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Abstract

Purpose – In recent years, there has been a rapid decline in the quality of working life (QWL) of Nigerian workers at all levels. This phenomenon is cryptic and knowledge thereof is inadequate due to a dearth of compelling research on QWL in Nigeria. This article seeks a deeper understanding of QWL among Nigerian workers by investigating the impact of corruption and regional crises on QWL in this non-western context. The study also examines what QWL means to Nigerian employees.

Methodology – The study employs qualitative data gleaned from semi-structured interviews.

Findings – The research reveals that corruption has a strongly negative effect on employees’ QWL, which in turn affects their motivation, attitude towards their job, and the psychological contract between them and their employers. Furthermore, the findings revealed that regional crises (such as the heinous activities of the Boko Haram sect in the north-east, the continuing agitation of the secessionists (e.g. the Indigenous People of Biafra [IPOB]), in the south-east, and the tumultuous activities of the Niger Delta Avengers (NDA) in the south-south, have combined to reduce employees’ QWL.

Research limitations/implications – The extent to which the findings of this research can be generalised is constrained by the selected sample of the research (public sector employees).

Originality/value – These results and the practical implications thereof will be useful to the Nigerian government, policymakers and organisations for creating and enhancing good QWL in Nigeria.
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Introduction

Work occupies a major part of daily life and our thoughts. It determines who we are and how we want to connect with our world. For many people, the presence or indeed absence of work represents the connection that links us to the outside world (Martel and Dupuis, 2006). Ideally, work provides satisfaction (Clark and Farmer, 1998) and gives a sense of purpose and accomplishment to employees (Gambles et al., 2006; Guest, 2001). Thus, an enabling environment wherein employees’ quality of working life (QWL) will be enhanced remains essential (Askari et al., 2018). The environment should be serene and devoid of environmental, political, and economical crises. Research on QWL has a long tradition, vestiges of which are rooted in classic job satisfaction and employee motivation research (see Blauner, 1964; Hertzberg, 1966; Maslow, 1943; Mayo and Warner, 1931). The intervening years were characterised with a broad, sometimes contradictory, literature identifying the variables affecting QWL.

However, the need for and the potential value of good QWL cannot be underestimated. Enhanced QWL has been associated with a wide range of benefits, such as improved business performance, greater creativity, enhanced employee commitment, low employee turnover, low absenteeism, and so on. This is perhaps why employees have been identified as the most important resource in an organisation (Gabčanová, 2011). QWL is predicated on a set of objectives, organisational conditions, practices, and employees’ perceptions that they are safe at work and that they will be able to grow and develop as human beings (Teryima, 2016). The administrative system and the work and social environments of an organisation also play a vital role in determining employees’ QWL (Cunningham and Eberle, 1990). Furthermore, QWL also considers employees’ professional wellbeing and the degree to which employees’ work experience is rewarding, fulfilling, and devoid of negative personal consequences (Shamir and
Salomon, 1985). The quality of work deservedly matters as much as the quantity thereof. Therefore, all work should be fair and decent, with realistic opportunities for development and fulfilment (Taylor, 2017).

Over the years, there has been a plethora of studies undertaken on employees’ QWL, mostly in western countries (Fields and Thacker, 1992; Martel and Dupuis, 2006; Sirgy et al., 2008). Many studies have also been done in developing countries such as China (Shen et al, 2014), Hong Kong (Ng and Chiu (1997), South Africa (der Berg and Martins, 2013), Taiwan (Lai et al., 2012), and Nigeria (Ogungbamila and Idemudia, 2016; Tongo, 2015). Moreover, in developing countries, fulfilling one’s obligations to one’s families is often priority in QWL, and when a job provides such opportunities, then it is often deemed a high-quality job (Kiggundu, 1982). However, Africa, specifically Nigeria, remains an under-researched context. This study assumes that the organisational parameters, the economy, employees’ needs, and the cultural and institutional frameworks of organisations in many developed nations are not the same as in Nigeria. Therefore, Nigeria-focused study, which this article seeks to contribute, is needed.

Since the return of democracy in 1999, Nigeria has continued to seek economic growth and prosperity. According to the Nigerian High Commission UK (2017), Nigeria has undergone a major economic transformation and is now one of the fastest growing economies in Africa. The questions, however, remain: Does Nigeria have the enabling environment to succeed? Does its workforce have the required QWL to excel? This article takes a two-pronged approach to these questions.

Firstly, unethical practices and corruption are some of the greatest challenges to African society and its leaders, threatening to undermine economic growth, democratic stability and sustenance, and general development efforts (Gbadamosi, 2006). The issues of good governance and transparency are critical in all societies, but more so in poor countries seeking to break the cycle of poverty and lack of development (Gbadamosi, 2006).
is because corruption restricts investments and economic growth and undermines poverty alleviation, which are critical for Nigeria – and Africa at large. Corruption remains perhaps one of the greatest threats to the development of nations and it is perhaps therefore unsurprising that countries that have successfully controlled it are among the most developed. The relationship between QWL and a well-run society with a minimal level of corruption is therefore palpable.

Secondly, national and regional conflicts tend to hinder economic development and QWL. This is evident in countries such as Iraq, Afghanistan, Syria, Libya, the Democratic Republic of Congo, and South Sudan (among others) given the evidence of the devastation of war and sectional crises. In the last decade, Nigeria has been in the news for many national, regional, and religious crises (Alao and Iyanda, 2017; Okpaga et al., 2012). Most of these crises have been debilitating for development; for example, the Boko Haram conflicts in the north-east, the militia groups in the south-south, the separationist agitations predominantly in the south-east and most recently the migrant herdsmen killings largely in the north and sporadically in the south as well.

