

# Journal of Air Transport Management

## Testing the transport-induced Environmental Kuznets Curve Hypothesis: The Role of Air and Railway Transport --Manuscript Draft--

<b>Manuscript Number:</b>	JATM_2020_230R1
<b>Article Type:</b>	Research Paper
<b>Keywords:</b>	railway emissions; Urbanization; energy consumption; Pollutant emission; Airline emissions; Transport emissions
<b>Corresponding Author:</b>	Samuel Asumadu Sarkodie Nord University Bodo, Nordland Norway
<b>First Author:</b>	Sinan ERDOGAN
<b>Order of Authors:</b>	Sinan ERDOGAN Festus Adedoyin Festus Bekun Samuel Asumadu Sarkodie
<b>Abstract:</b>	<p>The airline and railway industry contribute immensely to economic development, however, its role in environmental pollution requires attention. Here, this study builds on the theoretical pedigree of the environmental Kuznets curve (EKC) hypothesis to explore the contribution of the air and railway transportation sector and urbanization to the emission-growth argument. We utilized annual time-frequency data from 1995-2014 for a panel of top 8 air passenger carrier countries using robust panel estimators that ameliorate for cross-sectional dependency. The empirical analysis shows a positive significant relationship between emissions and economic growth, thus, economic growth is emission-embedded with limited green growth. The existence of the EKC phenomenon is affirmed for the investigated blocs — where economic growth is prioritized over environmental quality. Additional, while air transportation drives pollution, railway transportation and urbanization decline emission over the sampled period. The results underscore the need for clean and environmentally friendly energy sources for air sector operations.</p>
<b>Response to Reviewers:</b>	<p>Dear Editor and Anonymous Reviewers,</p> <p>Thank you for the privilege and the time spent in improving the quality of our manuscript under your consideration. We have critically reviewed the manuscript based on your valuable comments and recommendations.</p> <p>Our response to the Reviewers is written in red for easy reference. We have attached both the marked version and the clean version.</p> <p>Comments from the editors and reviewers:</p> <p>Reviewer #1: The paper is interesting and well prepared. The methodology employed is appropriate and results are presented well.</p> <p>RESPONSE: Thanks for your useful comments. We appreciate your time and efforts in improving our manuscript.</p> <p>I have only following suggestions to improve the paper:</p> <p>- section 3 "3. Model, Data, Methodology and Empirical Results" should be corrected as "3. Model, Data and Methodology"</p> <p>RESPONSE: Thanks for your useful comments. We have incorporated the changes in Page 12.</p>

-since 8 countries are examined in the paper, in the abstract and and coclusion it should be corrected from 10 countries to 8 countires.

RESPONSE: Thanks for your useful comments. We have incorporated the changes; 10 countries have been changed to 8 in both abstract and conclusion.

Dear Editor and Anonymous Reviewers,

Thank you for the privilege and the time spent in improving the quality of our manuscript under your consideration. We have critically reviewed the manuscript based on your valuable comments and recommendations.

Our response to the Reviewers is written in *red* for easy reference. We have attached both the marked version and the clean version.

**Comments from the editors and reviewers:**

Reviewer #1: The paper is interesting and well prepared. The methodology employed is appropriate and results are presented well.

**RESPONSE:** Thanks for your useful comments. We appreciate your time and efforts in improving our manuscript.

I have only following suggestions to improve the paper:

- section 3 "3. Model, Data, Methodology and Empirical Results" should be corrected as "3. Model, Data and Methodology"

**RESPONSE:** Thanks for your useful comments. We have incorporated the changes in Page 12.

-since 8 countries are examined in the paper, in the abstract and and coclusion it should be corrected from 10 countries to 8 countires.

**RESPONSE:** Thanks for your useful comments. We have incorporated the changes; 10 countries have been changed to 8 in both abstract and conclusion.

## Highlights

- Effect of airline and railway industry on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions is assessed in the context of the EKC hypothesis
- We find a positive significant relationship between emissions and economic growth
- Air transportation drives pollution while railway transportation and urbanization decline emission
- The study confirms fossil fuel energy consumption induced pollutant emissions
- Intensifying R&D into more fuel-efficient airlines is essential to improve environmental quality

# Testing the transport-induced Environmental Kuznets Curve Hypothesis: The Role of Air and Railway Transport

Sinan ERDOGAN

Department of Economics, Faculty of Economics and Administrative Sciences,  
Hatay Mustafa Kemal University, Hatay, Turkey,

E-mail: [phderdogan@gmail.com](mailto:phderdogan@gmail.com)

Festus Fatai ADEDOYIN

Department of Accounting, Economics and Finance, Bournemouth University, United Kingdom

Email: [fadedoyin@bournemouth.ac.uk](mailto:fadedoyin@bournemouth.ac.uk)

Festus Victor BEKUN

Faculty of Economics Administrative and Social sciences, Istanbul Gelisim University, Istanbul,  
Turkey

&

Department of Accounting, Analysis and Audit, School of Economics and Management, South Ural  
State University, 76, Lenin Aven., Chelyabinsk, Russia 454080.

E-mail: [fbekun@gelisim.edu.tr](mailto:fbekun@gelisim.edu.tr)

Samuel Asumadu SARKODIE

Nord University Business School (HHN). Post Box 1490, 8049 Bodø, Norway

Email: [asumadusarkodiesamuel@yahoo.com](mailto:asumadusarkodiesamuel@yahoo.com)

## Testing the transport-induced Environmental Kuznets Curve Hypothesis: The Role of Air and Railway Transport

### Abstract

The airline and railway industry contribute immensely to economic development, however, its role in environmental pollution requires attention. Here, this study builds on the theoretical pedigree of the environmental Kuznets curve (EKC) hypothesis to explore the contribution of the air and railway transportation sector and urbanization to the emission-growth argument. We utilized annual time-frequency data from 1995-2014 for a panel of top 10 air passenger carrier countries using robust panel estimators that ameliorate for cross-sectional dependency. The empirical analysis shows a positive significant relationship between emissions and economic growth, thus, economic growth is emission-embedded with limited green growth. The existence of the EKC phenomenon is affirmed for the investigated blocs — where economic growth is prioritized over environmental quality. Additional, while air transportation drives pollution, railway transportation and urbanization decline emission over the sampled period. The results underscore the need for clean and environmentally friendly energy sources for air sector operations.

