

1 **LIKING AND CONSUMPTION OF VEGETABLES WITH MORE APPEALING AND LESS APPEALING SENSORY**
2 **PROPERTIES: ASSOCIATIONS WITH ATTITUDES, FOOD NEOPHOBIA AND FOOD CHOICE MOTIVATIONS IN**
3 **EUROPEAN ADOLESCENTS**

4

5 KM Appleton¹, C Dinnella², S Spinelli², D Morizet³, L Saulais^{4,5}, A Hemingway⁶, E Monteleone², L Depezay³,
6 FJA Perez-Cueto⁷, H Hartwell⁸

7

8 ¹ *Research Centre for Behaviour Change, Department of Psychology, Faculty of Science and Technology,*
9 *Bournemouth University, Poole BH12 5BB, UK;*

10 ² *Department of the Management of Agriculture, Forestry and Food Systems, University of Florence, 50144*
11 *Florence, Italy;*

12 ³ *Bonduelle, Villeneuve D'Ascq, France;*

13 ⁴ *Center for Food and Hospitality Research, Institute Paul Bocuse, 69130 Ecully, France*

14 ⁵ *Département d'économie agroalimentaire et des sciences de la consommation, Université Laval*
15 *Pavillon Paul-Comtois, Québec (Québec) G1V 0A6, Canada;*

16 ⁶ *Faculty of Health and Social Sciences, Bournemouth University, Poole BH12 5BB, UK*

17 ⁷ *Department of Food Science, Design and Consumer Behaviour Section, University of Copenhagen, 1958*
18 *Frederiksberg C, Denmark;*

19 ⁸ *Foodservice and Applied Nutrition Research Group & Health and Wellbeing, Faculty of Management,*
20 *Bournemouth University, Poole BH12 5BB, UK*

21

22 **Correspondence:** Prof. Katherine Appleton, Research Centre for Behaviour Change, Department of
23 Psychology, Faculty of Science and Technology, Bournemouth University, Poole House, Fern Barrow, Poole
24 BH12 5BB, UK. Tel: +44 (0)1202 965985. Fax: +44 (0)1202 965314. Email: k.appleton@bournemouth.ac.uk.

25

26 **Declaration of Interests:** None

27

28 **HIGHLIGHTS**

29 The unappealing sensory properties of vegetables may contribute to low intakes

30 Vegetables with more and less appealing sensory properties were compared

31 Higher vegetable intakes were associated with higher liking & healthier eating habits

32 Liking differed for vegetables with more and less appealing sensory properties

33 Liking was linked with food neophobia, sensory and natural food choice motives

34 **ABSTRACT**

35 Vegetable consumption in adolescents is reported to be low, at least in part, due to the unappealing
36 sensory properties of vegetables, such as bitter tastes. However, not all vegetables have unappealing
37 sensory properties, and strategies to improve vegetable consumption may benefit from wider
38 consideration. This work aimed to understand the individual characteristics in adolescents from four
39 European countries associated with the regular consumption and liking of vegetables with more appealing
40 and less appealing sensory properties. Adolescents from Denmark (N=178), the UK (N=155), France (N=206)
41 and Italy (N=197) completed self-report questionnaires to assess all variables. We found higher self-
42 reported consumption and liking of vegetables with more appealing than less appealing sensory properties.
43 Regular consumption of both types of vegetable was associated with healthier eating habits and a higher
44 liking for each vegetable type. Liking for vegetables with more appealing sensory properties was higher in
45 individuals with lower food neophobia, healthier eating habits, higher interest in consuming foods for
46 sensory reasons and higher liking for vegetables with less appealing properties. Liking for vegetables with
47 less appealing sensory properties was higher in individuals with lower food neophobia, higher concern for
48 the consumption of natural foods, and higher liking for vegetables with more appealing properties. Some
49 gender and country-specific differences were also found. Our findings suggest that strategies to increase
50 vegetable consumption in adolescents should focus on increasing healthy eating in general, increasing
51 vegetable liking, and may benefit from reducing food neophobia and enhancing the positive sensory and
52 natural aspects of vegetables.

53

54 **Keywords:** vegetables, taste, individual characteristics, demographic characteristics

55

56

57 **1. INTRODUCTION**

58 A high vegetable consumption is associated with many health benefits (Appleton, Hemingway, Saulais, et
59 al., 2016; Aune, Giovannucci, Boffetta, et al., 2017; Oyedobe, Gordon-Dseagu, Walker & Mindell, 2014;
60 Wang, Ouyang, Liu, et al., 2014; Woodside, Young & McKinley, 2013), yet vegetable consumption across
61 Europe and the world remain lower than recommended for health reasons (EFSA, Vereecken, Pedersen,
62 Ojala, et al., 2015).

63

64 Vegetable consumption is reported to be particularly low in adolescence (EFSA, 2008; Vereecken et al.,
65 2015). EFSA report mean intakes across 25 European countries that range from 26g/day in Sweden to
66 227g/day in Poland, and Vereecken et al., 2015 report daily vegetable intakes in between only 20%
67 (Estonia) and 54% adolescents (Belgium) in 33 European countries. Dietary intakes in adolescence are
68 important. Adolescence is a period of rapid development, from physical, cognitive and social perspectives,
69 when food choice also becomes under more individual control (Mikkila, Rasanen, Raitakari, Pietinen &
70 Viikari, 2005; Nu, MacLeod & Barthelemy, 1996; Story, Neumark-Sztainer & French, 2002), and when the
71 development of eating habits can become established and sustained (Larson, Neumark-Sztainer, Harnack,
72 Wall, Story & Eisenberg, 2008; Li & Wang 2008; Mikkila et al, 2005; Von Post-Skagegard, Samuelson,
73 Karlstrom, Mohsen, Berglund & Bratteby, 2002).

74

75 Many reasons have previously been given for low vegetable consumption in adolescence. Environmental
76 and societal factors continue to impact considerably on adolescents, as is found for children (Gebremariam,
77 Henjum, Terragni & Torheim, 2016; Giskes, Turrell, Patterson & Newman, 2002; Larson et al., 2008;
78 Middlestadt et al., 2013; Trude, Kharmats, Hurley, Anderson Steeves, Talegawkar & Gittelsohn, 2016). Low
79 vegetable consumption in adolescents has been associated with low parental education and socio-
80 economic status (Gebremariam et al, 2016; Giskes et al, 2002; Middlestadt et al, 2013), low vegetable
81 consumption by the parents (Gebremariam et al, 2016; Middlestadt et al, 2013), low availability in the
82 home and a family environment that is unsupportive of vegetable consumption (Gebremariam et al, 2016;
83 Larson et al, 2008; Middlestadt et al, 2013; Trude et al, 2016).

84

85 Taste, texture, and liking can also be important in adolescents, as is found for children (Cox, Melo, Zabar,as,
86 Delahunty, 2012; Dinehart, Hayes, Bartoshuk, Lanier & Duffy, 2006; Dinnella et al., 2016; Krolner,
87 Rasmussen, Burg, Klepp, Wind & Due, 2011; Larson et al., 2008; Middlestadt et al., 2013). Vegetables are
88 often reported to be poorly liked and so poorly consumed due to unappealing tastes, such as bitter and
89 sour (Cox et al., 2012; Dinehart et al., 2006; Dinnella et al., 2016; Krolner et al., 2011), and unappealing
90 textures, such as slimy, granular and hard / hard-skinned (Dinnella et al., 2016; Krolner et al., 2011;
91 Zeinstra, Koelen, Kok & de Graaf, 2010). Not all vegetables however have unappealing tastes and textures.
92 Many vegetables are considered more sweet-tasting than bitter-tasting by both trained and consumer
93 panels (Cox et al., 2012; Martin, Visalli, Lange, Schlich & Issanchou, 2014; van Stokkom, Teo, Mars, de
94 Graaf, van Kooten & Stieger, 2016), and some vegetables have pleasant textures and bright colourful visual
95 appeal (Dinnella et al., 2016; Poelman, Delahunty & de Graaf, 2017). Vegetables with more appealing
96 properties offer micronutrients and so health benefits, although the health benefits of different vegetables
97 are known to differ (Appleton et al, 2016). There is some suggestion that less appealing dark leafy green
98 vegetables have greater health benefits in general, but ideally a range of vegetables and so a combination
99 of vegetables with more appealing and less appealing sensory properties should be consumed for health
100 benefits (Appleton et al., 2016; Aune et al., 2017; Oyedobe et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2014; Woodside et al.,
101 2013).

