1   **Phylogeny and adaptation shape the teeth of insular mice**

2

3   Ronan Ledevin [1], Pascale Chevret [1], Guila Ganem [2], Janice Britton-Davidian [2], Emilie A. Hardouin [3],

4   Jean-Louis Chapuis [4], Benoit Pisanu [4], Maria da Luz Mathias [5], Stefan Schlager [6], Jean-Christophe

5   Auffray [2], Sabrina Renaud [1*]

6

7   **Addresses**

8   [1] Laboratoire de Biométrie et Biologie Evolutive, UMR5558, CNRS, Université Lyon 1, Campus de la

9   Doua, 69622 Villeurbanne, France

10   [2] Institut des Sciences de l'Evolution de Montpellier, UMR 5554, Université Montpellier, CNRS, IRD,

11   EPHE, 34095 Montpellier, France

12   [3] Faculty of Sciences and Technology, Bournemouth University, Christchurch House, Talbot

13   Campus, Poole, Dorset, BH12 5BB, UK

14   [4] Centre d'Ecologie et des Sciences de la Conservation, UMR 7204, Muséum National d'Histoire
15   Naturelle, 61 rue Buffon, 75005 Paris, France

16   [5] Centro de Estuds do Ambiente e Mar & Departamento de Biologia Animal, Faculdade de Ciências da

17   Universidade de Lisboa, Campo Grande, 1749-016 Lisboa, Portugal

18   [6] Anthropologie, Medizinische Fakultät der Albert Ludwigs- Universität Freiburg, 79104 Freiburg,

19   Germany

20

21   * Corresponding author

22

23

24

25

26

Abstract

By accompanying human travels since prehistorical times, the house mouse dispersed widely throughout the world, and colonized many islands. The origin of the travelers determined the phylogenetic source of the insular mice, which encountered on the various islands diverse ecological and environmental conditions. Insular mice are thus an exceptional model to assess the relative role of phylogeny, ecology and climate in evolution. Molar shape is known to vary according to phylogeny and to respond to adaptation. Using for the first time a 3D geometric morphometric approach, compared to a classical 2D quantification, the relative effects of size variation, phylogeny, climate and ecology were investigated on molar shape diversity across a variety of islands. Phylogeny emerged as the factor of prime importance in shaping the molar. Changes in competition level, mostly driven by the presence or absence of the wood mouse on the different islands, appeared as the second most important effect. Climate and size differences accounted for slight shape variation. This evidences a balance role of random differentiation related to history of colonization, and of adaptation possibly related to resource exploitation.

Introduction

The relative importance of chance, history and adaptation in evolution is a long-standing issue [1-4]. Stochastic processes are expected to play an important role in fragmented and isolated populations, because of founder effects and drift which are especially relevant in island populations and invasive species [3]. Adaptation is also expected in such contexts because species are encountering new environmental conditions that expose the immigrants to strong selective pressures [5, 6]. Accordingly, evolution on islands has been an emblematic model of adaptive evolution since Darwin's finches (e.g. [7]). Genetics and development can further constrain or facilitate evolution along certain directions, for instance due to genetically correlated traits [8]. Since these processes intermingle in shaping phenotypes, their respective role is difficult to tease apart [3], a fact that may lead to interpretations of differentiation being a collection of 'just-so stories' [9].

57   The house mouse (*Mus musculus*) has been accompanying human travels since prehistorical times

58   [10], and as such is one of the 'best' world-wide invasives [11]. From the Western European

59   continent, *Mus musculus domesticus* has colonized many remote areas, including islands [12].

60   Colonization of new environments, climatically and ecologically different from the source should

61   promote adaptive changes especially when the mice meet their physiological limits [13].

62   As Western European populations display a complex genetic structure [14, 15], island populations

63   will exhibit a variable genetic signature, depending on the colonization source and subsequent

64   demography, itself related to human history [16]. Island house mice thus offer a remarkable model

65   to investigate the relative importance of population history, adaptation and stochastic events on

66   phenotypic evolution [9].

67   We tackled this issue by focusing on the differentiation of the first upper molar tooth. Molar teeth

68   have been shown to be influenced by phylogenetic history [17, 18] as well as environmental

69   conditions [19, 20]. Development may also constrain their evolution [21]. 3D geometric

70   morphometrics was used for the first time to quantify tooth shape in several insular populations and

71   continental reference groups. The results were compared to a 2D analysis including a larger sampling

72   of the same groups. The phylogenetic relationships were assessed based on mtDNA data. The

73   relative effect of allometry, phylogeny, climate and ecology on the morphological differentiation was

74   then investigated.

75

76   **Material and Methods**

77   *Material*

78   *Morphometric sampling*. – 532 mice were part of the 2D morphometric analysis. This set was down-

79   sampled to 90 mice for 3D morphometrics, including only animals with relatively unworn teeth

80   (Table 1). The sampling included continental Western Europe and contrasted insular settings (Fig.

81   1A): Northern Atlantic (Orkney Archipelago), Macaronesian Islands in the sub-tropical mid-Atlantic

82   region (Madeira and Canary Archipelago[14, 22]), and the Sub-Antarctic region (Marion Island;

83   Guillou Island from the Kerguelen Archipelago[23]). All individuals were considered as adults and

84   sub-adults based on the criterion that the third molars were fully erupted, which occurs at weaning.

85   Males and females were pooled since no sexual dimorphism has been documented for tooth

86   morphology in house mice [23, 24].

87 *Phylogenetic sampling*. – Original samples from the Orkney archipelago were obtained from two field

88 trips in 1992 (islands of Faray, Eday, Sanday and Papa Westray) and 2012 (island of Papa Westray).

