## Title

Progressive Subcortical Volume Loss in Treatment-Resistant Schizophrenia Patients After Commencing Clozapine Treatment

# Authors

Giulia Tronchin<sup>1</sup>, Theophilus N. Akudjedu<sup>1,2</sup>, Mohamed Ahmed<sup>1</sup>, Laurena Holleran<sup>1</sup>, Brian Hallahan<sup>1</sup>, Dara M. Cannon<sup>1</sup> and Colm McDonald<sup>1</sup>

# Affiliations

<sup>1</sup>Centre for Neuroimaging & Cognitive Genomics (NICOG), Clinical Neuroimaging Laboratory, NCBES Galway Neuroscience Centre, College of Medicine Nursing and Health Sciences, National University of Ireland Galway, H91TK33 Galway, Ireland.

<sup>2</sup> Institute of Medical Imaging & Visualisation, Faculty of Health & Social Science, Bournemouth University, Bournemouth, United Kingdom.

## **Corresponding author**

Giulia Tronchin Clinical Science Institute, Department of Psychiatry, National University of Ireland, Galway Phone: +353 838833543 Email: giulia.tronchin@nuigalway.ie 1 Abstract

2

The association of antipsychotic medication with abnormal brain morphometry in 3 schizophrenia remains uncertain. This study investigated subcortical morphometric changes 4 5 6 months after switching treatment to clozapine in patients with treatment-resistant 6 schizophrenia compared with healthy volunteers, and the relationships between longitudinal 7 volume changes and clinical variables. 1.5T MRI images were acquired at baseline before 8 commencing clozapine and again after 6 months of treatment for 33 patients with treatment resistant schizophrenia and 31 controls, and processed using the longitudinal pipeline of 9 10 Freesurfer v.5.3.0. Two-way repeated MANCOVA was used to assess group differences in subcortical volumes over time and partial correlations to determine association with clinical 11 12 variables. Whereas no significant subcortical volume differences were found between patients and controls at baseline(F(8,52)=1.79; p= 0.101), there was a significant interaction 13 between time, group and structure(F(7,143)=52.54, p<0.001). Corrected *post-hoc* analyses 14 demonstrated that patients had significant enlargement of lateral ventricles (F(1,59)=48.89; 15 p<0.001) and reduction of thalamus (F(1,59)=34.85; p<0.001), caudate (F(1,59)=59.35; 16 p<0.001), putamen (F(1,59)=87.20; p<0.001) and hippocampus (F(1,59)=14.49; p<0.001) 17 volumes. Thalamus and putamen volume reduction was associated with improvement in 18 19 PANSS (r=0.42; p=0.021, r=0.39; p=0.033), SANS (r=0.36; p=0.049, r=0.40; p=0.027) and GAF 20 (r=-0.39; p=0.038, r=-0.42; p=0.024) scores. Reduced thalamic volume over time was 21 associated with increased serum clozapine level at follow-up (r=-0.44; p=0.010). Patients with treatment-resistant schizophrenia display progressive subcortical volume deficits after 22 23 switching to clozapine despite experiencing symptomatic improvement. Thalamo-striatal progressive volumetric deficit associated with symptomatic improvement after clozapine 24 25 exposure may reflect an adaptive response related to improved outcome rather than a 26 harmful process.

- 27
- 28 29
- 30
- \_ .
- 31
- 32

33 1. Introduction

34

Approximately 30% of patients with schizophrenia meet criteria to be considered treatment-35 36 resistant[1,2], usually defined as the failure to respond to at least two adequate trials of 37 antipsychotic medication[3]. Clozapine has an established superior clinical effect to control 38 symptoms in treatment-resistant patients, with 60-70% having a positive response[4,5]. 39 Patients treated with clozapine also often experience troublesome side effects including significant weight gain and lipid abnormalities[6], which notably have been associated with 40 41 improvement in symptomatology[7,8]. Cross-sectional MRI studies of patients with treatment 42 resistant schizophrenia (TRS) receiving clozapine and other antipsychotic medications have 43 reported a range of brain abnormalities compared with controls, including reduced global grey matter[9,10], predominantly in frontal and temporal regions[11–13], and volumetric 44 45 reduction of the amygdala and hippocampus[12,13].

46 The association of antipsychotic medication use with progressive brain deficits has been explored in longitudinal studies of schizophrenia[14,15]. These studies mostly use an 47 observational rather than randomised design approach and thus cannot fully account for 48 illness or service-related factors which influence clinician and patient medication choice. In a 49 50 meta-analysis of longitudinal MRI studies based on 1155 patients with schizophrenia and 911 healthy controls, Vita and colleagues[15] reported reduced cortical grey matter volume over 51 time in patients which was related to cumulative exposure and mean daily dose of 52 antipsychotic medications. Patients treated with first-generation antipsychotic (FGA) 53 medications compared to second-generation antipsychotics (SGA) displayed more 54 progressive grey matter loss, which correlated with higher mean daily antipsychotic dose. 55 Likewise, van Haren and colleagues'[16] 5-year longitudinal study reported an association 56 57 between higher cumulative dose of FGA over time and more marked cortical thinning, while higher dose of SGA in contrast was associated with less cortical thinning. However, patients 58 59 who received clozapine treatment during the interscan interval showed more pronounced superior temporal cortical thinning compared with those not treated with clozapine. In 60 contrast, in another analysis of this cohort, higher cumulative dose of clozapine during the 61 interscan interval was related to attenuated loss of grey matter in the left superior frontal 62 63 gyrus[17].

Longitudinal subcortical neuroimaging studies specifically of treatment-resistant 64 clozapine-naïve patients are sparse, with small numbers of participants or without a matched 65 control group. An early study of subcortical structures by Chakos and colleagues[18] based on 66 67 15 patients, and without a control group, reported a 10% decrease in caudate volume after 68 55 weeks, when switched from treatment with typical antipsychotic medications to clozapine. 69 In contrast, patients who stayed on typical antipsychotic medications displayed an 8% 70 enlargement in the caudate. In another study of 26 patients by Scheepers and colleagues[19] volume reduction of caudate nucleus was identified after 24 weeks of treatment with 71 72 clozapine. There was no neuroanatomical correlation with clinical response. In the same 73 cohort, after 52 weeks of treatment, reduced volume of the left caudate was greater in 74 patients who responded to treatment compared to non-responders[20]. Another small study with 8 patients and 8 controls reported reduced caudate volume after 2 years of treatment 75 76 with clozapine, with analogous results for the putamen, which was not statistically 77 significant[21]. Thus, these early studies consistently indicate that switching patients from FGA medication to clozapine is associated with a decrease of caudate volume over time, and 78 has generally been interpreted as a correction by clozapine of caudate hypertrophy induced 79 by FGA medication due to their potent dopamine blockade and the high concentration of 80 81 dopamine receptors in the caudate[22]. However, nowadays most patients are already taking 82 SGA medications prior to clozapine commencement and it remains unclear whether switching to clozapine in such circumstances would have a similar effect on the basal ganglia. 83 84 Furthermore, other subcortical structures such as the hippocampus and thalamus have not been investigated in longitudinal studies of switching to clozapine. 85

Given the importance of identifying factors predicting response to clozapine, the 86 association of clinical response with baseline alterations in subcortical structures has also 87 88 been studied, with conflicting results. In a randomised controlled trial by Arango and colleagues[23], whereas larger right prefrontal cortex predicted improvement in SANS scores 89 90 compared with haloperidol treated patients, there was no such association between clinical symptom change and caudate or hippocampal volume at baseline. Smaller hippocampal 91 volume compared to healthy controls at baseline predicted improvement in disorganised 92 symptoms over time in a longitudinal study by Molina and colleagues[24]. In another 93 longitudinal study, decreased left caudate volume over time was related to a significant 94 95 improvements in positive and general symptoms, but not negative symptoms[20].

We have previously investigated cortical anatomy in a sample of patients before and after switching to clozapine in comparison to healthy volunteers[25], and demonstrated on-going cortical thinning in TRS patients over a 6 month period, in particular for younger patients. The present study, using a unique sample of treatment-resistant clozapine-naïve schizophrenia patients, offers a novel opportunity to comprehensively investigate whether subcortical structures demonstrate progressive neuroanatomical changes after 6 months of clozapine treatment and whether any such changes are related to clinical variables including treatment response and amount of clozapine taken.