102

103 Individual cognitions, such as attitudes, beliefs and understanding, also gain increasing importance in the
104 eating habits of adolescents. Vegetable consumption in adolescents has been associated with an awareness
105 of food knowledge and the importance of vegetables for health (Middlestadt et al., 2013; Trude et al.,
106 2016), increased self-efficacy for healthy eating (Gebremariam et al., 2016; Trude et al., 2016) and a
107 willingness and ability to ask for vegetables from parents (Middlestadt et al., 2013). The data by Vereecken
108 et al., 2015, however show lower vegetable intakes in 15 year olds compared to 13 years olds, and lower
109 intakes in 13 year olds compared to 11 year olds (Vereecken et al., 2015).

110

111 Other studies demonstrate the importance of sensory characteristics in the consumption of vegetables, and
112 demonstrate distinctions between vegetables based on taste properties (Cox et al., 2012; Dinehart et al.,
113 2006; Dinnella et al., 2016; Van Stokkom et al., 2016). Dinnella et al., 2016, for example, find carrots and
114 tomatoes to be characterized by sweet, umami and delicate flavours, while cauliflower and broccoli were
115 characterised by bitter tastes and objectionable flavours. Van Stokkom et al., 2016, find the majority of
116 vegetables in their study to be characterised by a sweet taste, while tomato products were characterized
117 more by umami and sour tastes, and onion and leek juices were more characterized by bitter tastes. Few
118 studies have focussed on adolescents. Focussing on vegetables with differing sensory properties and
119 individual adolescent cognitions may offer opportunities for targeting and increasing adolescent vegetable
120 consumption. Strategies to increase vegetable consumption in adolescents are sorely needed (Appleton et
121 al., 2016), but these strategies should be based on the determinants or barriers to vegetable consumption
122 on a population-wide basis. Interventions that either address challenges or maximise facilitators will have
123 increased chances of success compared to those without a similar theoretical underpinning (Craig, Dieppe,
124 Macintyre, Michie, Nzareth & Petticrew, 2008; Michie, van Stralen & West, 2011). Furthermore,
125 interventions that focus on challenges or facilitators that impact on a large proportion of the population
126 will be of increased impact on a population-wide scale (Craig et al., 2008). This work aimed to investigate
127 the individual characteristics associated with the regular consumption and liking of vegetables with both
128 more appealing and less appealing sensory properties in adolescents from four European countries.

129

130 2. METHOD

131 Data were collected as part of the VeggiEAT project, an EU-funded project aiming to understand and
132 increase vegetable intakes in adolescents and older adults from four European countries – from North to
133 South: Denmark, the United Kingdom, France and Italy. These countries represent different European
134 cultures, cuisines and consumption patterns, particularly with respect to vegetables (EFSA, 2008; Pelt,
135 1993). In Italy, for example, raw and salad vegetables are frequently consumed, while the traditional diet in
136 the UK contains more cooked and more root vegetables (EFSA, 2008). The proportion of adolescents eating

137 vegetables daily are also reported to be low in these countries. Figures range from 45% in France, 42% in
138 Denmark, 38% in England to only 25% in Italy (Vereecken et al., 2015).

139

140 Data to assess the regular consumption of a number of vegetables, liking for vegetables and various
141 individual characteristics that may impact on vegetable consumption were assessed using self-report
142 questionnaires.

143

144 **2.1. Questionnaire**

145 The questionnaire assessed various demographic characteristics, self-reported regular consumption of
146 various vegetables, liking for various vegetables, and individual attitudes to food consumption, in that
147 order.

148

149 **2.1.1. Demographic characteristics:** The demographic characteristics assessed were gender, age, country of
150 residence, and social affluence. Social affluence was assessed using the four questions and scoring system
151 of the Family Affluence Scale (FAS II) developed by Boyce and Dallago (2004): 'Does your family own a car,
152 van or truck?', answers 'no' (score 0), 'yes, one car or van' (score 1), 'yes, more than one car or van' (score
153 2); 'Do you have your own bedroom for yourself?', answers 'no' (score 0), 'yes' (score 1); 'How many
154 computers does your family own? (Do not include playstations or other computers that can only be used
155 for games)', answers 'none' (score 0), 'one' (score 1), 'two' (score 2), 'more than two' (score 3); 'During the
156 past 12 months, how many times did you travel away on holiday with your family?' answers 'not at all'
157 (score 0), 'once' (score 1), 'twice' (score 2), 'more than twice' (score 3). Answers to all questions were
158 summed to result in a score from 0 (low affluence) to 9 (high affluence).

159

160 **2.1.2. Regular vegetable consumption:** Regular vegetable consumption was assessed by asking for
161 consumption of 11 vegetables that are used in all four European countries (EFSA, 2008): 'broccoli', 'carrots',
162 'cauliflower', 'green beans', 'green salad', 'peas', 'spinach', 'sweetcorn', 'tomatoes', 'courgettes', and
163 'beans, other than green beans'. These 11 vegetables were chosen due to differences in a number of

164 sensory properties (Poelman et al., 2017; Zeinstra et al., 2010), different uses in the different cuisines of the
165 four European countries (EFSA, 2008; Pelt, 1993), and with consideration for other aspects of the larger
166 VeggiEAT project. Vegetables were classified into two groups according to their sensory properties, based
167 on the ratings of consumer and trained panels (Baxter, Schroder & Bower, 2000; Engel, Martin & Issanchou,
168 2006; Poelman et al., 2017; Zeinstra, Koelen, Kok & de Graaf, 2007). Of the 11 vegetables, 'carrots', 'peas',
169 'sweetcorn', and 'tomatoes' were classified as vegetables with more appealing sensory properties, due to
170 the presence of a sweet taste, delicate flavour and bright appealing colour, while 'broccoli', 'cauliflower',
171 'green salad', and 'spinach' were classified as vegetables with less appealing sensory properties. These
172 vegetables are typically characterized by generally disliked sensory properties such as bitter taste,
173 astringent sensation, objectionable flavour and dark unattractive colour. Three vegetables - 'courgettes',
174 'green beans' and 'beans, other than green beans' typically receive similar ratings for sweet and bitter taste
175 and neutral ratings for visual appeal, so were not assigned to either group. The question on consumption
176 was included as part of a measure asking individuals to report their combined knowledge and frequency of
177 consumption for all 11 vegetables developed by Backstrom, Pirttila-Backman & Tuorila (2004). This
178 questionnaire describes combined knowledge and frequency of consumption increasing from lexical / visual
179 knowledge, to a taste experience not associated with consumption, to frequency of consumption using the
180 categories: 'I do not recognize the product'; 'I recognize the product, but I have not tasted it'; 'I have
181 tasted, but I do not use the product'; 'I occasionally eat the product'; and 'I regularly eat the product'
182 (Backstrom et al., 2004). Responses to the option 'I regularly eat this' were summed to provide number of
183 vegetables with more appealing sensory properties (of 4) and number of vegetables with less appealing
184 sensory properties (of 4) that were regularly consumed.

185

186 **2.1.3. Liking:** Liking was assessed for each of the 11 vegetables above, on an individual basis using a nine-
187 point scale ranging from 'I don't like it at all' (score 1) to 'I neither like it nor don't like it' (score 5) to 'I like it
188 a lot' (score 9). Scores were then summed across all 4 vegetables with more appealing sensory properties
189 and all 4 vegetables with less appealing sensory properties for analysis, to provide a score per vegetable
190 type from 4 (very low liking) – 36 (very high liking).

