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1. Introduction

Rechargeable alkali metal-ion (such as Li⁺, Na⁺, and K⁺) batteries (AMIBs) are significant potential and practical energy storage devices.[1–3] As the most famous example, rechargeable lithium-ion batteries (LIBs) have dominated the market in portable electronics and electric vehicles over the past two decades.[4,5] However, the insufficient and uneven distribution of lithium resources raised concerns about the future deployment of LIBs.[6–8] Recently, many researchers have been focusing on exploring alternatives for LIBs. Sodium-ion batteries (SIBs) and potassium-ion batteries (PIBs) are considered as potential candidates due to the abundance and low cost of Na and K. Both SIBs and PIBs share a similar “rocking chair” energy storage mechanism with LIBs.[9–15] Unfortunately, considerable challenges remain in exploring the suitable electrode materials to host different alkali ions owing to the...
increasing ionic radius and different interactions with the host electrodes. In other words, except LIBs, other AMIBs are still in their infancy state with low capacities and problematic cyclic performance.

Conversion-type anode materials (CTAMs) including transition metal oxides, chalcogenides, phosphides, and nitrides providing a promising prospect for AMIBs. The reactions on CTAMs are tunable and relying on the strong ionic bond between metal cations and the corresponding anions. Compared with intercalation-type (e.g., graphite) and alloy-type (e.g., Sn/Sb) anode materials, CTAMs have higher theoretical capacity, more suitable volume variation, and lower production cost. Additionally, CTAMs have been considered as the ideal candidate anodes for multiple alkali metal ions due to their similar reaction mechanisms and kinetic. However, the unstable structure and the continuous growth of solid electrolyte inter-phase (SEI) film on CTAMs are inevitable during the long-term discharge/charge cycling, thus causing fast capacity fading. Mixing CTAMs with carbon nanomaterials and controlling the morphology of the electrodes have shown promising results on improving the structural stability of CTAMs, and consequently, boost the cycling lifespan with high capacity at high current density. However, addressing the problem of the contentious electrolyte decomposition due to some parasitic reactions, such as that responsible for SEI formation, remains a challenge. Approaches such as carbon coating and composite formations were reported to control the formation of the SEI layer but did not contribute much to enhance the structural stability of CTAMs. Hybrid carbonaceous yolk–shell structures, where CTAMs could occupy the core space and carbon acts as the shell, may represent an innovative solution to address both problems simultaneously. First, the carbon shell facilitates the formation of stable and robust SEI layer. Second, the preserved inner space within the hybrid yolk–shell structure maintains the structural integrity and alleviate the volume expansion during the electrode charging, which cannot be achieved by the traditional solid core–shell structures. Recently, a yolk–shell structure was applied in the construction of low-cost micro-structured anode materials, encouraging for further studies toward the industrial realization of CTAM-based batteries. Therefore, it is logic to develop anodes for AMIBs that can take advantage of the synergy between yolk–shell structure and high energy density of CTAMs.

In this work, we choose yolk–shell FeSe2 micro-sized capsules coated with amorphous carbon as the CTAMs for AMIBs. Our selection of FeSe2 as the model active materials is based on their intrinsic semiconducting nature with rigid structure, high theoretical capacity and especially the outstanding ability to store various alkali metal ions. The novel electrode (designated as carbon-coated iron selenide microcapsules (FeSe2@C-3 MCs) where “3” means the etching time of Fe2O3@C MCs intermediate templates is 3 h in the 3 M HCl solution) was synthesized via facial and easy to scale up process, giving a hierarchical porous structure with uniform distribution of the components. When used as CTAMs for AMIBs, the yolk–shell FeSe2@C-3 MCs demonstrate excellent electrochemical performance with high specific capacities, long cyclic stability, and outstanding rate capability benefiting from their highly enhanced structural stability and abundant near-surface reactions, thus presenting potential application as universal anodes for AMIBs. Also, this synthesis route can be used to produce other micro-sized materials opening the door for more applications in the energy storage/conversion field.

