ω-3 LCPUFA supplementation during pregnancy and risk of allergic outcomes or sensitisation in offspring: a systematic review and meta-analysis
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Abstract

Background: Allergic diseases have seen a rise worldwide in the last two decades, with children suffering the highest burden of the condition. The ω-3 Long-Chain Poly-Unsaturated fatty Acid (LCPUFA) poses anti-inflammatory properties that could lead to a reduction in inflammatory mediators in allergies.

Objective: A systematic review and meta-analysis of the most recent follow-ups of randomised controlled trials (RCTs) was conducted to assess the effectiveness of ω-3 LCPUFA supplementation started during pregnancy on allergic outcomes in offspring.

Methods: RCTs with a minimum of 1-month follow-up post gestation were eligible for inclusion. The CENTRAL, MEDLINE, SCOPUS, WHO's International Clinical Trials Register, E-theses and Web of Science databases were searched. Study quality was evaluated using the Cochrane Collaboration's risk of bias tool.

Results: Ten RCTs (3,637 children), from nine unique trials examined the effectiveness of ω-3 LCPUFA supplementation started during pregnancy on the development of allergic outcomes in offspring. There were heterogeneities between the trials in terms of their sample, type and duration of intervention and follow-up. Pooled estimates showed a significant reduction in childhood “sensitisation to egg” (Relative Risk (RR)=0.54, 95% Confidence Interval (CI)=0.32-0.90) and “sensitisation to peanut” (RR=0.62, 95% CI=0.40-0.96). No statistical differences were found for other allergic outcomes e.g. any allergies, eczema, asthma/wheeze.

Conclusion: These results suggest intake of ω-3 LCPUFA started during pregnancy can reduce the risk of sensitisation to egg and peanut; however the evidence is limited due to the small number of studies contributed to meta-analyses. The current evidence on the association between supplementation with ω-3 LCPUFA started during pregnancy and allergic outcomes is weak due to the risk of bias and heterogeneities between studies.
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Introduction

In the last two decades allergic diseases have seen a rise worldwide with children suffering the highest burden of the condition\(^1\). Food allergies, eczema and asthma are the most common allergic disorders in children\(^{1-2}\). The increasing burden of allergic conditions is an important public health concern and understanding how to prevent the development of allergic diseases is a vital area of research.

The first 1,000 days of life is key to good health for a lifetime as the developmental model of health and disease states exposures and incidents during this critical period could initiate the susceptibility of many chronic diseases, including allergies\(^3\). In this context, the role of environmental factors such as diet and lifestyle-related behaviours are key for primary/early prevention of chronic diseases\(^{4-5}\). Factors such as maternal diet could directly affect an infant’s health and immune system\(^{6-7}\). On this basis, interventions that aim to improve nutritional adequacy in pregnant women could, in theory, reduce the incidence of chronic diseases including allergy.

The intake of LCPUFAs, particularly the \(\omega-3/\omega-6\) ratio, has been proposed as a risk factor for childhood allergies. Over recent decades, Western diet has shifted towards higher intake of \(\omega-6\) by increased consumption of vegetable oils and a corresponding decrease in intake of foods rich in \(\omega-3\) PUFAs e.g. seafood and oily fish\(^{8-9}\). The LCPUFAs family, mostly known by \(\omega-3\) and \(\omega-6\) products, is involved in immune-regulation and inflammatory pathways in foetuses\(^{10}\), with \(\omega-3\) fatty acids having anti-inflammatory, and \(\omega-6\) fatty acids pro-inflammatory effects\(^{11}\). Higher concentrations of \(\omega-6\) LCPUFAs promote the production of inflammatory mediators such as prostaglandins (PGE2, F2\(\alpha\)) and leukotriene (LTB4), which compete with the synthesis of \(\omega-3\) in cellular phospholipids membranes\(^{11}\). Subsequently, a diet rich in sources of \(\omega-3\) LCPUFAs could lead to a reduction in inflammatory mediators and
also alter the balance of $T_1$ to $T_2^{(12-13)}$, a recognised hallmark of allergic diseases. Furthermore, observational studies summarised in a narrative systematic review\(^{(14)}\) have shown an association between lower levels of oily fish intake during pregnancy and the development of allergies in children. Collectively, these data suggest that $\omega$-3 fatty acid supplementation during pregnancy may be a strategy to reduce childhood allergies.

The effect of exposure to an increased supply of $\omega$-3 LCFUFA (or in combination with $\omega$-6) \textit{in utero} and the risk of developing allergic disorders has been studied in various trials; however these have produced inconsistent results. This systematic review and meta-analysis aimed to assess the effectiveness of these interventions, including the longest available follow-ups reported. This will provide an update to the earlier systematic reviews on this topic\(^{(15-17)}\) to include recently published trials. It would also allow us to assess the effectiveness of $\omega$-3 LCFUFA supplementation commencing during pregnancy for prevention of childhood allergies, while the focus of earlier systematic reviews on this topic has been on both the prenatal and postnatal $\omega$-3 LCPUFA interventions.
Methods

Criteria for considering studies for this review

Types of studies
Randomised controlled trials (RCT), including cluster randomised controlled trials and quasi-randomised controlled trials with a minimum follow-up of one month postnatally were included. The review considered studies which documented allergic outcome and/or sensitisation data. No language or country restrictions were applied.

Types of participants
Pregnant women and their offspring were considered as the target group for this systematic review. High-risk populations were not excluded.

Types of interventions
Studies that used ω-3 LCPUFA supplementation during pregnancy, irrespective of dose, formulation or mode of delivery and composition e.g. oil, tablet were included. Trials were also included if the intervention(s) had been continued after pregnancy through breast-feeding and/or supplements to the infant.

Types of outcomes measures
Trials were included if they had reported allergic outcomes and/or sensitisation in the offspring, either as a primary or secondary endpoint. Allergic outcomes were defined as: any allergies, asthma, wheeze, rhinitis, eczema, food allergy, positive skin prick test (to any and/or single allergens) or elevated specific IgE. Only outcomes which had utilised a validated method were included (as opposed to, for example, parental report). Data from the latest follow-up of allergic outcomes by the included trials (and cumulative prevalence where possible) were also considered.