191

192 **2.1.4. Attitudes:** Individual attitudes towards food consumption were assessed using four published
193 questionnaires. The Adolescent Food Habits Checklist (AFHC) (Johnson, Wardle & Griffith, 2002) provides a
194 measure of healthy eating in adolescents based on self-reported food choices using 23 items requesting
195 agreement or disagreement with a number of dietary practices. Response options include true (score 1 for
196 a healthy behavior, 0 for a less healthy behavior), false (score 1 for a healthy behavior, 0 for a less healthy
197 behavior), or not applicable (score 0), and are summed to result in a single score from 0 (less healthy
198 dietary habits) to 23 (more healthy dietary habits). The Restraint Scale of the Dutch Eating Behaviour
199 Questionnaire (DEBQ-R) (van Strien, Frijters, Bergers & Defares, 1986) allows an assessment of restricted
200 eating for weight control using 10 items asking for frequency of several weight control behaviours.
201 Response options range from never (score 1) to very often (score 5), and are averaged across all questions
202 to result in a single score from 1 (low restraint) to 5 (high restraint). The Food Neophobia Scale (FNS) (Pliner
203 & Hobden, 1992) assesses reluctance to try new or unfamiliar foods using 10 items requesting agreement
204 or disagreement with a number of statements on new or unfamiliar foods. Response options range from
205 strongly disagree (score 1) to strongly agree (score 7) on a 7 point scale, and are summed across all
206 questions to result in a single score from 10 (low neophobia) to 70 (high neophobia). The Food Choice
207 Questionnaire (FCQ) (Steptoe, Pollard & Wardle, 1995) measures a range of motivations underlying eating
208 behavior and food choice. Three scales were used – those based on eating for mood-based reasons (6
209 items), eating for sensory-based reasons (4 items), and concern for eating natural foods / products (3
210 items). Items requested agreement or disagreement with motivations for eating, using response options
211 ranging from strongly disagree (score 1) to strongly agree (score 7) on a 7 point scale, and are averaged
212 across all questions to result in a single score from 1 (low motivation) to 7 (high motivation). These three
213 scales were chosen to reflect the motivations for food choice over which adolescents aged 12-16 years
214 have control, that were not assessed by the other questionnaires. All questionnaires were demonstrated as
215 reliable and validated at the time of development. Cronbach's alpha's for the responses on questionnaires
216 using continuous scales in this study ranged from 0.80 to 0.88. All questions were translated from English
217 into relevant languages and back translated to ensure accurate translations. All questionnaires are

218 frequently used to assess eating related attitudes and various studies demonstrate their applicability across
219 countries and cultures (Brunault et al., 2015; Fotopoulos, Krystallis, Vassallo & Pagisalis, 2009;
220 Januszewska, Pieniak & Verbeke, 2011; Monteleone et al., 2017; Pieniak, Verbeke, Vanhonacker, Guerrero
221 & Hersleth, 2009; Ritchey, Frank, Hursti & Tuorila, 2003).

222

223 **2.2. Questionnaire Administration**

224 Questionnaires were administered in paper form either following a separate task assessing the sensory
225 characteristics of several different pea and sweetcorn samples (see Dinnella et al., 2016), or via teachers
226 and researchers as an independent study. Where tasks were undertaken, these were undertaken
227 separately from completing the questionnaire, and are very unlikely to have had any impact on
228 questionnaire responses. Using both types of recruitment, for inclusion in the study, individuals were
229 required to be aged 12 – 16 years and able to fully understand and complete the consent procedures and
230 questionnaires. This age range was selected to typify adolescents as individuals with some choice over their
231 food intake, but where the choice remains limited for various reasons, such as the home environment,
232 parental expectations, and limited incomes. Individuals over the age of 16 years (while technically
233 adolescents) may demonstrate food choices more similar to those of adults resulting in a less distinctive
234 sample and less informative investigation. No other inclusion / exclusion criteria were used to enhance the
235 generalisability of the study findings. All participants provided written informed consent from themselves
236 and from a parent / guardian prior to taking part. Researchers were available to answer questions if
237 requested. The study was approved by the Research Ethics Committees of the University of Copenhagen,
238 Denmark; Bournemouth University, UK; Institut Paul Bocuse, France; and the University of Florence, Italy,
239 prior to commencement.

240

241 Questionnaires were administered until a sample size of at least 150 participants was gained per country,
242 as required for the analyses we wished to conduct (Cohen, 1998). A minimum of 150 participants would
243 allow the detection of an effect size of 0.15 in a regression analysis using 14 predictors, at a power of 0.80,
244 for a significance level of 0.05.

245

246 **2.3. Analysis**

247 Questionnaires with 10% missing data or more were discarded. Where less than 10% data per respondent
248 were missing, missing data were imputed using mid-scale point values where scales were used (e.g. for
249 attitudes) or means for the country sample where no scale was used, e.g. age. Less than 3% of all data
250 points were imputed, thus data imputation is likely to have had a minimal impact on our results while
251 allowing use of more of the available data.

252

253 Study samples were then described and investigated using ANOVA. General characteristics of vegetable
254 consumption and liking were investigated using correlations and ANOVA. Regular consumption of
255 vegetables with more appealing sensory properties and vegetables with less appealing sensory properties
256 and liking for both types of vegetables were then predicted using regression models. Consumption
257 outcomes were predicted using all demographic characteristics (gender, age, affluence (FAS II score), and
258 country of residence), all individual attitudes (AFHC score, DEBQ-R score, FNS score, and FCQ – Mood, FCQ
259 – Sensory and FCQ – Naturalness scores), and liking for both types of vegetables. Country was considered
260 on an individual basis with respect to France. The countries can only be included in the regression models
261 with respect to another country (as we essentially have no zero), so we can not include them all. As a
262 result, France can not be included in the regression models. We chose to consider all countries with respect
263 to France because the sample size from France was the largest. Effects per country should be considered
264 ‘with respect to France’ not as independent effects. Liking outcomes were predicted using the same
265 demographic characteristics and individual attitudes. Correlations were first run to ensure against multi-co-
266 linearity, and no high correlations between predictor variables were found (largest $r=0.46$, $p<0.01$). All
267 analyses were conducted in SPSS, version 23 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA).

268

269 **3. RESULTS**

270 Descriptive statistics for all individual characteristics and attitudes, and for vegetable consumption and
271 liking for each country sample are provided in Table 1. Significant differences between countries were
272 found in all individual characteristics and attitudes (smallest $F(3,735)=6.96$, $p<0.01$).

273

274 Taking all countries together, adolescents reported regularly consuming significantly more vegetables with
275 more appealing sensory properties than vegetables with less appealing sensory properties
276 ($F(1,732)=388.12$, $p<0.01$), and consumption of both types of vegetables was higher in France > Denmark >
277 UK and Italy ($F(3,732)=9.55$, $p<0.01$). Adolescents also reported liking vegetables with more appealing
278 sensory properties more than vegetables with less appealing sensory properties ($F(1,732)=374.15$, $p<0.01$),
279 and liking for both types of vegetables was higher in Denmark > France > UK > Italy ($F(3,732)=38.19$,
280 $p<0.01$). Liking for both types of vegetables were also correlated with each other ($r=0.46$, $p<0.01$).

281

282 Table 1 about here

283

284 Individual characteristics and attitudes associated with the regular consumption of both types of vegetables
285 are given in Table 2. **Taking other variables into account**, regular consumption of vegetables with more
286 appealing sensory properties was lower in Denmark, and higher in individuals with healthier eating habits
287 and with a higher liking for vegetables with less appealing sensory properties. A regular consumption of
288 vegetables with less appealing sensory properties was also lower in Denmark, and was higher in individuals
289 with healthier eating habits, and with a higher liking for vegetables with more appealing sensory properties.

290

291 Table 2 about here

292

293 Individual characteristics and attitudes associated with liking both types of vegetables are given in Table 3.
294 **Taking other variables into account**, liking for vegetables with more appealing sensory properties was
295 higher in males, and in Denmark and Italy, and was higher in individuals with a lower food neophobia,
296 healthier eating habits, a higher interest in consuming foods for sensory reasons and in individuals with a

297 higher liking for vegetables with less appealing sensory properties. Liking for vegetables with less appealing
298 sensory properties was higher in females and in Denmark, and was higher in individuals with a lower food
299 neophobia, a higher concern for the consumption of natural foods, and a higher liking for vegetables with
300 more appealing sensory properties.

301

302 Table 3 about here

303

304 **4. DISCUSSION**

305 Adolescents reported regularly consuming more vegetables with more appealing sensory properties than
306 with less appealing sensory properties. This finding has been demonstrated previously (Cox et al., 2012;
307 Dinehart et al., 2006; Dinnella et al., 2016; Krolner et al., 2011). However, for both types of vegetables,
308 regular consumption was lower in Denmark, and was higher in individuals with healthier eating habits, and
309 in individuals with a higher liking for each type of vegetable respectively.