89 DNA was extracted from Ethanol-preserved tissue of Orkney mice, using the "DNeasy Blood and

90 Tissue" kit (Qiagen, France). The D-loop was amplified using previously described primers and

91 protocol [25]. The sequences generated were visualized using MEGA6 [26]. No new haplotypes were

92 found in our samples of Orkney populations [27, 28]. We combined our sequences with sequences

93 retrieved from Genbank into two datasets. (1) A general dataset to determine the phylogenetic origin

94 of the groups used in the morphometric analysis (Supp. Table 1). (2) A dataset designed to include

95 only sequences matching the morphometric sampling (Supp. Table 2). Haplotypes for each group

96 were determined with DNAsp v5 [29] except when this information was already available: Marion

97 Island [30] and Guillou Island [25].

98 *Methods*

99 *Phylogenetic analyses*. – The sequences were aligned with MUSCLE implemented in SeaView [31],

100 the alignment was checked by eye and trimmed at both ends to remove portions with more than

101 50% of missing data. The final alignments comprised 173 sequences and 947 positions for the general

102 dataset and 155 haplotypes and 874 positions for the morphometric-matching dataset. For this latter

103 dataset, we determined the genetic diversity within each geographic group using MEGA6 [26]. The

104 phylogenetic trees were reconstructed using maximum likelihood with PhyMl 3.0 [32] under the

105 models (TN for the general tree and GTR for the morphometric-match, +I+G) selected with

106 jModelTest [33] using the Akaike criterion (AIC) [34].

107 *2D Morphometrics.* Using a numerical camera mounted on a binocular, a picture was taken from

108 each mouse molar, with the skull adjusted so that the occlusal surface of the first upper molar would

109 be approximately flat. The molar shape was approximated by the 2D outline of the occlusal surface,

110 towards the base of the crows, which is only affected by heavy wear. Each outline was defined by 64

111 points, which were analysed using a Fourier based approach [21]. Fourteen variables, corresponding

112 to a set of Fourier coefficients (FCs) were deemed adequate to describe the molar shape [21]. An

113 additional variable (A0) provided an estimate of the outline size.

114 *Data acquisition for 3D morphometrics.* – Skulls were scanned at a cubic voxel resolution of 18µm

115 using a RX in-vivo Skyscan 1076 microtomograph (µCT) device at the Platform Montpellier RIO

116 Imaging. The left first upper molar (UM1) (Fig. 2) was delimited on each slice using a threshold

117 method in Avizo software (version 7.1 – Visualization Sciences Group, FEI Company) and connections

118 with outer material (jaw bone and second upper molar) were manually closed and the surface

4

119   generated. On a randomly chosen reference tooth, a template was prepared describing the outer

120   surface of the tooth. Since age was not controled in wild-trapped populations, the template was

121   designed not to take into account parts of the tooth most sensitive to wear: the top of the cusps

122   were cut off the template (Supp. Fig.1). The template was defined by 1532 equally spaced sliding-

123   landmarks anchored by eight landmarks. These eight landmarks were defined on all specimens and

124   were used for a Procrustes superimposition to align all the specimens in space. Then, the original

125   template was deformed in order to match the original surface of each tooth. Points were allowed to

126   slide along tangent planes according to the minimum bending energy criterion, with an iterative

127   procedure until convergence [35, 36]. Sliding-landmarks were adjusted for scaling, translation and

128   rotation according to a Procrustes superimposition. All procedures were performed using the

129   packages 'Morpho' [37] and 'mesheR' [38]. Procrustes coordinates, i.e. residual coordinates of the

130   sliding-landmarks after Procrustes superimposition, constituted the shape variables describing tooth

131   shape. Centroid size (square root of the sum of the squared distance from each sliding-landmark to

132   the centroid of the configuration) estimated the size of the tooth.

133   *Statistical analyses of tooth size and shape.* – Based on 3D morphometric data, differences in tooth

134   size were investigated by an analysis of variance. Allometric variation was assessed using a

135   multivariate regression on the Procrustes coordinates. The residuals were considered as new

136   allometry-free variables. A Principal Component Analysis (PCA) on the size-free variables provided a

137   representation of morphometric variation.

138   *Projection of the phylogeny on the morphometric space*. – A matrix of genetic distances based on D-

139   loop haplotypes of sequences from the same geographic areas as the morphometric samples,

140   without outgroups, was designed (Supp. Table 2). It was analysed using a Principal Coordinate

141   Analysis (PCOA) [39] using the R 'ape' package [40]. It converted the distance matrix into coordinates

142   on principal axes. Mean values for each group were computed and was compared to morphometrics

143   mean values. The phylogenetic relationships were projected on the morphometric space using

144   'phytools' R package [41], the ancestral states being calculated at each node using 'fastAnc' function.

145   *Size, phylogeny, climate and ecology as explanatory variables of morphometric variation*. – A linear

146   model was used to investigate effects of size, phylogeny, ecology, and climate on tooth shape. (1)

147   Size was evaluated as the centroid size of the tooth. (2) Phylogeny was included as the first four axes

148   of the PCOA on the D-loop distance matrix, including the set of axes with > 90% of variance. (3)

149   Climatic data were extracted from the WorldClim database with a resolution of 2.5 arc-minutes using

150   the 'raster' package [42]. The data included Annual Mean Temperature, Temperature Seasonality,

151   Mean Warmest Quarter, Mean Coldest Quarter, Annual Precipitation, Precipitation of the Wettest

152   Quarter, Precipitation of the Driest Quarter. A PCA was performed to summarize these partly

153   redundant data. The first three PCs explaining > 90% of the total variance were retained as

154   explanatory variables in the model. (4) Ecological coding included presence/absence of competitors

155   and of predators according to the literature (Supp. Table 3) and coded these data as 0/1 (Table 1;

156   Supp. Table 4). Since house mice strongly rely on human populations for resources and transport,

157   human population density was also included as an explanatory variable.

158   Finally, the residuals of this model were analysed using a between-group and within-group PCA using

159   the ade4 package [43]. This procedure allowed us to assess the percentage of variance attributed to

160   between vs within group variance in the residual shape variation.