Search strategy for identification of studies
A comprehensive search strategy, including all the relevant synonyms for the main concepts, was developed. Trials were identified through systematic searches within three main electronic databases, as advised by the Cochrane collaboration\textsuperscript{18}:

a. Cochrane Library (current issue) including:
   - Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (CDSR)
   - CENTRAL (trials)
   - DARE
b. MEDLINE (EBSCOhost)
c. SCOPUS

When searching MEDLINE, the subject-specific terms were combined with the Cochrane Highly Sensitive Search Strategy for identifying randomised trials in MEDLINE: sensitivity-maximising version\textsuperscript{18}. We adapted the preliminary search strategy for MEDLINE (EBSCOhost) for use in the other databases when relevant. Searches of databases were carried out between November 2017 to January 2018.

The clinical trials registry and WHO platform were searched for ongoing and recently completed trials. Conference proceedings were identified through the ISI Web of Science and the British Library E-Theses Online Service was searched. No language or publication status restrictions were imposed. References of included studies were crosschecked for additional studies.

**Data collection**

**Selection of studies**

Two reviewers (MV, HM) screened all the search results against the eligibility criteria and all those which were clearly irrelevant were excluded from further consideration. Thereafter, a tailored eligibility form was used to appraise the retrieved studies, abstract and full text for relevance against the full inclusion criteria. Where there was
uncertainty about inclusion of a particular study, other members of the review team were consulted and a consensus was reached about the study eligibility. All the included studies were discussed and approved by the review team.

**Data extraction and management**

Data were extracted by two reviewers (MV, HM) using a tailored data extraction form in EPPI Reviewer. Detailed information on study characteristics were recorded. Throughout the data extraction process, any disagreements about the interventions and outcomes were discussed and resolved within the review team. There was no blinding of the authors’ name, institutions, journals or the outcomes of the trials during the process.

**Assessment of risk of bias in included studies**

The risk of bias tool described in the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews for Interventions was used to appraise the studies\(^{(19)}\).

**Data synthesis**

**Calculation of treatment effects**

Dichotomous data were analysed as risk ratios or relative risk (RR) with 95% CI, entered as events and the number of participants, and continuous data as mean difference or standardised mean difference, with 95% CI. In trials with more than one intervention arm, multiple pairwise comparisons of intervention groups versus comparator were avoided. Therefore, data from different intervention arms were pooled for an overall comparison with the control or placebo arm. The weight assigned to the control group was considered as the total number of participants in the comparator group versus the total number of participants in the combined intervention arms\(^{(20)}\).
All the relevant reported information for the number of missing participants was extracted and if undocumented, this was incorporated into the assessment of risk of bias, incomplete outcome data. No imputed techniques were used for missing data.

**Pooling of treatment effects**

**Assessment of heterogeneity**

We used visual inspection of forest plots and also, the Chi² test to measure statistical heterogeneity between effect sizes of included studies. \( I^2 \) statistics were used to quantify the amount of possible variability in effect estimates that is due to inconsistency rather than chance (\( I^2 < 25\% \) low heterogeneity, \( I^2 > 50\% \) moderate heterogeneity, \( I^2 \geq 75\% \) high heterogeneity). All meta-analyses were undertaken using a random effect model and reported where heterogeneity was reported as \( \leq 75\% \)\(^{(18)} \).

**Assessment of reporting biases**

Every effort was made to identify unpublished studies through searching abstracts and ongoing trials databases. Small study effects was not assessed since the number of studies included in meta-analyses were \( \leq 10 \).

We used Eppi Reviewer version 4.4.3.0. for conducting meta-analyses. We reported relative risk to describe the study effect. Due to the small number of studies and also, large inconsistencies between studies, the reported allergic outcomes were included in meta-analyses irrespective of IgE status.

**Subgroup analysis and investigation of heterogeneity**

Where possible, sub-group analyses were performed where there was large discrepancies in the duration of follow-up between the included studies e.g. less than and above 6 years old. Additional analyses were also conducted when level of maternal EPA+DHA pre-treatment was reported in the trials.

**Sensitivity analysis**
Influence analysis as a type of sensitivity analysis was conducted where trials were excluded from the meta-analyses models to examine how deletion of a study affects overall results. This was done for trials that used either a different intervention or control group.
Results

Electronic searches yielded a total of 1,733 results (Figure 1). After removal of duplicates and title/abstract screening, the remaining 79 full text papers were assessed against the eligibility criteria for this systematic review. Ten publications from nine unique RCTs were included in the final analyses, including a total of 3,637 children\(^{(21, 28, 30, 32, 34, 38, 43, 47, 48, 50)}\). In the case of one trial\(^{(29)}\), both the reports of an earlier\(^{(28)}\) as well as latest follow-up data\(^{(47)}\) were included, since some of the allergic outcomes of interest for this systematic review were reported only in the earlier published paper of this trial i.e. any allergic diseases. The characteristics of the included trials, their companion papers and study population are presented in Table 1. Four studies were conducted in Australia and Denmark (two each) and the rest in Mexico, Sweden, Finland, United Kingdom and United States.

Study design

All the included trials were parallel RCTs. Seven trials had two parallel groups while two trials had three parallel groups. The trial by Olsen\(^{(30)}\) included intervention, placebo and no oil groups and for the purpose of this review, only the intervention and placebo arms were included in meta-analyses. The other trial by Berman\(^{(50)}\) included two intervention groups of EPA, DHA and placebo. For this review, the two intervention arms were combined and compared versus the control group. In addition, the nature of control group in the study by Noakes\(^{(34)}\) was different from other trials i.e. standard diet and therefore, this study was not included in meta-analyses and only described narratively.

Participants and sample sizes

Four trials were conducted on high-risk (atopy) populations\(^{(21, 32, 34, 38)}\) and the remainder\(^{(28, 30, 43, 47, 48, 50)}\) were carried out on sample with low-risk allergies. The
sample size of studies at randomisation were also varied, from 98\(^{(21)}\) to 1,094\(^{(43)}\) pregnant women.

**Intervention**

Seven of the included trials used ω-3 LCPUFA (combination of EPA, DHA and/or both)\(^{(21, 28, 32, 38, 43, 47, 48, 50)}\), one used oily fish\(^{(34)}\) and the other used black currant seed oil (BCSO)\(^{(30)}\). Six\(^{(21, 28, 34, 38, 43, 47, 50)}\) trials supplemented women only during pregnancy and in three trials\(^{(30, 32, 48)}\), the intervention was continued postnatally (Table 1).