#### 126 **2.** Method

#### **127** *2.1 Participants*

As previously reported [25] 39 patients with treatment-resistant schizophrenia (TRS) prior to 128 129 clozapine initiation and 40 healthy volunteers (HC) were initially recruited for the baseline 130 assessment. At the follow-up, 33 patients, after 6 months of treatment with clozapine and a total of 31 healthy controls, matched for sex and age, were successfully re-recruited, scanned 131 and assessed (Table 1). Patients were included if aged 18-60 years and clinically due to switch 132 to clozapine because of treatment resistance. Patients and controls were excluded from the 133 study if they had a previous trial of clozapine treatment, a learning disability, history of 134 neurological illness, history of head injury which resulted in loss of consciousness for over 5 135 minutes, treatment with oral steroid in the three months prior to participation, history of 136 137 comorbid alcohol/ substance dependency as defined by the DSM-IV criteria or any 138 contraindication to MRI scanning. Exclusion criteria for controls also included a current or past axis I mental disorder or any psychotic disorder in a first-degree relative. The study was 139 approved by the Clinical Research Ethics Committee, Galway University Hospitals. Fully 140 informed written consent was obtained for all participants. 141

#### 142 2.2 Clinical assessment

143 All patients were diagnosed using the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual for Mental Disorders 4th Edition text revision (DSM-IV-TR) (American Psychiatric Association, 2000). Treatment 144 resistance was defined as the failure to respond to at least two adequate trials of 145 146 antipsychotic medications, including at least one atypical antipsychotic drug, with a prolonged period of moderate to severe positive and/or negative symptoms[26]. The severity of positive 147 and negative symptoms was assessed at both time points using the Positive and Negative 148 Syndrome Scale (PANSS)[27], the Scale for the Assessment of Positive Symptoms (SAPS)[28] 149 and the Scale for the Assessment of Negative Symptoms (SANS)[29]. Social, occupational and 150 151 psychological functioning was assessed using a Global Assessment of Functioning Score[30]. We used the symptomatic remission criteria of Andreasen[31] with the exclusion of the 152 153 maintenance over 6-month observation period[32]. Remission at the 6 month follow-up assessment was therefore defined as having scores of mild or less (item scores of ≤2 using the 154 0-6 range) on all eight of the following PANSS items: delusions (P1), conceptual 155

disorganisation (P2), hallucinatory behaviour (P3), blunted affect (N1), social withdrawal (N4),
lack of spontaneity (N6), mannerisms / posturing (G5), unusual thought content (G9).

#### 158 2.3 MRI data acquisition

MRI images were acquired for all participants at baseline and after 6 months at University Hospital Galway in a 1.5 Tesla Siemens Magnetom Symphony scanner (Erlangen, Germany) equipped with a 4-channel head coil. A magnetisation prepared rapid gradient echo (MPRAGE) sequence was acquired to generate high resolution volumetric T1-weighted images, with the following parameters: repetition time (TR): 1140 ms, echo time (TE): 4.38 ms, inversion time (TI): 600 ms, flip angle: 15°, matrix size: 256x256, interpolated to 512 x 512, slice thickness: 0.9 mm and in-pixel resolution: 0.45 mm<sup>2</sup>

### 166 2.4 MRI processing

167 Volumetric T1-weighted images used in the analyses were intensity inhomogeneity corrected 168 using non-parametric, non-uniform intensity normalisation (N3)[33] as previously reported[25,34]. Eight subcortical regions-of-interest: lateral ventricle, thalamus, 169 170 hippocampus, caudate, putamen, globus pallidus, amygdala and nucleus accumbens, were 171 bilaterally segmented using the longitudinal pipeline of Freesurfer v.5.3.0[35,36]. Specifically, 172 this technique is based on an unbiased within-subject anatomical template[35], created using 173 a robust and inverse consistent registration method[37], is able to overcome the limitations 174 of longitudinal processing methods. It reduces the risk of underestimating change, giving an 175 unbiased estimation of the neuroanatomical structure volume over time, removing 176 asymmetry-induced processing bias and avoiding over-regularization or temporal 177 smoothness constraints[35]. This technique has also sufficient sensitivity and reliability for small sample sizes[35]. The several steps of the processing pipeline to obtain the output have 178 previously been described in detail[38]. Intracranial volume (ICV), is computed by dividing a 179 predetermined constant with the factor by which the input magnetic resonance (MR) images 180 are scaled in size to align to the MNI305 head atlas[39–41]. At each time point, quality check 181 of the segmentation output was performed, which involves a visual inspection at each of the 182 183 analysis stages, to verify that the segmentation was anatomically accurate and 184 computationally successful[42]. Six images failed the quality check and required manual editing using control points to fix intensity normalization[43]. Following quality check and 185

manual editing, no images were excluded. Subsequently subcortical volumes were bilaterally
extracted and summed together to obtain one measure for each ROI.

#### **188** *2.5 Statistical analysis*

189 Statistical Package for the Social Sciences version (SPSS Inc., v23, IBM, New York, USA) was 190 used to carry out all analyses. The Shapiro-Wilks Test was used to test for normal distribution of demographics, clinical, neuroanatomical and anthropomorphic variables, with outliers 191 192 defined as greater or less than 3 standard deviations from the mean. Age, gender and time 193 between scanning were compared between groups using either a T-test, Chi-square or Mann-Whitney U Test. Differences between baseline and follow-up on clinical variables and 194 anthropomorphic measurements were tested using the Wilcoxon Signed Ranks and Paired-195 Sample T-test. An initial one-way Multivariate analysis of covariance (MANCOVA) was 196 197 performed to evaluate differences between groups at baseline on the eight subcortical 198 structures, covarying for age, sex and ICV. Post-hoc analyses were performed to assess differences at baseline on the 8 subcortical structures between controls and patients 199 200 previously treated with atypical and/or typical medications. Thereafter two-way repeated 201 MANCOVA was used to assess the course of changes in volume of subcortical structures over time between groups, covarying for age, sex and ICV. The group-by-age interaction was used 202 to determine the effect of age on anatomical change between groups over time. Post-hoc 203 204 analysis, corrected for multiple comparison (Bonferroni,  $\alpha$ = 0.006) was carried out to clarify 205 which regions were significantly changing over time. An additional one-way MANCOVA and 206 subsequently a two-way repeated MANCOVA was performed to assess differences between 207 clozapine responders and non-responders at baseline and over time on subcortical structures, covarying for age, sex and ICV. Partial correlations were carried out controlling for the 208 potential influence of age, sex and ICV on the relationship between the subcortical brain 209 regions which showed a significant change over time  $\left(\frac{Follow-up-Baseline}{Baseline} \times 100\right)$  and 210 change in PANSS, SANS, SAPS and GAF (Follow-up-Baseline)[10]. These correlations were 211 hypothesis driven and not corrected for multiple comparison. Pearson correlation analyses 212 were performed to explore the relationship between subcortical structures showing a 213 significant change over time in TRS patients and the variables age, duration of illness, body 214 mass index (BMI), daily dose and serum level of clozapine at follow-up. 215

#### 216 **3. Results**

#### 217 3.1 Clinical characteristics

Patient and control groups did not differ across age, sex, and time between scans (Table 1). 218 219 Patients after treatment with clozapine displayed a substantial and statistically significant 220 improvement in each symptom and function rating scale. At follow-up, patients also displayed a significant increase of weight, waist, body mass index, total cholesterol and triglycerides 221 222 compared to baseline (Table 2). Twelve patients had previously been prescribed typical 223 antipsychotic drugs and 5 were still taking FGA medications at the point of the baseline scan. At baseline before switching to clozapine, 21 patients were on monotherapy with one SGA 224 medication (olanzapine=7, quetiapine=4, aripiprazole=4, amisulpiride=1, paliperidone=1, 225 risperidone long acting injection=1), 10 patients were treated with two antipsychotic 226 227 medications (olanzapine + another antipsychotic=7), with one patient treated with three and 228 another patient treated with four antipsychotic medications. At follow-up 16 patients (48%) 229 were in remission.

### 230 3.2 Differences between groups on subcortical regions at baseline and over time

231 There was no significant difference between TRS patients and controls at baseline (n=33 TRS; 232 n=31 HC) when considering jointly the 8 subcortical structures and taking account of multiple 233 comparisons (F(8,52)= 1.79; p= 0.101, Table 3). We also assessed for differences in subcortical structures at baseline in the larger initially recruited sample (n=39 TRS; n=40 HC). Volumetric 234 235 changes in structures such as hippocampus and lateral ventricles did not survive overall multiple comparison correction (F(8,66)=1.82; p= 0.088, Suppl. Table 1), but were in keeping 236 237 with the effects sizes (circa 0.5) identified for such structures in larger case control samples of patients with schizophrenia[44]. However, a strong significant overall interaction between 238 239 time, group and brain structure was demonstrated (F(7,143)= 52.54; p<0.001, Table 3). Post*hoc* analyses, robustly corrected for multiple comparison (Bonferroni,  $\alpha$ =0.006), revealed a 240 significant volumetric increase in lateral ventricle (F(1,59)=48.89; p<0.001, Figure 1A) and 241 decrease in thalamus (F(1,59)=34.85; p<0.001, Figure 1B), caudate (F(1,59)=59.35; p<0.001, 242 243 Figure 1C), putamen (F(1,59)=87.20; p<0.001, Figure 1D) and hippocampus (F(1,59)=14.49; 244 p<0.001, Figure 1E) volumes for patients compared to healthy controls (Table 3). The relative consistency of the progressive volumetric changes in the patient cohort is apparent from the 245

individual level data points displayed in Supplementary Figure 1. There was no significant group-by-age interaction on the progression of the subcortical structures between patients and controls (F(84,112)= 1.13; p=0.272). *Post-hoc* analysis revealed no significant differences at baseline between controls and patients previously treated with atypical and/or typical medications when considering the 8 subcortical structures (F(8,16)=1.49; p= 0.117).