**Keywords:** Energy consumption; Transport emissions; Airline emissions; railway emissions; pollutant emission; Urbanization

## 1. Introduction

Transportation is an important sector of any economy either the road, rail, air transport etc. however, transport contributes to the emission of greenhouse gases such as carbon dioxide. It is reported that transport activities are responsible for 14% of total greenhouse gas emissions. The major contributor to the emissions from transport activities is attributed to road transportation activities. The aviation sector is the second largest contributor to greenhouse gas emissions, and nearly responsible for 2-4% of total anthropogenic emissions (Air Transport Action Group, 2019). However, the carbon emissions from the airline industry are more dangerous than other transport activities — because of both distorting the composition of the atmosphere at high altitudes and exacerbating greenhouse gas concentration at the surface environment. Moreover, half of the greenhouse gas emissions are emitted near-surface environment while the other half occurs above an altitude of 6000 meters (Balkanski et al., 2010). If a round-trip flight from London to New York produces about 986 kg of carbon dioxide emissions per passenger, then this value is higher than the annual average CO<sub>2</sub> emissions per capita of 56 countries (Kommenda, 2019). It may be said that the airline industry increases both the concentration of greenhouse gas emissions on the surface and atmosphere, which in turn increases environmental pollution. In addition, the transport industry was one of the strategic sectors emphasized in the 1997 Kyoto protocol that required attention. The purpose was to diminish worldwide greenhouse gas emissions by 5.2% of 1990 levels by 2012 (Chapman, 2007).

Emissions from transportation pose other risks to the environment as well as the economy. The transport-carbon dioxide emission relationship cannot be overemphasized, this is because it is an important aspect of economic development. Hence, there is a need for more stringent regulations and policies on environmental protection to control the transport-carbon dioxide emission relationship (Ouyang et al., 2019). This is why the environmental Kuznets curve (EKC) hypothesis highlights that environmental pressure increases up to a certain level of income growth and diminishes thereafter. The validation of the EKC phenomenon i.e there is an inverted U-shape

nexus between carbon dioxide emission and per capita income has been confirmed by a number of studies (Sugiawan and Managi, 2016; Al-mulali et al., 2015; Zaman et al., 2016). The EKC hypothesis is a useful conceptual tool to tackle environmental issues caused by greenhouse gas emissions (Sarkodie and Strezov, 2019). Curtailing environmental issues caused by transport emissions will lead to an increase in income level to a point where environmental pressure begins to decline.

Energy consumption may lead to an increase in the emission of carbon dioxide while the air and rail transport system contributes immensely to the energy-emission nexus. Meaning that air transport contributes more to greenhouse gas emission than road transport — as air transport emissions directly affect the environment. The extending use of the electric-powered railway is historically considered as an eco-friendlier form of transportation for ensuring the sustainability of transportation activities. A two-way causal relationship between CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and transport has been reported, thus, CO<sub>2</sub> emissions affect transport and vice versa (Abdallah et.al, 2013). However, findings from other studies conducted on the transport-emission relationship remain inconclusive.

There are many opposing views which emphasizes the economic benefits of the airline industry. However, the main environmental concern of the transport industry is reducing road and airline transport pollution and its distorting effects, of which there are limited studies. The aim of this study is to test the role of the airline and railway industry on environmental pollution among related countries. Few studies have examined the transport-emission nexus and the validity of the EKC hypothesis distinctively. Yet, to the best of our knowledge, no study has investigated the effect of airline and railway industry on environmental pollution in the context of the EKC hypothesis. The findings of this study may be key to ensuring the environmental sustainability of eco-friendly transportation technologies.

## 2. Literature Review

The environmental pollution-economic development nexus has extensively been examined by researchers since the study of Grossman and Krueger (1991) was proposed. The main concern of Grossman and Krueger (1991) was to unearth how environmental degradation changes in the dynamic growth path of 42 countries. Therefore, a cubic model was used to empirically assess the linkage and reported an N-shaped nexus between environmental pollution and economic development. The environment-economic growth nexus has been tested with several estimation methods and energy-related or macroeconomic variables in various samples reported in Table 1.

**Table 1.** Interactions between Environmental Degradation, Energy Consumption, and Macroeconomic Variables

Author(s)	Sample-Period	Method	Energy-	
			Macroeconomic Variables	EKC
Shafik & Bandyopadhyay (1992)	149 Countries, 1960-1990	PRE	-	Invalid
Selden & Song (1994)	30 Countries	POLS, FE, RE	Population Density (-)	Valid
Panayotou (1997)	30 Developed and Developing Countries, 1982-1994	FE, RE	Population Density (+) Policy Variable (-)	Valid
Dinda et al. (2000)	33 Countries, 1979-1990	PRE	-	Invalid

Dijkgraaf & Vollebergh (2001)	24 OECD Countries, 1960-1997	FE	-	Invalid
Narayan & Narayan (2010)	43 Developing Countries, 1980-2004	PDA	-	M
Ozturk & Acaravci (2013)	Turkey, 1960-2007	ARDL	EC (+) TR (+) FD (*)	Valid
Tiwari et al. (2013)	India, 1966-2009	ARDL	CC (+) TR (+) Population Density (-)	Valid
Apergis & Ozturk (2015)	14 Asian Countries, 1990-2011	GMM, FMOLS, DOLS	Land (+) Industry Shares in GDP (+) Institutions (M)	Valid
Shahbaz et al. (2015)	12 Africa Countries, 1980-2012	PDA	Energy Intensity (+)	Valid
Jebli et al. (2016)	25 OECD Countries, 1980-2010	FMOLS, DOLS	REC (-) NREC (+) TR (-)	Valid
Al-Mulali et al. (2016)	7 Regions, 1980, 2010	DOLS	REC (M) TR (M) UR (M)	M
Shahbaz et al. (2016)	19 African Countries, 1971-2012	ARDL	Energy Intensity (M) Globalization (M)	M