Compliance with the intervention was measured by different methods in trials, including total number of capsules consumed, women’s self-report of oily fish intake using food frequency questionnaire during pregnancy, telephone call reminder(s), as well as assessing plasma concentration of LCPUFA either at birth or a few weeks after delivery\(^{(21, 28, 32, 34, 38, 47)}\).

**Reported allergic outcomes and follow-up duration**

Allergic outcomes were diagnosed by a physician or nurse in 6 trials\(^{(21, 30, 32, 34, 38, 48)}\) and the remainder\(^{(28, 43, 50)}\) used validated or semi-validated questionnaires. The definitions and diagnosis method for each outcome included in meta-analyses are presented in Table 2. The duration of follow-up in trials ranged from 6 months\(^{(34)}\) to 24 years\(^{(47)}\).

The most frequently reported allergic outcomes were eczema, asthma, wheeze or asthma/wheeze together. Also, while there was a later publication from a study published in 2010\(^{(31)}\), data were extracted from the 2010 report since the follow-up paper\(^{(30)}\) only reported pre-clinical outcomes. Furthermore, the register-based outcomes in the study by Hansen 2016 were considered in this review. In addition, two trials\(^{(28, 50)}\) were primarily conducted to measure other outcomes in pregnant...
women and, using secondary analyses, the occurrence of allergic outcomes in the offspring were also reported. Olsen and colleagues\(^{(29)}\) principally assessed the effect of LCPUFA on the duration of pregnancy and reported allergic outcomes in the offspring in the longer-term follow-ups. The trial conducted by Berman\(^{(50)}\) initially measured the effectiveness of \(\omega-3\) LCPUFA for prevention of antenatal and postpartum depressive symptoms in pregnant women and reported the occurrence of allergic outcomes in their secondary analysis.

**Quality of RCTs**

The methodological quality of the included trials varied as shown in Figure 2. The risk of bias judgment is presented in Appendix 1. Only four trials\(^{(30, 34, 38, 43)}\) had a low risk regarding random sequence generation. Most trials had adequate allocation concealment\(^{(21, 28, 38, 47)}\), blinding of both participants and staff\(^{(21, 30, 32, 43, 47, 48)}\), and outcome assessor\(^{(21, 28, 30, 32, 34, 38, 43, 47)}\). Half of the trials were rated as high risk of bias for the completeness of data since there was a high rate of loss to follow-up. All trials were deemed to have a low risk of bias for the reported outcomes\(^{(21, 32, 30, 34, 50)}\). With the exception of one trial rated as unclear for other sources of bias\(^{(48)}\), there were no other potential sources of bias in other trials.

**Pooled effect of interventions**

The definition of the outcomes in each study, as included in meta-analyses, and their diagnosis method are presented in Table 2.

**Any allergies as outcome measure**

Three trials\(^{(28, 32, 38)}\) reported any allergies in children characterised by different phenotypes of allergy. Moderate statistical heterogeneity was observed between the trials \((\chi^2=5.54, P=0.06, I^2=63.9\%)\) and the pooled results did not show an association between prenatal supplementation with \(\omega-3\) LCPUFA and the development of any
allergies in the offspring (RR=0.74, 95% CI=0.44-1.25) (Forest plot not shown). The definitions of any allergies included in meta-analysis are shown in Table 2.

In the sub-group analysis, the Olsen study\(^\text{28}\) with the longest follow-up duration was excluded. No statistical heterogeneity was found between the included trials (\(I^2=0\%\) ) and the results did not significantly change (RR=0.97, 95% CI=0.79-1.19) (Forest plot not shown).

**Asthma/wheeze as outcome measure**

Trials reported the outcome differently, as either asthma only, wheezing or asthma/wheeze together. When pooling the results, we considered the outcome as “asthma and/or wheeze” together in the meta-analysis. Moderate heterogeneity was observed between the seven included trials (\(\chi^2=10.5; \ P=0.10; \ I^2=43.1\%\) ). Meta-analysis did not show a preventative effect of prenatal intake of \(\omega-3\) LCPUFA on childhood asthma/recurrent wheeze (RR=0.87, 95% CI=0.70-1.07) (Figure 3).

In the sub-group analysis, the study by Hansen\(^\text{47}\) with the longest follow-up duration was excluded. Low heterogeneity was found between the studies (\(I^2=21.9\%\) ) and the results did not significantly change (RR=0.93, 95% CI=0.78-1.11) (Forest plot not shown). Also in the study by Bisgaard\(^\text{48}\), prevalence of asthma/wheezeing in children was also reported based on maternal lowest third of EPA+DHA pre-treatment. The meta-analysis, including this outcome in the model showed higher heterogeneity between trials (\(I^2=63.8\%\) ) and the results did not significantly change (RR=0.83, 95% CI=0.64-1.08).

**Eczema as outcome measure**

The outcome was reported in six trials and pooled results showed moderate statistical heterogeneity between studies (\(\chi^2=11.2; \ P=0.046, \ I^2=55.5\%\) ). The results of meta-
analysis did not show an association between prenatal intake of ω-3 LCPUFA and the development of childhood eczema (RR=1.01, 95% CI=0.76-1.34) (Figure 4).

Sensitivity analysis excluding the study conducted by Linnamaa\(^\text{(30)}\), as the only trial to use BCSO as the intervention, also showed moderate statistical heterogeneity between studies (\(\chi^2=9.08; P=0.059; I^2=56\%\)) with no significant change in the pooled results (RR=1.1, 95% CI=0.78-1.54) (Forest plot not shown). Two more sensitivity analyses were conducted. In the first, the study undertaken by Berman\(^\text{(50)}\) was excluded since it reported higher rates of eczema in the intervention arms and in the second, both Linnamaa\(^\text{(30)}\) and Berman\(^\text{(50)}\) studies were excluded. In both meta-analyses, moderate heterogeneities were observed between studies and the results did not significantly change (RR=0.952, 95% CI=0.767-1.18; RR=1.01, 95% CI=0.786-1.29, respectively) (Forest plots not shown).

