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Abstract. The excessive and obsessive use of the internet and digital technologies, known as Digital Addiction (DA), is becoming a social issue. Given that it inherently involves the use of technological devices this provides the opportunity to deliver interactive, intelligent prevention and intervention strategies in real time. However, for any large-scale, multi-national prevention campaign to be optimised cultural differences within the target population must be considered. This study aimed to contribute towards this literature by exploring cultural differences in the acceptance of DA prevention messages in the UK vs China. An initial series of exploratory interviews were conducted with a sample within the UK to determine what strategies may be used to address overuse of digital devices. These interviews were subjected to content analysis, which was then used as the basis for an online survey that was disseminated throughout the UK and China. A total of 373 useable surveys were returned. There were several statistically significant differences in preferences over how an intervention system should operate. UK participants wished for the system to be easily under their control, whilst behaving largely autonomously when needed, and to also be transparent as to why a message had been triggered. Chinese participants on the other hand were less likely to state a preference for such a high degree of control over any such system. Overall, the preferred implementation of such systems does appear to vary between the UK and China, suggesting that any future prevention and intervention strategies take cultural dimensions into consideration.
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1. Introduction

The excessive and obsessive use of the internet and digital technologies, known as Digital Addiction (DA), is becoming a social issue [1]. It has been suggested that DA is associated with a number of negative consequences, including poor academic performance, reduced social and recreational activities, relationships breakdowns, low involvement in real-life communities, poor parenting, depression and lack of sleep [2, 3]. Consistent with addiction it has also been argued that those experiencing DA can display symptoms of dependency and withdrawal such as depression, cravings, insomnia, and irritability [4]. It has been suggested that 6%-15% of the general population test meet the requirement of DA [5], although these figures are dependent on varying definitions and conceptualisations of DA that exist between different countries. It has been argued that university and college students are at particular risk of DA [5]; with 18.3% of students in the UK identified as meeting the requirements of DA [6]. As such treatment and prevention approaches are needed.

In addition, there is a lack of research that considers the role of software developers in the phenomenon of DA, with some exceptions such as [7]. DA has unique characteristics in comparison to what could be considered traditional addictions. Given that it inherently involves the use of technological devices this provides the opportunity to deliver prevention and intervention strategies in real time and whilst the targeted behaviour is occurring. This is not typically the case in behaviour change – in smoking for example people may see anti-smoking education campaign messages through a variety of mediums, but it would be difficult to ensure that these messages are seen whilst someone is in the act of smoking a cigarette.

Messages for DA can also be easily customised to the individual and to the social environment in which they operate. This has potential to make prevention and intervention campaigns far more salient to the individual which, as based on social psychological research [8], could be expected to increase the efficacy of such campaigns. An important factor of the social environment of any individual will be culture. This is particularly relevant to DA, where the use of digital technologies and online platforms transcend national boundaries. As has been found in behaviour change campaigns conducted in other domains the success of an intervention is dependent on how well it is tailored to local, cultural and political contexts [9], although it has also been noted that this is still an under-researched area [10]. This article aims to begin work on addressing this gap by exploring attitudes towards DA interactive messaging with participants in the UK and China.

There is a substantial body of literature documenting and discussing cultural differences between the West and China. In particular, Hofstede’s [11] research on cultural dimensions and Nisbett’s research on cultural cognition [12] implies that messages presented to culturally different sets of users will attract different responses and interpretations. Nisbett’s work indicates that Chinese people, users in the case of this paper, will tend to be much more holistic than UK users in how they perceive and process information, i.e. there will be a preference for understanding relations and how information makes sense as whole. UK users instead will tend to be more analytical, breaking down the different information elements, trying to establish logical and causal relations wherever possible.
The UK and China differ significantly along two cultural dimensions, power distance and individualism [11]. China scores high in power distance as compared to the UK [13]. This means there will be more distance between figures of authority and those under them, and these differences are more likely to be accepted. Compared to the UK, China also scores low on individualism [13]. This indicates that China is a highly collectivist society, and that the interests of the collective will be given priority to individual benefits.

Hofstede’s cultural dimensions have been used to account for differences between how users respond to websites for countries with different levels of power distance and individualism, [14]. For a national culture like that of China, high in power distance and low on individualism, the tendency is to prefer content representing symbols of power or emerging from authority; any motivation should be aimed at the benefits of the collective and not only the individual. In contrast for a national culture like that of the UK, user interface content can be more informal and direct, accentuating the benefits for the individual.