Ertugrul et al. (2016)	Developing Countries, 1971-2011	ARDL	TR (M) EC (M) EC (+)	M
Dogan & Turkekul (2016)	USA, 1960-2010	ARDL	TR (-) UR (+) FD (*)	Invalid
Acaravci & Akalin (2017)	Developed and Developing Countries, 1980-2010	CCE	TR (M)  UR (*) REC (-)	M
Sarkodie & Adams (2018)	South Africa, 1971-2017	ARDL	NREC (+) Political Institutions (-) Nuclear Energy (*) EC (+)	Valid
Destek et al. (2018)	EU Countries, 1980-2013	CCE-Mean Group	NREC (+) REC (-) TR (-) FD (+) UR (+)	Invalid
Pata (2018)	Turkey, 1971-2014	ARDL	Import (+) Export (-) Industrialization (+) CC (+)	Valid

			Noncarbohydrate	
			Energy (-)	
Aslan et al. (2018)	USA, 1966-2013	Bootstrap Rolling Window Approach	-	Valid
Ulucak & Bilgili (2018)	Low-, Middle-, and High-Income Countries, 1961-2013	CUP-FM, CUP-BC	TR (M) Human Capital (M) Biocapacity (M)	Valid
Sarkodie & Strezov (2019)	5 Countries, 1982-2016	Driscoll-Kraay Method	Foreign Investment (+) Energy Consumption (+)	Direct M
Danish et al. (2020)	BRICS, 1992-2016	FMOLS, DOLS	Natural Resources Rent (-) URB (-)	Valid

---

M: Mixed Results, REC: Renewable Energy Consumption, NREC: Non-Renewable Energy Consumption, TR: Trade Openness, UR: Urbanization, FD: Financial Development, EC: Energy Consumption: \*: No Statistically Significant Effect, CC: Coal Consumption, POLS: Pooled OLS, FE: Fixed Effects, RE: Random Effects, GMM: Generalized Method of Moment, FMOLS: Fully

Modified Ordinary Least Squares, DOLS: Dynamic OLS, PRE: Panel Regression Analysis, PDA: Panel Data Analysis, CCE: Common Correlated Effect Estimator.

## **2.1 Related Studies on the Energy-Economic Growth Nexus**

Economic development is a point of focus for many countries, that is why activities and actions of countries are directed towards ensuring sustained economic growth. There are several studies on the nexus between energy utilization and economic development (Adedoyin et al., 2020a, 2020b; Udi et al., 2020). Three conclusions, namely bidirectional, unidirectional and no-causality, are often made on the nexus between energy, emissions and growth. The extant literature found a bidirectional causality relationship between CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and income level (Pao and Tsai, 2011). Other studies found a one-way causal relationship from income level to CO<sub>2</sub> emissions (Solarin, 2014). Using disaggregate energy consumption, a one-way causal nexus from economic growth to renewables was confirmed in the short run while feedback causality was affirmed in the long-run. A two-way relationship between fossil fuels energy and economic growth was confirmed both in short- and long- run, (Apergis and Payne, 2012). Increasing the penetration of renewables had a mitigating effect on environmental degradation while conventional energy sources spur CO<sub>2</sub> emissions (Ozcan et al., 2019). In addition, the diversification of the energy mix with renewable energy is found to increase economic development (Cowan et al., 2014). In contrast, strong evidence of feedback effect is reported between CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, fossil fuels and economic development (Wooldridge, 2008).

## **2.2 Transport-Emissions nexus**

The environmental impact of transport cannot be overemphasized, because the transport sector is one of the major global consumers of energy that burns a large percentage of petroleum. This leads to the creation and emission of carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases that may degrade the environment, leading to climate change. However, air-railway transportation has a positive and

significant relationship with energy demand, (Rashid Khan et al., 2018). Energy consumption underpins the proper functioning of air-railway transportation systems, hence, resulting in the emission of anthropogenic greenhouse gases that affect the environment. A study found a two-way causal relationship between CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and transport (Abdallah et.al, 2013). This means that CO<sub>2</sub> emissions affect the transport sector whereas transportation leads to CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. The accumulation of the atmospheric emissions results in air pollution and degradation of the environment, with a long-term economic effect. Several studies found a strong relationship between transport energy consumption and transport infrastructure and economic development. Energy consumed by the transport sector and others namely waterways, airways, railways, roads and terminals, seaports, refuelling depots, trucking terminals, warehouses, and airports were found to affect economic development (Beyzatler et.al, 2014; Saidi et al., 2018).

Other factors that may affect the role in the transport sector-led emissions include economic growth, tourism, employment (Küçükönel and Sedefoğlu, 2017). These factors are interwoven and depend on each other, thus, urbanization and transport affect tourism. Meaning that tourism, the influence of urbanization, and passenger carriage intensity affects economic growth (Arvin et al., 2015). Hence, proper regulations of tourism and tourists' activities and energy consumption have a positive impact on economic growth. There is a long term linkage existing between airline service levels and the variation in interest rates and market concentration, (Stamolampros and Korfiatis, 2019). There is a significant relationship between the degree of market based environmental regulation stringency and population exposure. With stricter environmental regulations, the positive correlations of population exposure to pollution gradually decline.