**Allergic rhinitis as outcome measure**

The outcome was reported in two trials\(^\text{(38, 47)}\) and in the two other trials, “rhinoconjunctivitis” was reported\(^\text{(32, 50)}\). We pooled the results from trials that only reported allergic rhinitis where there was a moderate heterogeneity between trials (\(\chi^2=1.37, P=0.243, I^2=27\%\)). The results of meta-analysis did not show an association between prenatal intake of ω-3 LCPUFA and development of allergic rhinitis in children (RR=0.84, 95% CI=0.65-1.1) (Forest plot not shown).

**Food allergy as outcome measure**

Three trials reported the outcome\(^\text{(21, 32, 50)}\) and in the pooled analysis, low heterogeneity was found between the studies (\(\chi^2=2.32, P=0.31, I^2=13.8\%\)). The results of meta-analysis did not show an association between prenatal intake of ω-3 LCPUFA and development of food allergy in children (RR=0.67, 95% CI=0.34-1.35) (Forest plot not shown).
Sensitisation to any allergens as outcome measure:

Sensitisation to any allergens, measured by Skin Prick Test (SPT), was reported in four trials\(^{21, 32, 38, 48}\) and there was moderate heterogeneity between studies (\(\chi^2=6.03, P=0.11, I^2=50.3\%\)). The results of meta-analysis did not show an association between prenatal intake of \(\omega-3\) LCPUFA and childhood sensitisation to any allergens (RR=0.91, 95% CI=0.66-1.27) (Forest plot not shown).

Sensitisation to specific individual allergens as outcome measure

The meta-analyses of sensitisation to individual allergens, measured by SPT showed that prenatal intake of \(\omega-3\) LCPUFA significantly reduced the risk of “sensitisation to egg” (RR=0.54, 95% CI=0.32-0.90) (Figure 5) and “sensitisation to peanut” (RR=0.62, 95% CI=0.40-0.96) (Figure 6). No significant associations were found for sensitisation to “cows milk” and “cat” in the conducted meta-analyses (Forest plots not shown).

Of note, we conducted a meta-analysis on raised specific immunoglobulin E (sIgE), reported in three studies\(^{32, 47, 48}\) and the results yielded a substantial heterogeneity (\(I^2=77.5\%\)) (Forest plot not shown).

Description of the outcomes reported in Noakes study\(^{34}\)

This study used ‘farmed salmon portion’ (as opposed to LCPUFA supplements, as utilised in all the other included studies) compared to ‘standard diet’ during pregnancy for prevention of allergic disorders in offspring, and was therefore not included in any meta-analyses. The results suggest that the use of salmon portions in pregnant women in comparison to standard diet did not have an influence for the prevention of atopic dermatitis (12 vs. 7, p=0.46) and wheeze (11 vs. 7; p=0.58) in the offspring by 6 months age; however, the small sample size limits confidence in these findings.
Discussion

Ten RCTs were identified, with a total number of 3,637 children that started supplementation with $\omega$-3 LCPUFA during pregnancy and followed-up the development of allergic diseases during childhood. Trials were heterogeneous in their sample, reported outcomes and duration of follow-up. Random sequence generation was deemed adequate in four of the included trials. Three trials were also judged to have a high risk of bias for their performance bias and five trials had a high attrition bias. The findings from this systematic review and meta-analysis did not provide evidence that intake of $\omega$-3 LCPUFA starting during pregnancy could protect against the subsequent development of a number of childhood allergic diseases i.e. eczema, asthma/wheeze, food allergy, sensitisation. However, the pooled results showed that prenatal intake of $\omega$-3 LCPUFA starting during pregnancy might have a protective effect on developing sensitisation to egg and also peanuts in the offspring, as measured by SPT. Furthermore, while no effect was observed for childhood asthma/wheezing following $\omega$-3 LCPUFA intake starting during pregnancy, the upper bound was very close to 1 and could be considered borderline (RR=0.87, 95% CI=0.70-1.07, 7 trials, 2,951 children). Overall, the results from this review need to be considered with caution because of the heterogeneity observed between studies and also risk of bias.

Overall completeness and applicability of evidence

There was no evidence that $\omega$-3 LCPUFA supplementation starting during pregnancy is effective for prevention of several allergic manifestations in the offspring. There were no statistical heterogeneity between the included trials for the outcome of “sensitisation to egg and peanuts, measured by SPT” ($I^2=0\%$) and however; the number of included studies were small; the meta-analyses included 665 and 775
children respectively. Nevertheless, these are potentially important findings since food allergies are common in children\(^1\) and well-documented research indicates that childhood food allergies, including to egg and peanuts, are a key risk factor for developing sensitisation to aero-allergens and allergic respiratory diseases later in life\(^{54-57}\).

The heterogeneity between the trials limit the findings and random effect models were used to pool the results. Heterogeneity between the included trials could have resulted from differences in the choice of ω-3 LCPUFA and the dose, mode of administration, timing and duration of interventions as well as duration of follow-up and small sample size in most studies. Overall, a few trials were well-powered and high quality\(^{38, 43, 48}\) and contrasting results on childhood allergic outcomes were reported across trials. One reason for the inconsistent results between trials could be because of the differences in baseline ω-3 LCPUFA levels that were only considered in the Bisgaard study\(^{48}\). Children of mothers with the lowest third level of ω-3 LCPUFA status (EPA and DHA) gained the most protection from ω-3 LCPUFA intervention. Higher doses of ω-3 LCPUFA were also used in the trial by Bisgaard that consisted predominantly of EPA versus DHA. It is also worth noting that in this study, a subgroup of pregnant women concomitantly took a high dose of 2400 IU of Vitamin D3 on a daily basis during the third trimester of pregnancy. The authors reported that there was no significant interaction between ω-3 LCPUFA and Vitamin D3 and the prevalence of persistent wheeze \((p=0.065)\); however further analysis showed that the effects of ω-3 LCPUFA was less evident in children randomised to the Vitamin D3 group\(^{48}\). Furthermore, in the study by Berman\(^{50}\), higher numbers of eczema cases were reported in both intervention arms, EPA and DHA as opposed to soy oil as the control. The authors reasoned that ω-6 LCPUFA as the predominant component in the
soy oil might have exerted a protective effect on developing eczema in the control
group. It is important to add that none of the high quality and large trials reported a
beneficial effect for childhood eczema in their longer-term follow-up\(^{(38, 43, 47, 48)}\). Again heterogeneities in the type and dose of \(\omega-3\) LCPUFA plus differences in the
duration of follow-up could be a plausible explanation for the inconsistent results
between trials.