251 3.3 *Response to clozapine and subcortical changes at baseline and over time* 

252 When investigating the baseline differences between those who remitted on clozapine 253 treatment (n=16) and non-responders (n=17) for the 8 subcortical structures, no significant 254 differences were revealed (F(8,21)=1.32; p=0.286). Likewise, there was no significant overall 255 effect of time on subcortical brain structures between patients responding to clozapine 256 compared to patients non-responders (F(7,20)=0.50; p=0.834).

## 257 *3.4 Correlation between neuroanatomy and clinical variables in treatment-resistant patients*

258 In TRS patients, when covarying for age, sex and ICV, volumetric reduction of thalamus and 259 putamen over time were significantly associated with improvement in PANSS Total score 260 (r=0.42, p=0.021; r=0.39, p=0.033, respectively Figure 2A) and improvement in negative 261 symptoms assessed with the SANS scale (r=0.36, p=0.049; r=0.40, p=0.027, respectively Figure 262 2B). Similarly, improvement in PANSS General score was significantly related to decreased 263 volume in thalamus over time (r=0.39; p=0.034). Controlling for serum clozapine level at follow-up and duration of illness did not impact on the above findings, however improvement 264 265 of GAF was additionally found to relate to reduced thalamic (r=-0.39; p=0.038) and putaminal (r=-0.42; p=0.024) volume (Figure 2C). Improvement in SAPS was associated with reduced 266 267 putaminal volume (r=0.39; p=0.035), but this association weakened slightly and lost significance (r=0.31; p= 0.102) when removing one outlier who demonstrated a 76% 268 269 improvement in positive symptoms. No other associations were found between change in other subcortical brain structures and clinical variables (Suppl. Table 2). 270

271

272

273 3.5 Exploratory analyses between structures showing significant change over time in patients
274 and treatment-related factors.

When exploring the association between changes over time in subcortical structures and treatment related factors in patients, including BMI change, and serum clozapine at followup, a significant association was identified between reduced volume of the thalamus over time and increased clozapine serum level at follow-up (r=-0.44; p=0.010, Figure 2D), with this correlation strengthening (r=-0.49; p=0.010) when controlling for change in clinical symptoms (PANSS, SAPS, SANS) and functioning (GAF).

281

## 282 4. Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this is the largest sample to date to examine the effects of 283 284 switching to clozapine on subcortical regions in a relatively clinically homogenous sample of TRS patients using a longitudinal semi-automated subject-specific approach (Freesurfer 285 v.5.3.0)[36]. In this longitudinal study, minor subcortical differences were detected between 286 287 patients and controls at baseline, which failed to survive multiple comparisons correction. 288 However, we identified substantial progressive volumetric reduction of the thalamus, hippocampus, caudate, putamen and enlargement of lateral ventricles over a 6-month period 289 in patients compared to controls. Reduced caudate volume over time has been consistently 290 reported in the majority of studies of patients switched from typical antipsychotic 291 292 medications to clozapine[18–21] and has been interpreted as reversal of previous 293 enlargement due to excessive dopamine blockade. Consistent with this, longitudinal studies 294 demonstrate basal ganglia enlargement when taking typical medications was reversed by 295 switching to atypical antipsychotic medications[45,46]. Reduction of thalamic volume over time was also reported in a 5-year longitudinal voxel-based morphometry study[17]. 296 297 However, no association has previously been reported between cumulative doses of clozapine and subcortical deficits. The hippocampal progressive reduction identified in this 298 299 cohort on switching to clozapine has not been previously reported, but notably the direction of change is in keeping with the other subcortical structures. The lateral ventricle enlargement 300 over time could be interpreted as ventricular expansion as a result of the significant reduction 301 302 of surrounding subcortical regions[47]. The degree of volumetric change in this cohort after 6 months in regions such as the hippocampus and lateral ventricles is comparable to the rate 303 304 of change detected in previous longitudinal studies over longer time periods [48,49]. The high 305 density of dopamine D2 receptors[50] in basal ganglia and other structures such as thalamus

and hippocampus, renders them major targets to which dopaminergic pathways project[51].
 In a preclinical study, Guma and colleagues[52], presented evidence that D2 receptors play a
 significant role in mediating antipsychotic induced structural changes, whereby volumetric
 reduction in cortical areas, hippocampus and thalamus, was induced by genetic deletion of
 D2 receptors.

Our study did not detect any difference in subcortical structures between those who achieved clinical remission with clozapine treatment and non-responders, either at baseline or over time, consistent with some previous studies[9,19]. In one longitudinal study of patients (which did not include a control sample), responders showed a significant reduction in left caudate volume after 24 weeks of clozapine treatment[20].

These results lead us to speculate on three reasons for the lack of significant baseline subcortical volume deficits in patients compared with controls in this cohort and the subsequent marked progressive volume loss over time after commencing clozapine. (i) Direct effects of clozapine treatment, (ii) withdrawal of prior treatment with other medications, or (iii) illness progression independent of medication use.

321 (i) This cohort of TRS patients may be a categorically different illness subtype with 322 different underlying mechanisms and pathophysiology compared with D2 receptor antagonist responsive schizophrenia[53,54]. Lack of the striatal dopaminergic elevation in TRS, typical in 323 324 schizophrenia could explain why treatment with dopamine antagonists are ineffective as they target the wrong processes[55]. Abnormal glutamatergic function, with higher glutamate + 325 326 glutamine level concentrations have been reported in TRS compared to first-line responders[54,56]. Indeed it has been suggested that clozapine's efficacy might relate to its 327 328 ability to attenuate glutamate release, as demonstrated in preclinical studies[57]. In our cohort the previous lack of symptomatic response to typical and atypical antipsychotic 329 330 medications may have related to relative subcortical volume preservation compared with healthy controls. Hence, the subcortical volume loss after commencing clozapine treatment 331 may directly have been related to clozapine efficacy[19]. Indeed, cross-sectional studies on 332 neuroanatomy of TRS patients are usually on patients already receiving clozapine, and 333 demonstrate reduction of cortical and subcortical volumes[9,12,13], as we see at the follow-334 up point in our study when patients are on clozapine treatment. It may also be that acutely 335 symptomatic phase of illness is linked to increased neuroinflammation which has been 336

associated with increases in local blood flow, vascular permeability, microglia activation and
extracellular volume[58]. In this scenario, successful treatment with clozapine might have
resulted in an anti-inflammatory process[59] that reversed these inflammatory changes,
resulting in subcortical volume reduction.

341 (ii) Prior exposure of this cohort to antipsychotic medications over the years might have ameliorated or corrected disease-related volume loss[15,16,44,60], which may explain 342 343 our finding of only minor baseline volume differences. Interestingly unmedicated patients have been reported to display greater subcortical deficits, especially of the caudate and 344 345 thalamus, compared to medicated patients [44,61]. On this interpretation, the progressive brain volume change of subcortical structures on switching to clozapine treatment might have 346 been related to the withdrawal of other atypical antipsychotic medications. The 347 348 neurobiological mechanism that underlies the progressive volumetric loss of subcortical 349 structures is still unknown, however neural apoptosis, necrosis, synaptic pruning might play a role in producing volume deficits[62]. 350

(iii) The progressive volume loss of subcortical structures in patients revealed by scanning over two time-points was not associated with pharmacotherapy, but rather to the underlying pathophysiology of this malignant form of schizophrenia illness and/or other illness-related factors which were not present in controls. However, this explanation seems unlikely since patients in our cohort have a mean illness duration of 13 years and only some were in the early stages of illness.

357 The progressive loss of volume in subcortical structures despite symptomatic and functional improvement suggests that volume loss as detected by neuroimaging in vivo in our cohort 358 359 should not be necessarily interpreted as harmful to patients. Although cognitive impairment has been related to cortical thinning or volume reduction in schizophrenia[63-65], grey 360 361 matter loss has been associated with greater response to atypical antipsychotics [66,67]. Moreover, cortical thinning in first-episode schizophrenia patients on pharmacotherapy has 362 been associated with physiological and cognitive improvement[68]. Consistent with this, 363 364 progressive volumetric reduction of putamen and thalamus was significantly associated with better response to clozapine. This result was unaltered after controlling for the serum level 365 of clozapine and duration of illness. Interestingly Scheepers and colleagues, reported an 366 association between clinical improvement in positive and general symptoms and reduction of 367

left caudate volume, in TRS patients[20]. Molina and colleagues, in a 2 years randomised
clinical trial of clozapine on 17 neuroleptic-naïve patients with schizophrenia and 11 controls,
have shown that inferior frontal thinning, specifically, pars orbitalis, opercularis and
triangularis, was positively associated with better clinical and cognitive response to clozapine
[69].

