances patient
19
20 safety by providing opportunities for students work together towards a patient-centred
21
22 outcome (Ndoro, 2014, World Health Organization, 2010 and Frenk et al., 2010).
23
24

25
26 Evaluation of the integrated care IPE activity has been consistently positive with examples of
27
28 students’ comments including:
29

30
31 *I have benefited from attending this course... excellent*
32

33
34 *It will change the way I practice*
35

36
37 *We were all actively involved, which was really good*
38

39
40 The integration event is just one of a series of IPE activities that occur throughout the three
41
42 year undergraduate programmes at Bournemouth University. Others look at issues such as
43
44 safeguarding, dementia and learning disabilities. The IPE events run either as stand-alone
45
46 units of learning, that include information useful to all students irrespective of discipline, and
47
48 others that are linked with discipline-specific units of learning that other disciplines can
49
50 attend. The learning outcomes for the IPE events include an increased knowledge about the
51
52 subject itself, an increased capacity to work together, enhanced creativity and a broadening
53
54 understanding of each other’s roles.
55
56
57
58
59
60

Discussion and Conclusion

Internationally there is a requirement for universities to prepare healthcare graduates with the professional attributes and skills needed to work within increasingly complex and dynamic clinical environments. Their ability to communicate and collaborate with other team members is critical, both to patient safety and to work satisfaction (Zwarenstein et al., 2009). Graduates therefore require a sound understanding of the roles and responsibilities of other team members, which in turn helps to facilitate trust, mutual respect, and shared decision-making (Wilson et al, 2016). IPE is a key strategy for supporting the development of these skills (World Health Organization, 2011).

Although IPE has been identified as integral to the preparation of future health professionals there are many pragmatic constraints that can impede implementation. Despite the acknowledged challenges, this paper has demonstrated that integration of IPE is not only possible, but in many environments has already been successfully achieved through shared commitment and the use of creative educational approaches. The IPE activities profiled illustrate the impact of online and face-to-face teaching approaches, conducted in classrooms, clinical and community settings, for both undergraduate and postgraduate students, from across five countries. It is hoped that the seven examples provided will motivate educators to re-create, adapt and implement innovative and practical IPE activities within their own educational context.

Clinical Resources

Interprofessional Education for Quality Use of Medicines:

<http://www.ipeforqum.com.au/modules/>


This website provides five e-learning modules designed to help students learn about medication safety and prepare for interprofessional clinical practice.