**Quality of evidence**

Overall, the trials were at moderate to high risk of bias (Figure 2). Randomisation and
allocation concealment were deemed as unclear for 50\% of included studies in each
domain. High loss to follow-up was also a major concern where 50\% of studies
showed a high attrition bias which might have largely biased the effect of
interventions within these trials. In addition, a limited number of trials fulfilled the
inclusion criteria for this systematic review and consequently, a small number of
studies contributed in the conducted meta-analyses for most allergic outcomes. Most
trials also used medical diagnosis of allergy, although a few tested for IgE-mediated
status of the reported allergic outcomes\(^{(38)}\). Furthermore, since the diagnosis of asthma
is difficult at a young age, most trials reported wheeze or asthma/wheeze together and
consequently; either of these reported outcomes were included in meta-analyses. It is
acknowledged that phenotype expression of allergic diseases in children varies by
age, as more eczema and food allergy would be expected in children under 2 years of
age and more wheeze/asthma at older age. Where possible, sub-group meta-analyses
were conducted to address the age differences for the reported allergic outcomes.

**Strengths and limitations**

This systematic review only included trials that started intake of \(\omega-3\) LCPUFA during
pregnancy, and thus crucially, allowed the effect of prenatal intake of the
supplementation for prevention of childhood allergies to be investigated. Following an a priori published protocol\(^{(58)}\), a comprehensive search strategy allowed for a complete coverage of all the relevant literature through citation databases, trial registries and conference proceedings with no limitation by language or publication status. In addition, a range of allergic outcomes were considered and the most up-to-date results from the trials, reported as the longest available follow-up data, were included in the meta-analyses. As a post hoc decision, sub-group analyses were only conducted for the duration of follow-up and not for the dose/timing/type of \(\omega-3\) LCPUFA because of the limited number of studies that could contribute in the meta-analyses. A potential limitation is also that there were a small number of studies that met the inclusion criteria for this systematic review.

**Consistency with other reviews**

The two reviews published in 2011\(^{(15, 59)}\) included studies that administered \(\omega-3\) LCPUFA either prenatally or postnatally with the former\(^{(15)}\) being a narrative review. The latter\(^{(59)}\) conducted meta-analysis on selected allergic outcomes and did not include some trials in their review\(^{(34, 40, 43)}\).

The two recent reviews by Gunaratne\(^{(15)}\) and Best\(^{(16)}\) had different approach, with first including both prenatal and postnatal administration of \(\omega-3\) fatty acids\(^{(15)}\) and the other only on prenatal supplementation, but with small number of trials\(^{(16)}\). Both reviews have conducted their meta-analyses based on IgE status of allergic outcomes, including point prevalence of allergies and earlier follow-up data of included trials. Also, the trial by Linnamaa\(^{(30)}\) that used BCSO was only included in the present review since the composition of BCSO corresponds to the recommended optimal dietary intake \(\omega-3\) and \(\omega-3\) fatty acids with \(\omega-3/\omega-6\) ratio from 1.3 to 1.4. Due to the differences in focus between these systematic reviews and the current review, the
ability to make meaningful comparisons between the findings is to some extent limited. Both reviews\(^{15 \& 16}\) showed a beneficial effect for atopic eczema for some age strata; however, these analyses only included the earlier follow-up data from included trials.

The most recent review\(^{17}\) has also included both prenatal and postnatal \(\omega-3\) LCPUFA interventions and has not identified the latest report of one trial\(^{43}\) and full paper of another trial\(^{50}\). There are also differences between the current systematic review and Garcia-Larsen in term of the approach for inclusion of trials in the conducted meta-analyses. For example, we did not include the Noakes\(^{34}\) trial in the meta-analyses since it had a different control while this study has been included in meta-analyses by Garcia-Larsen. Also, the trial by Linnamaa has been considered as \(\omega-6\) fatty acid intervention in the review by Garcia-Larsen and additionally, they have included different reports of Makrides 2009 trial in the meta-analyses conducted for food allergy e.g. Palmer 2012 in “egg sensitisation” and Best 2016 in “Peanut sensitisation”. Nevertheless, the results from the current systematic review are comparable with the recent review\(^{18}\) where there was evidence that \(\omega-3\) LCPUFA supplementation has been beneficial for prevention of sensitisation to egg and peanut in children. Since the current review included \(\omega-3\) LCPUFA trials that were only started during pregnancy, it can be concluded that the preventive effect of the intervention on sensitisation to egg and peanut in children is related to this very early stage in life i.e. pregnancy. We also did not find evidence that \(\omega-3\) LCPUFA could protect against other allergic outcomes in offspring which is similar to the recent review\(^{17}\).

Authors’ conclusion

Implications for practice
The evidence on ω-3 LCPUFA intake starting during pregnancy and prevention of allergic outcomes in children is limited, although there appears to be an association with reduction of childhood sensitisation to egg and peanuts. There is a need to interpret these findings with caution as a result of risk of bias between studies, different methods for reporting the outcome measures and variability of the ω-3 LCPUFA supplementations. Furthermore the included trials differed greatly in the dose of ω-3 supplementation, ranging from 0.8 to 2.4g per day and contained various percentages of EPA and DHA. The current guidelines advise an intake of 200mg of DHA per day in pregnancy\(^{60}\) and differences in the dose/ratio of DHA in the ω-3 supplementation in trials could have consequently had an impact on the overall effectiveness of intervention.

**Implications for research**

Taking the quantity and quality of the available evidence into account, the effect of ω-3 LCPUFA intake starting during pregnancy for prevention of childhood allergies needs to be further investigated in well-designed and executed RCTs.