373 We also found that patients who were exposed to higher amounts of clozapine displayed a greater reduction of thalamus volume, this association was further reinforced when 374 375 controlling for clinical symptoms and functioning, suggesting a direct effect of clozapine on the volumetric change of the thalamus. Vita and colleagues' meta-analysis described a 376 377 consistent finding where the greater the exposure to antipsychotics the greater the reduction in grey matter volume[15]. Two longitudinal studies have shown that the amount of exposure 378 379 to antipsychotics predicted reduction of caudate and grey matter volumes[14] and the 380 greater progressive brain reduction and ventricular enlargement were predicted by greater exposure to antipsychotic medication[70]. Although these studies have been interpreted as 381 consistent with a toxic effect of antipsychotic medication on grey matter, generally patients 382 were not randomised in these longitudinal studies and it is likely that patients with more 383 severe illness were given larger amounts of medication. In our study other variables, such as 384 age, duration of illness and daily dose of clozapine were not significant moderators of 385 386 subcortical volume change over time, as previously reported[15].

A recent systematic review concludes that after 25 years of research it remains unclear which are the biological predictors of symptomatic response to clozapine [71]. Greater integrity and activity in prefrontal cortical areas associated with a good response to clozapine is the most consistent finding, however, studies have failed to find any accurate and reproducible neuroanatomical biomarker to inform clinical decision-making. Although our study identified a relationship between thalamo-striatal progression and clinical and functional improvement, we did not identify any baseline subcortical predictor of remission on clozapine.

394

395

### 396 Strengths and limitations

The main strength of this study is the longitudinal nature of a relatively large and homogenous 397 sample of TRS patients. The careful segmentation of the subcortical structures using the 398 399 longitudinal stream of Freesurfer based on an unbiased within-subject anatomical 400 template[35] enabled increased anatomical sensitivity to better detect anatomical changes 401 and relationships to clinical symptoms and functioning. A potential limitation of this study is 402 the lack of a comparative group of schizophrenia patients treated with other antipsychotic 403 medications, in order to disentangle disease effects from treatment effects. However, such a comparative group may represent a less malign subgroup of patients with schizophrenia who 404 405 are not treatment resistant and consequently may have a different underlying 406 pathophysiology/impact of antipsychotic medication on their neuroanatomy. Ultimately 407 including MR imaging in longitudinal studies of schizophrenia where patients are randomised to different antipsychotic medications would be necessary to tease apart illness from 408 409 treatment effects but only three such studies have been conducted to our 410 knowledge[60,69,72] and none on patients with treatment resistance. In addition, to reduce multiple analyses we assessed only subcortical structures summed bilaterally and did not 411 explore any lateralised effects. 412

### 413 Conclusion

This study demonstrates that, despite the clinical and functional improvement of most 414 415 patients with schizophrenia who are switched to clozapine, there is a counterintuitive 416 progressive volume reduction in several subcortical structures over time. Furthermore, 417 patients who have the greatest symptomatic improvement display the largest thalamostriatal reductions, suggesting that volume reduction reflects an adaptive response associated 418 with symptom improvement rather than a harmful process in these treatment resistant 419 patients. Further longitudinal studies with larger sample size, randomised designs and 420 multimodal imaging will be necessary to disentangle the potentially dynamic effects of 421 neuroprogression and antipsychotic treatment on different brain structures in schizophrenia. 422

423

- 424
- 425

## 426 Funding and Disclosure

- 427 This study was supported in part by the Wellcome Trust and the NUI Galway Millennium
- 428 Project Fund and the Irish Research Council (GOIPG/2018/2464) PhD Scholarship (GT). All
- 429 authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

# 430 Acknowledgments

We would like to thank all the participants and their families for their involvement in thestudy.

# 433 Contributors

Author CMcD designed and revised the manuscript for intellectual content; DMC and BH supervised the general progress of the study; MA recruited and collected data; LH collected data; TNA developed protocols for MRI processing. GT processed all the MRI data, performed statistical analyses and wrote the manuscript. All authors edited or approved the final manuscript.

- . . .

458 459	Refer	ences:
460 461	1.	Meltzer HY. Treatment-resistant schizophreniathe role of clozapine. Curr Med Res Opin. 1997;14:1–20.
462 463 464	2.	Lieberman JA, Safferman AZ, Pollack S, Szymanski S, Johns C, Howard A, et al. Clinical effects of clozapine in chronic schizophrenia: response to treatment and predictors of outcome. Am J Psychiatry. 1994;151:1744–1752.
465 466 467	3.	Suzuki T, Remington G, Mulsant BH, Uchida H, Rajji TK, Graff-guerrero A, et al. Defining treatment-resistant schizophrenia and response to antipsychotics : A review and recommendation. Psychiatry Res. 2012;197:1–6.
468 469 470	4.	Stroup TS, Mcevoy JP, Swartz MS, Byerly MJ, Qlick ID, Canive JM, et al. The National Institute of Mental Health Clinical Antipsychotic Trials of Intervention Effectiveness ( CATIE ) Project : Schizophrenia Trial Design and Protocol Development. Schizophr Bull. 2003. 2003.
471 472 473	5.	Chakos M, Lieberman J, Hoffman E, Bradford D, Sheitman B. Effectiveness of second- generation antipsychotics in patients with treatment-resistant schizophrenia: A review and meta-analysis of randomized trials. Am J Psychiatry. 2001;158:518–526.
474 475	6.	Henderson DC, Cagliero E, Hayden DL, Schoenfeld DA, Ph D, Goff DC. Abnormalities : A Five- Year Naturalistic Study. Am J Psychiatry. 2000:975–981.
476 477	7.	Meltzer HY, Perry E, Jayathilake K. Clozapine-induced weight gain predicts improvement in psychopathology. Schizophr Res. 2003;59:19–27.
478 479 480	8.	Lally J, Gallagher A, Bainbridge E, Avalos G, Ahmed M, Mcdonald C. Increases in triglyceride levels are associated with clinical response to clozapine treatment. J Psychopharmacol. 2013. 2013. https://doi.org/10.1177/0269881112472568.
481 482	9.	Anderson VM, Goldstein ME, Kydd RR, Russell BR. Extensive Gray Matter Volume Reduction in Treatment-Resistant Schizophrenia. Int J Neuropsychopharmacol. 2015:1–10.
483 484 485	10.	Molina V, Reig S, Sanz J, Palomo T, Benito C, Sarramea F, et al. Differential clinical, structural and P300 parameters in schizophrenia patients resistant to conventional neuroleptics. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry. 2008;32:257–266.
486 487 488 489	11.	Kubera KM, Sambataro F, Vasic N, Wolf ND, Frasch K, Hirjak D, et al. Source-based morphometry of gray matter volume in patients with schizophrenia who have persistent auditory verbal hallucinations. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry. 2014;50:102– 109.
490 491 492 493	12.	Quarantelli M, Palladino O, Prinster A, Schiavone V, Carotenuto B, Brunetti A, et al. Patients with Poor Response to Antipsychotics Have a More Severe Pattern of Frontal Atrophy : A Voxel-Based Morphometry Study of Treatment Resistance in Schizophrenia. Biomed Res Int. 2014;2014.
494 495	13.	Zugman A, Gadelha A, Assuncao I, Sato J, Ota VK, Rocha DL, et al. Reduced dorso-lateral prefrontal cortex in treatment resistant schizophrenia. Schizophr Res. 2013;148:81–86.
496 497 498	14.	Ho B-C, Andreasen N, Ziebel S, Pierson R, Magnotta V. Long-term antipsychotic treatment and brain volumes: A longitudinal study of first-episode schizophrenia. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2011;68:128–137.
499	15.	Vita A, Peri L De, Deste G, Barlati S, Sacchetti E. Archival Report The Effect of Antipsychotic