310

311 While consumption was higher for vegetables with more appealing sensory properties, the comparability
312 between vegetable types in the associations is interesting, and suggests associations with vegetable
313 consumption in general as opposed to with the consumption of specific vegetables. Associations between a
314 higher vegetable consumption, healthier eating habits in general and a higher liking for other vegetables
315 have been demonstrated across the lifespan (e.g. Glasson, Chapman & James, 2011; Mikkila et al., 2005).
316 Our study confirms these associations in adolescents from across Europe (Gebremarian et al., 2016;
317 Johnson et al., 2002; Krolner et al., 2011; Larson et al., 2008; Middlestadt et al., 2013), and suggests that
318 regular vegetable consumption is part of a healthy diet, even in adolescents. Liking is also a well-known
319 predictor of food consumption across the lifespan (Appleton, 2006; Appleton, McGill, Neville & Woodside,
320 2010; Appleton et al., 2017; Brug, Tak, te Velde, Bere & de Bourdeudhuij, 2008; Glasson et al., 2011;
321 Mingioni et al., 2016), and some work has previously suggested a likely increased importance of liking for
322 food consumption in young individuals, such as adolescents (Appleton et al., 2016, Cox et al., 2012;
323 Dinehart et al., 2006; Krolner et al., 2011).

324

325 Importantly also, these factors - liking and healthy eating habits are potentially malleable. Several studies
326 demonstrate the value of a number of strategies for increasing vegetable liking (Appleton et al, 2016).
327 Repeated exposure, the use of rewards and the provision of positive education or experiences have all
328 been found to increase liking for vegetables (Appleton, Hemingway, Rajska & Hartwell, 2018; Appleton et
329 al, 2016; Nicklaus, 2016; Wadhera, Capaldi-Philips & Wilkie, 2015). Furthermore, while many studies have
330 so far been conducted in children, success using these techniques for increasing liking and preferences for
331 foods in other age-groups has also been demonstrated (Appleton, 2013; Appleton, Gentry & Shepherd,
332 2006; Mobini, Chambers & Yeomans, 2007), including in adolescents (Ratcliffe, Merrigan, Rogers &
333 Goldberg, 2011). The clustering of healthy eating habits to include the consumption of vegetables among a
334 diet of other more healthy food items, also testifies to the benefit of strategies to increase healthy eating in
335 general. Studies again demonstrate the value of interventions that focus not just on increasing vegetable
336 preferences and consumption, but also on increasing preferences and the consumption of other healthy
337 foods, and a general interest in a healthy diet (e.g. deCosta et al., 2017; Maderuelo-Fernandez et al., 2015;
338 Savoie-Roskos, Wengreen & Durward, 2017; Zhou et al., 2018).

339

340 Adolescents also reported higher liking for vegetables with more appealing sensory properties than for
341 those with less appealing sensory properties, as has again been demonstrated previously (Cox et al., 2012;
342 Dinehart et al., 2006; Dinnella et al., 2016; Krolner et al., 2011). Comparability was again found between
343 the vegetable types. Liking for both types of vegetables was associated with a lower food neophobia, and a
344 higher liking for the other vegetables. Lower food neophobia has been repeatedly reported in association
345 with increased vegetable liking and consumption (Guzek, Glabska, Lange & Jewewska-Zychomicz, 2017;
346 Laureati et al., 2018; Mielby, Norgaard, Edelenbos & Thybo, 2012; Mustonen, Oerlemans & Tuorila, 2012;
347 Russell & Worsley, 2008). Lower food neophobia has also been linked to increased variety within the diet
348 (Falciglia, Couch, Gribble, Pabst & Frank, 2000), and increased preferences for and an increased
349 consumption of different foods and different tastes (Flight, Leppard & Cox, 2003; Mielby et al., 2012).
350 These findings suggest that strategies to increase vegetable liking may benefit from decreasing food

351 neophobia. Lower food neophobia has been found to be associated with a higher exposure to different
352 cuisines and cultural diversity (Flight et al., 2003; Mustonen et al., 2012), and there is some evidence that
353 educational interventions can reduce food neophobia to some degree (e.g. Park & Cho, 2016). The
354 associations between vegetable types also suggest that adolescents who like vegetables with both more
355 and less appealing sensory properties typically like a range of vegetable tastes, and again may suggest a
356 liking for vegetables in general and a clustering of healthy eating preferences.

357

358 Differences in liking for vegetables based on their sensory characteristics were also found. Liking for
359 vegetables with more appealing sensory properties was associated again with healthier eating habits, and
360 with higher food choice motivations based on sensory reasons, and liking for vegetables with less appealing
361 sensory properties was associated with higher interests in the consumption of natural foods. The
362 association with healthier eating habits suggests again an interest in healthy eating in general, but it is
363 interesting that this was found only for the vegetables with more appealing sensory properties. This may
364 suggest a greater tolerance for the inclusion of vegetables with more appealing sensory properties into a
365 healthy diet, and may suggest greater chances of increasing healthy diets by focussing on foods with more
366 appealing sensory properties. Furthermore, the sensory component of the Food Choice Questionnaire
367 involves smell, taste and appearance (Stephoe et al, 1995), and characteristics other than taste may be
368 contributing to the higher liking for the more appealing vegetables in this study. Preferences have been
369 found for foods with bright appealing colours (Dinnella, Torri, Caporale & Monteleone, 2014; Salles,
370 Nicklaus & Septier, 2003; Varming et al., 2004), and for foods that are highly familiar through widespread
371 use and consumption (Dinnella et al, 2016; Poelman & Delahunty, 2011; Poelman, Delahunty & de Graaf,
372 2015). The taste intensities of vegetables have also been reported as low compared to those for other
373 foods (van Stokkom et al., 2016). Sensory food choice motives have previously been linked positively to
374 improved personal health (Stephoe et al, 1995). Our findings suggest that strategies to promote liking for
375 vegetables with more appealing sensory properties may benefit from a focus on (all) sensory properties, or
376 from enhancement of these properties. Studies that enhance taste, through the addition of salt and/or
377 sweet compounds are demonstrating some success (Bouhlal et al., 2013; Sharafi, Hayes & Duffy, 2013),

378 although complete dietary profiles also need to be considered. Studies that enhance visual appearance
379 however have also demonstrated increases in intakes (Correia, O'Connell, Irwin & Henderson, 2014).

380

381 An interest in consuming natural foods has previously been linked to increased fruit and vegetable
382 consumption (Pollard, Steptoe & Wardle, 1998), and organic food consumers typically consume more plant-
383 based foods (Baudry et al., 2015; Kesse-Guyot et al., 2013) and are more likely to be vegetarian (Baudry et
384 al., 2015). Natural and organic food consumption has previously been found to be highly correlated with
385 healthy eating (Steptoe et al., 1995; Kesse-Guyot et al., 2013). Based on our findings, strategies to increase
386 preferences for vegetables with less appealing sensory properties may benefit from a focus on the natural
387 aspects of these foods. Promotion of the natural aspects of vegetables will apply to all vegetables, and may
388 be beneficial for all vegetable consumption, but associations here suggest specific benefit for vegetables
389 with less appealing sensory properties. Considering the increased health benefits from consuming a range
390 of vegetables, promotion of vegetables with less appealing sensory properties, that may be less likely
391 consumed by choice, may be particularly valuable for health (Appleton et al. 2016; Aune et al. 2017;
392 Oyedobe et al. 2014; Wang et al. 2014; Woodside et al. 2013).

393

394 A suggestion to focus on the sensory aspects of foods with more preferred sensory profiles and to focus on
395 other positive aspects of foods with less appealing sensory profiles is a direct novel result of this work. This
396 finding adds weight to previous arguments for a multitude of reasons for food choice (Steptoe et al., 1995),
397 and suggests the need for a variety of strategies to increase healthy food intakes.

398

399 Demographic differences were also found in our study. Liking for vegetables with more appealing sensory
400 properties was higher in males, and in Denmark and Italy, and liking for vegetables with less appealing
401 sensory properties was higher in females and in Denmark. Higher preferences in males for vegetables with
402 more appealing sensory properties is a novel finding. These findings suggest that the promotion of
403 vegetables with more appealing sensory properties - with sweeter tastes, more delicate flavours and
404 brighter colours may be a more promising route for increasing vegetable consumption in males specifically.

405 A higher liking and / or consumption of vegetables by females compared to males has previously been
406 found (Baudry et al., 2015; Guzek et al., 2017; Johnson et al., 2002; Kesse-Guyot et al., 2013; Mikkila et al.,
407 2005; Pollard et al., 1998), and has previously been attributed to an increase in healthy eating or attitudes
408 towards healthy eating in females (Johnson et al., 2002; Mikkila et al., 2005, Monteleone et al., 2017).