161   *Comparison between 3D and 2D morphometrics.* – A PCA was performed on the FCs of the 2D

162   outline. The scores of the group means on PC axes provided a configuration that were compared to

163   the configuration of group means obtained by the 3D approach using a Procrustes superimposition

164   procedure (Protest [44]). The significance of the association were tested using permutations.

165   Distances between the two configurations were further compared using a Mantel test. The linear

166   model of shape (PC axes) vs. size (A0), phylogeny, ecology, and climate (same variables as for the 3D

167   analysis) was further used on the 2D data in order to investigate the stability of the results to method

168   and sampling.

169   Visualisations of shape changes were performed using the 'Morpho' package [37]. PCOA and Protests

170   were performed using the vegan package [45].

171

172   **Results**

173   *Phylogeny*

174   The continental Western European groups displayed a large haplotypic diversity. Each island

175   represented a subsampling of this diversity (Table 1). The founding of the insular populations appear

176   to be so recent regarding the evolutionary rate of the genetic marker that no island displayed private

177   haplotype, hindering the estimate of a divergence date. Four independent instances of insular

178   colonisation could be identified, in agreement with previous studies (Fig. 1B). (1) Orkney nested into

179   a mostly Scandinavian and British haplogroup [16] which has been interpreted as the signature of a

180   Norwegian Viking colonization. (2) Guillou shared its only haplotype with other mice from Kerguen

181　Archipelago and Western European specimens from England, Germany and France, and from

182　harbours on the way to the Southern Oceans as in Cameroon [25]. (3) Madeira, the Canary islands of

183　La Palma and El Hierro, and Marion island were mostly nested within a Northern European

184　haplogroup [14]. This genetic assignation has been interpreted as related to a possible introduction

185　by Danish Vikings onto Madeira [46], mice being later translocated to the Canaries by Portuguese

186　travels. (4) Tenerife appeared more related to a Southern European haplogroup, a signature of

187　exchanges between the Canaries and the Spanish realms [14]. Evidences of mixing exist on Madeira

188　and all three Canary islands investigated (Fig. 1; Supp. Fig. 2): typical Tenerife haplotypes seldom

189　occur on Madeira, El Hierro and La Palma, and vice-versa.

190　*Three-dimensional tooth morphology*

191　Tooth size varied significantly across populations (P < 0.001; Supp. Fig. 3). Insular mice tended to

192　display larger molars than their continental relatives.

193　The size-shape allometric relationship was significant (P < 0.001). The analysis of allometry-free

194　residuals provided two axes of almost equal importance (19% and 18%) along which a geographic

195　structure emerged (Fig. 2). Western European continental teeth clustered together whereas insular

196　teeth by far exceeded this continental range of variation. Changes along PC1, mostly corresponding

197　to the transition from continental Western European to Guillou – Marion – El Hierro morphologies,

198　involved a pinching at the labial forepart and deepening of the lingual gutter between the central

199　and lingual rows of cusps. Along PC2, characterizing the Macaronesian Madeira – La Palma group, the

200　tooth mostly shortened in its forepart and broadened laterally.

201　The morphometric structure partly reflected the phylogenetic relationships, with obvious

202　discrepancies. The different Orkney islands clustered together but they displayed an important

203　variation constrasting with their genetic homogeneity. Macaronesian islands from Madeira, Tenerife

204　and La Palma were grouped together, a geographical cluster contradicting the distinct haplotypic

205　dominant signature of Tenerife. Marion and El Hierro, genetically close to La Palma – Madeira group,

206　were morphologically well differentiated. Guillou Island displayed a convergence in molar shape with

207　Marion and El Hierro, despite a different genetic/geographic origin.

208　The 2D analysis (Supp. Fig. 4) provided a correlated configuration of between-group differentiation

209　(comparison between PCs >5% [5 in 3D and 5 in 2D]: Protest P = 0.006, Mantel P = 0.008). As in 3D,

210　Western European samples appeared as well clustered. The convergence between Tenerife, La Palma

211　and Madeira on the one hand, and from Guillou Island and El Hierro on the other hand, were further

212   supported. The idiosyncrasy of Orkney, making all island to group together in the 3D analysis, was
213   not captured by the 2D outline. Orkney islands appeared as widely dispersed in the corresponding
214   morphospace.

215   *Tooth shape vs size, phylogeny, climate and ecology*

216   The total shape variation of the tooth could be summarized along five axes, totalling more than 60%
217   of variance (19.1%, 18.6%, 10.9%, 6.1% and 5.7%). Climatic data were summarized on three PC axes
218   (66.4%, 19.3%, and 13.1% of variance). Phylogenetic data were summarized on four PCOA axes
219   (58.0%, 20.7%, 9.9% and 3.7%). Ecological data (competition, predation, human density) were further
220   included in the linear model.

221   The model indicated a weak contribution of size (3.4%), a balanced influence of ecology (7.3%) and
222   climate (6.0%), and the strongest influence of phylogeny (12.1%) (all P < 0.01, competition and
223   phylogeny P < 0.001) (Fig. 4). Effects on tooth shape were the following. (1) Size: larger molars were
224   longer at their forepart and thinner in their labial region. (2) Phylogeny: the first axis, roughly
225   opposing continental Western Europe to Orkney and Macaronesian islands, corresponded to an
226   anterior elongation and a reduction of the protocone and neighboring lingual cusp. (3) Ecology:
227   among competition, predation and human density, only competition had a significant effect.
228   Decrease in competition involved a forepart expansion together with an overal thinning of the cusps.
229   (4) Climate: With a temperature decrease and a precipitation increase (from Macaronesian to Sub-
230   Antarctic islands), central cusps moved forward and the anterior lingual fringe expanded. From
231   seasonal (continental) to less seasonal (more or less all islands) environments, the tooth lengthened
232   in its forepart and most cusps shortened. A similar hierarchy of factors was found when including
233   shape axes totalling more than 80% of variance (11 axes): phylogeny (9.4%), ecology (7.5%), climate
234   (5.7%) and size (2.4%). The same hierarchie was further found based on the 2D outline analysis, with
235   even less variance explained (shape described by all 5 axes > 5%, totalling 87% of variance):
236   phylogeny (7.2%), ecology (3.5%), climate (2.7%) and size (1.0%). The 2D sampling include teeth of all
237   wear stage and possible incertainty in orientation of the occlusal plane, possibly explaining the larger
238   percentage of unexplained variance.