- Treatment on Cortical Gray Matter Changes in Schizophrenia : Does the Class Matter ? A
   Meta-analysis and Meta-regression of Longitudinal Magnetic Resonance Imaging Studies. Biol
   Psychiatry. 2015;78:403–412.
- van Haren NEM, Schnack HG, Cahn W, Van Den Heuvel MP, Lepage C, Collins L, et al. Changes
   in cortical thickness during the course of illness in schizophrenia. Arch Gen Psychiatry.
   2011;68:871–880.
- van Haren NEM, Hulshoff Pol HE, Schnack HG, Cahn W, Mandl RCW, Collins DL, et al. Focal
  gray matter changes in schizophrenia across the course of the illness: A 5-year follow-up
  study. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2007;32:2057–2066.
- Chakos MH, Lieberman JA, Alvir J, Bilder R, Ashtari M. Caudate nuclei volumes in schizophrenic patients treated with typical antipsychotics or clozapine. Lancet.
   1995;345:456–457.
- Scheepers FE, Pol HEH, van der Flier W, Hijman R, van der Linden JA, de Wied CCG, et al. The
   effect of clozapine on the nucleus caudate volume in schizophrenic patients previously
   treated with classical neuroleptics. Schizophr Res. 2001;36:208.
- Scheepers FE, Gispen de Wied CC, Hulshoff Pol HE, Kahn RS. Effect of clozapine on caudate
  nucleus volume in relation to symptoms of schizophrenia. Am J Psychiatry. 2001;158:644–
  646.
- Frazier J, Giedd JN, Kaysen D, Rad JA. Childhood-onset schizophrenia : Brain MRI rescan after
   2 years of clozapine maintenance treatment. 1996. 1996.
   https://doi.org/10.1176/ajp.153.4.564.
- Seeman P, Wilson A, Gmeiner P, Kapur S. Dopamine D2 and D3 receptors in human putamen,
   caudate nucleus, and globus pallidus. Synapse. 2006;60:205–211.
- Arango C, Buchanan RW, Breier A, MacMahon R, Carpenter WT. The relationship of clozapine
  and haloperidol treatment response to prefrontal, hippocampal, and caudate brain volumes.
  Am J Psychiatry. 2003;160:1421–1427.
- 526 24. Molina V, Reig S, Sarramea F, Sanz J, Artaloytia JF, Luque R, et al. Anatomical and functional
  527 brain variables associated with clozapine response in treatment-resistant schizophrenia.
  528 Psychiatry Res Neuroimaging. 2003;124:153–161.
- Ahmed M, Cannon DM, Scanlon C, Holleran L, Schmidt H, McFarland J, et al. Progressive Brain
  Atrophy and Cortical Thinning in Schizophrenia after Commencing Clozapine Treatment.
  Neuropsychopharmacology. 2015;40:2409–2417.
- 532 26. National Institute of Health and Clinical Excellence. Psychosis and schizophrenia in adults.
  533 NICE Guidel Treament Manag. 2014:74–80.
- 53427.Kay SR, Fiszbein A, Opler LA. The positive and negative syndrome scale (PANSS) for535schizophrenia. Schizophr Bull. 1987;13:261–276.
- 536 28. Andreasen NC. The scale for the assessment of Positive symptoms (SAPS). Iowa City, Iowa
  537 Univ Iowa. 1982. 1982.
- Andreasen NC. The Scale for the Assessment of Negative Symptoms (SANS). Iowa City, Iowa
  Univ Iowa. 1982. 1982.
- 540 30. Hall RCW. Global Assessment of Functioning: A Modified Scale. Psychosomatics.
  541 1995;36:267–275.

- Andreasen NC, Carpenter WT, Kane JM, Lasser RA, Marder SR, Weinberger DR. Remission in
   Schizophrenia: Proposed Criteria and Rationale for Consensus. Am J Psychiatry.
   2005;162:441–449.
- 545 32. Egerton A, Broberg B V., Van Haren N, Merritt K, Barker GJ, Lythgoe DJ, et al. Response to
  546 initial antipsychotic treatment in first episode psychosis is related to anterior cingulate
  547 glutamate levels: a multicentre 1 H-MRS study (OPTiMiSE). Mol Psychiatry. 2018;23:2145–
  548 2155.
- 54933.Sled JG, Zijdenbos a P, Evans a C. A nonparametric method for automatic correction of550intensity nonuniformity in MRI data. IEEE Trans Med Imaging. 1998;17:87–97.
- Scanlon C, Anderson-Schmidt H, Kilmartin L, McInerney S, Kenney J, McFarland J, et al.
  Cortical thinning and caudate abnormalities in first episode psychosis and their association
  with clinical outcome. Schizophr Res. 2014;159:36–42.
- 55435.Reuter M, Schmansky NJ, Rosas HD, Fischl B. Within-subject template estimation for unbiased555longitudinal image analysis. Neuroimage. 2012;61:1402–1418.
- 556 36. FreeSurfer. 2013. https://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu/. Accessed 2 October 2018.
- 55737.Reuter M, Rosas HD, Fischl B. Highly accurate inverse consistent registration: A robust558approach. Neuroimage. 2010;53:1181–1196.
- Tronchin G, Akudjedu TN, Kenney J, McInerney S, Scanlon C, McFarland J, et al. Cognitive and
   clinical predictors of prefrontal cortical thickness change following first-episode of psychosis.
   Psychiatry Res Neuroimaging, Submitt. 2019. 2019.
- 562 39. eTIV. http://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu/fswiki/eTIV.
- 563 40. talairach\_avi. https://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu/fswiki/talairach\_avi.
- 564 41. Evans AC, Collins DL, Mills SR, Brown ED, Kelly RL PT. 3D statistical neuroanatomical models
  565 from 305 MRI volumes A. Nucl Sci Symp Med Imaging Conf (IEEE Conf Rec. 193AD:1813–
  566 1817.
- FreeSurfer Quality Control Guide. 2013. https://sites.bu.edu/cnrlab/lab-resources/freesurferquality-control-guide/. Accessed 2 October 2018.
- 569 43. ControlPoints freeview.
  570 https://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu/fswiki/FsTutorial/ControlPoints\_freeview.
- 571 44. Van Erp TGM, Hibar DP, Rasmussen JM, Glahn DC, Pearlson GD, Andreassen OA, et al.
  572 Subcortical brain volume abnormalities in 2028 individuals with schizophrenia and 2540
  573 healthy controls via the ENIGMA consortium. Mol Psychiatry. 2016;21:547–553.
- 45. Westmoreland Corson P, Nopoulos P, Miller DD, Arndt S, Andreasen NC. Change in basal
  ganglia volume over 2 years in patients with schizophrenia: Typical versus atypical
  neuroleptics. Am J Psychiatry. 1999;156:1200–1204.
- 46. Lang DJ, D P, Kopala LC, Vandorpe RA, Rui Q, Smith GN, et al. Reduced Basal Ganglia Volumes
  After Switching to Olanzapine in Chronically Treated Patients With Schizophrenia. Am J
  Psychiatry. 2004;161:1829–1836.
- 47. Crespo-facorro B, Roiz-santiáñez R, Pérez-iglesias R, Pelayo-terán JM, Rodríguez-sánchez JM,
   581 Tordesillas-gutiérrez D, et al. Progress in Neuro-Psychopharmacology & Biological Psychiatry
   582 Effect of antipsychotic drugs on brain morphometry . A randomized controlled one-year
   583 follow-up study of haloperidol , risperidone and olanzapine. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol

584 Psychiatry. 2008;32:1936–1943.

- 48. Ho NF, Iglesias JE, Sum MY, Kuswanto CN, Sitoh YY, De Souza J, et al. Progression from
  selective to general involvement of hippocampal subfields in schizophrenia. Mol Psychiatry.
  2017;22:142–152.
- Kempton MJ, Stahl D, Williams SCR, DeLisi LE. Progressive lateral ventricular enlargement in
   schizophrenia: A meta-analysis of longitudinal MRI studies. Schizophr Res. 2010;120:54–62.
- 59050.Howes OD, Kapur S. The dopamine hypothesis of schizophrenia: Version III The final591common pathway. Schizophr Bull. 2009;35:549–562.
- 592 51. Money KM, Stanwood GD. Developmental origins of brain disorders : roles for dopamine
   593 RECEPTOR EXPRESSION PATTERNS. 2013;7:1–14.
- 59452.Guma E, Rocchetti J, Devenyi GA, Tanti A, Mathieu A, Lerch JP, et al. NeuroImage Regional595brain volume changes following chronic antipsychotic administration are mediated by the596dopamine D2 receptor. Neuroimage. 2018;176:226–238.
- 59753.Lally J, Gaughran F, Timms P, Curran SR. Treatment-resistant schizophrenia: Current insights598on the pharmacogenomics of antipsychotics. Pharmgenomics Pers Med. 2016;9:117–129.
- 599 54. Gillespie AL, Samanaite R, Mill J, Egerton A, Maccabe JH. Is treatment-resistant schizophrenia
  600 categorically distinct from treatment- responsive schizophrenia ? a systematic review. BMC
  601 Psychiatry. 2017:1–14.
- 60255.Howes OD, Kapur S. A neurobiological hypothesis for the classification of schizophrenia: Type603a (hyperdopaminergic) and type b (normodopaminergic). Br J Psychiatry. 2014;205:1–3.
- 60456.Goldstein ME, Anderson VM, Pillai A, Kydd RR, Russell BR. Glutamatergic Neurometabolites in605Clozapine- Responsive and -Resistant Schizophrenia. 2015:1–9.
- Amitai N, Kuczenski R, Behrens MM, Markou A. Neuropharmacology Repeated phencyclidine
   administration alters glutamate release and decreases GABA markers in the prefrontal cortex
   of rats. Neuropharmacology. 2012;62:1422–1431.
- 609 58. Graeber MB, Li W, Rodriguez ML. Role of microglia in CNS inflammation. FEBS Lett.
  610 2011;585:3798–3805.
- 611 59. Al-amin M, Muhammad M, Uddin N, Reza HM. Effects of Antipsychotics on the Inflammatory
  612 Response System of Patients with Schizophrenia in Peripheral Blood Mononuclear Cell
  613 Cultures. 2013;11:144–151.
- 60. Lieberman JA, Tollefson GD, Charles C, Zipursky R, Sharma T, Kahn RS, et al. Antipsychotic
  615 drug effects on brain morphology in first-episode psychosis. Arch Gen Psychiatry.
  616 2005;62:361–370.
- 61. Haijma S V., Van Haren N, Cahn W, Koolschijn PCMP, Hulshoff Pol HE, Kahn RS. Brain volumes
  618 in schizophrenia: A meta-analysis in over 18 000 subjects. Schizophr Bull. 2013;39:1129–
  619 1138.
- 62. Ho B-C, Andreasen NC, Nopoulos P, Arndt S, Magnotta V, Flaum M. Progressive structural
  brain abnormalities and their relationship to clinical outcome: a longitudinal magnetic
  resonance imaging study early in schizophrenia. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2003;60:585–594.
- 63. Antonova E, Kumari V, Morris R, Halari R, Anilkumar A, Mehrotra R, et al. The Relationship of
  624 Structural Alterations to Cognitive Deficits in Schizophrenia: A Voxel-Based Morphometry
  625 Study. Biol Psychiatry. 2005;58:457–467.