409

410 Country-specific differences are likely to result, at least partly, from different cultures and consumption
411 practices (Pelt, 1993), but differences may also have been found here as a result of differences between the
412 samples based on demographic or individual variables that were not taken into account (e.g. parental
413 education (Mustonen et al., 2012), PROP sensitivity (Sharafi et al., 2013)), or differences between
414 participants in their use or understanding of the rating scales or questionnaire items (Harzing et al., 2009).
415 Particularly, the concept of 'regular' may differ between cultures, resulting in different interpretations of
416 this question. This latter concern may specifically explain the reported higher liking but lower consumption
417 of both types of vegetables by the Danish sample, when other variables were taken into account. This
418 effect was masked when looking at simple group differences, presumably due to other differences between
419 the samples, e.g. in terms of demographic characteristics. This effect however is also reported in broader
420 studies on consumption, where more Northern European countries typically consume less vegetables than
421 more Southern European countries (EFSA, 2008; Vereecken et al., 2015). These effects are largely
422 attributed to culture, climate and agricultural practices (Pelt, 1993), and may suggest an increased need for
423 interventions to increase vegetable consumption in countries that are further North.

424

425 The strengths of the study include the consideration of a large sample size in each of the four European
426 countries, the use of validated work to describe our vegetables based on sensory properties, and the use of
427 validated questionnaires for all individual characteristics. The study is limited by the use of self-report
428 questionnaires, and the use of rating scales that may not have been used in a comparable manner between
429 countries (Harzing et al., 2009). Although self-report measures are commonly used in questionnaire studies
430 of dietary behaviours, and brief measures have been reported as valid methods for measuring vegetable
431 intake (Mainvil, Horwath, McKenzie & Lawson, 2011; Wolfe, Frongillo & Cassano, 2001), these measures

432 can be prone to inaccuracies and biases such as social desirability bias (Bingham, 1987). We also assessed
433 liking for and consumption of only four vegetables with more appealing sensory properties and four
434 vegetables with less appealing sensory properties, and although these vegetables were selected as those
435 consumed in the four European countries, and were intended to allow comparisons between countries, we
436 do recognize that different vegetables taste different (Dinnella et al., 2016; van Stokkom et al., 2016), thus
437 different findings may have occurred had we used different specific vegetables. Tastes can differ also
438 dependent on agricultural practices and preparation styles (Poelman & Delahunty 2011; Poelman et al.,
439 2015; van Stokkom et al., 2016), thus differences between countries may genuinely arise. While these
440 differences may have resulted in slight differences in absolute ratings, however, there is no reason to
441 suspect any systematic bias in the associations between vegetable liking or consumption and individual
442 attitudes based on our measures. The low comparability between country samples also limits the cross-
443 country conclusions that can be made. This variability between samples however, does not reduce the
444 value of the findings from our main analyses. Importantly however, while this work was conducted to
445 suggest strategies to increase vegetable intakes, it must be recognised that our data are cross-sectional
446 only and thus relationships may be bidirectional or may be influenced by additional variables. High
447 vegetable intake may result in high vegetable liking as a result of exposure to positive experiences, or high
448 vegetable availability may result in both high vegetable liking and high vegetable intakes through
449 familiarity. Our suggested strategies are suggestions only – any intervention would need full testing before
450 it can be recommended.

451

452 In conclusion, our findings demonstrate higher consumption of and liking for vegetables with more
453 appealing sensory properties than for vegetables with less appealing sensory properties in European
454 adolescents. Greater regular consumption of both types of vegetables was found in individuals with
455 healthier eating habits, and in individuals with a higher liking for each type of vegetable. Liking for both
456 types of vegetables was associated with lower food neophobia and higher liking for other vegetable tastes.
457 Liking for vegetables with more appealing sensory properties specifically was associated with healthier
458 eating habits in general and a higher interest in consuming foods for sensory reasons and liking for

459 vegetables with less appealing sensory properties specifically was associated with a higher interest in the
460 consumption of natural foods. Our findings suggest that strategies to increase vegetable consumption in
461 adolescents may benefit from focussing on increasing healthy eating in general, and increasing vegetable
462 liking. Increasing liking may benefit from strategies that reduce food neophobia, focus on sensory
463 properties, or focus on the natural properties of vegetables.

464

465 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

466 Funding: This work was supported by the EU, and is part of EU/FP7 Funded project: VeggiEAT [Grant Nr
467 PIAP-GA-2013-612326]. The funder played no role in the design, conduct or write-up of the work.

468

469 **REFERENCES**

470 Appleton, K. M. (2006). Behavioural determinants of daily energy intake during a 28-day outdoor
471 expedition in Arctic Norway. *Scand J Food Nutr*, 50, 139-146.

472

473 Appleton, K. M. (2013). Increases in fruit intakes in low consumers of fruit following two community-based
474 repeated exposure interventions. *Brit J Nutr*, 109, 795-801.

475

476 Appleton, K. M., Dinnella, C., Spinelli, S., Morizet, D., Saulais, L., Hemingway, A., Monteleone, E., Depezay,
477 L., Perez-Cueto, F. J. A., & Hartwell, H. (2017). Consumption of a high quantity and a wide variety of
478 vegetables are predicted by different food choice motives in older adults from France, Italy and the UK.
479 *Nutrients*, 9, 923.

480

481 Appleton, K. M., Gentry, R. C., & Shepherd, R. (2006). Evidence of a role for conditioning in the
482 development of liking for flavours in humans in everyday life. *Physiol Behav*, 87, 478-486.

483

484 Appleton, K. M., Hemingway, A., Rajska, J., & Hartwell, H. (2018). Repeated exposure and conditioning
485 strategies for increasing vegetable liking and intake: Systematic review and meta-analyses of the published
486 literature. *Am J Clin Nutr*, *108*, 842-856.

487

488 Appleton, K. M., Hemingway, A., Saulais, L., Dinnella, C., Monteleone, E., Depazay, L., et al. (2016).
489 Increasing vegetable intakes: Rationale and systematic review of published interventions. *Eur J Nutr*, *55*,
490 869-896

491

492 Appleton, K. M., McGill, R., Neville, C., & Woodside, J. V. (2010). Barriers to increasing fruit and vegetable
493 intakes in the older population of Northern Ireland: Low levels of liking and low awareness of current
494 recommendations. *Pub Health Nutr*, *13*, 514–521.

495

496 Aune, D., Giovannucci, E., Boffetta, P., Fadnes, L. T., Keum, N., Norat, T., et al. (2017). Fruit and vegetable
497 intake and the risk of cardiovascular disease, total cancer and all-cause mortality – a systematic review and
498 dose-response meta-analysis of prospective studies. *Int J Epidemiol*, *46*, 1029-56.

499

500 Backstrom, A., Pirttila-Backman, A. M., & Tuorila, H. (2004). Willingness to try new foods as predicted by
501 social representations and attitude and trait scales. *Appetite*, *43*, 75–83.

502

503 Baudry, J., Mejean, C., Peneau, S., et al. (2015). Health and dietary traits of organic food consumers: results
504 from the NutriNet-Sante study. *Brit J Nutr*, *114*, 2064-73.

505

506 Baxter, I. A., Schroder, M. J. A., & Bower, J. A. (2000). Children's perceptions of and preference for
507 vegetable in the west of Scotland: The role of demographic factors. *J Sensory Stud*, *15*, 361-381.

508

509 Bingham, S. A. (1987). The dietary assessment of individuals: Methods, accuracy, new techniques and
510 recommendation. *Nutr Abstr Rev (Ser A)*, *57*, 705–737.

511

512 Bouhlal, S., Chabanet, C., Issanchou, S. et al. (2013). Salt content impacts food preferences and intake
513 among children. *PLoS One*, 8, e53971.

514

515 Boyce, W., & Dallago, L. (2004). Socioeconomic inequalities. In Currie, C., Roberts, C., Morgan, A., Smith, R.,
516 Settertobulte, W., Samdal, O., Rasmussen, V.B. (eds.) *Young People's Health in Context. Health Behaviour in*
517 *School-Aged Children (HBSC) Study: International Report from the 2001/2002 Survey. Health Policy for*
518 *Children and Adolescents*, No. 4. World Health Organization.