The combination of corruption and internal strife hinders cohesion and development among the citizenry and debilitates QWL of workers. The root cause of the recent decline in employee morale and commercial growth, the economic recession, the high rate of inflation, and the high unemployment rate (which is causing many organisations to reduce the sizes of their workforces) have primarily been attributed to pervasive regional crises and interspersed corruption (Fapohunda, 2013; Lawal et al., 2017; Onuba, 2017; Riti et al., 2017). Regional crises and corruption are endemic in Nigeria and they continue to threaten the existence of Nigeria as a nation (Lawal et al., 2017).

However, research on the impact of corruption and regional crises on the QWL of the Nigerian workers is limited. When investigating a broad societal challenge such as corruption and regional crises as indicators of QWL, the connection in terms of how
organisations are adversely affected is palpable. This paper thus positions the impact of QWL broadly on the economy and on employee performance within organisations. This article, therefore, seeks to bridge this gap by focusing on the impact of corruption and regional crises on the QWL of Nigerian workers. In this regard, this article and the empirical evidence on which it is based make two contributions. Firstly, it positions regional crises and corruption as key elements that destroy employees’ QWL. Secondly, it contributes to the literature on QWL in a non-western context, specifically Nigeria. In so doing, this article provides an opportunity to enhance understanding of employees’ QWL in Nigeria. In pursuing these objectives, the article draws on the experiences of employees in the public sector across Nigeria. It is therefore hoped that this study will benefit researchers, organisations, policymakers, and the Nigerian employees in their efforts to study, comprehend, and achieve good QWL. The article is structured as follows: Firstly, QWL will be contextualised, followed by a description of the theoretical basis for the research. Subsequently, the methodology is outlined and the research findings and their implications are discussed. Finally, conclusions are drawn.

**Contextualising QWL**

The first major research on the concept of QWL was undertaken in Europe in the early 1960s (Martel and Dupuis, 2006). During this time, the Swedish government and policymakers supported employment policies focusing on employees’ working conditions and wellbeing (Cherns and Davis, 1975; Davis and Cherns, 1975). The US followed them in the late 1960s when, for the first time, an employee of General Motors used the term ‘QWL’. This paved way for US workers to be actively involved in the decision-making of their working conditions (Goode, 1989). QWL received major global attention following the International Conference on QWL in New York in 1972, which led to the creation of the International Council for QWL in 1973 (Martel and Dupuis, 2006). Since this time, QWL has been a subject of empirical investigation, more so in
western countries. Nevertheless, a general acceptable definition of QWL remains elusive; for example, what constitutes QWL among employees and employers? (Loscocco and Roschelle, 1991; Vinopal, 2012). This, on the one hand, may be attributed to employee differences in terms of job satisfaction and individual working environments (Blackford, 2016). On the other hand, the lack of a generally acceptable definition of QWL may be attributed to the fact that many studies have focused on specific aspects of QWL rather than on the concept, thus rendering their definition of the concept not universally acceptable (Danish and Usman, 2010; Igbaria and Greenhaus, 2007; Koyuneu et al., 2006; Lazear, 2000; Morrison, 2004; Sperlich et al., 2012; Vandenberghe and Bentein, 2009; Wagner and Harter, 2006). For instance, according to Mirvis and Lawler (1984), QWL involves the characteristics of the work itself and the work environment, which have an enormous influence on employees’ work lives, welfare, and wellbeing. For Lau et al. (2001), QWL is a constructive working condition in which employees receive adequate support, desired job satisfaction, rewards, job security, and career growth from their employer. Serey (2006) posited that QWL is achieved when employees can use their talents in: (a) their decision-making capability and initiative to succeed in difficult situations; (b) engaging in beneficial activities; (c) sufficient role clarity, which will enhance their goal achievement; and (d) genuinely having a sense of belonging and pride in their work. It is essential to note that QWL not only considers employee benefits but also employee commitment to the organisation and the environment in which that commitment can flourish (Walton, 1975). The need to clearly understand the concept of QWL is vital so that organisations can assess and enhance employee QWL (Blackford, 2016). However, the factors relating to the concept are complex and multi-dimensional (Blackford, 2016).

Lewis and Cooper (1999) noted the implications of the rising number of dual-income households on employees’ QWL. This phenomenon requires employees to take care
both at home and work, bringing QWL under scrutiny (Offerman and Gowing, 1990). In contrast to the archaic notion of ‘a good company’, which basically means an organisation with a good financial footing, QWL has been included *inter alia* in the contemporary indicator of ‘a good company’ (Rose et al., 2006). Over 20 years ago, Loscocco and Roschelle (1991) argued that the most common assessments of QWL are the employees’ attitudes. They argued that employees’ attitudes determine their QWL. This may be because the manners in which individual employees approach their job affect their personal happiness and productivity (Rose et al., 2006). Many studies have also highlighted the influence of age on attitudes to work (Loscocco and Roschelle, 1991; Rhodes, 1983). Most of the studies found that older employees are more satisfied with, more involved in, and more committed to their work than younger workers. In other words, age has a compelling influence on the employees’ QWL. An older employee with familial responsibilities may have a different attitude to work compared to their younger counterparts, who have less/no familial responsibilities. Role expectations between the work and family domains often result in conflict (Netemeyer et al., 1996) and always affect employees’ mental health, wellbeing, and QWL (Higgins et al., 1992). This may be why many researchers have argued that a satisfactory work-family life is a positive indicator of good QWL (Rapoport and Rapoport, 1980; Shaffer, 1987).