The timing of interventions have been varied in the conducted trials and the optimal timing of ω-3 LCPUFA intervention is an essential uncertainty that needs to be addressed more clearly in further trials. Given the anti-inflammatory properties of ω-3 LCPUFAs, it could be hypothesised that early introduction of ω-3 LCPUFA during pregnancy could promote a beneficial immunomodulatory effect in foetuses as early as possible by programming the immune system. Furthermore, future trials could consider a differentiation between DHA to EPA ratios in LCPUFA supplementations as well as baseline LCPUFA status in their sample. The dose and duration of intervention as well as the choice of control should also be addressed in future studies. Large multi-centre RCTs with coherent methodology and standardised measures for
assessing the outcomes could provide stronger inferences for the efficacy of \(\omega-3\)
LCPUFA intervention in pregnant women as a preventive approach for childhood
allergies. In addition, studies should aim to minimise the attrition bias in their
extended follow-ups. There is also a need for well-designed epidemiological studies
from under-reported populations, since the current evidence originates dominantly
from the developed regions.
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Figure 1: Study flow diagram, following PRISMA criteria
### Table 1. Characteristics of included trials and study population for fatty acids and prevention of allergic and/or respiratory outcomes in offspring

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Companion articles</th>
<th>Country, enrolment period</th>
<th>Participants receiving intervention</th>
<th>Age at last F-U</th>
<th>Sample: high risk of Atopy</th>
<th>Intake of Int. From/until</th>
<th>Duration of Int. (months)</th>
<th>No. at randomisation</th>
<th>No. at last Follow-up</th>
<th>Fatty acid product</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
<th>Total daily dose</th>
<th>Outcomes reported</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dunstan, et al., 2003a (21)</td>
<td>References 22-27</td>
<td>Australia 1999-2001</td>
<td>Prenatally in mothers</td>
<td>1yr</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>20wks to delivery</td>
<td>4.5-5</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>83 (40 vs. 43)</td>
<td>ω-3 PUFA</td>
<td>Olive oil</td>
<td>1-g, 4 a day</td>
<td>-Asthma -Recurrence wheeze -Eczema -SPT (any+); (egg) (peanut) (HDM) (cows milk) (CAT) -Food Allergy -Anaphylaxis -Angioedema -Chronic cough -SCORAD ≥25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olsen et al., 2008 (28)</td>
<td>Reference 29</td>
<td>Denmark 1989-90</td>
<td>Prenatally in mothers</td>
<td>16yrs</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>30wks to delivery</td>
<td>2-2.5</td>
<td>533: 266 vs. 131 vs. 136</td>
<td>528 (263 vs. 129 vs. 136)</td>
<td>Fish oil</td>
<td>Olive oil &amp; no oil</td>
<td>1-g, 4 a day</td>
<td>-Any Allergic disease(s) -Asthma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linnamaa et al., 2010 (30)</td>
<td>Reference 31</td>
<td>Finland 2004-08</td>
<td>Prenatally in mothers &amp; postnatally in mothers &amp; infants (2-yrs after birth)</td>
<td>2yrs.</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>8-16wks to 2yrs. postnatal</td>
<td>30-32</td>
<td>322: 151 vs. 162</td>
<td>177 (85 vs. 92)</td>
<td>Blackcurrant seed oil</td>
<td>Olive oil</td>
<td>3 g/day, 6 capsules, oil drops in infants</td>
<td>-Eczema -SPT (egg) -SCORAD -Any IgE (mean)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Furuhjelm et al., 2011 (32)</td>
<td>Reference 33</td>
<td>Sweden 2003-05</td>
<td>Pre &amp; postnatally in mothers (3.5 months after birth)</td>
<td>2yrs</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>25wks to 3.5months postnatal</td>
<td>7 to 7.5</td>
<td>145: 70 vs. 75</td>
<td>116 (53 vs. 63)</td>
<td>ω-3 group</td>
<td>Mainly the omega-6 PUFA LA</td>
<td>500-mg, nine a day</td>
<td>-Eczema -Asthma -SPT (any+) (egg) (milk) (food) (wheat) -Specific IgE -Food Allergy -Allergic Rhinoconjunctivitis -SCORAD -IgE (any+) (egg) (milk) (wheat) (food)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noakes et al., References UK.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Prenatally in</td>
<td>6mont</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>20wks to</td>
<td>4.5-5</td>
<td>123: 62</td>
<td>86 (48 vs. 86)</td>
<td>Salmon</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-Wheeze</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Period</td>
<td>Group</td>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>Outcome</td>
<td>Effect</td>
<td>Notes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>al., 2012 (34)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>mothers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>vs. 61</td>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best et al., 2016 (38)</td>
<td>Australia 2006-08</td>
<td>Prenatally in mothers</td>
<td>6yrs</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>21wks to delivery</td>
<td>4-5</td>
<td>700: 363 vs. 337</td>
<td>603 (313 vs. 290)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>References 39-42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Escamilla-Nuñez et al., 2014 (43)</td>
<td>Mexico 2005-07</td>
<td>Prenatally in mothers</td>
<td>1.5yrs</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>18-22wks until delivery</td>
<td>4.5-5.5</td>
<td>1094: 547 vs. 547</td>
<td>869 (429 vs. 440)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hansen</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>Prenatally in</td>
<td>24yrs</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>30wks to</td>
<td>2-2.5</td>
<td>533: 266</td>
<td>396 (262)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Eczema - SPT (any+) (egg) (cows milk) (CAT) (Cod) (grass) (Dog) - Sensitisation - Chest infection - Pneumonia/bronchiolitis - SCORAD - SPT (Derp-1) - Any IgE - Itchy skin - Dry skin

- Allergic disease(s) with sensitisation - Eczema with sensitisation - Wheeze with sensitisation - Rhinitis with sensitisation - Rhino-conjunctivitis with sensitisation - Sensitisation (any) - Sensitisation (any+) (hen’s egg) (peanut) (cashew) (ryegrass) (olive tree pollen) (D. pteronyssinus) (D. farinae) (Cat hair) (Alternaria tenuis) (dog hair)

