"Meaningful dissemination produces the “long tail” that engenders community impact"


Abstract

Lack of understanding of the needs of older LGBT individuals is a global issue and their needs are often ignored by health and social care providers who adopt sexuality-blind approaches within their provision. As a result public services can find it difficult to push the LGBT equalities agenda forward due resistance to change and underlying discrimination. This paper considers how a body of research concerning the needs and experiences of older LGBT people was used to create innovatory tools to engage communities in learning about the needs and experiences of older LGBT citizens.

The paper will consider how research outputs have been used to develop creative learning tools, including film and a method deck of cards, offering opportunities to learn and critically reflect upon practice built upon a research informed knowledge base. The workshops developed as part of our social impact dissemination strategy demonstrate the importance of having a champion within an organisation to take forward the LGBT agenda.

Introduction

The aim of this paper is to explore the ways in which a body of research concerning the needs and experiences of older LGBT people was used to create innovatory tools to engage communities in learning about the needs and experiences of older LGBT citizens. The needs of older LGBT people are often ignored by health and social care providers who adopt sexuality-blind approaches within their provision (Cronin, Ward, Pugh, King, & Price, 2011), resulting in their invisibility within care settings (Manthorpe & Moriarty, 2014; Willis, Maegusuku-Hewett, Raithby, & Miles 2014). The growing older LGBT population requires communities, alongside health and social care providers, to develop understanding of the unique needs of this
population (Moone, Cagle, Croghan, & Smith, 2014). Learning tools can be used to challenge prejudice and discrimination and are a central element of developing a culturally competent health and social care workforce.

As researchers engaged in participatory research alongside members of the older LGBT community, we felt a strong commitment to make a difference through the research and its dissemination. Our community co-researchers were highly motivated to change the status quo regarding recognition of the needs of older LGBT people, and this included a desire to challenge discrimination and prejudice from health and social care providers (Fenge & Fannin, 2009; Fenge, Fannin, Armstrong, Hicks, & Taylor, 2009; Jones, Fenge, Read & Cash 2013). We, therefore, felt that the research outputs should include innovatory tools which could be used to ‘to change minds, change attitudes, and help to build communities where tolerance and understanding are keys to connectivity in the future’ (Fenge, Jones & Read, 2010, p. 329).

The key outputs include: *RUFUS STONE* (Appignanesi & Jones, 2012), a short fictionalised film developed from the core *Biographic Narrative Interpretive Method* (BNIM) (Jones, 2001, 2004; Wengraf 2001) used within the *Gay and Pleasant Land? Project.* and a *Methods to Diversity* deck of cards developed from key themes arising from two major research projects. Both the *Gay and Pleasant Land? Project* (2006-2012) (funded by Research Councils UK as part of the National New Dynamics of Ageing projects), and the preceding *Gay and Grey Project* (2003-2006) (funded by the Big Lottery) were central. Creating social impact through a body of work has recently been identified by the Stern review of the *Research Excellence Framework* (REF) in the UK. This suggests that impact should be linked to bodies of work and collaborative activity to create outcomes that are ‘understood from a more nuanced and deeper perspective’ (Stern, 2016, p. 34). We are also mindful that learning occurs throughout the research and dissemination process and we, therefore, learn from all aspects of the journey (Allen, 2012).

The award-winning short film, *RUFUS STONE* (Appignanesi, & Jones, 2012), based entirely on research on older people in terms of isolation and connectivity, rurality and sexuality was made available free-to-view on line early in 2015. As of this
publication, more than 13,000 viewers in 150 countries have seen the film on the Internet. Compared to the usual channels for disseminating academic work, the film’s impact in sheer numbers and geographic reach is noteworthy. Using arts-led tools (Jones, 2014) to disseminate research insures that more than the few who read an article in an academic journal are exposed to the research. The medium itself opens doors to audiences that otherwise would never come across academic outputs.

The bidding process for the Gay and Pleasant Land? Project took three years; the research a further three; writing and producing the film another year (2006-2012). RUFUS STONE has been seen widely in community and academic settings nationally and internationally since, and it is used by many practitioners and service providers in their trainings, including Alzheimer’s UK nationally. This success demonstrates the impact possible through new methods of dissemination using social media and so forth that are now available to social scientists. Nonetheless, patience and perseverance remain the watchwords for meaningful, in-depth impact. Locating, then nurturing, community partnerships are key to the success of this kind of approach.