There is an increase in departure frequency in routes that have experienced liberalization compared to those governed by restrictive bilateral air service agreements. Partially liberalized routes experience larger departure frequency compared to fully liberalized routes, (Abate, 2016). Meanwhile, there is a long-run equilibrium relationship existing between economic growth and

domestic air passenger traffic. There is a short-run one-way causal relationship from domestic air passenger traffic to economic growth, (Hu et al., 2015). Economic growth and air transport demand are co-integrated, leading to an increase in air transport demand due to expansive economic activities (Marazzo et al., 2010). Economic development has a long term effect on the number of people scheduled to book a flight and Air passenger traffic, exerting the same influence on the number of goods (Air freight volumes) transported by air (Hakim and Merkert, 2016). However, Air funding mechanisms significantly increase passenger flows and travel conditions or leisure and business passengers and increases the gross value-added to the economy (Smyth et al., 2012).

### **2.3 Gap in the literature**

The following features can be observed in existing studies. First, the early studies were mainly focused on the interaction between economic growth and environment, thus, testing the existence of the EKC. Second, other studies included the other energy and macroeconomic indicators, which are associated with environmental degradation, alongside economic growth. The extant literature confirms the deteriorating effects of fossil fuels and the mitigating effects of renewables on the environment. However, there is no consensus on the effects of trade and urbanization on the environment. Third, there is limited literature investigating the role of transport industries on environmental degradation. Fourth, due to the varying methodology, sample design or explanatory variables used, there is no consensus on the existence of EKC. This paper aims to unveil the role of the airline and rail transport industry on environmental pollution, alongside traditional energy consumption and macroeconomic variables and tend to fill the existing gaps in the literature.

### 3. Model, Data, Methodology and Empirical Results

#### 3.1 Model and Data

In order to analyze the effect of transport industry on environmental degradation, we employed a linear logarithmic model based on data from 1995-2014 in selected top airways passenger-carrier countries<sup>1</sup> expressed as:

$$\ln CO_{2it} = \beta_{0i} + \beta_1 \ln Y_{it} + \beta_2 \ln Y_{it}^2 + \beta_3 \ln A_{it} + \beta_4 \ln R_{it} + \beta_5 \ln FC_{it} + \beta_6 \ln U_{it} + \varepsilon_{it} \quad (1)$$

where the  $CO_2$  is represents carbon dioxide emissions per capita,  $Y$  and  $Y^2$  are real GDP per capita (constant, 2010 US\$) and the square of real GDP, respectively. The  $A$  is airways transport (passenger carried), the  $R$  is the railway transport (passenger carried), and both  $A$  and  $R$  were used as a proxy for the size of airline and railways industries.  $F$  is the fossil fuel energy consumption (% of total), and  $U$  is the urban population (% of the total population). All data were retrieved from World Bank online database (World Bank, 2019).

#### 3.2 Methodology

In this study, we employed standard LM test and Delta tests suggested by Breusch-Pagan (1980) and Pesaran and Yamagata (2008), respectively, to test the existence of cross-section dependence and slope homogeneity<sup>2</sup>. After preliminary analyses, we unearthed the stationarity properties of variables by using a bootstrap-based unit root test, proposed by Smith et al. (2004). They adopt the methodology of Im et al. (2003) to estimate  $\bar{t}$  statistics and consider cross-section dependence by using the bootstrap specification. The  $\bar{t}$  statics can be obtained by using the following specification:

$$\bar{t}_s = \sqrt{N} \{ \bar{t} - E(t_i) \} / \sqrt{Var(t_i)} \quad (2)$$

---

<sup>1</sup> The data used in this study cover the countries, which are in top 10 air passenger-carrier countries (China, Germany, India, Ireland, Japan, Turkey, United Kingdom and United States). Brazil and Indonesia were not included because of data constraints

<sup>2</sup> The LM and Delta tests are widely known estimation techniques, hence, were not specified in the study.

where  $\bar{t} = N^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N t_i$ . Moreover, this method tests the null of the non-stationarity hypothesis against the alternative of stationarity.

In order to test whether long-run relationships exist among variables, we utilized the variance ratio-based cointegration method, suggested by Westerlund (2005). Westerlund proposes two statistics which are group-mean and panel variance ratio statistics. Because of slope heterogeneity, we used group-mean variance ratio statistics estimated by the following specification expressed as:

$$VR_G = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{t=1}^T E_{it}^2 R_i^{-1} \quad (3)$$

where  $E_{it} \equiv \sum_{j=1}^t \hat{e}_{ij}^2$ ,  $R_{it} \equiv \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{e}_{it}^2$  and  $\hat{e}_{it}$  is the residual term, obtained by the data generating process of Westerlund, and the null of “*no cointegration*” is tested against the alternative of “*some panels are cointegrated*” through the outlined specification. In terms of robustness, the variance ratio-based cointegration test has a satisfactory small sample performance. Finally, we implemented the Mean-Group and FMOLS estimation procedures proposed by Pesaran and Smith (1995) and Pedroni (2000), respectively, to estimate the long-run parameters. The Mean-Group (MG) estimator allows to include country-specific heterogeneity, and estimates panel coefficients by taking the arithmetic average of the heterogeneous coefficients. The panel FMOLS strategy can be applied as  $\beta_{GFMOLS} = N^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_{FMOLSi}$ , where  $\beta_{FMOLSi}$  is obtained by using individual FMOLS estimation of Eq.(1), and related t-ratio could be estimated as  $t_{\beta_{GFMOLS}} = N^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^N t_{\beta_{FMOLSi}}$ .

#### 4. Empirical Results and Discussions

We began our analysis by conducting cross-sectional dependence and delta tests. According to the test results (Table 2), the null hypothesis of no cross-sectional dependence is strongly accepted for the model, whereas rejected for variables with different significance levels. Thus, there is no existence of cross-sectional dependence in the model, while existing in the sampled variables.

In addition, the null hypothesis of slope homogeneity by the delta test is rejected at 1% significance level, confirming the presence of slope heterogeneity.