239   Removing size, phylogeny, climate and ecology provided 64.4% residual variance. This residual
240   variation corresponded mostly (80.1%) to within-group variance. The remaining within group-
241   variance corresponded to a trend of anterior expansion combined with a backward movement of the
242   main cusps and their overall thinning. A similar percentage of remaining within-group variance was
243   found in 2D (79.1%).

244

Discussion

Methodological originality: tooth evolution in 3D

This study is the first one to document tooth shape variation of house mice in its three-dimensional complexity. It largely corroborated results obtained by 2D analyses, evidencing the importance of anterior tooth elongation in the evolution of the mouse upper molar [21, 47]. It further illustrated complex changes in the shape of the cusps and their relative position, up to the deepening of gutters (sulci) between rows of cusps. By describing such features, the 3D description appeared as more performant than the 2D in assessing the idiosyncrasy of the Orkney archipelago. The possible functional significance of such changes is unexplored, since such shape changes have not been described so far.

Phylogenetic history as a key factor in tooth shape evolution

The phylogenetic signal appeared of primary importance in explaining first upper molar shape. We focused on this molar because of its high taxonomic value within murine rodents [48]. Within *Mus musculus*, a strong imprint of historical factors is well documented on the first lower molar shape, which is used as a valuable proxy for identifying subspecies [18] and even for tracing the geographic origin within a subspecies [17, 18, 24]. As co-variation of the occluding lower and upper molars is under functional constraints [49], a phylogenetic signal may be expected on the upper molar as well. However, the first upper molar was shown to be more evolvable than its lower counterpart [21]. Our present results demonstrate that high evolvability of the upper molar do not fully override the signature of the history of colonization on molar shape.

Discrepancies between the morphological and phylogenetic signature raised questions regarding population history. Madeira, La Palma and Tenerife shared a similar tooth shape. The morphological similarity of Madeira and La Palma was expected given their phylogenetic relatedness [14], possibly reflecting early trading routes between the Madeira and Canaries archipelagoes by the Portuguese during the XVth century. The morphological similarity between Tenerife and La Palma was not surprising given their geographic proximity, but was in conflict with the difference in the dominant mitochondrial haplogroup in both islands. The sporadic occurrence of Tenerife-like haplotypes on La Palma, and La Palma-like ones on Tenerife, suggested that gene flow occurred between neighboring islands and that the resilience of local populations to later invaders [25] may break down when human exchanges are important. The similar tooth morphology on Tenerife and La Palma may be

9

275   due to a genetic homogenization on the Canary archipelago that would not be traced by mtDNA,

276   argued to be a signature of the initial colonization [16, 25]. Alternatively, it could be a convergence in

277   similar ecological and climatic environments. The persistence of this tooth shape despite multiple

278   colonizations anyway suggests a resilience of this morphology and/or strong selective pressure

279   maintaining it in the insular populations.

280   The morphological cluster associating Guillou, Marion and El Hierro teeth constituted another

281   discrepancy with phylogeny. (1) Marion and El Hierro were genetically associated with La Palma –

282   Madeira based on their dominant haplotypes. (2) The Guillou population derived from an

283   independent colonization event with a different phylogenetic signature. This demonstrated that

284   factors other than phylogenetic history (as traced by mitochondrial markers) contributed to the

285   divergence in molar shape.

286   *Competition as a driving evolutionary force on molar shape*

287   Ecological factors, predominantly inter-specific competition, emerged as driving forces almost as

288   important as phylogeny in explaining molar shape divergence. Differences in competition levels are

289   mostly related to the occurrence of the wood mouse *Apodemus sylvaticus* on the Western European

290   continent and most Orkney islands, and its absence on Macaronesian archipelagoes and in Sub-

291   Antarctic islands (Table 1; Supp. Data 3). The wood mouse is a competitor of the house mouse,

292   limiting its presence in non-commensal habitats where it occurs – and vice versa [50]. In the absence

293   of the wood mouse, the house mouse may exploit more outdoor resources instead of remaining

294   strictly commensal [51]. The tooth shape changes associated with the absence of the wood mouse

295   corresponds to the anterior elongation. Narrow, elongated teeth have been associated in murine

296   rodents with a rather faunivorous diet [52]. Considering this diet /tooth shape trend, non-commensal

297   house mice may be relying more on invertebrates in the absence of the wood mouse, especially

298   when resources are scarce as on Sub-Antarctic islands [53, 54].

299   *Secondary importance of climate*

300   In addition to phylogeny and ecology, climate further impacted tooth shape. Temperature and

301   precipitations mainly opposed warm, dry Macaronesian islands to cold, wet Orkney and Sub-

302   Antarctic islands. The climatic regime changes the available resources and thus constitutes an

303   indirect selective pressure on tooth shape. For instance, mice on the barren Sub-Antarctic Marion

304   and Guillou islands are known to increase the invertebrate component in their diet [53, 55].

305   However, the exploited resources also depend on variations of the commensal way of life. On

306 Madeira and Canary islands, mice still rely on resources of anthropic origin but tend to forage

307 outdoor [56] whereas they were trapped indoor in most continental and Orkney locations. Such

308 effects are difficult to quantify and may indirectly impact our results through climate or ecology,

309 since mice mostly adopt outdoor behavior in the absence of the wood mouse competitor.