- Wheezing - Cough - Breathing Difficulty - Phlegm & Nasal Discharge - Fever - Asthma, discharge
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Mother's Age Before/After Birth</th>
<th>Delivery</th>
<th>Pregnancy Weeks</th>
<th>Fish Oil Type</th>
<th>Fish Oil Dose</th>
<th>Outcome Measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2017 (47)</td>
<td>28-29</td>
<td>1989-90</td>
<td>mothers &amp; delivery vs. 131 vs. 136 vs. 134</td>
<td>4 yrs.</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>at week 24 of pregnancy to one week after delivery</td>
<td>ω-3 LC-PUFA (55% EPA and 37% DHA) in triacylglycerol form</td>
<td>Olive oil 2.4 g per day</td>
<td>- Asthma medication - Allergic rhinitis - sIgE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bisgaard 2017 (48)</td>
<td>Reference 49</td>
<td>Denmark Copenhagen &amp; Zealand 2008-2010</td>
<td>Prenatally and postnatally in mothers (1-week after birth)</td>
<td>5 yrs.</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>15-17 weeks</td>
<td>695 (346 vs. 349)</td>
<td>Olive oil 2.4 g per day</td>
<td>- Asthma/persistent wheeze (3-5yrs, birth to 5yrs) - Eczema - Sensitisation (SPT, sIgE) at 6 or 18 months - Risk of lower respiratory infection - Risk of asthma exacerbations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016 (50)</td>
<td>References 51-53</td>
<td>US, South-eastern Michigan 2008-2011</td>
<td>Prenatally in mothers</td>
<td>3 yrs</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Between 12-20 gestation wks.</td>
<td>EPA-rich fish oil and DHA-rich fish oil</td>
<td>Soy oil 1060 mg EPA + 274 mg DHA and 900 mg DHA plus 180 mg EPA</td>
<td>- Eczema - Food allergies - Asthma/wheezing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Published data and conference presentations, no unique data were extracted from conference abstracts. Indicates the number at randomisation, where recruitment has occurred prenatally.
### Table 2. Definition of outcomes included in the meta-analyses and their diagnostic criteria in individual studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Definition of outcomes included in the meta-analyses and their diagnostic criteria in individual studies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Berman 2016, 3 years follow-up (PPA)**<sup>*</sup> | Any allergies  
Asthma/persistent wheezing (at 3 years)  
Eczema/Skin (at 3 years)  
Food allergies (at 3 years)  
Maternal report in phone interviews, using questions validated from the 2011 NHIS:  
-Chronic long-lasting inflammation of the airways  
-Redness, itching, outbreak of lesions  
-Abnormal response to food resulting in itching or swelling in mouth, vomiting, diarrhea, abdominal pain |
| **Best 2016, 6 years follow-up (ITT)**<sup>**</sup> | Allergic diseases symptoms with sensitisation  
Wheeze with sensitisation  
Eczema with sensitisation  
Rhinitis with sensitisation  
Sensitisation (any)  
Hens’ egg sensitisation  
Peanut sensitisation  
Allergic symptoms were recorded using ISAAC questionnaire by nurses at clinic. Sensitisation was assessed to at least one of the aeroallergens tested  
-Eczema, wheeze, rhinitis, rhino-conjunctivitis with a positive SPT to ≥1 allergen extracts (sensitisation)  
-History of wheezing and/or whistling in the chest within the past 12 months with sensitisation  
-Chronic, itchy rash distributed to the facial, flexural or extensor surface of the skin  
-History of sneezing or a runny or blocked nose (in the absence of cold or flu) in the past 12 months  
-Positive SPT with a wheal size ≥3mm to foods (hen’s egg, peanut, cashew), airborne allergens (ryegrass pollen, olive tree pollen, alternaria tenius, cat, dog and 2 species of house dust mite  
-Positive SPT with a wheal size ≥3mm to hen’s egg  
-Positive SPT with a wheal size ≥3mm to peanut |
| **Bisgaard 2016, 3, 5, 0-5 years follow-up (ITT)** | Persistent wheeze/asthma (0-5 years)  
Eczema (0-5 years)  
Sensitisation (6 and 18 months of age), SPT  
Sensitisation (6 and 18 months of age), sIgE  
Clinical visits by pediatricians, additional visits for acute care (lung symptoms, any allergy, skin)  
-Diary recordings of five episodes of troublesome lung symptoms, within the preceding 6months, each lasting for at least 3 consecutive days, symptoms typical of asthma, the rescue use of inhaled beta<sub>2</sub>-agonist, response to a 3months course of inhaled glucocorticoids following by relapse after the end of treatment. The diagnosis termed as persistent wheeze by child 3yrs old and asthma afterwards.  
-Based on the criteria defined by Hanifin and Rajka  
-A wheal >2mm in response to any SPT to milk, egg, dog or cat allergens  
-Specific IgE level of ≥0.35 ku/lit to milk, egg, dog or cat allergens |
| **Dunstan 2003, 1 year follow-up (PPA)** | Recurrent wheeze  
Atopic eczema  
Food allergy  
Clinical evaluations by pediatricians, taking a detailed history and examination:  
-More than 2 episodes of wheezing, at least 1 confirmed by a pediatrician or general practitioner  
-Typical skin lesions or physician-diagnosed eczema responsive to topical steroids  
-Undefined |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensitisation</th>
<th>- Using a standardised technique (≥2mm) to allergens as egg, milk, peanut, house dust mite, cat. - Positive SPT with a wheal size ≥2mm to egg - Positive SPT with a wheal size ≥2mm to peanut</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Escamila-Nunez 2014, 1.5 years follow-up</strong> (PPA)</td>
<td>Regular follow-ups using a clinical questionnaire, including ISAAC: - Symptomatic episode of wheeze as a sign lasting ≥3 days and at least one respiratory symptom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheezing (maternal atopy and non-atopy)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Furuhjelm 2011, 2 years follow-up</strong> (PPA)</td>
<td>Examination by pediatrician at 24mon and validated allergy questionnaires completed by families - Symptoms of eczema, food reaction, asthma or rhinoconjunctivitis - Doctor diagnosed wheezing at least three times during the first 2 years of life - Reoccurring and itching eczematous, lichenified or nummular dermatitis (Seymour criteria 1987) - Gastrointestinal symptoms, hives, aggravated eczema/wheezing following the ingestion of a certain food with recovery after food elimination, reoccurrence of symptoms after re-ingestion - Wheal diameter ≥3 mm to milk, egg, wheat and cat, timothy and birch - Wheal diameter ≥3 mm to egg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any allergic disease</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any asthma (cum. incidence 0–24months)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any eczema (cum. incidence 0-24 months)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any food reactions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitisation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitisation to egg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hansen 2016, 24 years follow-up</strong> (ITT)</td>
<td>Information collected from the national prescription register - Using a modified validated definition: filled ≥2 prescription for B2-agonist or steroids, ≥1 prescriptions for leukotriene receptor antagonist - Filled ≥2 prescriptions including: eye drops, nasal decongestants, oral antihistamines - Positive test result of ≥0.35 ku/l to at least 1 of the 12 allergens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asthma medication</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allergic rhinitis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitisation, measured by sIgE (at 18-19yrs follow-up, in 243(46%) subjects)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Linnamaa 2011, 1 year follow-up</strong> (PPA)</td>
<td>Clinical evaluation of skin by dermatologist at each visit - Chronic or relapsing itchy dermatitis with a characteristic morphology and distribution, based on the Hanifin criteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atopic eczema</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Olsen 2008, 16 years follow-up</strong> (PPA)</td>
<td>Information extracted from National Patient Registry, a mandatory national hospital discharge - Allergic asthma, atopic dermatitis or allergic rhinitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any allergies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Per-Protocol Analysis