References

- 1
2
3 Anderson, E.S., & Lennox, A. (2009). The Leicester Model of Interprofessional Education;
4 developing, delivering and learning from student voices for 10 years. *Journal of*
5 *Interprofessional Care*. 23(6), 557-573.
- 6
7 Baker, L., Egan-Lee, E., Martimianakis, M.A., & Reeves, S. (2011). Relationship and power;
8 implications for interprofessional education. *Journal of Interprofessional Care*. 25, 98-104.
- 9
10 Barr, H., 2005. *Effective interprofessional education : argument, assumption, and evidence*.
11 Blackwell Pub., Oxford, Malden, Mass.
- 12
13 Bandali, K., Niblett, B., Yeung, T. P. C., & Gamble, P. (2010). Beyond curriculum: embedding
14 interprofessional collaboration into academic culture. *Journal of Interprofessional Care*, 1-5.
- 15
16 Beauchamp, T. & Childress, J. (2009). *Principles of Biomedical Ethics*. Oxford, OUP.
- 17
18 Carey, J. A., Madill, A., & Manogue, M. (2010). Communications skills in dental education: a
19 systematic research review. *European Journal of Dental Education*, 14(2), 69-78.
- 20
21 Centre for the Advancement of Interprofessional Education [CAIPE]. (2002). *Defining IPE*. Accessed 26
22 August 2016 from: <http://www.caipe.org.uk/about-us/defining-ipe/>.
- 23
24 Cummings, J. (2013). *Developing a vision and strategy for nursing, midwifery and care givers*.
25 London: Department of Health.
- 26
27 D'Amour, D. & Oandasan, I. (2005). Interprofessionality and interprofessional education for
28 collaborative patient-centered practice: an evolving framework. *Journal of Interprofessional*
29 *Care Supplement*, 1, 8–20.
- 30
31 Department of Health (2002). *Learning from Bristol: The Department of Health's Response to the Report*
32 *of the Public Inquiry into children's heart surgery at the Bristol Royal Infirmary 1984-1995*.
33 Department of Health: London.
- 34
35 Department of Health and Ageing (2008). *Towards a national primary health care strategy*.
36 Canberra: Department of Health and Ageing.
- 37
38 Department of Health & Quality Assurance Agency (2006). *Department of Health Phase 2*
39 *benchmarking project - final report*. Gloucester: Department of Health, London and Quality
40 Assurance Agency for Higher Education.
- 41
42 Department of Health (2014). *Delivering better integrated care*. DoH, London. Accessed 26 August
43 2016 from: <https://www.gov.uk/guidance/enabling-integrated-care-in-the-nhs>
- 44
45 Derbyshire, J. & Machin, A. (2010). Learning to work collaboratively; nurses views of their pre-
46 registration interprofessional education and its impact on practice. *Nurse Education in Practice*.
47 11, 239-244.
- 48
49 Francis, R. (2013). *Report of the Mid Staffordshire NHS Foundation Trust Public Enquiry*. London:
50 HMSO.
- 51
52 Frenk, J., Chen, L. Bhutta, Z., Cohen, J. Crisp, N., Evans, T. & Zurayk, H. (2010). Health
53 Professionals for a new century: Transforming education to strengthen health systems in an
54 inter-dependent world. *The Lancet*, 376, 1923-1958.
- 55
56 Garling, P. (2008). *Final Report of the Special Commission of Inquiry into Acute Care Services in NSW*
57 *Public Hospitals*. Accessed 28 August 2016 from:
58 [http://www.lawlink.nsw.gov.au/lawlink/Special_Projects/ll_splprojects.nsf/vwFiles/E_Overview.p](http://www.lawlink.nsw.gov.au/lawlink/Special_Projects/ll_splprojects.nsf/vwFiles/E_Overview.pdf)
59 [df/\\$file/E_Overview.pdf](http://www.lawlink.nsw.gov.au/lawlink/Special_Projects/ll_splprojects.nsf/vwFiles/E_Overview.pdf)
- 60
61 Gordon, M. A., Lasater, K., Brunett, P., & Dieckmann, N. (2015). Interprofessional education:
62 Finding a place to start. *Nurse Educator*, 40(5), 249-253.
- 63
64 Joint Commission International Accreditation Standards for Hospital (2011) (5th Ed). Accessed 26
65 August 2016 from:
66 <https://docs.google.com/file/d/0B1XnOSMJXDqa1NBcFU1d0VKTzA/view?pref=2&pli=1>