**Table 2.** Cross-sectional dependence and delta tests

Variable	$LM$	$\tilde{\Delta}$	$\tilde{\Delta}_{adj}$
<b>Model</b>	25.888 (0.579)	7.146 (0.000)	7.719 (0.000)
$CO_2$	56.417 (0.000)		
$Y$	42.550 (0.038)		
$Y^2$	42.064 (0.043)		
$A$	56.228 (0.001)		
$R$	43.926 (0.028)		
$FC$	50.195 (0.006)		
$U$	69.851 (0.000)		

**Note:** The estimation is based on the null hypothesis of “no cross-section dependence” for the LM test, while “slope homogeneity” for Delta Tests.

After determining the existence of cross-sectional dependence for the sampled variables, we utilized the bootstrap-based unit root test by Smith et al., which controls for cross-sectional dependence. According to the unit root test results (Table 3), the null hypothesis of a unit root is accepted in the model with constant, and constant and trend with different significance levels. However, all the variables were stationary at first difference, therefore, it can be inferred that all variables exhibit  $I(1)$  process. The confirmation of  $I(1)$  integrational level requires the investigation of the existence of possible cointegration among variables.

**Table 3.** Smith et al. Bootstrap Unit Root Test Results

Variables	Level		1st Difference	
	Constant	Trend +Constant	Constant	Trend + Constant
	$\bar{t}$ -Stat	$\bar{t}$ -Stat	$\bar{t}$ -Stat	$\bar{t}$ -Stat
$CO_2$	-0.224 (0.998)	-2.086 (0.534)	-4.351 (0.000)	-4.616 (0.000)
$Y$	-1.366 (0.549)	-2.180 (0.418)	-3.183 (0.006)	-3.223 (0.000)
$Y^2$	-1.206 (0.651)	-2.146 (0.449)	-3.156 (0.008)	-3.223 (0.031)
$A$	-0.911 (0.901)	-1.853 (0.757)	-3.738 (0.000)	-3.857 (0.000)
$R$	-1.493 (0.506)	-2.486 (0.186)	-4.408 (0.000)	-4.376 (0.001)
$FC$	-0.485 (0.994)	-1.516 (0.956)	-3.798 (0.000)	-4.401 (0.000)
$U$	-1,182 (0.089)	-2.625 (0.153)	-2.459 (0.026)	-14.914 (0.023)

**Note:** The maximum lag-length was determined as  $k=2$ . Probability values were obtained based on 5,000 bootstrap replications shown in parenthesis (.).

The non-existence of cross-sectional dependence in the model makes first-generational panel data estimation methods feasible. Therefore, we investigated the possible cointegration relationship among variables by using the variance ratio-based cointegration method. According to the results presented in Table 4, the null hypothesis of “no cointegration” is rejected, therefore, it can be concluded that there exists a long-run cointegration relationship among variables.

**Table 4:** Variance Ratio-Based cointegration test Result

Statistics	
$VR_g$	-1.745 (0.040)

**Note:** The value in parenthesis (.) is the  $p$ -value for variance ratio-based cointegration test

After confirming the long-run relationship among the variables, we utilized FMOLS and MG estimators to estimate the long-run parameters and report the results in Table 5. According to both FMOLS and MG results, GDP per capita has a positive and statistically significant coefficient, while GDP per capita square has a negative and statistically significant coefficient. Thus, these findings confirm an inverted-U shaped relationship between economic growth and environmental degradation, thus, validating the EKC hypothesis. The existence of EKC confirms the results of Selden and Song (1994), Panayotou (1997), Ozturk and Acaravci (2010), Ozturk and Acaravci (2013), Tiwari et al. (2013), Apergis and Ozturk (2015), Shahbaz et al. (2015), Jebli et al. (2016), Sarkodie and Adams (2018), Pata (2018), Aslan et al. (2018), Danish et al. (2020). Based on the FMOLS and MG results, the turning point of the EKC is approximately US\$ 62,692 and US\$ 74,458, respectively. There are policy implications for the turning point of the EKC between US\$ 62,692 and US\$ 74,458. First, it can be said that the EKC mechanism works properly, and economic development may deteriorate environmental conditions at the early stage of development. After passing over the turning point of income level (US\$ 62,692 and US\$ 74,458), environmental pollution begins to decline with sustained economic development. Second, the turning point of income level is higher than the income level of eight countries in 2014, however, the income level of Ireland achieved the turning point in 2015 and outgrown in 2017.

**Table 5:** FMOLS Estimations for Transport-Induced EKC

Variables	FMOLS Results	MG Results
$Y$	32.564 (0.001)	22.302 (0.044)
$Y^2$	-1.474 (0.001)	-0.994 (0.058)
$A$	0.070 (0.000)	0.0642 (0.111)
$R$	-0.041 (0.008)	-0.033 (0.582)
$FC$	2.445 (0.000)	2.438 (0.001)
$U$	-3.303 (0.000)	-3.312 (0.002)

**Note:** Values in parenthesis (.) are the *p-values*

On one hand, the findings of the FMOLS estimator indicate that the airline industry has a positive and statistically significant effect, whereas the railway industry has a negative and statistically significant effect on environmental degradation. Consequently, a 1% increase in the number of airway passengers increases carbon dioxide emissions by 0.07%, while a 1% increase in the number of railway passengers decreases carbon emissions by 0.04%. In contrast, the MG estimation results reveal that the airline and railway industry have positive and negative coefficients, respectively, however, these findings are statistically insignificant. Schafer and Waitz (2014) emphasized that unintended consequences of the airline industry such as environmental degradation, noise are inevitable because is one of the fastest-growing industries. Chapman (Chapman) emphasized that the environmental effect of the airline industry is much more than

only emitting  $CO_2$ , due to its effect at the upper atmosphere, which exacerbates the composition of the atmosphere. Shaw et al. emphasized that extending the use of the railway is an alternative option for reducing the pollutant effects of the airline industry. The Department for Transport of the UK (2004) reported that the empirical findings of airline and railway industries are in parallel with expectations.