519

520 Brug, J., Tak, N. .I, te Velde, S. J., Bere, E., & de Bourdeaudhuij, I. (2008). Taste preferences, liking and other
521 factors related to fruit and vegetable intakes among schoolchildren: Results from observational studies. *Brit*
522 *J Nutr*, 99, S7–S14.

523

524 Brunault, P., Rabemampianina, I., Apfeldorfer, G., Ballon, N., Couet, C., Réveillère, C., et al. (2015). The
525 Dutch Eating Behavior Questionnaire: Further psychometric validation and clinical implications of the
526 French version in normal weight and obese persons. *Presse Med*, 44, e363–e372.

527

528 Cohen, J. (1998). *Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioural Sciences*, 2nd ed.; Lawrence Earlbaum
529 Associates: Hillsdale, NJ, USA.

530

531 Correia, D. C., O'Connell, M., Irwin, M. L., & Henderson, K. E. (2014). Pairing vegetables with a liked food
532 and visually appealing presentation: promising strategies for increasing vegetable consumption among
533 preschoolers. *Child Obes*, 10, 72–76.

534

535 Coulthard, H., Palfreyman, Z., & Morizet, D. (2016). Sensory evaluation of a novel vegetable in school age
536 children. *Appetite*, 100, 64-69.

537

538 Cox, D. N., Melo, L., Zabaras, D., & Delahunty, C. M. (2012). Acceptance of health promoting Brassica
539 vegetables: The influence of taste perception, information and attitudes. *Pub Health Nutr*, *15*, 1474-1482.
540

541 Craig, P., Dieppe, P., Macintyre, S., Michie, S., Nazareth, I., & Petticrew, M. (2008). Medical Research
542 Council Guidance. Developing and evaluating complex interventions: the new Medical Research Council
543 guidance. *BMJ*, *337*, a1655.
544

545 DeCosta, P., Moller, P., Frost, M. B., & Olsen, A. (2017). Changing children's eating behaviour – A review of
546 experimental research. *Appetite*, *113*, 327-57.
547

548 Dinehart, M. E., Hayes, J. E., Bartoshuk, L. M., Lanier, S. L., & Duffy, V. B. (2006). Bitter taste markers explain
549 variability in vegetable sweetness, bitterness, and intake. *Physiol Behav*, *87*, 304-313.
550

551 Dinnella, C., Morizet, D., Masi, C., Danny, C., Depezay, L., Appleton, K. M., et al. (2016). Sensory
552 determinants of stated liking for vegetable names and actual liking for canned vegetables: a cross-country
553 study among European adolescents. *Appetite*, *107*, 339-347
554

555 Dinnella, C., Torri, L., Caporale, G., & Monteleone, E. (2014). An exploratory study of sensory attributes and
556 consumer traits underlying liking for and perceptions of freshness for ready to eat mixed salad leaves in
557 Italy. *Food Res Int*, *59*, 108-116.
558

559 Dovey, T. M., Staples, P. A., Gibson, E. L., & Halford, J. C. G. (2008). Food neophobia and 'picky/fussy' eating
560 in children: a review. *Appetite*, *50*, 181–193.
561

562 Engel, E., Martin, N., & Issanchou, S. (2006). Sensitivity to allylthiocyanate, dimethyl trisulfide, sinigrin,
563 and cooked cauliflower consumption. *Appetite*, *46*, 263e269.
564

565 European Food Safety Authority. Concise Database summary statistics - Total population. Available at:
566 <http://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/datexfoodcdb/datexfooddb.htm>. Accessed 26th June, 2018.
567

568 Falciglia, G. A., Couch, S. C., Gribble, L. S., Pabst, S. M., Frank, R. (2000). Food neophobia in childhood
569 affects dietary variety. *J Am Diet Assoc*, *100*, 1474-81.
570

571 Flight, I., Leppard, P., & Cox, D. N. (2003). Food neophobia and associations with cultural diversity and
572 socio-economic status amongst rural and urban Australian adolescents. *Appetite*, *41*, 51-59.
573

574 Fotopoulos, C., Krystallis, A., Vassallo, M., & Pagiaslis, A. (2009). Food choice questionnaire (FCQ) revisited.
575 Suggestions for the development of an enhanced general food motivation model. *Appetite*, *52*, 199–208.
576

577 Gebremariam, M. K., Henjum, S., Terragni, L., & Torheim, L. E. (2016). Correlates of fruit, vegetable, soft
578 drink, and 636 snack intake among adolescents: the ESSENS study. *Food Nutr Res*, *60*, 251-2 63.
579

580 Gibson, E. L., Desmond, E. (1999). Chocolate craving and hunger state: implications for the acquisition and
581 expression of appetite and food choice. *Appetite*, *32*, 219-40.
582

583 Giskes, K., Turrell, G., Patterson, C., & Newman, B. (2002). Socioeconomic differences in fruit and vegetable
584 consumption among Australian adolescents and adults. *Pub Health Nutr*, *5*, 663–669.
585

586 Glasson, C., Chapman, K., & James, E. (2011). Fruit and vegetables should be targeted separately in health
587 promotion programmes: Differences in consumption levels, barriers, knowledge and stages of readiness for
588 change. *Pub Health Nutr*, *14*, 694–701.
589

590 Guzek, D., Glabska, D., Lange, E., & Jewewska-Zychomicz, M. (2017). A Polish study on the influence of food
591 neophobia in children (10-12 years old) on the intake of vegetables and fruits. *Nutrients*, *9*, 563.

592

593 Harzing, A.-W., Balduenza, J., Barner-Rasmussen, W., Barzantny, C., Canabal, A., Davila, A., et al. (2009).

594 Rating versus ranking: What is the best way to reduce response and language bias in cross-national

595 research? *Int Bus Rev*, 18, 417-432.

596

597 Januszewska, R., Pieniak, Z., & Verbeke, W. (2011). Food choice questionnaire revisited in four countries.

598 Does it still measure the same? *Appetite*, 57, 94–98.

599

600 Johnson, F., Wardle, J., & Griffith, J. (2002). The Adolescent Food Habits Checklist: reliability and validity of

601 a measure of healthy eating behaviour in adolescents. *Eur J Clin Nutr*, 66, 644-9.

602

603 Kesse-Guyot, E., Peneau, S., Mejean, C., et al. (2013). Profiles of organic food consumers in a large sample

604 of French adults: results from the NutriNet-Sante cohort study. *Plos One*, 8, e76998.

605

606 Krølner, R., Rasmussen, M., Brug, J., Klepp, K., Wind, M., & Due, P. (2011). Determinants of fruit and

607 vegetable consumption among children and adolescents: A review of the literature. Part II: Qualitative

608 studies. *Int J Behav Nutr Physical Act*, 8, 112.

609

610 Larson, N. I., Neumark-Sztainer, D. R., Harnack, L. J., Wall, M. M., Story, M. T., & Eisenberg, M. E. (2008).

611 Fruit and vegetable intake correlates during the transition to young adulthood. *Am J Prev Med*, 35, 33-37.

612

613 Laureati, M., Spinelli, S., Monteleone, E., Dinnella, C., Prescott, J., Cattaneo, C., et al. (2018). Associations

614 between food neophobia and responsiveness to “warning” chemosensory sensations in food products in a

615 large population sample. *Food Qual Pref*, 68, 113-124.

616

617 Li, J., Wang, Y. (2008). Tracking of dietary intake patterns is associated with baseline characteristics of

618 urban low-income African-American adolescents. *J Nutr*, 138, 94-100.

619

620 Maderuelo-Fernandez, J. A., Recio-Rodriguez, J. I., Patino-Alonso, M. C., et al. (2015). Effectiveness of
621 interventions applicable to primary health care settings to promote Mediterranean diet or healthy eating
622 adherence in adults: A systematic review. *Prev Med*, *76*, S39-55.

623

624 Mainvil, L. A., Horwath, C. C., McKenzie, J. E., & Lawson, R. (2011). Validation of brief instruments to
625 measure adult fruit and vegetable consumption. *Appetite*, *56*, 111–117.

626

627 Martin, C., Visalli, M., Lange, C., Schlich, P., & Issanchou, S. (2014). Creation of a food taste database using
628 an in-home taste profile method. *Food Qual Pref*, *36*, 70-80.

629

630 Michie, S., van Stralen, M. M., & West, R. (2011). The behaviour change wheel: a new method for
631 characterising and designing behaviour change interventions. *Implement Sci* *6*, 42.