310 *Insularity magnifying phylogenetic and adaptive differences*

311 The pattern of genetic diversity, high on the continent (within group p-distances: 0.5-0.9%) and low

312 on islands (0-0.3%) contrasted with the pattern of tooth shape differentiation, showing a low

313 variance among continental specimens but a large differentiation for insular samples. This

314 differentiation occurred quite rapidly, from ~1200 years for Orkney, most probably colonized

315 following Viking routes [16] to less than 200 years for Sub-Antarctic islands where mice were brought

316 by sealers during the 19th century [57, 58]. Stochastic events are reputed important on islands, with

317 founder events and drift in populations of reduced effective size. Such factors likely promoted the

318 important and rapid divergence from the continental stock, matching a general observation of fast

319 initial divergence upon arrival on an island [59, 60]. The importance of the geographic origin [9] is

320 underlined here by the phylogenetic imprint on tooth morphology. Subsequent divergence occurred

321 under constraints related to the local environment: when phylogeny, ecology and climate are taken

322 into account, only ~20% of between-group variance remains unexplained. Note that a rather similar

323 hierarchy of factors and percentages of variance were found in the divergence between species of

324 marmots [61] suggesting both a generality of the trend (phylogeny explaining slightly more than

325 climate in tooth divergence) and the important divergence occurring at the intra-specific level in

326 insular house mice.

327 *Repeated tooth elongation: a line of least evolutionary resistance?*

328 Anterior tooth elongation appeared as a recurrent feature of shape variation, involved in the

329 response to size increase, to competition and to seasonality. It also corresponded to the residual

330 within-group variation, matching previous 2D observations [21, 62]. The main direction of within-

331 group variance has been suggested to constitute a 'line of least resistance to evolution' [63]

332 producing variants to be screened by selection. The recurrent mobilization of the anterior tooth

333 shape elongation may document the existence of a standing variation for this trait, explaining its

334 potential for fast and convergent evolution. In contrast, phylogenetic signatures seemed to involve

335 much more localized and discrete morphological features, suggesting that such changes may simply

336 accumulate at a low pace [64]. Our 3D results evidence that despite predictions [65], substantial

337 evolution and adaptation can occur even when accounting for the whole complexity of a phenotype

11

although signals of convergent evolution and fast divergence appear as even stronger when using a 'degenerate' description of the tooth, namely its 2D quantification describing only the overall arrangement of the cusps. These are challenging results suggesting that as one of the oldest passengers of human travels, one of the best world-wide invasives, and one of the most studied laboratory model in developmental biology, the house mouse offers a unique opportunity to unravel the complexity of the responses to new environments.

Ethics

Orkney mice were obtained with authorization n° CEEA-LR-12162 from the Languedoc-Roussillon Comité d'Ethique pour l'Expérimentation Animale to JCA. Other samples come from preexisting collections and were previously published. The sacrifice of wild animals for the purpose of taking samples, when performed according to authorized protocols, is not considered as an experiment (Journal Officiel de la République Française, Décret n° 2013-118 du 1er février 2013, Section 6, Sous-section 1). As such, agreement of the ethical committees is not required.

Data accessibility

No new sequence was deposited, since all original sequences corresponded to a sequence already available in GenBank. The dataset including morphometric, climatic, ecologic and genetic data is available as a Supplementary file.

Competing interest

No competing interest.

Authors' contribution

SR, RL, PC, JBD, and JCA conceived and designed the experiments. JCA, PC, GG, JBD, JLC, BP, MLM, EAH and SR participated in the field trips and/or delivered samples. RL and StS performed the 3D morphometrics and associated statistics. PC did the phylogenetic analyses. RL, PC and SR prepared the illustrations. All authors contributed in writing the main text.

Acknowledgments

References

374 1.   Pergams O.R.W., Ashley M.V. 2001 Microevolution in island rodents. *Genetica* **112-113**, 245-
375 256.
376 2.   Travisano M., Mongold J.A., Bennet A.F., Lenski R.E. 1995 Experimental tests of the roles of
377 adaptation, chance, and history in evolution. *Science* **267**, 87-90.
378 3.   Keller S.R., Taylor D.R. 2008 History, chance and adaptation during biological invasion:
379 separating stochastic phenotypic evolution from response to selection. *Ecology Letters* **11**(852-866).
380 4.   Berry R.J. 1973 Chance and change in British long-tailed field mice (*Apodemus sylvaticus*).
381 *Journal of Zoology, London* **170**, 351-366.
382 5.   Carroll S.P. 2008 Facing change: forms and foundations of contemporary adaptation to biotic
383 invasions. *Molecular Ecology* **17**, 361-372.
384 6.   Losos J.B., Schoener T.W., Warheit K.I., Creer D. 2001 Experimental studies of adaptive
385 differentiation in Bahamian *Anolis* lizards. *Genetica* **112-113**, 399-415.
386 7.   Losos J.B., Ricklefs R.E. 2009 Adaptation and diversification on islands. *Nature* **457**, 830-836.
387 8.   Beldade P., Koops K., Brakefield P.M. 2002 Developmental constraints versus flexibility in
388 morphological evolution. *Nature* **416**, 844-847.
389 9.   Berry R.J. 1996 Small mammal differentiation on islands. *Philosophical Transactions of the
390 Royal Society, London B* **351**(1341), 753-764.
391 10.   Vigne J.-D., Zazzo A., Thomas C., Guilaine J. 2014 The transportation of mammals sheds light
392 on early voyaging and boats in the Mediterranean Sea. *Eurasian Prehistory* **10**, 157-176.
393 11.   Lowe S., M. B., S. B., M. D.P. 2000 100 of the World's Worst Invasive Alien Species. A
394 selection from the Global Invasive Species Database. pp. 1-12, The Invasive Species Specialist Group.
395 12.   Guénet J.-L., Bonhomme F. 2003 Wild mice: an ever-increasing contribution to a popular
396 mammalian model. *Trends in Genetics* **19**(1), 24-31.
397 13.   Berry R.J., Peters J., Van Aarde R.J. 1978 Sub-antarctic House mice: colonization, survival and
398 selection. *Journal of Zoology, London* **184**, 127-141.
399 14.   Bonhomme F., Orth A., Cucchi T., Rajabi-Maham H., Catalan J., Boursot P., Auffray J.-C.,
400 Britton-Davidian J. 2011 Genetic differentiation of the house mouse around the Mediterranean
401 basin: matrilineal footprints of early and late colonization. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of
402 London, Biological Sciences (serie B)* **278**, 1034-1043.
403 15.   Hardouin E.A., Orth A., Teschke M., Darvish J., Tautz D., Bonhomme F. 2015 Eurasian house
404 mouse (*Mus musculus* L.) differentiation at microsatellite loci identifies the Iranian plateau as a
405 phylogeographic hotspot. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* **15**, 26. (doi:10.1186/s12862-015-0306-4).
406 16.   Searle J.B., Jones C.S., Gündüz İ., Scascitelli M., Jones E.P., Herman J.S., Victor R.R., Noble L.R.,
407 Berry R.J., Giménez M.D., et al. 2009 Of mice and (Viking?) men: phylogeography of British and Irish