The FMOLS and MG result further indicate that fossil fuel energy consumption has a statistically significant positive effect on environmental degradation. This empirically means that a 1% increase in fossil fuel energy consumption escalates  $CO_2$  emissions by 2.44%. This confirms the existing results in the literature reported by Jebli et al. (2016), Sarkodie and Adams (2018), and Destek et al. (2018). The fossil fuel-led emissions can be attributed to the spread and dependence on fossil energy sources across the sampled countries. According to the 2014 World Bank data (World Bank, 2019), the average share of fossil fuels in the energy portfolio of the sampled countries was  $\sim 84.39\%$ , while the share of renewable energy consumption was averaged at  $\sim 13.01\%$  in the total energy consumption. Hence, the domination of fossil fuel consumption with little or no green input is one of the major inhibitors of environmental sustainability. Therefore, one of the main policy implications and concern is how to sustainably reduce fossil fuel energy consumption without hampering economic development in the sampled countries.

Urbanization has a statistically significant negative effect on  $CO_2$  emissions, corroborating the results by Danish et al. (2020). It may be expected that a 1% increase in urbanization could approximately decrease  $CO_2$  emissions by 3.3%. The reducing effect of urbanization may be related to the more effective implementation of emission mitigation and taxation policies in urbanized areas compared to the rural setting. It is reported that city-dwellers have more advantages to higher education which contributes to raising awareness on environmental issues and demand for a clean environment (Danish et al. 2020). The rising number of well-educated individuals may push the policymakers to take measures for extending cleaner activities, thus, structural change of the economy will step-up, and the composition effect of EKC will occur. In addition, cities are a source

of R&D activities, innovation and technological advancement. It can, therefore, be expected that an increasing number of R&D activities on eco-friendly production technologies in cities may accelerate the structural change of economies, and it may help in the occurrence of the technique effect of EKC.

## **5. Conclusion**

This present study stems from the need of most economies and government officials to decarbonize the economic growth trajectory from pollution emissions. This is consistent with the sustainable development goal (SDG) 7 and 11 of access to clean energy sources and mitigating greenhouse gas — climate change effect respectively. We focused on exploring the theme for top 10 air passenger carrier countries from 1995-2014. We applied a novel and robust panel estimation tools to achieve the aim of examining the framework of the EKC hypothesis. Contrary to previous attempts in previous studies, we augmented the theme by distinctively accounting for the role of both air and rail transportation.

The empirical results affirm the existence of the EKC phenomenon and confirmed fossil fuel energy consumption induced pollutant emissions for the examined period. Evidence from the study shows that air transportation engenders CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. The plausible explanation is connected to the prevalence and intense adoption of fossil fuel energy across the examined countries. The study demonstrated that economic growth is pollution-embedded. On the contrary, rail transportation and urbanization show potential to dampen the effect of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. This suggests the consciousness of the urban population of the implication(s) of clean energy exploration as an alternative to a friendlier ecosystem. The mitigating effect of rail transportation could be credited to the electric-powered fuel source and less popularity compared to other forms of transportation.

Given the highlights of this study, the following policies are recommended:

- (i) The positive significant nexus between emissions, fossil fuel consumption and growth implies a pollution-led economic development. Because a great part of the energy consumed is from traditional energy-intensive industries, optimization of energy consumption structures requires the adoption of renewable energy sources. From a policy standpoint, there is an urgent need to decentralize the use of fossil fuel energy to cleaner energy sources. This position has been promoted by Bekun et al. (2019a) for South Africa and a panel of European member countries (Bekun et al., 2019b). The crusade for cleaner energy stems from its friendliness to the ecosystem.
- (ii) The transportation induced environmental degradation is a call to move to low-carbon fuel planes and carriers in the blocs investigated. There is also a need for administrators to intensify efforts into Research and development in more fuel-efficient airlines that are environmentally friendly.

Though there is a global interest to tighten environmental regulations and to reinforce the commitment of the transportation sector like aviation and the utilization of fossil fuel energy sources. The need to maintain the momentum of the conscious population of the inherent benefits and adverse effect of environmental issues is pertinent.

## References

- Abate, M., 2016. Economic effects of air transport market liberalization in Africa. *Transp. Res. Part A Policy Pract.* 92, 326–337. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tra.2016.06.014>
- Adedoyin, F.F., Alola, A.A., Bekun, F.V., 2020a. An assessment of environmental sustainability corridor: The role of economic expansion and research and development in EU countries. *Sci. Total Environ.* 713, 136726. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.136726>

- Adedoyin, F.F., Gumede, I.M., Bekun, V.F., Etokakpan, U.M., Balsalobre-lorente, D., 2020b. Modelling coal rent , economic growth and CO 2 emissions : Does regulatory quality matter in BRICS economies ? *Sci. Total Environ.* 710, 136284. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.136284>
- Al-mulali, U., Weng-Wai, C., Sheau-Ting, L., Mohammed, A.H., 2015. Investigating the environmental Kuznets curve (EKC) hypothesis by utilizing the ecological footprint as an indicator of environmental degradation. *Ecol. Indic.* 48, 315–323. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2014.08.029>
- Apergis, N., Payne, J.E., 2012. The electricity consumption-growth nexus: Renewable versus non-renewable electricity in central America. *Energy Sources, Part B Econ. Plan. Policy* 7, 423–431. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15567249.2011.639336>
- Arvin, M.B., Pradhan, R.P., Norman, N.R., 2015. Transportation intensity, urbanization, economic growth, and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in the G-20 countries. *Util. Policy* 35, 50–66. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jup.2015.07.003>
- Acaravci, A., & Akalin, G. (2017). Environment–economic growth nexus: a comparative analysis of developed and developing countries. *International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy*, 7(5), 34-43.
- Air Transport Action Group. (2019). Fact & Figures. Retrieved from <https://www.atag.org/facts-figures.html>
- Al-Mulali, U., Ozturk, I., & Solarin, S. A. (2016). Investigating the environmental Kuznets curve hypothesis in seven regions: The role of renewable energy. *Ecological Indicators*, 67, 267-282.
- Apergis, N., & Ozturk, I. (2015). Testing environmental Kuznets curve hypothesis in Asian countries. *Ecological Indicators*, 52, 16-22.