**Intention-to-treat analysis*
Figure 2. Risk of bias assessment figure in the included trials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Short Title</th>
<th>Random Sequence Generation</th>
<th>Allocation Concealment</th>
<th>Double Blinding</th>
<th>Blinding of Outcome Assessment</th>
<th>Incomplete Outcome Data</th>
<th>Selective Outcome Reporting</th>
<th>Other Sources of Bias</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Berman 2016</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best 2016</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bisgaard 2016</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dunstan 2003</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Escamilla-Nuñez 2014</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Furuhjelm 2011</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hansen 2017</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linnamaa 2010</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noakes 2012</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olsen 2008</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Random Sequence Generation: 40% Low risk of bias, 50% Unclear risk of bias, 10% High risk of bias
Allocation Concealment: 50% Low risk of bias, 50% Unclear risk of bias, 0% High risk of bias
Double Blinding: 60% Low risk of bias, 10% Unclear risk of bias, 30% High risk of bias
Blinding of Outcome Assessment: 80% Low risk of bias, 20% Unclear risk of bias, 0% High risk of bias
Incomplete Outcome Data: 50% Low risk of bias, 50% Unclear risk of bias, 0% High risk of bias
Selective Outcome Reporting: 100% Low risk of bias, 0% Unclear risk of bias, 0% High risk of bias
Other Sources of Bias: 90% Low risk of bias, 10% Unclear risk of bias, 0% High risk of bias
Figure 3. Forest plot for prenatal intake of fatty acid vs. placebo for prevention of asthma/wheeze in offspring

Heterogeneity: $Q = 10.5$; df = 6; $p = 0.104$; $I$-squared = 43.1%; tau-squared = 0.0292

Random effects model: 0.872 (0.709, 1.07)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome: Asthma/Wheeze</th>
<th>Fatty acid n/N</th>
<th>Placebo n/N</th>
<th>Study sample</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Berman 2016</td>
<td>13/57</td>
<td>7/27</td>
<td>Unselected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best 2016</td>
<td>60/367</td>
<td>45/336</td>
<td>Atopic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bisgaard 2016</td>
<td>60/346</td>
<td>87/349</td>
<td>Unselected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dunstan 2003</td>
<td>10/40</td>
<td>12/43</td>
<td>Atopic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Escamilla-Nunez 2014</td>
<td>252/429</td>
<td>262/440</td>
<td>Unselected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Furuhjelm 2011</td>
<td>7/54</td>
<td>8/65</td>
<td>Atopic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hansen 2016</td>
<td>31/262</td>
<td>28/136</td>
<td>Unselected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total children:</strong> 2,951</td>
<td>1,555</td>
<td>1,396</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4. Forest plot for prenatal intake of fatty acid vs. placebo for prevention of eczema in offspring

Heterogeneity: Q = 11.2; df = 5; p = 0.0467; I-squared = 55.5%; tau-squared = 0.0599
Random effects model: 1.01 (0.768, 1.34)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study sample</th>
<th>Fatty acid n/N</th>
<th>Placebo n/N</th>
<th>Outcome: Eczema</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Berman 2016</td>
<td>23/57</td>
<td>3/27</td>
<td>Unselected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best 2016</td>
<td>36/367</td>
<td>36/336</td>
<td>Atopic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bisgaard 2016</td>
<td>114/346</td>
<td>98/349</td>
<td>Unselected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dunstan 2003</td>
<td>18/40</td>
<td>13/43</td>
<td>Atopic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Furuhjelm 2011</td>
<td>11/54</td>
<td>21/65</td>
<td>Atopic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linnamaa 2010</td>
<td>33/85</td>
<td>45/92</td>
<td>Unselected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total children:</strong> 1,861</td>
<td>949</td>
<td>912</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 5: Forest plot for prenatal intake of fatty acid vs. placebo for prevention of sensitisation to egg, measured by SPT in offspring

Heterogeneity: Q = 1.84; df = 2; p = 0.399; I-squared = 0%; tau-squared = 0
Random effect model: 0.542 (0.323, 0.908)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome: Sensitisation to egg (SPT)</th>
<th>Fatty acid n/N</th>
<th>Placebo n/N</th>
<th>Study sample</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Best 2016</td>
<td>6/248</td>
<td>5/232</td>
<td>Atopic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dunstan 2003</td>
<td>9/35</td>
<td>14/37</td>
<td>Atopic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Furuhjelm 2011</td>
<td>7/52</td>
<td>18/61</td>
<td>Atopic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total children: 665</strong></td>
<td>335</td>
<td>330</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 6: Forest plot for prenatal intake of fatty acid vs. placebo for prevention of sensitisation to peanut, measured by SPT in offspring

Heterogeneity: Q = 0.0658; df = 1; p = 0.798; I-squared = 0%; tau-squared = 0

Random effect model: 0.621 (0.4, 0.964)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome: Sensitisation to peanut (SPT)</th>
<th>Fatty acid n/N</th>
<th>Placebo n/N</th>
<th>Study sample</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Best 2016</td>
<td>27/367</td>
<td>39/336</td>
<td>Atopic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dunstan 2003</td>
<td>3/35</td>
<td>6/37</td>
<td>Atopic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total children:</strong> 775</td>
<td>402</td>
<td>373</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>