This paper considers how tools including film and a method deck of cards have been shared with community organisations, and how, in turn, these organisations have used the tools to develop awareness of the needs of older LGBT people within their organisations. This will be illustrated by reference to one particular case study, and considers the approach taken and the challenges encountered when raising the needs of older LGBT people within a wider equalities agenda in one particular local authority in the South of England.

**Underpinning research**

The underpinning research informing the development of the learning tools involved a series of innovative participatory interventions with older lesbians and gay men. As older LGBT populations are subject to discrimination and are ‘seldom heard’ in research or policy (Heaphy et al. 2004), it was important to adopt methodologies that would engage with their voices to promote inclusive knowledge development. The
impact of this work concerns both the use of novel methodologies to engage ‘seldom heard’ voices within the research process, and the development of learning tools which use research findings to change hearts and minds and as a result produce social impact.

The initial underpinning research, known as the Gay and Grey Project, was funded through a Big Lottery Grant, and used a novel, participative approach to explore the experiences and needs of older lesbian and gay people, supporting older volunteers to undertake and disseminate the research. This was a defining focus of the project and the Gay and Grey Project has since been acknowledged as developing an innovative methodology for LGBT research (Equality and Human Rights Commission, 2008). The participatory approach used has been acknowledged as offering the opportunity to inform future directions in social policy that are more person-centred and inclusive (Wallcraft, and Sweeney, 2011), providing insights which promote good practice with older LGBT people (Wallcraft and Sweeney, 2011). The Gay and Grey project was the first in the UK to amass a sizeable sample of older LGBT people (Price, 2009) and its methodology is acknowledged as offering an inclusive approach to sexual orientation research (Equality and Human Rights Commission, 2008).

The Gay & Grey Project (2003 to 2006) was foundational and provided a springboard to the Gay and Pleasant Land? Project (2006-2010), funded by Research Councils UK as part of the New Dynamics of Ageing Programme. The Project explored the biographies of older gay and lesbian adults with experience of living in rural Britain. Building on the themes of identity and “coming out” identified in the earlier Gay and Grey Project, the project aimed to empower older LGBT people through a collaborative multi-method participatory action research design which embraced the principles of a Performative Social Science in its dissemination plan. The emerging recollections, perceptions and storied biographies of older lesbians and gay men and their rural experiences formed the bulk of the data studied and the basis for story and characterisation in a short professionally made film, RUFUS STONE (Appignanesi & Jones, 2012).
In collaboration with older LGBT volunteers involved in both projects, further funding was gained to translate the findings into a learning tool. This took the form of a *Methods to Diversity* Method Deck of cards, which contained key learning activities to support communities and agencies to think about their policies and practice in relation to older LGBT people. To launch the use of *Methods to Diversity* cards alongside a screening of the film *RUFUS STONE*, we organised “Pathways to Impact: ageing, diversity, connectivity and community” an *ESRC Festival of Social Science* event in 2012 (Jones & Fenge, 2012). Building on this initial community engagement event we then offered a two day Masterclass and train the trainers event *Pathways to Impact: Part Deux!* (Jones, Fenge, & Cash, 2013). The purpose of this second gathering was to continue to spread the use of the film and Method Deck and collect stories of their use and effect. Participants were asked to elaborate on their experiences with the tools and give us feedback for an impact case study around concerns of older gay and lesbian citizens in their communities. Through these two efforts, the tools were disseminated to a range of more than 150 training managers in health and social care agencies in the UK for use in diversity training.

The social impact created as a result of these two events has been more recently followed up as part of an evaluation of the original community dissemination events. Funded by the *ESRC Festival of Social Science*, a ‘Train the trainers Part Deux!’ (2015) event was hosted which invited participants from the two previous events to share how they had used the film *RUFUS STONE* and the *Methods to Diversity* tools within their own settings, the challenges faced, and the impact of the learning on organisations and communities. All three events resulted in the collection of a massive amount of data for impact case studies.

**Facilitating social impact through research**

As previously discussed, creating social impact to promote inclusive health and social care practice for older LGBT citizens was a key aim of this body of work. Many older LGBT people have experienced a lifetime of discrimination which has left them fearful about coming out’. This has resulted in individuals being concerned about discrimination from health and social cares practitioners and agencies, alongside
difficulty in accessing culturally responsive services (Stein, Beckerman, & Sherman 2010; Fredriksen-Goldsen, Hoy-Ellis, Goldsen, Emlet, & Hooyman 2014).