In sum, the evolutionary development of QWL as a concept has been varied. The majority of QWL research focuses on job satisfaction rather than employee experience as a determinant of QWL (Bowling et al., 2010; Green and Heywood, 2008; Lopes et al., 2014), while others have focused on specific places of work or industries (Bitmis and Ergeneli, 2011; Campion et al., 1993; Efraty and Sirgy, 1990; Medina et al., 2005). These fragments the picture of QWL and makes the concept difficult to decipher (Blackford, 2016). Furthermore, the lack of appreciable studies undertaken in Africa, specifically Nigeria, leaves the continent an understudied area/region of enquiry. The plethora of
studies undertaken in western countries does not represent QWL in Nigeria. This is due to the differences between the two contexts in terms of employees’ needs, satisfaction, and human resource management (HRM) approaches.

QWL in Nigeria

Despite that QWL has been identified as one of the compelling indicators of a successful organisation in terms of attracting and retaining the best employees (CIPD, 2015), organisations (both private and public) in Nigeria do not seem to have fully recognised these lofty benefits. This is because it has become normal in Nigeria for employees (in both private and public organisations) to engage in industrial actions lasting for months due to poor QWL (Okenet, 2007; Okpaga et al., 2012; Owoye, 1994). A similar situation has also been reported in other African countries (Chinomona et al., 2013; Chinomona and Dhurup, 2014). In a recent study, Tongo (2015) found that QWL encourages the Nigerian workers in the private sector to positively contribute to society. The public sector, however, is characterised by corrupt practices (Ijewereme, 2015). The Economic and Financial Crime Commission (EFCC, 2014) emphasised the lack of organisational commitment among public sector workers, which the commission claimed has a negative effect on Nigeria’s economic development. Support for this assertion can be drawn from several studies (Ajibade and Ayinla, 2014; Bambale et al., 2012; Faloye, 2014; Okurame, 2009). It is, however, unclear whether organisational commitment has any correlation with QWL.

Although the amount of research undertaken on QWL in Nigeria is limited, researchers have made progress. For example, Fapohunda (2013) investigated the perceptions and experiences of QWL in Nigeria and found discrepancies in terms of the indicators of QWL among male and female employees. Anyaoku (2016) researched QWL of a segment of Nigerian employees (librarians) and reported that they have good QWL in
some respects, but also bad QWL in other aspects, such as remuneration, training and development, and job security. Using a private sector sample in Nigeria, Tongo (2015) found that QWL correlates significantly and positively with a worker’s motivation to contribute to society. However, the workers considered in their study showed more lethargy to contribute to the government sector that is known globally for its corruption, and more vigour to make contributions to the social infrastructural sectors. Similarly, Ogungbamila and Idemudia (2016) found in Nigeria that male police personnel had better perceptions of their QWL than their female counterparts, thus revealing that gender considerations are important in police personnel’s QWL. Others have researched QWL among segments of Nigerian workers in specific locations of the country (Awosusi, 2010; Mamedu, 2016; Sev et al., 2016). Nevertheless, relative to those undertaken in western countries, much less empirical studies have been reported in Nigeria and do not reflect or represent the true state of the impact of corruption and regional crises on the QWL of Nigerian workers. An empirical study of this nature is thus essential.

**Regional Crises and Corruption in Nigeria**

The proliferation of regional crises in Nigeria has been described (Alao and Iyanda, 2107; Okpaga, Ugwu and Eme, 2012) as the root cause of insecurity in Nigeria and this has had a direct impact on Nigerian workers. Crises in Nigeria can be attributed to many different factors such as psychological, socio-psychological (the group dynamic), and sociological (Bassey and Asuni, 2008). Regional crises in Nigeria include the heinous activities of Boko Haram sect in the north-east (Grill and Selander, 2014), the agitation of the secessionist movement in the south-east as exemplified in two prominent bodies: The Indigenous People of Biafra (IPOB), and the Movement for the Actualization of the Sovereign State of Biafra (MASSOB), both associated with Igbo nationalism, supporting the recreation of an independent state of Biafra. The tactics of
the former are more confrontational and disruptive than the latter (Adeyemi, 2017), and the abhorrent activities of the Niger Delta Avengers (NDA) in the south-south (Owolabi, 2017). Additionally, crises in Nigeria have also erupted due to communal clashes over land, intra-clan disputes, and vicious conflicts over succession to traditional thrones (Otite and Albert, 1999). It is, therefore, logical to attribute all these crises to the sheer ineptness of the various institutions in the Nigerian heterogeneous state to ensure a peaceful co-existence of the regions (Suberu, 1999). However, it is essential to note that these crises have had a detrimental effect on Nigerian social formation and possibly on the QWL of Nigerian workers, especially those working in the regions known for such unrest.