626 64. Fan F, Xiang H, Tan S, Yang F, Fan H, Guo H, et al. Subcortical structures and cognitive 627 dysfunction in first episode schizophrenia. Psychiatry Res Neuroimaging. 2019;286:69–75. 65. Koshiyama D, Fukunaga M, Okada N, Yamashita F, Yamamori H, Yasuda Y, et al. Role of 628 629 subcortical structures on cognitive and social function in schizophrenia. Sci Rep. 2018;8:1183. 630 66. Sporn AL, Greenstein DK, Gogtay N, Jeffries NO, Lenane M, Gochman P, et al. Progressive 631 brain volume loss during adolescence in childhood-onset schizophrenia. Am J Psychiatry. 632 2003;160:2181-2189. 633 67. Gur RE, Cowell P, Turetsky BI, Gallacher F, Cannon T, Bilker W, et al. A Follow-up Magnetic 634 Resonance Imaging Study of Schizophrenia. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1998;55:145. 635 68. Lesh TA, Tanase C, Geib BR, Niendam TA, Yoon JH. A Multimodal Analysis of Antipsychotic 636 Effects on Brain Structure and Function A Multimodal Analysis of Antipsychotic Effects on 637 Brain Structure and Function in First-Episode Schizophrenia. 2015. 2015. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2014.2178. 638 69. 639 Molina V, Taboada D, Aragüés M, Hernández JA, Sanz-Fuentenebro J. Greater clinical and 640 cognitive improvement with clozapine and risperidone associated with a thinner cortex at 641 baseline in first-episode schizophrenia. Schizophr Res. 2014;158:223–229. 70. Guo JY, Huhtaniska S, Miettunen J, Jääskeläinen E, Kiviniemi V, Nikkinen J, et al. Longitudinal 642 643 regional brain volume loss in schizophrenia: Relationship to antipsychotic medication and 644 change in social function. Schizophr Res. 2015;168:297-304. 645 71. Samanaite R, Gillespie A, Sendt K, Mcqueen G. Biological Predictors of Clozapine Response : A 646 Systematic Review. Front Psychiatry. 2018;9:1–29. 647 72. Roiz-santiáñez R, Tordesillas-gutiérrez D, De VO, Ayesa-arriola R, Gutiérrez A, Tabarés-648 seisdedos R, et al. Effect of antipsychotic drugs on cortical thickness . A randomized 649 controlled one-year follow-up study of haloperidol, risperidone and olanzapine. Schizophr 650 Res. 2012;141:22-28. 651 652 653 654 655 656 657 658 659 660 661 662 663

# 664 Figure Legends

665 Figure 1

666 (A,B,C,D,E) Plots of subcortical structures that presented significant changes over time in 667 treatment-resistant schizophrenia patients compared to healthy controls. Note: all values 668 corrected for age, sex and ICV.

669 Figure 2

Association between percentage of volume change in thalamus and putamen and change in(A) PANSS Total score (B) SANS (C) GAF and (D) association between percentage of volume

- 672 change in thalamus and level of serum of clozapine at follow-up.
- 673 Supplementary Figure 1
- 674 Illustration of the change in lateral ventricles, thalamus, caudate and putamen volume from
- baseline to follow-up for each patient and healthy control. Red bars represent the mean.

- - -

- ....

# Table 1. Characteristics of patients with treatment resistant-schizophrenia and controls.

	Patient group (n=33)	Control group (n=31)	Test statistic/p-value
Sex (m/f)	23/10	20/11	X <sup>2</sup> = 0.19; 0.660
Age at onset (years)	$22.8 \pm 0.8$		
Age at baseline (years)	36.4 ± 10.7	39.3 ± 10.6	t= 1.10; 0.274
Age range	(22-61)	(23-59)	
Time between baseline and follow-up MRI scans (months)	6.6 ± 1.7	7.4 ±3.2	t= 1.21; 0.230
Illness duration before commencing clozapine (years)	13.6 ± 8.8		
Intracranial volume (mm <sup>3</sup> )	1610322.58 ± 29886.83	1591515.15 ± 27500.42	t= 0.46; 0.644