632

633 Middlestadt, S. E., Lederer, A. M., Smith, N. K., Doss, D., Hung, C. L., Stevenson, L. D., & Fly, A. D. (2013).
634 Determinants of middle-school students asking parents for fruits and vegetables: a theory-based salient
635 belief elicitation. *Pub Health Nutr*, *16*, 1971-8.

636

637 Mielby, L. H., Norgaard, M. K., Edelenbos, M., & Thybo, A. K. (2012). Affective response of adolescents
638 toward fruit- and vegetable-based snacks and the role of neophobia, gender and age. *J Sensory Stud*, *27*,
639 425-38.

640

641 Mikkila, V., Rasanen, L., Raitakari, O. T., Pietinen, P., & Viikari, J. (2005). Consistent dietary patterns
642 identified from childhood to adulthood: The cardiovascular risk in young Finns study. *Brit J Nutr*, *93*, 923-
643 931.

644

645 Mingioni, M., Mehinagic, E., Laguna, L., Sarkar, A., Pirttijärvi, T., VanWymelbeke, V., et al. (2016). Fruit and
646 vegetables liking among European elderly according to food preferences, attitudes towards food and
647 dependency. *Food Qual Pref*, 50, 27–37.

648

649 Mobini, S., Chambers, L. C., & Yeomans, M. R. (2007). Effects of hunger state on flavour pleasantness
650 conditioning at home: flavour-nutrient learning vs. flavour-flavour learning. *Appetite*, 48, 20-8.

651

652 Monteleone, E., Spinelli, S., Dinnella, C., Endrizzi, I., Laureati, M., Pagliarini, E., et al. (2017). Exploring
653 influences on food choice in a large population sample: The Italian Taste project. *Food Qual Pref*, 59, 123-
654 140.

655

656 Mustonen, S., Oerlemans, P., & Tuorila, H. (2012). Familiarity with and affective responses to foods in 8-11-
657 year-old children. The role of food neophobia and parental education. *Appetite*, 58, 777-80.

658

659 Nicklaus, S. (2016). The role of food experiences during early childhood in food pleasure learning. *Appetite*,
660 104, 3-9.

661

662 Nu, C. T., MacLeod, P., & Barthelemy, J. (1996). Effects of age and gender on adolescents' food habits and
663 preferences. *Food Qual Pref*, 7, 251-262.

664

665 Oyebode, O., Gordon-Dseagu, V., Walker, A., & Mindell, J. S. (2014). Fruit and vegetable consumption and
666 all-cause, cancer and CVD mortality: analysis of Health Survey for England data. *J Epidem Comm Health*, 68,
667 856-62

668

669 Park, B. K., Cho, M. S. (2016). Taste education reduces food neophobia and increases willingness to try
670 novel foods in school children. *Nutr Res Pract*, 10, 221-8.

671

672 Pelt, J. M. (1993). *Des Legumes*; Les Editions Fayard: Paris, France, 1993.

673

674 Pieniak, Z., Verbeke, W., Vanhonacker, F., Guerrero, L., & Hersleth, M. (2009). Association between
675 traditional food consumption and motives for food choice in six European countries. *Appetite, 53*, 101–108.

676

677 Pliner, P., & Hobden, K. (1992). Development of a scale to measure the trait of food neophobia in humans.
678 *Appetite, 19*, 105–120.

679

680 Poelman, A. A. M., & Delahunty, C. M. (2011). The effect of preparation method and typicality of colour on
681 children's acceptance for vegetables. *Food Qual Pref, 22*, 355-364.

682

683 Poelman, A. M., Delahunty, C. M., & de Graaf, C. (2015). Vegetable preparation practices for 5-6 years old
684 Australian children as reported by their parents; relationships with liking and consumption. *Food Qual Pref,*
685 *42*, 20-26.

686

687 Poelman, A. A., Delahunty, C. M., & de Graaf, C. (2017). Vegetables and other core food groups: A
688 comparison of key flavour and texture properties. *Food Qual Pref, 56*, 1-7.

689

690 Pollard, T. M., Steptoe, A., & Wardle, J. (1998). Motives underlying healthy eating: using the Food Choice
691 Questionnaire to explain variation in dietary intake. *J Biosoc Sci, 30*, 165-79.

692

693 Prescott, J. (2012). *Taste matters: Why we like the foods we do*. University of Chicago Press.

694

695 Ratcliffe, M. M., Merrigan, K. A., Rogers, B. L., & Goldberg, J. P. (2011). The effects of school garden
696 experiences on middle school-aged students' knowledge, attitudes, and behaviors associated with
697 vegetable consumption. *Health Prom Pract, 12*, 36-43.

698

699 Ritchey, P. N., Frank, R. A., Hursti, U.-K., & Tuorila, H. (2003). Validation and cross-national comparison of
700 the food neophobia scale (FNS) using confirmatory factor analysis. *Appetite, 40*, 163–173.
701

702 Russell, C. G., & Worsley, A. (2008). A population-based study of preschoolers' food neophobia and its
703 associations with food preferences. *J Nut Educ Behav, 40*, 11-9.
704

705 Salles, C., Nicklaus, S., & Septier, C. (2003). Determination and gustatory properties of taste-active
706 compounds in tomato juice. *Food Chem, 81*, 395-402.
707

708 Savoie-Roskos, M. R., Wengreen, H., & Durward, C. (2017). Increasing fruit and vegetable intake among
709 children and youth through gardening-based interventions: A systematic review. *J Acad Nutr Diet, 117*, 240-
710 50.
711

712 Sharafi, M., Hayes, J. E., & Duffy, V. B. (2013). Masking vegetable bitterness to improve palatability depends
713 on vegetable type and taste phenotype. *Chemosens Perc, 6*, 8-19.
714

715 Steptoe, A., Pollard, T. M., & Wardle, J. (1995). Development of a measure of the motives underlying the
716 selection of food: The food choice questionnaire. *Appetite, 25*, 267–284.
717

718 Story, M., Neumark-Sztainer, D., & French, S. (2002). Individual and environmental influences on adolescent
719 eating behaviours. *J Am Diet Assoc, 102 (suppl 3)*, S40-S51.
720

721 Trude, A. C., Kharmats, A. Y., Hurley, K. M., Anderson Steeves, E., Talegawkar, S. A., & Gittelsohn, J. (2016).
722 Household, psychosocial, and individual-level factors associated with fruit, vegetable, and fiber intake
723 among low-income urban African American youth. *BMC Public Health, 16*, 872.
724

725 Van Stokkom, V. L., Teo, P. S., Mars, M., de Graaf, C., van Kooten, O., & Stieger, M. (2016). Taste intensities
726 of ten vegetables commonly consumed in the Netherlands. *Food Research International*, *87*, 34-41.
727

728 Van Strien, T., Frijters, J. E., Bergers, G., & Defares, P. B. (1986). The Dutch Eating Behavior (DEBQ) for
729 assessment of restrained, emotional and external eating behavior. *Int. J Eating Disord*, *5*, 295–315.
730

731 Varming, C., Jensen, K., Moller, S., Brockhoff, B., Christiansen, T., Edelenbos, M., et al. (2004). Eating quality
732 of raw carrots - Correlations between flavour compounds, sensory profiling analysis and consumer liking
733 test. *Food Qual Pref*, *15*, 531 - 540.
734

735 Vereecken, C., Pedersen, T. P., Ojala, K., Krølner, R., Dzielska, A., Ahluwalia, N., et al. (2015). Fruit and
736 vegetable consumption trends among adolescents from 2002 to 2010 in 33 countries. *Eur J Public Health*,
737 *25 (Suppl 2)*, S16-S19.
738

739 Von Post – Skagegard, M., Samuelson, G., Karlstrom, B., Mohsen, R., Berglund, L., Bratteby, L. E. (2002).
740 Changes in food habits in healthy Swedish adolescents during the transition from adolescence to
741 adulthood. *Eur J Clin Nutr*, *56*, 532-538.
742

743 Wadhera, D., Capaldi-Philips, E. D., Wilkie, L. M. (2015). Teaching children to like and eat vegetables.
744 *Appetite*, *93*, 75-84.
745

746 Wang, X., Ouyang, Y., Liu, J., Zhu, M., Zhao, G., Bao, W., & Hu, F. B. (2014). Fruit and vegetable consumption
747 and mortality from all causes, cardiovascular disease, and cancer: systematic review and dose-response
748 meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *BMJ*, *349*, g4490, July 29th.
749

750 Wolfe, W. S., Frongillo, E. A., & Cassano PA. (2001). Evaluating brief measures of fruit and vegetable
751 consumption frequency and variety: Cognition, interpretation, and other measurement issues. *J Am Diet*
752 *Assoc, 101*, 311–318.