Corruption, on the other hand, is prevalent in Nigeria and has been described as Nigeria’s biggest challenge (Uzochukwu, 2017). A Transparency International Corruption Perceptions Index annual publication reported Nigeria as ranking 136th out of 175 nations in terms of corruption in 2016, and 138th out of 180 in 2017. The corruption ranking of Nigeria averaged 119 from 1996 until 2016, reaching an all-time high of 152 in 2005 and a record low of 52 in 1997 (Transparency International, 2017). Although there is no universally acceptable definition of corruption, the United Nations Global Programme against Corruption (GPAC) (2001) described it as ‘abuse of power for private gain’. Similarly, the World Bank and Transparency International (WBTI) (2017) described corruption as the abuse of entrusted power for private gain of the holder of the office or some third party. There is corruption everywhere in Nigeria (Magu, 2016), in the public and private sector, large and small organisations (ActionAid Nigeria, 2015; Forgues-Puccio, 2013). Defining corruption is not a primary concern of this article; nevertheless, the views of Osoba (1996) are instructive and have therefore been adopted for our purpose: ‘corruption is a form of antisocial behaviour by an individual or social group which confers unjust or fraudulent benefits on its
perpetrators, is inconsistent with the established legal norms and prevailing moral ethos of the land, and is likely to subvert or diminish the capacity of the legitimate authorities to provide fully for the material and spiritual well-being of all members of society in a just and equitable manner’ (Osoba, 1996, p. 372).

Corruption threatens fair business practices, the democratic process, good governance, and sustainable development. Furthermore, corruption derails administrative goals, results in the displacement of organisational goals, and has drastically reduced the image of Nigeria in the international community (Smith, 2008). This study thus seeks to investigate the impact of this issue on QWL of Nigerian workers.

Theoretical Background

This study is underpinned by fairness theory and self-theory. Fairness theory has been used in management to explain the relationship between employers and employees, specifically to explain employee reactions to the actions of organisations (Azar and Darvishi, 2011; Brockner et al., 2007). Fairness theory is concerned with moral righteousness (Cropanzano and Rupp, 2003; Folger and Cropanzano, 2001) and integrates the components of justice with the global theory of fairness (Folger and Cropanzano, 2001). Fairness theory suggests that when employees are in certain working conditions, they tend to make cognitive comparisons in terms of ‘what is’ and ‘what might have been’, which is known as ‘counterfactual thoughts’ (Elovainio et al., 2005; Nicklin et al., 2011; Colquitt and Zipay, 2015). The situation is further contextualised by employees’ experiences, thoughts, and sense of reasoning, which differ from one employee to another (Azar and Darvishi, 2011). Basically, employees react to and make judgements in terms of ‘what should’, ‘what could’, and ‘what would’ be (Collie et al., 2002; Colquitt and Zipay, 2015; Cropanzano et al., 2004; Duffy et al., 2006). It is essential to understand that cognition and emotion influence employees’
perceptions of justice (Barsky and Kaplan, 2007; Folger and Cropanzano, 2001). Furthermore, ‘counterfactual thoughts’ are also relevant to accountability and influence employees’ perceptions of injustice (Ganegoda and Folger, 2015). Consequently, these perceptions have an impact on employees’ attitudes and behaviours (Lind, 2001; Tyler and Blader, 2003).

Self-theory, on the other hand, is based on the premise that human beings have a fundamental need to maintain or enhance the phenomenal self (Snyder and Williams, 1982). Generally, self-theory deals with a complex, organised, and dynamic system of beliefs, attitudes, and opinions which employees hold to be true about QWL. The theory is concerned with the different ways in which employees perceive ‘the self’ and make sense of their ‘self-concept and self-esteem’. The rationale behind the use of this theory is to explain that employees’ attitudes to work is predicated on the integration of the dispositional and situational descriptions of work, upon which the principle of QWL is based. This article thus seeks to discover how corruption and regional crises could enhance or adversely affect employees’ abilities to achieve QWL. It is assumed that they have an impact on the employees’ sense of judgement and self. It is important to note that the word ‘self’ in the context of this article means the entire personality or individuality of an employee.

**Methodology**

There are not many studies on QWL in Nigeria. Perhaps the challenge deciding an appropriate research methodology is one of the reasons. Thus, as this study is inductive in nature, an in-depth qualitative research approach has been adopted (following Cassell and Symon, 1994; Mason, 2002; Tenzer et al., 2014). The crucial rationales behind the use of a qualitative approach are that (a) it sets out to gain an understanding of how participants make sense of and decipher certain situations, (b) it exploits the human potential to analyse and interpret and provides accurate, comprehensive, and
descriptive foundations; and (c) it can provide rich insights into issues of great importance which will benefit both management practitioners and researchers (Bryman and Bell, 2011; Cassell, 2009).

Furthermore, many studies undertaken on QWL in Nigeria are categorised according to the state or region in which the studies were undertaken (Awosusi, 2010; Fapohunda, 2013; Mamedu, 2016). Hence, an empirical study that cuts across all the political zones in Nigeria is essential. This study was conducted in the public sector (government ministries and local governments) in Nigeria. This is because public sector employees are likely to have access to good QWL (Ford and Collinson, 2011), and have mostly been associated with unethical corrupt practices (Gbadamosi and Joubert, 2005; Gbadamosi et al, 2007). Moreover, private sector employees have been previously investigated in Nigeria (Tongo, 2015).