697

698

# Table 2. Clinical features of patient group at baseline and follow-up (n=33)

$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline Clinical scales & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$		Baseline (Mean ± SD)	Follow-up (Mean ± SD)	Test statistic/ p-value
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c } PANSS positive score & 14.1 \pm 5.7 & 6.1 \pm 5.0 & *z=-4.98; < 0.001 \\ PANSS negative score & 16.2 \pm 7.0 & 9.1 \pm 7.1 & *z=-4.51; < 0.001 \\ PANSS negative score & 24.1 \pm 8.9 & 11.7 \pm 8.3 & *z=-4.90; < 0.001 \\ PANSS ortal Score & 54.3 \pm 17.8 & 26.9 \pm 17.6 & t= 10.04; < 0.001 \\ SANS & 42.5 \pm 20.7 & 27.8 \pm 22.9 & *z=-3.78; < 0.001 \\ SAPS & 28.0 \pm 16.3 & 13.2 \pm 11.0 & *z=-4.45; < 0.001 \\ Global assessment of functioning & 46.8 \pm 10.8 & 64.9 \pm 14.1 & t= 13.12; < 0.001 \\ \hline \\ $				
PANSS negative score $16.2 \pm 7.0$ $9.1 \pm 7.1$ $*z=-4.51; < 0.001$ PANSS general score $24.1 \pm 8.9$ $11.7 \pm 8.3$ $*z=-4.90; < 0.001$ PANSS Total Score $54.3 \pm 17.8$ $26.9 \pm 17.6$ $t= 10.04; < 0.001$ SANS $42.5 \pm 20.7$ $27.8 \pm 22.9$ $*z=-3.78; < 0.001$ SAPS $28.0 \pm 16.3$ $13.2 \pm 11.0$ $*z=-4.45; < 0.001$ Global assessment of functioning $46.8 \pm 10.8$ $64.9 \pm 14.1$ $t= 13.12; < 0.001$ Global assessment of functioning $46.8 \pm 10.8$ $64.9 \pm 14.1$ $t= 13.12; < 0.001$ Medications $Typical antipsychotics (n)$ $5$ $0$ Atypical antipsychotics (n) $5$ $0$ Atypical antipsychotics (n) $33$ $2$ Clozapine (n) $0$ $33$ Serum level of clozapine at follow-up (mg) $349.2 \pm 17.8$ Daily dose of clozapine at follow-up (mg) $(200-625)$ Anthropomorphic measurements $97.8 \pm 12.1$ Weight (kg) $85.9 \pm 15.4$ $90.1 \pm 16.6$ Maist circumference (cm) $97.8 \pm 12.1$ $103.1 \pm 13.4$ Body Mass Index $28.0 \pm 4.9$ $29.3 \pm 5.0$ Total Cholesterol (mmol/L) $4.8 \pm 1.1$ $5.5 \pm 0.8$	Clinical scales			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c } PANSS general score & 24.1 \pm 8.9 & 11.7 \pm 8.3 & *z=-4.90; < 0.001 \\ PANSS Total Score & 54.3 \pm 17.8 & 26.9 \pm 17.6 & t= 10.04; < 0.001 \\ SANS & 42.5 \pm 20.7 & 27.8 \pm 22.9 & *z=-3.78; < 0.001 \\ SAPS & 28.0 \pm 16.3 & 13.2 \pm 11.0 & *z=-4.45; < 0.001 \\ \hline Global assessment of functioning & 46.8 \pm 10.8 & 64.9 \pm 14.1 & t= 13.12; < 0.001 \\ \hline Global assessment of functioning & 46.8 \pm 10.8 & 64.9 \pm 14.1 & t= 13.12; < 0.001 \\ \hline Medications & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	PANSS positive score	14.1 ± 5.7	$6.1 \pm 5.0$	*z= -4.98; < 0.001
PANSS Total Score $54.3 \pm 17.8$ $26.9 \pm 17.6$ $t = 10.04; < 0.001$ SANS $42.5 \pm 20.7$ $27.8 \pm 22.9$ $*z=-3.78; < 0.001$ SAPS $28.0 \pm 16.3$ $13.2 \pm 11.0$ $*z=-4.45; < 0.001$ Global assessment of functioning $46.8 \pm 10.8$ $64.9 \pm 14.1$ $t = 13.12; < 0.001$ <i>Medications</i> $Typical antipsychotics (n)$ $5$ $0$ Typical antipsychotics (n) $5$ $0$ $12.2 \pm 10.2$ Atypical antipsychotics (n) $33$ $2$ Clozapine (n) $0$ $33$ Serum level of clozapine at follow-up (mg) $349.2 \pm 17.8$ Daily dose of clozapine at follow-up (mg) $(200-625)$ Anthropomorphic measurements $97.8 \pm 12.1$ Weight (kg) $85.9 \pm 15.4$ $90.1 \pm 16.6$ $t = -3.31; 0.002$ $t = -4.94; < 0.001$ Body Mass Index $28.0 \pm 4.9$ $29.3 \pm 5.0$ $t = -3.38; 0.003$ $t = -3.38; 0.003$	PANSS negative score	16.2 ± 7.0	9.1 ± 7.1	*z= -4.51; < 0.001
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c } SANS & 42.5 \pm 20.7 & 27.8 \pm 22.9 & *z=-3.78; < 0.001 \\ SAPS & 28.0 \pm 16.3 & 13.2 \pm 11.0 & *z=-4.45; < 0.001 \\ \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	PANSS general score	24.1 ± 8.9	11.7 ± 8.3	*z= -4.90; < 0.001
SAPS $28.0 \pm 16.3$ $13.2 \pm 11.0$ $*z=-4.45; < 0.001$ Global assessment of functioning $46.8 \pm 10.8$ $64.9 \pm 14.1$ $t= 13.12; < 0.001$ <i>Medications</i> Typical antipsychotics (n) $5$ $0$ Atypical antipsychotics (n) $33$ $2$ Clozapine (n) $0$ $33$ Serum level of clozapine at follow- up (ng/ml) $0.5 \pm 0.1$ Daily dose of clozapine at follow-up (mg) $349.2 \pm 17.8$ Daily dose of clozapine range (mg) $(200-625)$ Anthropomorphic measurements $85.9 \pm 15.4$ Weight (kg) $85.9 \pm 15.4$ 90.1 $\pm 16.6$ $t=-3.31; 0.002$ Waist circumference (cm) $97.8 \pm 12.1$ 103.1 $\pm 13.4$ $t=-4.94; < 0.001$ Body Mass Index $28.0 \pm 4.9$ 29.3 $\pm 5.0$ $*z=-2.78; 0.005$ Total Cholesterol (mmol/L) $4.8 \pm 1.1$ 5.5 $\pm 0.8$ $t=-3.38; 0.003$	PANSS Total Score	54.3 ± 17.8	26.9 ± 17.6	t= 10.04; < 0.001
Global assessment of functioning $46.8 \pm 10.8$ $64.9 \pm 14.1$ $t = 13.12; < 0.001$ MedicationsTypical antipsychotics (n)50Atypical antipsychotics (n)332Clozapine (n)033Serum level of clozapine at follow- up (ng/ml) $0.5 \pm 0.1$ Daily dose of clozapine at follow-up (mg) $349.2 \pm 17.8$ Daily dose of clozapine range (mg) $(200-625)$ Anthropomorphic measurements $90.1 \pm 16.6$ Weight (kg) $85.9 \pm 15.4$ Woist circumference (cm) $97.8 \pm 12.1$ Body Mass Index $28.0 \pm 4.9$ $29.3 \pm 5.0$ $*z=-2.78; 0.005$ Total Cholesterol (mmol/L) $4.8 \pm 1.1$ $5.5 \pm 0.8$ $t=-3.38; 0.003$	SANS	42.5 ± 20.7	27.8 ± 22.9	*z=-3.78; < 0.001
Medications         Typical antipsychotics (n)       5       0         Atypical antipsychotics (n)       33       2         Clozapine (n)       0       33         Serum level of clozapine at follow- up (ng/ml)       0.5 ± 0.1       1         Daily dose of clozapine at follow-up (ng)       349.2 ± 17.8       1         Daily dose of clozapine range (mg)       (200-625)       1         Anthropomorphic measurements       103.1 ± 13.4       t=-4.94; < 0.001	SAPS	28.0 ± 16.3	$13.2 \pm 11.0$	*z= -4.45; < 0.001
$\begin{array}{c c c c c } Typical antipsychotics (n) & 5 & 0 \\ Atypical antipsychotics (n) & 33 & 2 \\ Clozapine (n) & 0 & 33 \\ Serum level of clozapine at follow- & 0.5 \pm 0.1 \\ up (ng/ml) & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	Global assessment of functioning	46.8 ± 10.8	64.9 ± 14.1	t= 13.12; < 0.001
$\begin{array}{c c c c c } Typical antipsychotics (n) & 5 & 0 \\ Atypical antipsychotics (n) & 33 & 2 \\ Clozapine (n) & 0 & 33 \\ Serum level of clozapine at follow- & 0.5 \pm 0.1 \\ up (ng/ml) & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$				
Atypical antipsychotics (n)332Clozapine (n)033Serum level of clozapine at follow- up (ng/ml) $0.5 \pm 0.1$ Daily dose of clozapine at follow-up (mg) $349.2 \pm 17.8$ Daily dose of clozapine range (mg) $(200-625)$ Anthropomorphic measurements $(200-625)$ Weight (kg) $85.9 \pm 15.4$ $90.1 \pm 16.6$ Waist circumference (cm) $97.8 \pm 12.1$ $103.1 \pm 13.4$ Body Mass Index $28.0 \pm 4.9$ $29.3 \pm 5.0$ Total Cholesterol (mmol/L) $4.8 \pm 1.1$ $5.5 \pm 0.8$ terestore $t=-3.38; 0.003$	Medications			
$\begin{array}{c c} \mbox{Clozapine (n)} & 0 & 33 \\ \hline Serum level of clozapine at follow-up & 0.5 \pm 0.1 \\ \mbox{up (ng/ml)} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	Typical antipsychotics (n)	5	0	
$\begin{array}{c} \begin{tabular}{ c c c c } Serum level of clozapine at follow-up (ng/ml) & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	Atypical antipsychotics (n)	33	2	
up (ng/ml)Daily dose of clozapine at follow-up (mg) $349.2 \pm 17.8$ Daily dose of clozapine range (mg) $(200-625)$ Anthropomorphic measurements $(200-625)$ Weight (kg) $85.9 \pm 15.4$ $90.1 \pm 16.6$ $t=-3.31; 0.002$ Waist circumference (cm) $97.8 \pm 12.1$ $103.1 \pm 13.4$ $t=-4.94; < 0.001$ Body Mass Index $28.0 \pm 4.9$ $29.3 \pm 5.0$ $*z= -2.78; 0.005$ Total Cholesterol (mmol/L) $4.8 \pm 1.1$ $5.5 \pm 0.8$ $t=-3.38; 0.003$	Clozapine (n)	0	33	
(mg)       (200-625)         Daily dose of clozapine range (mg)       (200-625)         Anthropomorphic measurements       (200-625)         Weight (kg)       85.9 ± 15.4       90.1 ± 16.6       t=-3.31; 0.002         Waist circumference (cm)       97.8 ± 12.1       103.1 ± 13.4       t=-4.94; < 0.001	-		$0.5 \pm 0.1$	
Anthropomorphic measurements           Weight (kg)         85.9 ± 15.4         90.1 ± 16.6         t=-3.31; 0.002           Waist circumference (cm)         97.8 ± 12.1         103.1 ± 13.4         t=-4.94; < 0.001			349.2 ± 17.8	
Weight (kg) $85.9 \pm 15.4$ $90.1 \pm 16.6$ $t=-3.31; 0.002$ Waist circumference (cm) $97.8 \pm 12.1$ $103.1 \pm 13.4$ $t=-4.94; < 0.001$ Body Mass Index $28.0 \pm 4.9$ $29.3 \pm 5.0$ $z=-2.78; 0.005$ Total Cholesterol (mmol/L) $4.8 \pm 1.1$ $5.5 \pm 0.8$ $t=-3.38; 0.003$	Daily dose of clozapine range (mg)		(200-625)	
Waist circumference (cm)         97.8 ± 12.1         103.1 ± 13.4         t=-4.94; < 0.001           Body Mass Index         28.0 ± 4.9         29.3 ± 5.0         *z= -2.78; 0.005           Total Cholesterol (mmol/L)         4.8 ± 1.1         5.5 ± 0.8         t=-3.38; 0.003	Anthropomorphic measurements			
Body Mass Index         28.0 ± 4.9         29.3 ± 5.0         *z= -2.78; 0.005           Total Cholesterol (mmol/L)         4.8 ± 1.1         5.5 ± 0.8         t=-3.38; 0.003	Weight (kg)	85.9 ± 15.4	90.1 ± 16.6	t=-3.31; 0.002
Body Mass Index         28.0 ± 4.9         29.3 ± 5.0         *z= -2.78; 0.005           Total Cholesterol (mmol/L)         4.8 ± 1.1         5.5 ± 0.8         t=-3.38; 0.003		97.8 ± 12.1	103.1 ± 13.4	t=-4.94; < 0.001
Total Cholesterol (mmol/L)         4.8 ± 1.1         5.5 ± 0.8         t=-3.38; 0.003		28.0 ± 4.9	29.3 ± 5.0	
	Total Cholesterol (mmol/L)	4.8 ± 1.1	5.5 ± 0.8	t=-3.38; 0.003
Triglycerides (mmol/L)         1.8 ± 1.0         2.5 ± 1.4         *z= -2.62; 0.009	Triglycerides (mmol/L)	$1.8 \pm 1.0$	2.5 ± 1.4	*z= -2.62; 0.009