- Aslan, A., Destek, M. A., & Okumus, I. (2018). Bootstrap rolling window estimation approach to analysis of the Environment Kuznets Curve hypothesis: evidence from the USA. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 25(3), 2402-2408.
- Atmosfair. (2020). The impact of air travel on our climate. Retrieved from [https://www.atmosfair.de/en/air\\_travel\\_and\\_climate/flugverkehr\\_und\\_klima/climate\\_impact\\_air\\_traffic/](https://www.atmosfair.de/en/air_travel_and_climate/flugverkehr_und_klima/climate_impact_air_traffic/)
- Balkanski, Y., Myhre, G., Gauss, M., Radel, G., Highwood, E., & Shine, K. (2010). Direct radiative effect of aerosols emitted by transport from road, shipping and aviation. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 10, 4477-4489.
- Bekun, F. V., Alola, A. A., & Sarkodie, S. A. (2019). Toward a sustainable environment: Nexus between CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, resource rent, renewable and nonrenewable energy in 16-EU countries. *Science of the Total Environment*, 657, 1023-1029.
- Bekun, F. V., Emir, F., & Sarkodie, S. A. (2019). Another look at the relationship between energy consumption, carbon dioxide emissions, and economic growth in South Africa. *Science of the Total Environment*, 655, 759-765.
- Breusch, T. S., & Pagan, A. R. (1980). The Lagrange multiplier test and its applications to model specification in econometrics. *The review of economic studies*, 47(1), 239-253.
- Chapman, L. (2007). Transport and climate change: a review. *Journal of transport geography*, 15(5), 354-367.
- Cowan, W.N., Chang, T., Inglesi-Lotz, R., Gupta, R., 2014. The nexus of electricity consumption, economic growth and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in the BRICS countries. *Energy Policy* 66, 359–368. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2013.10.081>
- Department of Transport. (2004). The Future of Transport a network for 2030. Retrieved from [file:///C:/Users/Sinan/Downloads/The\\_Future\\_of\\_Transport\\_-\\_A\\_Network\\_for\\_2030.pdf](file:///C:/Users/Sinan/Downloads/The_Future_of_Transport_-_A_Network_for_2030.pdf)

- Destek, M. A., Ulucak, R., & Dogan, E. (2018). Analyzing the environmental Kuznets curve for the EU countries: the role of ecological footprint. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 25(29), 29387-29396.
- Dijkgraaf, E., & Vollebergh, H. R. (2001). A note on testing for environmental Kuznets curves with panel data. Retrieved from
- Dinda, S., Coondoo, D., & Pal, M. (2000). Air quality and economic growth: an empirical study. *Ecological Economics*, 34(3), 409-423.
- Dogan, E., & Turkekul, B. (2016). CO 2 emissions, real output, energy consumption, trade, urbanization and financial development: testing the EKC hypothesis for the USA. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 23(2), 1203-1213.
- Ertugrul, H. M., Cetin, M., Seker, F., & Dogan, E. (2016). The impact of trade openness on global carbon dioxide emissions: evidence from the top ten emitters among developing countries. *Ecological Indicators*, 67, 543-555.
- Grossman, G. M., & Krueger, A. B. (1991). Environmental impacts of a North American free trade agreement. Retrieved from
- Hakim, M.M., Merkert, R., 2016. The causal relationship between air transport and economic growth: Empirical evidence from South Asia. *J. Transp. Geogr.* 56, 120–127. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtrangeo.2016.09.006>
- Hu, Y., Xiao, J., Deng, Y., Xiao, Y., Wang, S., 2015. Domestic air passenger traffic and economic growth in China: Evidence from heterogeneous panel models. *J. Air Transp. Manag.* 42, 95–100. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jairtraman.2014.09.003>
- Im, K. S., Pesaran, M. H., & Shin, Y. (2003). Testing for unit roots in heterogeneous panels. *Journal of econometrics*, 115(1), 53-74.

- Jebli, M. B., Youssef, S. B., & Ozturk, I. (2016). Testing environmental Kuznets curve hypothesis: The role of renewable and non-renewable energy consumption and trade in OECD countries. *Ecological Indicators*, 60, 824-831.
- Kommenda, N. (2019). How your flight emits as much CO<sub>2</sub> as many people do in a year. *The Guardian*. Retrieved from <https://www.theguardian.com/environment/ng-interactive/2019/jul/19/carbon-calculator-how-taking-one-flight-emits-as-much-as-many-people-do-in-a-year>
- Küçükönal, H., Sedefoğlu, G., 2017. The Causality Analysis of Air Transport and Socio-economics Factors: The Case of OECD Countries. *Transp. Res. Procedia* 28, 16–26. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trpro.2017.12.164>
- Marazzo, M., Scherre, R., Fernandes, E., 2010. Air transport demand and economic growth in Brazil: A time series analysis. *Transp. Res. Part E Logist. Transp. Rev.* 46, 261–269. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tre.2009.08.008>
- Narayan, P. K., & Narayan, S. (2010). Carbon dioxide emissions and economic growth: panel data evidence from developing countries. *Energy policy*, 38(1), 661-666.
- Ouyang, X., Shao, Q., Zhu, X., He, Q., Xiang, C., Wei, G., 2019. Environmental regulation, economic growth and air pollution: Panel threshold analysis for OECD countries. *Sci. Total Environ.* 657, 234–241. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.12.056>
- Ozcan, B., Tzeremes, P., Dogan, E., 2019. Re-estimating the interconnectedness between the demand of energy consumption, income, and sustainability indices. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 26, 26500–26516. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-019-05767-x>
- Ozturk, I., & Acaravci, A. (2010). CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, energy consumption and economic growth in Turkey. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 14(9), 3220-3225.