408   house mice. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, Biological Sciences (series B)* **276**, 201-207.
409   (doi:doi:10.1098/rspb.2008.0958).

410   17.   Cucchi T. 2008 Uluburun shipwreck stowaway house mouse: molar shape analysis and
411   indirect clues about the vessel's last journey. *Journal of Archaeological Science* **35**, 2953-2959.
412   (doi:doi:10.1016/j.jas.2008.06.016).

413   18.   Cucchi T., Bălăşescu A., Bem C., Radu V., Vigne J.-D., Tresset A. 2011 New insights into the
414   invasive process of the eastern house mouse (*Mus musculus musculus*): Evidence from the burnt
415   houses of Chalcolithic Romania. *The Holocene* **21**(8), 1195-1202.

416   19.   Piras P., Marcolini F., Raia P., Curcio M.T., Kotsakis T. 2009 Testing evolutionary stasis and
417   trends in first lower molar shape of extinct Italian populations of *Terricola savii* (Arvicolidae,
418   Rodentia) by means of geometric morphometrics. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* **22**(1), 179-191.

419   20.   Wolf M., Friggens M., Salazar-Bravo J. 2009 Does weather shape rodents? Climate related
420   changes in morphology of two heteromyid species. *Naturwissenschaften* **96**, 93-101.

421   21.   Renaud S., Pantalacci S., Auffray J.-C. 2011 Differential evolvability along lines of least
422   resistance of upper and lower molars in island house mice. *PLoS One* **6**(5), e18951.
423   (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0018951).

424   22.   Britton-Davidian J., Catalan J., Ramalhinho M.d.G., Auffray J.-C., Nunes A.C., Gazave E., Searle
425   J.B., Mathias M.d.L. 2005 Chromosomal phylogeny of Robertsonian races of the house mouse on the
426   island of Madeira: testing between alternative mutational processes. *Genetics Research* **86**(03), 171-
427   183.

428   23.   Renaud S., Hardouin E.A., Pisanu B., Chapuis J.-L. 2013 Invasive house mice facing a changing
429   environment on the Sub-Antarctic Guillou Island (Kerguelen Archipelago). *Journal of Evolutionary
430   Biology* **26**, 612-624.

431   24.   Valenzuela-Lamas S., Baylac M., Cucchi T., Vigne J.-D. 2011 House mouse dispersal in Iron Age
432   Spain: a geometric morphometrics appraisal. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* **102**, 483-497.

433   25.   Hardouin E., Chapuis J.-L., Stevens M.I., van Vuuren J.B., Quillfeldt P., Scavetta R.J., Teschke
434   M., Tautz D. 2010 House mouse colonization patterns on the sub-Antarctic Kerguelen Archipelago
435   suggest singular primary invasions and resilience against re-invasion. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* **10**,
436   325. (doi:www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2148/10/325).

437   26.   Tamura K., Stecher G., Peterson D., Filipski A., Kumar S. 2013 MEGA6: Molecular evolutionary
438   genetics analysis version 6.0. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **30**, 2725-2729.

439   27.   Nachman M.W., Boyer S.N., Searle J.B., Aquadro C.F. 1994 Mitochondrial DNA variation and
440   the evolution of Robertsonian chromosomal races of house mice, *Mus domesticus*. *Genetics* **136**,
441   1105-1120.

442   28.   Searle J.B., Jones C.S., Gündüz I., Scascitelli M., Jones E.P., Herman J.S., Rambau R.V., Noble
443   L.R., Berry R.J., Giménez M.D., et al. 2009 Of mice and (Viking?) men: phylogeography of British and
444   Irish house mice. *Proceedings of the Royal Society, London B* **276**, 201-207.

445   29.   Librado P., Rozas J. 2009 DnaSP v5: A software for comprehensive analysis of DNA
446   polymorphism data. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 1451-1452.

447   30.   van Vuuren B.J., Chown S.L. 2007 Genetic evidence confirms the origin of the house mouse
448   on sub-Antarctic Marion Island. *Polar Biology* **30**, 327-332.

449   31.   Gouy M., Guindon S., Gascuel O., Lyon D. 2010 SeaView version 4: A multiplatform graphical
450   user interface for sequence alignment and phylogenetic tree building. *Molecular Biology and
451   Evolution* **27**, 221-224.

452   32.   Guindon S., Dufayard J.-F., Lefort V., Anisimova M., Hordijk W., Gascuel O. 2010 New
453   algorithms and methods to estimate maximum-likelihood phylogenies: assessing the performance of
454   PhyML 3.0. *Systematic Biology* **59**, 307-321.

455   33.   Darriba D., Taboada G.L., Doallo R., Posada D. 2012 jModelTest 2: more models, new
456   heuristics and parallel computing. *Nature Methods* **9**, 772. (doi:10.1038/nmeth.2109).