Several authors have studied the effect of Asian and Western cultures on the use of interactive systems. For instance, Evers and Day [15] showed how Chinese, Indonesian and Australian users differed in perceived usefulness and ease of use towards the acceptance of a system; whereas Choi et al [16] demonstrated the value of culture-specific models and dimensions in the design of mobile data services by studying Finnish, Korean and Japanese users. Overall, the discussion of cultural effects on internet users’ preferences is relevant to how users perceive DA messages and can provide insights on how to implement its use in culturally acceptable ways.

2. Method

The methodology consisted of initial exploratory interviews stage followed by a survey. Screening items were used to ensure that the people who participated in the interviews and survey could be considered to demonstrate problematic levels of digital media use. These items were based on the first three items of the CAGE measure [17], which was developed as a tool to quickly screen for alcohol use disorders. The four items of the original measure ask individuals if they have ever felt they should i) cut down on their drinking, ii) been annoyed by people criticizing their drinking, iii) felt guilty about their drinking and iv) needed to drink in the morning to alleviate a hangover (eye-opener). For the purposes of this study ‘drinking’ was replaced by ‘use of social networks or gaming’ for the first three items. The fourth item of the original CAGE measure was not adapted for use as this was not relevant to the topic of digital addiction.

The initial exploratory stage consisted of interviews with 11 participants, five male and six female, aged between 19 and 35 years old. Four of them were professionals and seven were students. The interviews were to explore and understand how users see digital addiction messages. To ensure a range of views the sample included seven participants who liked the idea of messaging and four participants who did not feel messaging was an efficient idea. The interviews were recorded, and content analyzed and a set of statements on the messaging design, content, delivery and intelligence were obtained.

The second phase was based on an online survey. The purpose of the survey was to enhance and confirm the results obtained through the analysis of the interview. Our study in Ali, Jiang [18] reports the results of the analysis and confirmation with 72 participants who were mainly based in the UK and EU. To discover whether there could be cultural differences in the perception and preferences of DA messages, the survey was translated to Chinese and further disseminated in both its English and Chinese versions through mailing lists to students at a university, professional mailing lists and also the social media and mailing lists of the authors. A total of 373 usable surveys were returned, 151 from the UK (146 completed) and 222 from China (184 completed).

3. Results

There were 151 participants from the UK, which consisted of 81 females (54%), 68 males (45%) and 2 participants who preferred not to declare (1%) their gender. There were 222 participants from China, which consisted of 117 females (53%) and 103 males (46%) and 2 participants who preferred not to declare their gender (1%). Most participants in the UK (56%) and China (62%) were aged between 18 – 25.

Most participants from both the UK and China (60%) reported that they sometimes felt they should cut down on their digital media use represented through their usage of social networks or games. As shown in Table 1, the most popular option for participants from both countries was messages based on the time already spent on the software, which participants from the UK were significantly more likely to agree to ($\chi^2 = 13.85, df = 1, p < .001$). UK participants were also more significantly more likely to agree that they would like messages that tell them the number of times they have checked/visited the software ($\chi^2 = 18.1, df = 1, p < .001$), provided them information in the form of a usage bill ($\chi^2 = 11.78, df = 1, p = .001$) or warned them about negative consequences to offline social life ($\chi^2 = 5.22, df = 1, p = .022$). Participants from China on the
other hand were significantly more to report that they would accept messages which suggest offline activities based on their online usage ($\chi^2 = 12.38, df = 1, p < .001$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Message type</th>
<th>% in agreement</th>
<th>Message type</th>
<th>% in agreement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time spent on apps/ software</td>
<td>77 59</td>
<td>Consequences for online contacts</td>
<td>14 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of checks/visits</td>
<td>51 29</td>
<td>Consequences on offline social life</td>
<td>35 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavily used features and apps</td>
<td>14 16</td>
<td>Physical/mental health risks</td>
<td>48 47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential risks of excessive use</td>
<td>26 32</td>
<td>Risk of information</td>
<td>26 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usage &quot;bill&quot;</td>
<td>43 26</td>
<td>Advice on usage regulation</td>
<td>36 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public profile damage</td>
<td>33 26</td>
<td>Suggestions of real-life activities</td>
<td>31 49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consequence on online relationships</td>
<td>21 20</td>
<td>Factual statements on benefits of regulation</td>
<td>31 27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Percentage of participants in agreement that intervention message type would be useful.