- Ozturk, I., & Acaravci, A. (2013). The long-run and causal analysis of energy, growth, openness and financial development on carbon emissions in Turkey. *Energy Economics*, 36, 262-267.
- Panayotou, T. (1997). Demystifying the environmental Kuznets curve: turning a black box into a policy tool. *Environment and development economics*, 2(4), 465-484.
- Pata, U. K. (2018). The influence of coal and noncarbohydrate energy consumption on CO2 emissions: revisiting the environmental Kuznets curve hypothesis for Turkey. *Energy*, 160, 1115-1123.
- Pedroni, P. (2000). Fully modified OLS for heterogeneous cointegrated panels. *Advances in econometrics*, 15, 93-130.
- Pesaran, M. H., & Yamagata, T. (2008). Testing slope homogeneity in large panels. *Journal of econometrics*, 142(1), 50-93.
- Pao, H.T., Tsai, C.M., 2011. Modeling and forecasting the CO2 emissions, energy consumption, and economic growth in Brazil. *Energy* 36, 2450–2458. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2011.01.032>
- Rashid Khan, H.U., Siddique, M., Zaman, K., Yousaf, S.U., Shoukry, A.M., Gani, S., Sasmoko, Khan, A., Hishan, S.S., Saleem, H., 2018. The impact of air transportation, railways transportation, and port container traffic on energy demand, customs duty, and economic growth: Evidence from a panel of low-, middle-, and high -income countries. *J. Air Transp. Manag.* 70, 18–35. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jairtraman.2018.04.013>
- Saidi, S., Shahbaz, M., Akhtar, P., 2018. The long-run relationships between transport energy consumption, transport infrastructure, and economic growth in MENA countries. *Transp. Res. Part A Policy Pract.* 111, 78–95. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tra.2018.03.013>

- Smyth, A., Christodoulou, G., Dennis, N., AL-Azzawi, M., Campbell, J., 2012. Is air transport a necessity for social inclusion and economic development? *J. Air Transp. Manag.* 22, 53–59.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jairtraman.2012.01.009>
- Sugiawan, Y., Managi, S., 2016. The environmental Kuznets curve in Indonesia: Exploring the potential of renewable energy. *Energy Policy* 98, 187–198.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2016.08.029>
- Solarin, S.A., 2014. Tourist arrivals and macroeconomic determinants of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in Malaysia. *Anatolia* 25, 228–241. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13032917.2013.868364>
- Stamolampros, P., Korfiatis, N., 2019. Airline service quality and economic factors: An ARDL approach on US airlines. *J. Air Transp. Manag.* 77, 24–31.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jairtraman.2019.03.002>
- Sarkodie, S. A., & Adams, S. (2018). Renewable energy, nuclear energy, and environmental pollution: accounting for political institutional quality in South Africa. *Science of the total environment*, 643, 1590-1601.
- Sarkodie, S.A., Strezov, V., 2019. A review on Environmental Kuznets Curve hypothesis using bibliometric and meta-analysis. *Sci. Total Environ.* 649, 128-145.
- Sarkodie, S. A., & Strezov, V. (2019). Effect of foreign direct investments, economic development and energy consumption on greenhouse gas emissions in developing countries. *Science of the total environment*, 646, 862-871.
- Schäfer, A. W., & Waitz, I. A. (2014). Air transportation and the environment. *Transport policy*, 34, 1-4.
- Selden, T. M., & Song, D. (1994). Environmental quality and development: is there a Kuznets curve for air pollution emissions? *Journal of Environmental Economics and management*, 27(2), 147-162.

- Shafik, N., & Bandyopadhyay, S. (1992). Economic growth and environmental quality: time-series and cross-country evidence (Vol. 904): World Bank Publications.
- Shahbaz, M., Solarin, S. A., & Ozturk, I. (2016). Environmental Kuznets curve hypothesis and the role of globalization in selected African countries. *Ecological Indicators*, 67, 623-636.
- Shahbaz, M., Solarin, S. A., Sbia, R., & Bibi, S. (2015). Does energy intensity contribute to CO2 emissions? A trivariate analysis in selected African countries. *Ecological Indicators*, 50, 215-224.
- Smith, L. V., Leybourne, S., Kim, T. H., & Newbold, P. (2004). More powerful panel data unit root tests with an application to mean reversion in real exchange rates. *Journal of applied econometrics*, 19(2), 147-170.
- Tiwari, A. K., Shahbaz, M., & Hye, Q. M. A. (2013). The environmental Kuznets curve and the role of coal consumption in India: cointegration and causality analysis in an open economy. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 18, 519-527.
- Ulucak, R., & Bilgili, F. (2018). A reinvestigation of EKC model by ecological footprint measurement for high, middle and low income countries. *Journal of cleaner production*, 188, 144-157.
- Udi, J., Bekun, F.V., Adedoyin, F.F., 2020. Modeling the nexus between coal consumption, FDI inflow and economic expansion: does industrialization matter in South Africa? *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-07691-x>
- Ulucak, R., & Khan, S. U.-D. (2020). Determinants of the ecological footprint: Role of renewable energy, natural resources, and urbanization. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, 54, 101996.
- Westerlund, J. (2005). New simple tests for panel cointegration. *Econometric Reviews*, 24(3), 297-316.

World Bank. (2019). World Development Indicators. Retrieved from <https://databank.worldbank.org/source/world-development-indicators#>

Wooldridge, J.M., 2008. Introductory Econometrics: A Modern Approach, Journal of contaminant hydrology. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jconhyd.2010.08.009>

Zaman, K., Shahbaz, M., Loganathan, N., Raza, S.A., 2016. Tourism development, energy consumption and Environmental Kuznets Curve: Trivariate analysis in the panel of developed and developing countries. *Tour. Manag.* 54, 275–283. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2015.12.001>

## Author Contribution

**S.E:** Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Software, Validation; Visualization; **F.F.A.:** Writing – original draft, **F.V.B:** Writing – original draft; and **S.A.S:** Funding Acquisition, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.