The interviews were guided by a semi-structured format with the aim of exploring the biographical components of employees’ QWL and how regional crises and corruption have impacted QWL. The study is based on data from interviews with 103 employees across the six geo-political zones of Nigeria. While efforts were made to obtain a representative sample spread, however, the restriction imposed by the voluntary nature of participation meant much of the sample selection was a mix of purposive and snowballing sampling. This was done to capture the employees’ views and experiences about the subject matter and to achieve a fair representation of the Nigerian workers. The data collection exercise for this study took place between May and September 2017. The interviews lasted between 45 and 60 minutes and were conducted at the respondents’ places of work. Interviews were conducted in the English language and were recorded and transcribed. Twenty-one respondents declined permission to record their voices during the interview and meticulous notes were taken instead. In this case, a research assistant was hired to also take notes. The two sets of notes were compared at
the end of the interview process to ensure that nothing had been omitted. The respondents were provided with a brief overview of the study and their anonymity was assured. They were also informed of their right to end their participation at any stage during the interview process. Following the principle of induction (Tenzer et al., 2014), the interviews began with open-ended questions. This allowed the respondents to explain their subjective views and experiences on the issue. The respondents were from government ministries and local governments, and they occupy managerial and non-managerial positions in the public sector, which make them appropriate respondents for this study.

Transcripts were analysed through close reading and re-reading, resulting in the emergence of related themes which were repeatedly highlighted by the respondents. For the sake of clarity, a narrative summary of each interview was prepared. Subsequently, open coding (identifying key points first) was applied (Boeije, 2005). At this stage, the researchers critically examined the words and phrases used by the respondents in the interviews and ensured that they were interpreted correctly (Corbin and Strauss, 2008). The codes were then grouped into categories and marked with different colours. Thereafter, the categories were further fine-tuned until a representative overview was achieved. Investigator triangulation was applied (Polit and Beck, 2004) and periodic briefings were undertaken by the researchers to ensure reliability.

The demographic profile of the respondents is presented in Table 1. There were 51 females and 52 males, and the respondents’ ages ranged from 34 to 55 years old. Respondents were all married and they have a minimum of 5 years and a maximum of 15 years of work experience.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Respondents</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Local Ministries</th>
<th>Years in Service</th>
<th>Marital Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1
Findings

The research findings are organised around the three major focuses of the study. Firstly, the study attempts to discover what QWL means to the respondents. Secondly, the relationship between corruption and QWL is presented. Finally, the relationship between regional crises and QWL is highlighted.

The meaning of QWL to the Respondents

It is important, in this study, to investigate what QWL means to the respondents, as this constitutes a major objective of this article. The respondents were, therefore, asked this question, and the data analysis identified a variety of components which constitute good QWL for the surveyed Nigerian employees. This is summarised in Table 2, which also presents the five key themes emerging from the analysis, along with an example of the illustrative extracts for each theme from the respondents.

Table 2 Emerging Themes with Illustrative Extracts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overarching Research Question</th>
<th>Emerging Themes</th>
<th>Illustrative Extracts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>What constitutes excellent QWL for you?</td>
<td>Receiving salary on time</td>
<td>‘Getting salary on time is number one for me and I think it will be for all of my colleagues as well. It is 27 September and we are yet to receive our May salary. Sometimes, we are six months in arrears. Therefore, getting my salary when due is the first step in achieving an excellent QWL’.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theme</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job security</td>
<td>‘For me, it is job security. People are losing their jobs on a daily basis. Four of my colleagues were made redundant last month. We now live in an era when you have a job in the morning and by the lunchtime you are jobless. That happens a lot now in Nigeria’.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safe working environment</td>
<td>‘A safe working environment is number one for me. In this part of Nigeria, the murderous activities of Boko Haram terrify us (workers) and have even made some people emigrate elsewhere. We are always afraid that they are coming for our lives. A few months ago, they went to a local government council burnt it and killed about seven workers’.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial rewards and benefits</td>
<td>‘I think financial rewards and benefits in terms of paying them appropriately and paying them on time is most important in QWL. Such monies don’t exist here at the moment’.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexible work arrangements</td>
<td>‘Flexible working arrangements will make my life a lot easier and add quality to both aspects of it (I mean work and nonwork). At the moment, it is a bit rough and hectic’.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From the accounts of respondents, the critical issues for QWL are the five themes summarised in Table 2. Nevertheless, the priority levels of the above five components of QWL differ among the respondents. For example, the respondents from north-east Nigeria mostly prioritised a safe working environment over other components, while workers from other parts of the country classified being paid on-time and job security as the most important components of QWL. The themes were, however, prevalent across all areas of the country.

**Corruption and QWL**
Generally, the employees’ experiences in terms of the impact of corruption on their QWL were negative. An overwhelming majority of the respondents acceded that corruption is primarily responsible for the poor QWL currently experienced by Nigerian workers. The respondents commented on the ruthless embezzlement of funds by some senior government officials and how this impacted employees’ QWL. One respondent commented:

‘I think that employees’ QWL would be excellent if our system could be rid of corruption. This vitiate has pervaded every aspect of public organisations and has a huge impact on employees’ QWL. Can you imagine that money, meant to provide us with adequate security, never reached us? The council chairman was sacked for making incessant enquiries about it’ (Respondent Q).

Another respondent agreed more succinctly:

‘Every level of government is laced with corruption and this is what I mean. Monies that are meant for employees’ welfare never got to them. Some people working for the government often embezzled the money. It has a negative effect on workers’ QWL and standard of living’ (Respondent M).

Another respondent cited an example of such embezzlement:

‘I have never received any benefits. I am in my sixth year in this employment. Recently, we had another Head of Department who disbursed some packages to us last Christmas. To our surprise, this was not a new practice and it had been going on for more than ten years. We never received such packages and nobody mentioned them to us. The same thing for the training and development fund which is budgeted and allocated every year but never gets to us’ (Respondent U).