Of the three most common preferences for the delivery of DA messages (Table 2), participants from the UK were significantly more likely to prefer each of these; namely pop-up notifications ($\chi^2 = 8.96, df = 1, p = .003$), time based progress statuses such as a clock display ($\chi^2 = 20.89, df = 1, p < .001$) and dynamic coloring of the interface ($\chi^2 = 5.77, df = 1, p = .016$). Participants from the UK were also significantly more likely to prefer messages delivered offline by for example email ($\chi^2 = 5.50, df = 1, p = .019$), although overall this option was only preferred by a minority of participants from both countries.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Message delivery style</th>
<th>% in agreement</th>
<th>Theme and source of message</th>
<th>% in agreement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pop-up notifications</td>
<td>48 32</td>
<td>Positive and supportive content</td>
<td>60 42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time based progress status (e.g. clock)</td>
<td>58 34</td>
<td>Non-repetitive content</td>
<td>48 34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dynamic coloring of the interface</td>
<td>45 33</td>
<td>Non-overly negative content</td>
<td>45 33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Percentage of participants in agreement that different delivery types, themes and sources would be useful.

Participants were asked to state how much they would like to control different aspects of the intervention message software (Table 3). Compared to participants from China participants from the UK were significantly more likely to report that they would prefer to control the type of information contained in the message ($\chi^2 = 20.81, df = 1, p < .001$); the frequency with which messages are received ($\chi^2 = 11.68, df = 1, p = .001$); the presentation of the message in terms of graphics, sounds, emails etc ($\chi^2 = 12.58, df = 1, p < .001$); what actions trigger the message ($\chi^2 = 13.15, df = 1, p < .001$) and the source of the message in terms of software developers, institutions etc. ($\chi^2 = 19.01, df = 1, p < .001$). Participants from the UK were also significantly more likely to report that they would like the software to act autonomously once it is set up ($\chi^2 = 4.92, df = 1, p = .027$). The only item which was reported as being significantly more desired by participants from China was having control over the strategy through which messages are generated, i.e. proactive or reactive to usage, or based on comparisons to others or an absolute scale ($\chi^2 = 4.28, df = 1, p = .039$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Control over function</th>
<th>% in agreement</th>
<th>Control over function</th>
<th>% in agreement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type of information</td>
<td>41 19</td>
<td>Actions that trigger a message</td>
<td>38 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timing of messages</td>
<td>39 21</td>
<td>Source of the message</td>
<td>29 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency of messages</td>
<td>60 42</td>
<td>Strategy through which messages are triggered</td>
<td>26 36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Message presentation style</td>
<td>50 32</td>
<td>The degree to which software is autonomous</td>
<td>26 18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Percentage of participants in agreement they would like control over different functions of the intervention software.
4. Discussion

The majority of participants in both the UK and China stated that they sometimes felt they should cut down on their use of social networks or gaming. Given the use of the screening measure to identify those who appeared to use digital technologies excessively this is not in itself surprising, but it does demonstrate that there is a demand for products which may aide people in better managing their use of digital technologies. This relates to the wider controversy on the status of DA as a mental health disorder. Following an extensive debate DA was not included within the main body of the recently published Diagnostic and Statistical Manual (DSM-5) of the American Psychiatric Association [19], although it was included in the appendix as needing further research.

Most participants in both countries agreed that DA interactive messages would be useful, with participants from China significantly more likely to report this. Given that DA is an emergent phenomenon there is a lack of research into how DA messages should be created and delivered that the results of this study can be compared to. However, parallels can be drawn with research into cross-cultural differences in consumer psychology, which shares the same goal of marketing different products or ideas across different cultures [20]. The UK and China vary on a number of cultural dimensions, of which the most commonly researched is individualist-collectivist-framework [21]. Individualistic cultures are characterized by a focus on the success of the individual, whereas collectivist cultures focus more on the role of the individual into contributing to the wellbeing of the group. In support of this individuals from the UK demonstrate characteristics of self-independence whereas individuals in China are more likely to display characteristics of interdependence and mutual reliance [22]. As further noted by Hofstede [23] in China individuals are more prone to a hierarchical structure, as well as being more sensitive to group membership [24].