457   34.   Akaike H. 1973 Information theory as an extension of the maximum likelihood principle. In
458   *Second International Symposium on Information Theory* (ed. Kiado A.), pp. 267-281. Budapest,
459   Hungary.
460   35.   Bookstein F.L. 1997 Landmark methods for forms without landmarks: morphometrics of
461   group differences in outline shape. *Medical Image Analysis* **1**, 225-243.
462   36.   Gunz P., Mitteroecker P., Bookstein F.L. 2005 Semilandmarks in three dimensions. Modern
463   morphometrics in physical anthropology, pp. 73–98, Springer, In *Modern Morphometrics in Physical*
464   *Anthropology* (ed. Slice D.E.).
465   37.   Schlager S. 2014 Morpho: Calculations and visualizations related to Geometric
466   Morphometrics. version (p. R package, 2.0.0.140402 ed.
467   38.   Schlager S. 2013 mesheR: Meshing operations on triangular meshes. R package version 0.4-
468   00. . (https://github.com/zarquon42b/mesheR.
469   39.   Gower J.C. 1966 Some distance properties of latent root and vector methods used in
470   multivariate analysis. *Biometrika* **53**, 325-338.
471   40.   Paradis E., Claude J., Strimmer K. 2004 APE: analyses of phylogenetics and evolution in R
472   language. *Bioinformatics* **20**, 289-290.
473   41.   Revell L.J. 2012 phytools: An R package for phylogenetic comparative biology (and other
474   things). *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* **3**, 217-223.
475   42.   Hijmans R.J., van Etten J. 2014 raster: Geographic data analysis and modelling. . (p. R
476   package, 2.2-12 ed.
477   43.   Dray S., Dufour A.-B. 2007 The ade4 package: implementing the duality diagram for
478   ecologists. *Journal of Statistical Software* **22**, 1-20.
479   44.   Peres-Neto P.R., Jackson D.A. 2001 How well do multivariate data sets match? The
480   advantages of a Procrustean superimposition approach over the Mantel test. *Oecologia* **129**, 169-
481   178.
482   45.   Oksanen J., Blanchet F.G., Kindt R., Legendre P., Minchin P.R., O'Hara R.B., Simpson G.L.,
483   Solymos P., Stevens M.H.H., Wagner H. 2013 vegan: Community Ecology Package. R package version
484   2.0-10. http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=vegan. (
485   46.   Günduz İ., Auffray J.-C., Britton-Davidian J., Catalan J., Ganem G., Ramalhinho M.G., Mathias
486   M.L., Searle J.B. 2001 Molecular studies on the colonization of the Madeiran archipelago by house
487   mice. *Molecular Ecology* **10**, 2023-2029.
488   47.   Macholan M. 2006 A geometric morphometric analysis of the shape of the first upper molar
489   in mice of the genus *Mus* (Muridae, Rodentia). *Journal of Zoology* **270**(4), 672-681.
490   48.   Misonne X. 1969 African and Indo-Australian Muridae. Evolutionary trends. Tervuren,
491   Belgique, Musée Royal de l'Afrique Centrale; 219 p.
492   49.   Renaud S., Pantalacci S., Quéré J.-P., Laudet V., Auffray J.-C. 2009 Developmental constraints
493   revealed by co-variation within and among molar rows in two murine rodents. *Evolution and*
494   *Development* **11**(5), 590-602. (doi:DOI: 10.111/j.1525-142X.2009.00365.x).
495   50.   Granjon L., Cheylan G. 1988 Mécanismes de coexistence dans une guilde de muridés insulaire
496   (*Rattus rattus* L., *Apodemus sylvaticus* L. et *Mus musculus domesticus* Rutty) en Corse: conséquences
497   évolutives. *Zeitschrift für Säugetierkunde* **53**, 301-316.
498   51.   Nunes A.C., Britton-Davidian J., Catalan J., Ramalhinho M.G., Capela R., Mathias M.L., Ganem
499   G. 2005 Influence of physical environmental characteristics and anthropogenic factors on the
500   position and structure of a contact zone between two chromosomal races of the house mouse on the
501   island of Madeira (North Atlantic, Portugal). *Journal of Biogeography* **32**(12), 2123-2134.
502   52.   Gómez Cano A.R., Fernández M.H., Álvarez-Sierra M.Á. 2013 Dietary ecology of Murinae
503   (Muridae, Rodentia): A geometric morphometric approach. *PLoS ONE* **8**(11), e79080.
504   (doi:doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0079080).
505   53.   Le Roux V., Chapuis J.-L., Frenot Y., Vernon P. 2002 Diet of the house mouse (*Mus musculus*)
506   on Guillou Island, Kerguelen archipelago, Subantarctic. *Polar Biology* **25**, 49-57.

507 54. Smith V.R., Avenant N.L., Chown S.L. 2002 The diet and impact of house mice on a sub-
508 Antarctic island. *Polar Biology* **25**, 703-715. (doi:doi 10.1007/s00300-002-0405-8).
509 55. van Aarde R.J., Jackson T.P. 2007 Food, reproduction and survival in mice on sub-Antarctic
510 Marion Island. *Polar Biology* **30**, 503-511. (doi:doi 10.1007/s00300-006-0209-3).
511 56. Ganem G. 2012 Behavior, ecology, and speciation in the house mouse. In *Evolution of the*
512 *house mouse* (eds. Macholan M., Baird S.J.E., Munclinger P., Pialek J.), pp. 373-406. Cambridge,
513 Cambridge University Press.
514 57. Chapuis J.-L., Boussès P., Barnaud G. 1994 Alien mammals, impact and management in the
515 French subantarctic islands. *Biological Conservation* **67**, 97-104.
516 58. Reisinger R.R., De Bruyn P.J.N., Tosh C.A., Oosthuizen W.C., Mufanadzo N.T., Bester M.N.
517 2011 Prey and seasonal abundance of killer whales at sub-Antarctic Marion Island. *African Journal of*
518 *Marine Science* **33**, 99-105.
519 59. Millien V. 2006 Morphological evolution is accelerated among island mammals. *PLoS Biology*
520 **4**(10), e321. (doi:DOI: 10.1371/journal.pbio.0040321).
521 60. Cucchi T., Barnett R., Martinkova N., Renaud S., Renvoisé E., Evin A., Sheridan A., Mainland I.,
522 Wickham-Jones C., Tougard C., et al. 2014 The changing pace of insular life: 5000 years of
523 microevolution in the Orkney vole (*Microtus arvalis orcadensis*). *Evolution* **68**(10), 2804-2820.
524 (doi:10.1111/evo.12476).
525 61. Caumul R., Polly P.D. 2005 Phylogenetic and environmental components of morphological
526 variation: skull, mandible, and molar shape in marmots (*Marmota*, Rodentia). *Evolution* **59**(11), 2460-
527 2472.
528 62. Renaud S., Auffray J.-C. 2013 The direction of main phenotypic variance as a channel to
529 morphological evolution: case studies in murine rodents. *Hystrix, The Italian Journal of Mammalogy*
530 **24**(1), 85-93. (doi:10.4404/hystrix-24.1-6296).
531 63. Schluter D. 1996 Adaptive radiation along genetic lines of least resistance. *Evolution* **50**(5),
532 1766-1774.
533 64. Polly P.D. 2001 On morphological clocks and paleophylogeography: towards a timescale for
534 *Sorex* hybrid zones. *Genetica* **112-113**, 339-357.
535 65. Salazar-Ciudad I., Marin-Riera M. 2013 Adaptive dynamics under development-based
536 genotype-phenotype maps. *Nature* **497**, 361-364.