LGBT equalities work within UK local authorities has tended to be marginalised compared to other areas of equalities practice such as gender, race and disability (Monro & Richardson, 2010). Local authorities can find it difficult to push the LGBT equalities agenda forward due resistance to change and underlying discrimination (McNulty, Richardson, & Monro, 2010). Difficulty in moving the LGBT agenda forward may be reinforced by a sexuality-blind approach within health and social practice where older people are treated as asexual (Cronin, Ward, Pugh, King, & Price, 2011). Other research suggests that residential and nursing home settings can represent hetero-sexualised environments in which LGBT identities and sexual biographies are ignored (Willis, Maegusuku-Hewett, Raithby, & Miles, 2014). Older LGBT individuals therefore face double invisibility due to their age and their sexuality (Blando, 2001). Similar findings have been found in day centre settings where older LGBT people have been described as being invisible (Manthorpe & Moriarty, 2014).

Lack of preparedness and understanding of the needs of older LGBT individuals is a global issue, and studies in the US describe a lack of training and awareness of older LGBT citizens’ needs in most care service providers (Knochel et al. 2012). Against this backdrop, however, there appears to be interest in receiving cultural competency training to promote understanding of older LGBT needs from many service providers (Knochel, Croghan, Moone, & Quam 2012). The development of ‘age competent and gay affirmative practice’ should focus on and further develop the strengths and resiliency of older LGBT adults (Crisp, Wayland, & Gordon 2008, p. 6). This requires opportunities to learn and critically reflect upon practice informed by a research informed knowledge base (Richards, Sullivan, Tanner, Beech, Milne, Ray, et al. 2014). The use of participatory workshops to share and discuss research findings with non-academic users has been used to facilitate social impact from research to create sustainable responses (Priego-Hernandez, 2014). Using film as a part of a learning process has been shown to successfully raise awareness of LGBT issues whilst supporting community engagement (Gichuru, Sariola, Van der Elst, Mugo, Micheni, Graham, et al. 2014). The workshops developed as part of our social impact dissemination strategy demonstrate the importance of having a champion
within an organisation to take forward the LGBT agenda (McNulty, Richardson, & Monro, 2010). The following case study describes how one such champion used the tools within an organisation to facilitate learning about older LGBT citizens needs to promote inclusive practice.

**Case Study**

The champions in this case study are Hampshire County County’s Strategic Equality and Inclusion Manager, Camilla Gibson and Registered Manager, Paul Hazzard, who attended the Bournemouth University Masterclass in 2013 and felt “really inspired… the energy that came from the masterclass gave us the courage to follow through with this”. Gibson and Hazzard developed bespoke workshops that builds on an independent survey into staff’s attitudes towards Sexuality and Sexual Orientation in their local authority. The response rate to this survey was low (300 completed from a possible 4000 Adult Services staff, the survey was carried out in 2007) and this raised concerns for Camilla about lack of responsiveness to inappropriate care and potential homophobic behaviour amongst staff members themselves.

Gibson and Hazzard used the tools from the masterclass to deliver workshops to staff within the local authority which last two and a half hours focusing on service improvement for older LGBT people within the local community. Examples of training events include: a joint conference for practitioners including Police, Fire and Rescue service (n=90); community learning events open to general public which showed *RUFUS STONE*; workshops within the residential care home sector; and workshops for operational managers (n=60) including a showing of *RUFUS STONE* and learning based on the *Methods to Diversity*. During these sessions the managers apparently got excited about using the cards within a group work setting. They found it a refreshing opportunity to think beyond the confines of budgets to embrace an opportunity to explore creative responses to older LGBT needs and many commented that the workshop had made them recommit with their values. Those attending were asked to go away from the workshop and change one practical thing in their own working environment.
Camilla: We wanted them to recognise that you need to think about relationships. We’ve added a relationship part to our assessment documents, not just with brother and sister, but also partners. Our social care practice manual has a section about relationships for LGBT people this section we’ve developed together with people from LGBT communities. Our staff network group that we have is working hard to spread knowledge within the LGBT and the wider community. We’ve got the leader of the council understanding the agenda and pushing the agenda. The chair of the staff network, was a key driver to us seeing the first Hampshire Pride to some extend the masterclass has propelled it on as well.

