

## BOOK REVIEW

5 **Graham Greene: Political Writer**, Michael G. Brennan, Basingstoke, Palgrave  
Macmillan, 2016. xxiv + 208 pp., £58.00 (hardback), ISBN: 978-1-137-34395-6

10 For Graham Greene politics were “in the air we breathe, like the presence or the absence of a  
God” (p. ix). Given the events of the past year, Michael G. Brennan might be tempted to argue  
how little has changed since Greene’s observation. Indeed, as a result of the shifting govern-  
15 mental and geopolitical circumstances of our own contemporary moment, Brennan’s con-  
sideration of the political and literary thought of Graham Greene is possessed of a  
particular timeliness and relevance in its suggestion that writers of fiction, occasionally in  
spite of their best efforts, might struggle to remain separate from the national and international  
political affairs and events of their time. Even a writer such as Greene, so often typically  
20 assessed in relation to his fictive address of religious themes, and who, as Brennan asserts,  
was initially keen to avoid the label of “political writer” and its connotations, was nonetheless  
galvanised in reaction to the political currents of his age. Having overcome his initial reticence,  
Brennan argues, Greene would go on to develop and refine an approach to writing in which the  
personal and political, as well as religious and secular humanistic concerns, were all inextricably  
bound.

25 As Brennan’s book makes clear, however, such a qualified and changeable approach to pol-  
itical life is often fraught with complication, especially when these various spheres collide.  
Brennan presents a detailed narrative of Greene’s life in letters, and his exploration of how  
Greene’s idiosyncratic, if not downright inconsistent, political tendencies developed through  
his writing is compelling. In his reading of Greene’s fictional output alongside his experiences  
and shifting circumstances, Brennan makes much use of Norman Sherry’s three-volume work  
30 on Greene’s life and career, in addition to his own research into the Greene family tree and its  
deep and sometimes knotty roots in public life. As such, he adopts a tone that lies midway  
between the analysis of literary criticism and the authority of popular biography; the book  
is thus all the more accessible as a consequence, supported by the decision to structure chapters  
around discrete phases of Greene’s life and literary career, breaking them into short sections  
that allow for ease of reference and navigability. However, despite the advantages of this com-  
mendably user-friendly format, I occasionally found myself longing for more sustained analy-  
35 sis in places, particularly of Greene’s mid-century works, and the juncture at which his political  
and literary maturation appear to occur.

40 Similarly, there are sections where the biographer appears to win out over the critic that  
consequently feel a little under-explored, and where the book is more concerned with  
Greene’s own narrative than its impact on his work. Some notable omissions in Brennan’s bib-  
liography here are the work of Adam Piette, particularly the incisive *The Literary Cold War:  
1945 to Vietnam* (2009), and Allan Hepburn’s *Intrigue* (2005). Brennan rightly pinpoints  
45 Greene’s personal experiences in Vietnam and the resultant *The Quiet American* (1955) as a  
moment of decisive shift in Greene’s political and literary register, acknowledging too the  
process by which the propaganda work of Greene’s brother Hugh at the anodyne-sounding  
Information Research Department and Emergency Information Service in Malaya were  
instructive to the subversive politics of the novel. However, he does not, as Piette does,  
explore these in comparative detail, and consider how the developing Cold War lexis of  
neutral, euphemistic language detached the individual from the realities of this political  
world, and drove Greene to produce such a visceral work in response. Such stylistic and

textual appreciation might have more satisfyingly bridged the disciplinary and analytical distance between literary studies and biography here, and rounded out Brennan's appreciation and application of historical lenses to this crucial period in Greene's career, and the novels that came after.

50 Similarly, it is at this point that factors of literary genre are more pressing than perhaps Brennan gives them credit for. Hepburn acknowledges how a rush of literary works arose in response to the unsettled context of deteriorating US/UK and Soviet relations post-war, consolidating the image of the spy, his overlapping professional and private selves, and espionage fiction more generally, within the public consciousness. In such a climate, Greene's decision to fill *The Third Man* (1949) with stark allegorical intersections of the political and personal as expressed in Rollo Martin and Harry Lime's friendship betrayed seem telling, though they, and the novella to film adaptation, are here barely glossed.

55 Brennan's overarching thesis, however, is irrefutable. Concurrent with the two major totalitarian regimes of the twentieth century, Greene's life, thought and literary accomplishments were conducted in an age where politics became more and more the foundation, and qualification (were we to reflect upon Giorgio Agamben's understanding of the period), of modern existence. This authoritative volume illustrates how, despite his reluctance and changeability, Greene sought to approach, interpret and, on occasion, actively resist this process through his  
 AQ1 literary fiction. Brennan's work acts as a reminder too that it is the "duty of the publically  
 ▲ engaged writer", especially those with the platform and connections to the corridors and  
 AQ2 levers of power such as Greene had, to "interrogate the 'distribution of power in society" (p.  
 65 ▲ 174) and offer cogent and articulate responses to extremes of political or nationalistic  
 AQ3 fervour in their literary output. Greene's key advantage, argues Brennan, is that he never  
 ▲ saw his spirituality as antithetical to such discussions, nor lost them. It is perhaps the retention of such a human factor that our own political life might now benefit from.

70 Sam Goodman

*Bournemouth University*

 s.goodman@bournemouth.ac.uk

© 2018 Sam Goodman

<https://doi.org/10.1080/0013838X.2018.1497826>



80

85

90