700

Note: \*= variable non-normal distributed; PANSS: Positive and negative Syndrome scale; SANS: The Scale for the Assessment of Negative Symptoms; SAPS: The Scale for the Assessment of Positive Symptoms. PANSS 0-6 scale was used. Twelve patients were prescribed typical antipsychotic drugs at some stage of their illness

	SCHIZOPHRENIA (n=33)	HEALTHY CONTROL (n =31)	GLM Baseline	SCHIZOPHRENIA (N=33)	HEALTHY CONTROL (N=31)	GLM Follow-Up	GLM Group*Time* Structure	Mean Vol. Diff. Over time (mm³) [95% C.I]	% Volume	Difference (SD)	Over Time
STRUCTURES	BASELINE	BASELINE	F (8,52) = 1.79, p= 0.101	FOLLOW-UP	FOLLOW-UP	F (8,52) = 3.11; p= <b>0.006</b>	F (7,41) = 52.54; p> <b>0.001</b>		TRS	HC	TRS compare d to HC
			p			р	р				
	Means ± SD	Means ± SD		Means ± SD	Means ± SD						
Lateral Ventricle	16647.05 ± 9189.84	15272.53 ± 8836.20	0.128	18750.23 ± 9524.54	15413.12 ± 8927.10	0.013	> 0.001	1962.58 [1351.80, 2573.36]	14.96 (11.63)	1.01 (3.58)	13.95 (11.34)
Thalamus	17443.74 ± 2078.97	17995.40 ± 2234.72	0.111	16883.71 ± 2065.36	18023.49 ± 2262.84	0.002	> 0.001	-588.13 [-774.19, - 402.06]	-3.21 (2.63)	0.15 (0.15)	-3.36 (3.22)
Hippocampus	8832.06 ± 773.80	9226.80 ± 830.35	0.027	8596.37 ± 773.36	9226.94 ± 846.99	0.001	> 0.001	-235.83 [-359.41, - 112.26]	-2.63 (3.51)	0.00 (1.60)	-2.63 (3.63)
Caudate	8456.97 ± 1194.26	8193.08 ± 1204.06	0.189	8052.13 ± 1174.16	8190.45 ± 1200.68	0.597	> 0.001	-402.21 [-501.83, - 302.59]	-4.83 (2.49)	-0.03 (2.38)	-4.80 (3.63)
Putamen	12781.62 ± 1790.28	12459.92 ± 1761.53	0.388	11993.99 ± 1610.45	12457.48 ± 1798.72	0.073	> 0.001	-785.20 [-947.18, - 623.22]	-6.07 (2.50)	-0.03 (2.18)	-6.04 (3.78)
Pallidus	4200.93 ± 669.20	3964.46 ± 706.62	0.088	4116.39 ± 612.12	3951.75 ± 704.01	0.243	0.282	-71.83 [-166.04, 22.39]	-1.74 (4.94)	-0.27 (2.98)	-1.47 (5.34)
Amygdala	3210.78 ± 339.72	3295.14 ± 426.97	0.428	3141.08 ± 364.80	3265.88 ± 410.05	0.213	0.364	-40.45 [ -114.05, 33.15]	-2.16 (4.88)	-0.77 (4.03)	-1.39 (5.28)
Nucleus Accumbens	1188.58 ± 199.04	1198.76 ± 213.56	0.518	1145.21 ± 198.17	1194.95 ± 220.84	0.169	0.060	-39.57 [-74.71, - 4.43]	-3.50 (6.24)	-0.32 (5.02)	-3.18 (7.60)

Table 3. Uncorrected means (SD) in mm<sup>3</sup> for each subcortical structure at baseline and follow-up, and results of statistical comparisons.

Note: the table shows the difference between treatment-resistant schizophrenia patient and healthy control groups on subcortical structures at baseline, follow-up and over time. *Post-hoc* analyses corrected for multiple comparisons (Bonferroni,  $\alpha$ = 0.006). Legend: GLM= generalized linear model. C.I = confidence interval; % Vol. Diff. = percentage volume difference; calculated as follows: 100 × [(volume at follow-up – volume at baseline)/ volume at baseline], Negative value indicates a % volume decrease over time. TRS= treatment resistant-schizophrenia patients; HC= healthy controls; **Bold** = significant values.



12500

12000

11500

HC Baseline

TRS Follow-Up

HC Follow-Up

TRS Follow-Up

TRS Baseline

HC Follow-Up

TRS Follow-Up

HC Baseline

8500



## Supplementary Data.

Supplementary Table 1. Uncorrected means (SD) in mm3 for each subcortical structure at baseline and results of statistical comparisons

	SCHIZOPHRENIA (n=39)	HEALTHY CONTROL (n=40)	GLM Baseline	Effect size Cohen's d
STRUCTURES	BASELINE	BASELINE	F (8,66) = 1.83, p= 0.088	
			p	
	Means ± SD	Means ± SD		
Lateral Ventricle	16645.83 ± 9038.13	14397.65 ± 8612.52	0.023	0.5
Thalamus	17375.83 ± 2130.64	17553.04 ± 2238.17	0.112	0.3
Hippocampus	8852.54 ± 829.88	9134.66 ± 760.41	0.022	0.5
Caudate	8409.65 ± 1151.67	8044.83 ± 1177.15	0.188	0.3
Putamen	12630.16 ± 1746.00	12044.24 ± 1801.58	0.350	0.2
Pallidus	4138.89 ± 659.62	3817.94 ± 708.69	0.119	0.1
Amygdala	3202.95 ± 360.02	3252.12 ± 393.17	0.399	0.1
Nucleus Accumbens	1189.09 ± 186.98	1182.88 ± 219.69	0.456	0.1

Note: the table shows the difference between treatment-resistant schizophrenia patient and healthy control groups (Whole initial recruited sample) on subcortical structures at baseline. Cohen's d was calculated from the F-value of Analyses of Covariance and therefore age, gender and ICV were included.

# Supplementary Table 2. Correlations between neuroanatomical change and clinical variables change in patients

	PANSS Negative		PANSS Positive		PANSS	PANSS General		PANSS Total		SAPS		SANS		GAF	
STRUCTURES	r	р	r	р	r	р	r	р	r	р	r	р	r	р	
Lateral Ventricle	-0.08	0.692	0.22	0.243	0.08	0.692	0.08	0.686	-0.03	0.879	-0.03	0.891	0.03	0.895	
Thalamus	0.32	0.086	0.34	0.063	0.39	0.034	0.42	0.021	0.19	0.320	0.36	0.049	-0.32	0.088	
Caudate	0.19	0.328	0.21	0.275	-0.00	0.996	0.13	0.491	0.04	0.823	0.23	0.218	-0.14	0.467	
Putamen	0.31	0.097	0.34	0.067	0.34	0.066	0.39	0.033	*0.39	0.035	0.40	0.027	-0.36	0.052	
Hippocampus	-0.16	0.411	-0.02	0.902	0.03	0.874	-0.05	0.795	-0.08	0.676	0.03	0.877	0.00	0.988	

Note: PANSS: Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale; SANS: The Scale for the Assessment of Negative Symptoms; SAPS: The Scale for the Assessment of Positive Symptoms. In the correlations (Follow-up - Baseline)/Baseline×100) was used to express the volumetric change in subcortical structures and (Follow-up - Baseline) was used to express change in the clinical variables. Correlations controlled for age, sex and ICV. \* After removing 1 outlier this correlation lost significance (r=0.31; p= 0.102).

Supplementary Figure 1.