- 1
2
3 Institute of Medicine. (2010). *The Future of Nursing: Focus on Education*. Washington, DC: National
4 Academy Press.
- 5 Lapkin, S., Levett-Jones, T., & Gilligan, C. (2015). Using the Theory of Planned Behaviour to
6 examine health professional students' behavioural intentions in relation to medication safety
7 and collaborative practice. *Nurse Education Today*, 35(8), 935-940.
- 8
9 Lapkin, S., Levett-Jones, T., & Gilligan, C. (2012). A cross-sectional survey examining the extent to
10 which interprofessional education is used to teach nursing, pharmacy and medical students in
11 Australian and New Zealand Universities. *Journal of Interprofessional Care*, 26(5), 390-396.
- 12 Leonard, M., Graham, S. & Bonacum D. (2004). The human factor: the critical importance of
13 effective teamwork and communication in providing safe care. *Quality and safety in health
14 care*, 13 Supplement 1:i85-90.
- 15
16 Levett-Jones, T. Oates, K. & MacDonald-Wicks, L. (2014). *The relationship between communication
17 and patient safety*. T Levett-Jones (ed). Critical conversations for patient safety: An essential
18 guide for health professionals. Sydney, Pearson.
- 19
20 Lewitt, M., Ehrenborg, E., Scheja, M. & Brauner, A. (2010). Stereotyping at the undergraduate level
21 revealed during interprofessional learning between future doctors and biomedical scientists.
22 *Journal of Interprofessional Care*. 25(1), 53-62.
- 23
24 Madegowda, B., Hill, P. & Anderson, M. (2007). Medication errors in a rural hospital. *Medical and
25 Surgical Nursing*, 16(3), 175-180.
- 26
27 Mandy, A., Milton, C., Mandy, P. (2004). Professional stereotyping and interprofessional education.
28 *Learning in Health and Social Care*. 3(3), 154-170.
- 29
30 McAllister, M., Statham, D., Oprescu, F., Schmidt, T., Boulter, C., Taylor, P., Barr, N. (2012). *Mental
31 health interprofessional education: Facilitator guide*. Maroochydore: University of the
32 Sunshine Coast.
- 33
34 McKee, N., Goodridge, D., Remillard, F., & D'Eon, M. (2010). Interprofessional palliative care
35 problem-based learning: Evaluation of a pilot module as a teaching and learning method.
36 *Journal of Interprofessional Care*, 24(2), 194-197.
- 37
38 National Voices. (2013). *A Narrative for Person-Centred Coordinated Care*. National Voices,
39 London. Accessed 26 August 2016 from: <http://www.nationalvoices.org.uk/>
- 40
41 Ngoro, S. (2014). Effective multi-disciplinary working: The key to high quality care. *British Journal
42 of Nursing*, 23, 724-727.
- 43
44 Reeves, S., Goldman, J., Burton, A., Sawatzky-Girling, B. (2010) Synthesis of systematic review
45 evidence of interprofessional education. *Journal of Allied Health*, 39(3):198-203.
- 46
47 Rice, K., Zwarenstein, M. & Conn, L. et al. (2010). An intervention to improve interprofessional
48 collaboration and communications: a comparative qualitative study. *Journal of
49 Interprofessional Care*, 24(4), 350-361
- 50
51 Sears, K., Ross-White, A., & Godfrey, C. (2012). The incidence, prevalence and contributing factors
52 associated with the occurrence of medication errors for children and adults in the community setting:
53 a systematic review *Joanna Briggs Institute Library of Systematic Reviews*, 10(35), 2350-2464.
- 54
55 Shaw, S., Rosen, R. & Rumbold, B. (2011). *What is Integrated Care: An overview of integrated care
56 in the NHS*. Nuffield Trust, London. Accessed 26 August 2016 from:
57 <http://www.nuffieldtrust.org.uk/our-work/integrated-care>
- 58
59 Stone, J. (2009). *Interprofessional collaborative practice - definitions and terminology: attempting to
60 speak the same language*. ACT, Australia: ACT Health.
- Teodorczuk, A., Khoo, T. K., Morrissey, S. & Rogers, G. (2016). Developing interprofessional
education: putting theory into practice. *The Clinical Teacher*, 13, 7-12.

- 1
2
3 Tesch, R. (1990). *Qualitative Research: Analysis Types and Software Tools*. London: Falmer Press.
4
5 Wilson, A., Palmer, L., Levett-Jones, T., Gilligan, C. & Outram, S. (2016). Interprofessional
6 collaborative practice for medication safety: Nursing, pharmacy and medical graduates'
7 experiences and perspectives. *Journal of Interprofessional Care*. 30(5), 649-654.
8 doi.org/10.1080/13561820.2016.1191450
9
10 World Health Organization (2010). *Framework for action on interprofessional education and*
11 *collaborative practice*. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO
12
13 World Health Organization. (2011). *Improving Medication Safety. WHO Patient Safety Curriculum*
14 *Guide: Multiprofessional Edition*. Geneva: WHO.
15
16 Wros, P., Mathews, L. R., Voss, H., & Bookman, N. (2015). An academic-practice model to improve
17 the health of underserved neighborhoods. *Family & Community Health*, 38(2), 195-203.
18
19 Zwarenstein, M., Goldman, J. & Reeves, S. (2009). Interprofessional collaboration: effects of practice-
20 based interventions on professional practice and health care outcomes (Review). *The Cochrane*
21 *Database of Systematic Reviews* Issue 3.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60



A: I developed a new perspective on my role in the team

B: I have developed my professional competence

C: I have developed my understanding of other professional competence

D: I have developed my understanding of the importance of team communication

E: I have developed my ability to communicate as a team member

F: The overall supervision was good

G: The specific professional supervision was good

H: I felt informed after the joint introduction

I: The team simulation task was considered meaningful

J: This placement was an essential element of my education so far

Figure 1: 2015 evaluation results from the ward-based IPE activity (n=58).