Other respondents commented:

‘For example, we have not received our April 2017 salary and this is September. The federal government said it has released the money to the state government, but we (the workers) have not received it. Last year, it was revealed how governors and local council chairmen kept workers’ salaries in their accounts for months in order to generate bank interest. It’s terrible, isn’t it?’ (Respondent Y).

‘My annual leave and sick leave entitlements for last year were not paid. I was told that the money had been released by the government but got missing somewhere in the hierarchy. All my efforts to get it failed. A senior manager advised me not to talk
about it again, lest I might lose my job. He said that his advice was unofficial but candid’ (Respondent H).

The data shows that the majority of the respondents felt that this is not fair to the workers and attributed the unfair treatment to the negative attitudes of some government workers towards their jobs. One respondent commented:

‘Government workers (specifically those who work for state and local governments) are not fairly treated in terms of receiving salaries on time and getting financial rewards and other benefits. For example, I have not received my salary for five months. I think it’s not fair and I think that is why most of the workers are not dedicated’ (Respondent W).

Another respondent, who is a manager in her department said:

‘Workers attitudes toward their jobs have been bad. The reason for that is primarily because of unpaid salaries. For example, in my department, only eight people came to work today. Five called in sick and two did not even bother to leave messages why they were absent. Do you blame them? They have not received their April 2017 salaries and this is September. Would such a practice motivate them? I don’t think so. They are not motivated’ (Respondent B).

The respondents also commented on nepotism as another form of corruption. The promotion of junior and less qualified employees over more senior and experienced ones based on their relationships with top politicians or senior members of the organisation. This affects their QWL. One respondent shared her experience:

‘I am sorry I cannot mention names (she pleaded). We are fourteen in my department and I was the most senior in terms of years in service and experience. Two senior positions were created on the authority of the chairman of the council…to my surprise; the positions were given to two junior colleagues. One is a family member of the chairman’s wife and the other shares membership of a particular social group with the executive secretary of the council. This is despite that the two of them only joined the council less than three years before the appointments were made. To me and indeed to all of us, it was the zenith of the corruption that affects our working lives in terms of our psyche, motivation, attitude towards our jobs, and our relationship with the newly installed boss and management’ (Respondent F).
As evidenced, the impact of corruption on the employees’ QWL is particularly serious and has a negative effect on their living standards. This finding also suggests that employees’ attitudes to work in terms of their commitment are adversely affected.

**Regional Crises and QWL**

The findings with respect to the regional crises suggest that the QWL of employees working in some regions, especially north-east Nigeria, has been adversely affected due to the raging Boko Haram crisis there. The Boko Haram is a terrorist group that established itself as one of the relatively few *jihadi* movements to succeed in the capture, control, and governance of territory in Africa (Kassim, 2018), as evidenced by their previous proclamation and hoisted flags in some parts of north-east Nigeria. Starting from 2009 when they made their first proclamation, they terrorised people in the region until the last two years, when some semblance of Nigerian governmental control seems to be in place. Boko Haram is believed to have strong links with the notorious Al-Qaeda (Gaffey, 2017; Kassim, 2018). At the time of this research, some local government councils and government parastatals in this region are still practically deserted. The activities of this terrorist organisation are not only a source of considerable tension in this region but have also had a huge impact on employees’ QWL. The following quotations typify the respondents’ shared experiences:

‘…In this part of Nigeria, the murderous activities of Boko Haram terrify us (workers) and have even made some people migrate elsewhere. We are always afraid that they are coming for our lives. A few months ago, they went to a local government council (I am sorry I cannot mention names), burnt it, and killed about seven workers’ (Respondent P).

Another respondent shared her experience:

‘We were in that office (she pointed at a dilapidated building) in 2014 and we heard a bang, a bomb had just been detonated. Two of my colleagues lost their lives and I
and a few others got injured. Since then, I cannot get the incident out of my head and it affects me a lot’ (Respondent M).

A senior member of the organisation commented:

‘This crisis has hugely affected the workers. They work in fear that their workplace could be attacked and they could lose their lives. In some areas within this region, some workers have stopped going to work and those that still go to work are not consistent. It is a big problem’ (Respondent O).

While there are various crises in other parts of Nigeria, the Boko Haram crisis is particularly devastating and has caused huge damage to employee QWL. Furthermore, the respondents described their experiences with the IPOB’s unrest in south-east Nigeria and the Niger Delta Avengers (NDA)’s activities in the south-south. These activities instil fear in workers, interrupt their work activities, and sometimes make their workplaces unsafe for them. For example, one respondent described her experience:

‘A few weeks ago, the IPOB group gave orders that nobody should go to work in order for the federal government to take their agitation for secession seriously. On the other hand, a memo was circulated at work that whoever was absent without a good reason would lose their job. I went to work...the IPOB members stormed our office, harassed us, destroyed valuables, and forced us to go home...some of my colleagues were injured’ (Respondent I).

A respondent from the south-south region described how her colleague lost her life in one of the NDA’s attacks:

‘The NDA struck some months ago. They destroyed oil pipes and killed two people in the oil company downtown. We were unable to go to work for a few days because a stray bullet had hit and killed my colleague who was returning from their lunch break. These people make life difficult and the work environment fearful’ (Respondent X).