There’s loads more to do, this is just one of the many things that I try to fit in to my time, and if I’m honest, I’m kind of being pushed to worry about all these other things, but I’m like a dog with a bone. I’m holding on to this because I know! I have seen what can happen when we’ve shown the film and used the cards. One older person at a community event said, after seeing RUFUS STONE, “That's my story….I've just got back together with my teenage sweetheart”. Another guy came up and said, “This is miraculous! This is exactly my story!” and he said, “Thank you for this, putting on this event because I thought I was the only gay in all of Winchester!” I replied. “I can re-assure you, you are not the only gay in Winchester. It is so wonderful when you can see that someone who realise that they are no longer alone with their story. There’s just so much work still to be done in the area.

One of the things that came out when I showed the film, particularly when I showed it to younger diverse team, was that some of my colleagues said that they identified with the story not in terms of LGBT but in terms of black and ethnic perspective. It’s kind of what it feels like we can all see it but no one wants to do anything with the issues as well.
I think the method cards are absolutely amazing and I’ve really been impressed with those and how you can use them with people who have practiced for like 30 years and still get something from them and you got someone who’s just newly qualified and they can both could use the method cards and both can contribute in the same discussion.

There has never been a time I’ve used it (the cards) and people go off and talk about other things. They talk about the cards and that’s why they are so great - some time you give people group work and case studies they often talk about all sorts of other things! Every time I’ve done it, they talk about the cards and this is really positive. I’ve never really experienced this with other materials we have used. What is useful as well is that because of the way they are written, the one around sexuality for example, there is inevitably someone who may think it’s a private matter and someone else who thinks differently but there’s room for both of them to aired and explored and this often sparks a good conversation and discussion and room - for both of those views to be aired and to be explored. They are obviously done in a way where people feel it’s ok to say what they really think and then you start challenging each other on their views, and the packs leave this quite open for discussion.

There’s one around structural stuff that I like to use, care setting ones as well have been really useful. I guess, I pick the ones that are more emotional in a way, I am really trying to get people to imagine being in someone else’s position for example an older gay person and these various scenarios to make it more personal, particularly working with professionals whom appear to be very comfortable with keeping it professional, which seems a stupid thing to say, but it’s like I’m just trying to make it more emotional and try to get people to view it as if they themselves are going through bereavement for example, and especially issues where you can’t even be at someone’s funeral, can you imagine what happens to someone’s identity and so on. So I’m trying to get them
Lee-Ann: When we designed the cards we were mindful to try to include creative activities. That's Kip’s influences here as you can imagine - we were mindful that we wanted there to be a range of different ways of thinking and activities people could engage in, so there are some that are discussion discursive thinking in groups but there are some that may enable people to develop a visual pictorial type of exploration of experience or a short script I think there’s even one where we encouraged people to film their own films. Have any of you that have used these used some of those more creative techniques or have you used it more as a discussion.

Camilla: Unfortunately no - I haven’t used it in that way and that’s only because of the practicalities of time and having to shoehorn it in amongst other things, so unfortunately no I haven’t. I would like to but maybe that’s something we could do within for example, within my own team, we could do it there. There I’ve got some flexibility to do it.

Lee-Ann: So going back to your use of the cards what have been the types of responses how have you felt those cards have made an impact on the participants?

Camilla: I think as I said before the fact people completely passionately get involved with the discussions, they stick with a subject and people have also then either immediately after or weeks later or whenever they see me say that was really good because it made me really think about my values again and all that kind of stuff and so that I think is what they do well.

Male Participant: I was very impressed you went out and did some focus work with Residential Nursing Homes, just intrigued what sort of reaction
you got from providers was there a general acknowledgement that they already had gay and lesbian communities or residents?

Camilla: Yes, I would say the homes seem to be more accepting. Whether is that by the nature of it being a residential home, you see more of the whole picture. With the agency I don’t know, with that provider we would have a contract and within that it would explicitly say what values need to be demonstrated, and that’s all very well but it’s just paper sitting over here. It is all around that it gets lived breathed and challenged everyday and that we have it as part of our contract monitoring, do we ask the right questions?