753

754 Woodside, J. V., Young, I. S., & McKinley, M. C. (2013). Fruits and vegetables: measuring intake and
755 encouraging increased consumption. *Proc Nutr Soc, 72*, 236-45.

756

757 Zeinstra, G., Koelen, M. A., Kok, F. J., & de Graaf, C. (2010). The influence of preparation method on
758 children's liking for vegetables. *Food Qual Pref, 21*, 906-914.

759

760 Zeinstra, G. G., Koelen, M. A., Kok, F. J., & de Graaf, C. (2007). Cognitive development and children's
761 perceptions of fruit and vegetables: A qualitative study. *Int J Behav Nutr Physical Act, 4*, 30.

762

763 Zhou, X., Perez-Cueto, F. J. A., Dos Santos, Q., et al. (2018). A systematic review of behavioural
764 interventions promoting healthy eating among older people. *Nutrients, 10*, 128.

765

766

767 Table 1: Descriptive statistics (mean value (standard deviation)) for all individual characteristics, attitudes,
 768 vegetable consumption and vegetable liking for each country sample.
 769

	Denmark (N=178)	UK (N=155)	France (N=206)	Italy (N=197)	Total (N=736)
Gender (% female:male)	58:42 ^a	43:57 ^b	59:41 ^a	44:56 ^b	51:49
Age (years)	15.4 (1.3) ^a	13.3 (1.4) ^b	13.1 (1.0) ^b	15.1 (1.2) ^c	14.3 (1.6)
FAS II ¹ score (0-9)	6.5 (1.4) ^a	6.2 (1.9) ^a	7.1 (1.5) ^b	5.8 (1.6) ^c	6.4 (1.7)
AFHC ² Index (0-23)	12.1 (4.4) ^a	11.0 (4.4) ^b	13.1 (4.2) ^c	11.0 (4.6) ^b	11.9 (4.5)
DEBQ-R ³ score (1-5)	2.3 (0.9) ^a	2.2 (0.9) ^a	2.4 (0.9) ^{a,c}	2.6 (0.9) ^{b,c}	2.4 (0.9)
FNS ⁴ Neophobia score (10-70)	26.6 (11.2) ^a	36.5 (8.4) ^b	31.9 (11.7) ^c	32.7 (11.0) ^c	31.8 (11.3)
FCQ ⁵ – Mood (1-7)	4.5 (1.2) ^a	4.1 (1.2) ^b	3.6 (1.4) ^c	4.2 (1.4) ^{b,d}	4.1 (1.4)
FCQ ⁵ – Sensory (1-7)	5.5 (1.1) ^a	5.4 (1.1) ^a	5.1 (1.4) ^b	4.2 (2.1) ^c	5.0 (1.6)
FCQ ⁵ – Natural (1-7)	4.7 (1.4) ^a	4.3 (1.2) ^b	4.5 (1.5) ^{a,b}	4.1 (1.6) ^{b,c}	4.4 (1.5)
Number of 'more appealing' vegetables consumed regularly (0-4)	1.9 (1.2) ^a	1.9 (1.1) ^a	2.4 (1.2) ^b	1.6 (1.2) ^c	1.9 (1.2)
Number of 'less appealing' vegetables consumed regularly (0-4)	1.2 (1.0) ^a	0.9 (0.9) ^b	1.2 (0.9) ^{a,c}	1.1 (1.0) ^{a,c}	1.1 (1.0)
Liking for 'more appealing' vegetables (1-9)	6.9 (1.5) ^a	6.2 (1.4) ^b	7.2 (1.4) ^{a,c}	5.8 (1.8) ^d	6.5 (1.6)
Liking for 'less appealing' vegetables (1-9)	6.2 (1.6) ^a	4.9 (1.6) ^b	5.3 (1.9) ^c	4.6 (1.8) ^{b,d}	5.2 (1.9)

770 ¹ – Family Affluence Scale II (Boyce and Dallago, 2004)

771 ² - The Adolescent Food Habits Checklist (AFHC) (Johnson et al, 2002)

772 ³ - The Dutch Eating Behaviour Questionnaire - Restraint Scale (DEBQ-R) (van Strien et al, 1986)

773 ⁴ - The Food Neophobia Scale (FNS) (Pliner & Hobden, 1992)

774 ⁵ - The Food Choice Questionnaire (FCQ) (Stephoe et al, 1995)

775 Superscripts denote differences between countries – different letters reflect significant differences

776 between countries.

777

778 Table 2: Characteristics and attitudes associated with the regular consumption of 'more appealing' and 'less
 779 appealing' vegetables (N=736). Significant predictors (p<0.05) are highlighted in bold.
 780

	'More appealing' vegetables		'Less appealing' vegetables	
	R=0.60, R ² =0.36, adj. R ² =0.35, F(14,735)=28.86, p<0.01		R=0.56, R ² =0.31, adj. R ² =0.30, F(14,735)=23.23, p<0.01	
	Beta	p	Beta	p
Gender (1=female, 2=male)	-.04	.22	-.05	.15
Age	-.01	.96	.06	.15
Denmark	-.10	.03	-.12	.01
UK	.01	.79	-.04	.28
Italy	-.04	.38	.07	.14
FAS II ¹ score	.05	.15	.06	.08
AFHC ² Index	.13	<.01	.10	.01
DEBQ-R ³ score	-.01	.79	.01	.79
FNS ⁴ Neophobia score	-.05	.16	-.03	.38
FCQ ⁵ – Mood	-.01	.90	-.01	.71
FCQ ⁵ – Sensory	.00	.99	.02	.57
FCQ ⁵ – Natural	-.04	.32	.01	.85
Liking for 'more appealing' vegetables	.54	<.01	.01	.73
Liking for 'less appealing' vegetables	-.02	.60	.50	<.01

781 ¹ – Family Affluence Scale II (Boyce and Dallago, 2004)

782 ² - The Adolescent Food Habits Checklist (AFHC) (Johnson et al, 2002)

783 ³ - The Dutch Eating Behaviour Questionnaire - Restraint Scale (DEBQ-R) (van Strien et al, 1986)

784 ⁴ - The Food Neophobia Scale (FNS) (Pliner & Hobden, 1992)

785 ⁵ - The Food Choice Questionnaire (FCQ) (Stephoe et al, 1995)

786

787 Table 3: Characteristics and attitudes associated with liking for 'more appealing' and 'less appealing'
 788 vegetables (N=736). Significant predictors (p<0.05) are highlighted in bold.
 789

	'More appealing' vegetables		'Less appealing' vegetables	
	R=0.57, R ² =0.32, adj. R ² =0.31, F(13,735)=26.62, p<0.01		R=0.61, R ² =0.37, adj. R ² =0.36, F(13,735)=33.08, p<0.01	
	Beta	p	Beta	p
Gender (1=female, 2=male)	.07	.03	-.09	<.01
Age	.04	.40	.06	.15
Denmark	.09	.02	.15	<.01
UK	.08	.07	.07	.08
Italy	.31	<.01	-.02	.67
FAS II ¹ score	-.03	.45	.03	.42
AFHC ² Index	.10	<.01	.07	.06
DEBQ-R ³ score	.02	.50	-.03	.36
FNS ⁴ Neophobia score	-.11	<.01	-.25	<.01
FCQ ⁵ – Mood	-.01	.86	.07	.06
FCQ ⁵ – Sensory	.12	<.01	.02	.62
FCQ ⁵ – Natural	.02	.61	.09	<.01
Liking for 'less appealing' / 'more appealing' vegetables	.35	<.01	.33	<.01

790 ¹ – Family Affluence Scale II (Boyce and Dallago, 2004)

791 ² - The Adolescent Food Habits Checklist (AFHC) (Johnson et al, 2002)

792 ³ - The Dutch Eating Behaviour Questionnaire - Restraint Scale (DEBQ-R) (van Strien et al, 1986)

793 ⁴ - The Food Neophobia Scale (FNS) (Pliner & Hobden, 1992)

794 ⁵ - The Food Choice Questionnaire (FCQ) (Stephoe et al, 1995)

795