The respondents’ accounts portray an intricate, multifaceted picture of terror, disturbances, and distractions in the workplace, which undoubtedly has a huge impact on employees’ QWL.
Discussion

The research has investigated how corruption and regional crises affect QWL and how these issues might affect workers’ self-judgement, based on fairness theory and self-theory. The respondents’ understanding of QWL confirmed that they identify the various components of good QWL to include receiving their salary on time, job security, a safe working environment, financial rewards and benefits, and flexible working arrangements. The aforementioned findings also highlight the impact of corruption and regional crises on Nigerian employees’ QWL.

Nigerian workers suffer late and erratic payment of monthly salaries, sometimes up to six months in arrears. The respondents commented on the importance of job security for their QWL and despaired of the spate of job losses in the country. According to Gospel (2003) and Srivastava and Kanpur (2014), job security is one of the key elements of and an enhancer of QWL. The findings also reveal that a safe working environment remains a top priority for respondents, specifically workers in north-east, south-east, and south-south Nigeria. For example, the atrocious activities of the Boko Haram sect have made employees’ working lives in the area miserable and hazardous. This group has destroyed many lives and properties in north-east Nigeria (Skyes, 2017; Zenn, 2018). Respondents live in fear of and have experienced emotional trauma due to various attacks unleashed on them at work, home, or during their commute by Boko Haram. Employees, particularly those who live and work in this region desire other components of QWL but considered a safe working environment as the most important component of good QWL. The European Union Employment Strategy agrees that employee safety at work is one of the essential indicators of QWL (Royula et al., 2008). Financial rewards and benefits are also considered important and respondents complained that they do not receive them. This is perhaps the reason Armstrong and Taylor (2017) described financial rewards and benefits as a panacea for achieving good
QWL. Flexible working arrangements formed the last of the components of QWL. This reflects the findings of Canibano (2011) and the Future of Work Institute (2012), who found that flexible working arrangements often enhance the overall QWL of employees.

The empirical evidence provided in this study has revealed various corrupt practices which do not only affect workers’ QWL but also affect their psyche and motivation to work. This evidence is supportive of the findings of other studies (Uwak and Udofia, 2016; Ijewereme, 2015), which found that corruption is a recurrent issue in Nigeria and one that has affected and ruined almost all aspects of life in Nigeria. The findings of this study add that corruption has disadvantaged Nigerian workers and has negatively affected their QWL. Employees’ attitudes toward their job have been affected because they make cognitive comparisons and believe that they have been unfairly treated by ‘the system’ and authorities. This resonates with fairness theory, which suggests that when employees find themselves in certain working conditions, they tend to make cognitive comparisons in terms of ‘what is’ and ‘what might have been’, which is known as ‘counterfactual thoughts’ (Cropanzano and Rupp, 2003; Elovainio et al., 2005; Folger and Cropanzano, 2001; Nicklin et al., 2011). It is therefore appropriate to argue, in the context of fairness theory, that people perceive an outcome as unfair when it has a negative effect on their interests and especially when others and not themselves can be held accountable for the outcome (Nicklin and Williams, 2009), as is the case with the corruption and regional crises examined here.

In this paper, we have defined QWL through the prism of the respondents themselves and have focused exclusively on two activities (corruption and internal regional crises) which are considered significantly disruptive to good QWL. This study has identified QWL measures to include corruption and internal strife that disrupt a peaceful life, both having rarely been explored in the study of QWL. Martel and Dupuis (2006) argued that with the help of reliable tools, developed based on rigorous theoretical models, it
should be possible to better assess QWL and thus intervene more effectively. They also highlighted the ambiguity concerning our understanding of QWL and argued that the concepts selected in its measurement or understanding make it possible to develop an operational measurement strategy for QWL. The aforementioned findings therefore support this position, demonstrating the value of contextualising our investigation and specifying the value of knowledge derived from additional variables, such as those investigated here, providing additional support to fairness theory.

The main contribution of this study is to bring corruption and regional crises into the discussion of QWL. Both concepts have emerged as barriers to achieving good QWL for Nigerian workers. This study offers two main contributions to the field. The first contribution relates to an understanding of what QWL means to Nigerian workers and the second is derived from insight into the effects of corruption and regional crises on employees’ QWL. The findings revealed that top government officials can manipulate the pay system such that monies meant for employees’ welfare and security are diverted for personal gain. The pervasiveness of this crime is further aided by the archaic and ineffective criminal justice system in Nigeria (Amnesty International, 2008; Ogunode, 2015) which, despite the many cases of corruption, have seen only a few convictions recorded and those convicted faced relatively little or no prison time (EFCC, 2015). Furthermore, this research highlights inconsistencies in the payment of workers’ salaries. Many workers who participated in this study had not received their salary for three months or longer. This does not only affect their QWL, but also their morale and job motivation. Research evidence which is consistent with this reported finding has identified that QWL correlates significantly and positively with employees’ motivation and the ability to contribute positively to society through their jobs (Shankar, 2014; Tongo, 2015). In addition, this finding challenges the conventional wisdom of the Institute of Employment Research (IER), modern civil society, and breaches the
contractual agreement between employer and employee. Workers should receive their salaries/wages promptly when due (Taylor, 2017).