537

538

539

Tables

Group	Geographic area	N_{3D}	N_{2D}	N_{genet}	N_{haplo}	Div_{genet}
El Hierro	Canary	8	37	55	8	0.1
La Palma	Canary	3	38	38	10	0.1
Tenerife	Canary	4	35	48	8	0.2
Eday	Orkney	8	18	11	3	0
Faray	Orkney	9	12	5	1	0
Papa Westray	Orkney	7	10	9	2	0
Sanday	Orkney	6	8	7	1	0
Guillou 1993 and 2009	Sub-Antartic	13	44	79	1	0
Marion	Sub-Antartic	9	92	10	2	0.1
Madeira	Madeira	12	103	112	32	0.3
Col-Bonn	Western Europe	4	14	57	29	0.9
Southern France	Western Europe	3	81	71	32	0.5
Northern Italy	Western Europe	4	40	30	26	0.9

Table 1. Sampling of the study: Group (zone of trapping) and corresponding geographic area, number of first upper molars in the 3D morphometric analysis (N_{3D}) and in the 2D comparison (N_{2D}), number of D-loop sequences (N_{genet}), numbers of haplotypes documented (N_{haplo}), genetic diversity (Div_{genet}, within-group p-distance in %).

Figure captions

Figure 1. (A) Map of the localities sampled for morphometrics. (B) Phylogenetic tree based on D-loop sequences. Genetic sampling was designed to encompass at best the diversity of Western European mice, as well as the islands studied. Haplogroups defined by Bonhomme et al. (2011) are provided.

Figure 2. First upper molar differentiation in the morphospace based on 3D morphometrics. Symbols are group means linked by the phylogenetic relationship based on D-loop distances. Envelopes depict range of variation of the geographic groups. Depicted shape changes: along PC1 (from -0.06 to 0.04) and PC2 (from -0.04 to 0.06).

Figure 3. Summary of a model of 3D tooth shape vs. explanatory variables: size, phylogeny, ecology and climate and visualization of the various effects. Allometry: Shape change with a size increase from 5% to 95% of the distribution. The following representations were computed based on the 29 first PCs (totaling more than 95% of variance) on the size-free variables. Phylogeny: Changes along

560   the first phylogenetic axis, roughly corresponding to changes from Western Europe to the

561   Macaronesian cluster. Ecology: Change from presence to absence of interspecific competition.

562   Climate: Changes along the first climatic axis (opposing warm, dry to cold, wet environments) and

563   along the second climatic axis (opposing seasonal, continental environments to non-seasonal, insular

564   environments). In all cases, the shape change between 5% and 95% of the distribution is visualized.

565   The residuals of the model including size, phylogeny, climate and ecology were decomposed into

566   between and within-group variance. Shape changes along the first axis of within-group variance are

567   depicted (+/-0.4 along wgPC1).

568

569   **Supplementary File captions**

570   **Supplementary Figure 1.** Design of 3D quantification of first upper molar shape. From top to bottom:

571   (1) original shape of the tooth obtained by semi-automatic segmentation of the CT-scan. (2) Design

572   of a template, with top of the cusps cut off to minimize the impact of wear. (3) Template on the

573   tooth on which it has been designed. (4) Adjustment of the template to another tooth. In blue

574   landmarks used for preliminary superimposition of the templates. In red sliding-landmarks that will

575   be used afterward: front crown-root junction; inflexion between front and lingual root; inflexion

576   between lingual and posterior root; lateral maximum of curvature on the anterior lingual cusp, and

577   on the anterior and median labial cusps; base of the protocone and of the hypocone.

578   **Supplementary Figure 2.** Phylogenetic tree constructed using a geographic subsample matching the

579   morphometric sampling (see Supp. Table 2).

580   **Supplementary Figure 3.** Centroid size differences of the upper molar between geographic groups.

581   **Supplementary Figure 4.** First upper molar differentiation in the morphospace based on 2D

582   morphometrics. Axes are the first and second principal components of a PCA on descriptors (FCs) of

583   the molar 2D outline. A. Group means linked by the phylogenetic relationship based on D-loop

584   distances. B. Range of variation of the geographic groups in the same morphospace.

585

586   **Supplementary Table 1.** D-loop accession numbers of sequences used for the global phylogeographic

587   tree (Figure 1).

588   **Supplementary Table 2.** List of haplotypes and number of samples (when available) for the localities

589   matching the morphometric sampling.

590   **Supplementary Table 3.** Ecological data with references for the different localities.

591   **Supplementary Table 4.** Detailed dataset including morphometric, climatic, ecological, and

592   transformed genetic data.

593

594

595

596