Kip: Talking about the film, it was interesting to me particularly when you used the films as an introduction to workshops or with the cards. Did you find that was the way that you mostly did it?

Camilla: Yeah, I did always show the film when we did the one that was up in the Discovery Centre as part of LGBT history month, where it was kind of anybody welcome, that was just a film and then a bit of a discussion and reactions afterwards, so then it was just the film. It was a fabulous evening in terms of where it ended, on a high! The film is emotional and I've seen it 20 times and I still get goose bumps, it still gets me because it's so powerful. We also did a bit of a road trip around main office sites of Hampshire, which is massive geographical area to cover so we went to Basingstoke, Totton and Havant. Mostly I've done it as two and a half hours workshop, as a bit of a presentations setting the context then seeing the film, break - reactions and then into the cards and asking what are you going to do?

Kip: Thank you, Camilla, your story is amazing! When we set out to see this in action in this wonderful way, it’s really a wonderful success as far as I'm concerned. When we held the Premiere of the film, a member of the audience who had been interviewed for the research came up to me and said, “Thank you! Thank you for making this film about my life!” I had
to say to him that actually this isn’t just a story about his life, this was a story about many people’s lives. This is the beauty of it, it was never one person’s story. This is why I think so many people can relate to it: it is about *anyone* in this situation. That is one reason it has been a really big success. It has a universality to it – that’s real.

The other thing for me was that I worried about how it would be received by younger viewers, being a “historical’ drama. I was reassured when it went to the Rhode Island Film Festival competition and the film won the two Youth Jury prizes. We later took it Bournemouth’s Lighthouse for a screening with *Space Youth Group*, which supports and empowers Dorset’s youth who are or may be Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender or anything in between. These teenagers really just got the concept and message of the film right away and really identified with it; that made me really happy that we have managed to be able to relate to people of all ages, not just those that were older. Some people have seen the film said, “Well, that might be what happened in that generation, however, things are different today”. At the same time, people are also saying that they can relate to this even though it was set quite some time ago. Some however question the suicide in the film and assume it is a message only for an older generation. I say to them, “Well, why is there an international programme right now running on teenage suicide prevention called, ‘It gets better’?”

Bearing in mind my job title is Strategic Equality and Inclusion Manager, (obviously my team understand this), but I still had people come up to me and say, “I don’t really get why I need to worry about this”. I mean, you’d think that a manager in an older people’s team would be on board with something like this.

Kip: Truthfully, the fact that you took on this effort with such enthusiasm and energy in the face of such obstacles reinforces our belief in the importance of getting well-developed research out into the community via innovative methods and enlisting really committed community members
and service providers like you. In the end, it takes the commitment of people like you to make it happen!

**Conclusions**
This case study provides but one example of the possibilities of impact and community reach of well-constructed research projects that include in-depth output elements and dissemination plans. The fact that the research in this report began more than a decade ago attests to the principle that research that is meaningful is never really “finished”, and that dissemination is more than simply a few academic journal articles. In this project, “community”, in the guise of both Advisory Members and Community Service Providers, was key to providing feedback, momentum and expanding the audiences for our efforts.

Additionally, key to the longevity and the reach of these projects was the availability of additional small pots of funding from both the Research Councils and our University in order to carry out the work necessary to continue efforts of both connectivity and outreach. A small internal grant alone recently provided an administrator for a month’s work who was able to transcribe the material for this article as well as a massive amount of feedback data received from RUFUS STONE audience members over five years (which will form the foundation of a separate article).

If we are really serious about “impact”, we must remember that, in the case of dissemination of research at least, impact is not always a moment in the sun—an explosion of a scientific “breakthrough” on the public scene—then yesterday’s news. Good research has a “long tail”— (in statistics “a large number of occurrences far from the ‘head’ or central part of the distribution”). In order to achieve this, first, it takes tenacity on the part of the research team, or an ability to be a bit blinkered about its work and willingness to stick to the team’s goals and commitment over the long term. Secondly, it takes allies, and these are often community members, service providers, and so forth, who are energised by the work and take up the mantle. Finally, to create real impact, it takes resources available to carry out the work—not necessarily of the size or scope of the original research funding, but just as important
to success. On two occasions alone, modest funding from the ESRC Festival of Social Science was able to provide support for gatherings of community members and service providers that catapulted the film and Method Deck reported here into use in a vastly wider community.
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