Furthermore, anecdotal reports show that many Nigerian workers (especially those in the public sector [state and local governments]) receive relatively low salaries. This phenomenon is further deteriorated by a backlog of unpaid salaries. For example, the Nigerian Union of Local Government Employees (NULGE) criticised the government for up to 16 months of unpaid salaries (Premium Times, 2017). Additionally, this study unearthed a multiplicity of corrupt practices including the embezzlement of employees’ financial benefits and practices of favouritism and nepotism. Workers have been deprived of financial rewards and benefits and appointments to positions have been made based on family and social group affiliations rather than on merit. These practices disadvantage employees and negatively affect their psyche, emotions, attitudes toward work, and relationships with management. Consequently, their QWL is negatively affected. This article indicates that regional crises upset employees’ wellbeing and safety. For example, Boko Haram has made working in north-east Nigeria dangerous. This group has killed and injured scores of workers. A safe working environment, in this region has become almost impossible (Obi and Eboreime, 2017; Zenn, 2018). Furthermore, the IPOB’s agitation and the NDA’s unrest have distressed employees, planted fear in them, and often make the working environment unsafe. These phenomena depict a picture of terror in the working environment which undoubtedly has a strong negative impact on employees’ QWL. The notion of QWL may go beyond an individual employee; however, it is essential that the employees’ components of QWL are contextualised in workplace policies and practices. This will allow employers the capacity to improve employees’ QWL. QWL of Nigerian workers (especially those working in the state and local governments) is dire. Achieving good QWL is restricted by various corrupt practices and abhorrent regional crises. However, based on the
results obtained by this study, it is safe to infer that employees may continue to struggle to achieve good QWL if the situation does not change.

Implications

The findings reveal that corruption affects QWL and explicitly affect employees’ motivation and their attitudes toward work. For example, the respondents decried the promotion of junior and less qualified employees over the more senior and experienced ones. This practice is based on familial connections and social/political affiliations and has a strongly negative effect on employees’ morale and performance. This is antithetical to the moral rectitude and justice required by fairness theory (Cropanzano, 2001; Cropanzano and Rupp, 2003; Folger and Cropanzano, 2001). Organisations must ensure that promotion is based on competency, experience, and merit. Additionally, a system to ensure that employees receive adequate salaries, financial rewards, and benefits (on time) should be put in place in order to enhance employees’ QWL. This is because working without salary affects the employees’ beliefs, attitudes, and opinions about QWL. Furthermore, it affects employees’ fundamental need to maintain or enhance themselves as propounded by self-theory (Snyder and Williams, 1982).

In addition, regional crises have claimed many employees’ lives and have caused fear in the minds of others. An unsafe working environment is detrimental to employees’ QWL. It is therefore logical to argue that all the abovementioned issues are clear breaches of the psychological contract between employer and employee, which is based on expectations, beliefs, reciprocity, and obligations from both sides (Bellou, 2009; De Cuyper and De Witte, 2006; De Hauw and De Vos, 2010; Rousseau, 1989). Thus, one implication of the above findings is the urgent need to generally promote and acculturate positive corporate governance as well as international best practices in good governance at the national, state, and local government levels in Nigeria. It is particularly noteworthy that the reported occurrences of pervasive social corruption
and horrendous social crises (all of which have had spillover effects on QWL) are evident products of a multitude of structural challenges of governance and development, including a lack of inclusive governance and symmetrical development across the vast and hugely diverse geopolitical landscape of Nigeria. Another implication of the research findings bodes well for organisational management purposes in terms of general strategic HRM-driven action which could catalyse QWL. This will enhance plans and programmes for the achievement of sustainable employer-employee relations and organisational development. In addition, there are concerns about the possibility of the systematic mitigation of the continuing experience of the pangs of social impoverishment by the working populace, which again impacts QWL. The likely achievement thereof requires the combined functions of strategic organisational management, symmetrical social policies on employment and labour markets, and good governance in general.

The results of this study also have important implications for both economic and public policy. The research contributes to the body of knowledge on QWL by specifying the value of isolating different potential contributors to a deeper conceptual understanding of QWL. With the results evidenced here, corruption and regional crises adversely affect employees’ QWL and ultimately their performance and productivity. They also influence public attitudes and affect quality of life more broadly. Therefore, these problems call for urgent attention and a consideration of novel solutions for these issues, which are critical not only in the lives of the general citizenry, but specifically in the QWL of employees. Paying full attention to these issues with a determination to address them should therefore be a public policy priority. Furthermore, the government and the management teams of various organisations must provide a safe working environment for employees where they will be able to work without fear, exploitation, and intimidation. This will enhance their QWL and performance at work. Future
studies should investigate other crises, the general and specific organisational practices that inhibit QWL, and broad social-contextual factors which tend to affect employees’ QWL in Nigeria, perhaps through the usage of different research strategies and study methodologies.

Conclusion

This study has investigated the impact of corruption and regional crises on employees’ QWL in Nigeria. The aim of the study was to uncover not only the impact of but also the components of QWL for Nigerian workers. The findings suggest that ‘receiving salary on time, job security, a safe working environment, financial rewards and benefits, and flexible working arrangements matter to employees and constitute good QWL for them. In other words, Nigerian workers refer to these factors when they discuss QWL. Finally, this study also revealed the impact of regional crises on employees’ QWL. The terrorist group Boko Haram, the secessionist movement IPOB, and the militia group NDA have all made work environments unsafe due to their dangerous activities in different regions of Nigeria. Many employees have lost lives and are fearful due to the unsafe nature of their working environment. Corruption and regional crises have negatively impacted the QWL of many respondents. This study thus suggests that the system should be sanitised of its corrupt practices and regional crises to enhance employees’ QWL, which will positively impact their productivity.
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