The greater acceptance of participants from China towards the idea of warning messages is consistent with cross-cultural research consumer psychology, which suggests that Chinese consumers place more emphasis on reputation than UK consumers [25]. Using anything to excess, including digital technologies, implies that the individual is in some way departing from the social norm of that group. As such it could be expected that individuals in China would be more responsive to a system that warns them when their usage is becoming somehow excessive. However, it was also found that UK participants were significantly more likely to agree that they would like messages based on potential consequences on offline social life, which is in contrast to what would be expected from the aforementioned research on the importance of group membership to those in a collectivistic culture.

Overall the significant differences between participants from the UK and China could be summarized as the UK participant expressing a wish for greater control and customization of any potential DA messaging software. This is again consistent with cross-cultural research into consumer psychology, which has demonstrated that individuals from the UK like to be able to customize products [25]. In keeping with dual entitlement theory [26] people from individualistic cultures may in fact feel they are entitled to customization, as this is what they have experienced in the past [25]. This finding is further reinforced by research on the relation between locus of control and individualism as a cultural dimension. Locus control is a social psychology concept that refers to how much individuals believe they are in control of events affecting them [27]. Spector, Cooper [28] demonstrate that individualistic cultures, like that of UK, will tend to reflect internal locus of control, i.e. individuals expect to have control, while for collectivistic cultures like that of China an external locus of control is the case, i.e. individuals will expect not to have control. This could suggest that the ability to customize DA messaging is not just something which will increase the appeal of the software to UK users; such customization may in fact be necessary if users are to accept the software at all.

The effects of individualism-collectivism on website acceptability and usability have already been documented by several authors [14, 29, 30]. In this context, the findings reported here on the influence of individualism-collectivism on user preferences for DA messages are consistent with those of Cyr [29] who demonstrated how the cultural localization of websites for Canadian users (individualistic) and for a Chinese users (collectivistic) in terms of visual direction, information design and navigation design had a positive effect on satisfaction and trust towards content presented to them.

Hofstede’s survey and resulting set of national culture models has been subject to criticism by various authors: McSweeney [31] considers the process to identify cultural dimensions through the analysis of differences in the survey as tautological and biased, e.g. only IBM employees took part. Kamppuri [32] refers to many instances where the expectations of Hofstede’s cultural dimensions for particular sets of users were not met; and Abufardeh and Magel [33] note that his survey was mainly developed from models of organizational culture while not exhaustively assessing national cultures. Overall, there is a general discussion around the applicability of national models to user interface design. As pointed by Kamppuri [32], this is seen as a contradiction: on one hand Hofstede encourages the application of his models and, on the other hand, he declares his model represents preferences underpinned by cultural values, which are more stable, and not cultural practices.
Whilst there were several statistically significant differences between the preferences of participants from the UK and China what is particularly interesting is the degree of overlap between countries. Even when differences were found it could be argued that most of these were particularly substantive. Messages based on time spent on a platform or game appealed to most participants in both countries, which is a type of message that it would be relatively simple generate for a number of software platforms. This wish for some kind of time based message could relate to psychological research into the phenomena of being in a flow state [34], characterized as being ‘in the zone’, in which an individual may lose track of time whilst engaged with a social networking platform or whilst gaming [35]. Participants in both countries also expressed a desire for DA messages to be positive, supportive and to generally avoid being confrontational or negative. This dislike of individuals to being manipulated or coerced into behaving a certain way is known in social psychological research as reactance [36] and is important to consider when designing prevention and intervention campaigns.

In this paper we argued the need for a culture-sensitive design of DA interactive messages which is a brief intervention mechanism towards a regulated and informed digital media usage. We investigated the differences between UK and Chinese users and realized the existence of significant differences in the preferences and attitude towards such messages and their content, delivery method, autonomy, configuration and control. Culture is only one stage which may inform initial decisions about the viability and potential adoption of certain design options. The customization of DA messages would require also a consideration of personal context as well as usage style and patterns. For example, messages requiring the share of usage statistics and sent by peers in a peer group setting may not be a preferred option for introvert users. Our future work will investigate the various variables which may influence the acceptance and right configuration of the DA messaging service to get the right behaviour change and avoid adverse effects.
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