2. Results and Discussion

Figure 1A schematically illustrates the fabrication procedure of the hierarchical hybrid yolk–shell FeSe2@C-3 MCs. First, Fe2O3 micrometer ellipsoids (MEs) were prepared via a facile hydrothermal reaction as the initial templates. As shown in Figure S2B,C in the Supporting Information, the field-emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM) images of as-prepared solid Fe2O3 MEs reveal a highly uniform ellipsoid-like morphology with a length of ≈1.3 μm and width of ≈0.9 μm. The X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) pattern (Figure S2A, Supporting Information) proves that all the identified diffraction peaks belong to the hematite Fe2O3 crystalline phase structure (JCPDS card no. 87-1165). Meanwhile, the energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) analysis (Figure S2D, Supporting Information) demonstrates that the atom ratio of Fe and O elements is about 2:3 in the micro-ellipsoids, further confirming the successful formation of the hematite Fe2O3 phase. Next, Fe2O3 MEs are coated with polymeric resorcinol-formaldehyde (RF) layer, which further converts to amorphous carbon shell through thermal carbonization step at 500 °C in Ar/H2 (9:1, volume ratio) atmosphere. This thermal treatment also reduces Fe2O3 to Fe3O4 and leads to the formation of carbon-coated Fe3O4 (Fe3O4@C) MCs (Figure S3, Supporting Information). The XRD patterns and EDX spectroscopy confirmed that the reductive product is pure cubic FeO4 phase (JCPDS card no. 75-0033). The atomic ratio of C, Fe, and O elements is calculated from the EDX analysis to be 47:9 (Figures S3D and S4, Supporting Information). The thickness of the carbon shell in the as-prepared core–shell Fe3O4@C MCs can be measured from the transmission electron microscopic (TEM) images (Figure S5, Supporting Information). In the final step, the Fe3O4 is converted to FeSe2 via thermal selenization. In the selenization process, FeO4 react with Se vapor at 400 °C for 3 h using Ar/H2 as the carrier gas, giving the final conformal structure FeSe2@C-3 MCs (Figure 1B–E). The XRD patterns of as-obtained selenization products confirm the complete conversion of cubic FeO4 into orthorhombic FeSe2 (JCPDS card no. 74-0247) (Figure 2A). No other diffraction peaks can be detected from the XRD spectrum, suggesting the high purity of the yolk–shell FeSe2@C-3 MCs. The absence of any peaks related to carbon is due to the low crystallinity of the amorphous film. The TEM image of the yolk–shell FeSe2@C-3 MCs shown in Figure 1C revealed that FeSe2 nanoparticles together and generate some void cavities. For comparison, the solid core–shell Fe3O4@C MCs without being...
etched by HCl solution are also annealed under the same selenization conditions but for a longer time (5 h) to complete the fully selenizing transformation (core–shell FeSe$_2$@C MCs). The XRD spectrum confirms the purity of the core–shell structure, without any secondary phases or contaminations. The interlayer distance, determined from the high-resolution transmission electron microscopic (HRTEM) image, is about 0.256 nm of the core–shell FeSe$_2$@C MCs, corresponding to the dominant (111) facet of FeSe$_2$ (Figure S8, Supporting Information). High-angle annular dark-field (HAADF) scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) and the corresponding elemental mapping images of yolk–shell FeSe$_2$@C-3 MCs and core–shell FeSe$_2$@C MCs also reveal the uniform distribution of Fe and Se elements within the hybrid structure. This demonstrates the successful diffusion of Se through the mesoporous carbon shell to react with Fe element and form the target hybrid (Figure 1D–E, H–I). However, yolk–shell FeSe$_2$@C-3 MCs present hollow structure, while core–shell FeSe$_2$@C MCs give a solid structure. It can be seen from Figure 1F and Figure S6B in the Supporting Information that the selenization process has damaged the carbon shell in the nonetched samples, while that of the FeSe$_2$@C-3 MCs with its hierarchal porous FeSe$_2$ maintained a good shape without any noticeable damage (Figure 1B; Figure S6A, Supporting Information). Maintaining the structural integrity of the yolk–shell FeSe$_2$@C-3 MCs after selenization is a good indicator that the void spaces within the structure can efficiently buffer the destructive effect of any phase transformation. It can also accommodate the volumetric expansion that might result from any similar process, such as the electrochemical charging.

Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was used to determine the thermal stability of the yolk–shell FeSe$_2$@C-3 MCs and core–shell FeSe$_2$@C MCs. The slight weight increase between 200 and 312 °C can be attributed to the FeSe$_2$ oxidation to Fe$_2$O$_3$ and SeO$_2$ (Figure 2B). The dominant weight attenuation takes place between 400 and 500 °C is due to the volatilization of the newly formed SeO$_2$ and the combustion of carbon shell according to the reaction: FeSe$_2$ + C + O$_2$ → Fe$_2$O$_3$ + SeO$_2$↑ + CO$_2$↑. Therefore, the accurate carbon contents in the two samples are calculated to be 29.5 and 71 wt%, respectively. Raman spectra further confirm the existence of the carbonaceous component in both hybrids. As shown in Figure 2C, two characteristic D band (1377 cm$^{-1}$) and G band (1589 cm$^{-1}$)
are detected. The D band corresponds to the disordered carbon with structural defects, while G the band reveals the distinctive feature of graphitic carbon. The higher \( \frac{I_G}{I_D} \) ratio in the core–shell FeSe\(_2@\)C MCs can be ascribed to the longer calcination time, which leads to further graphitization of carbon in the composites. Additionally, the minor peak located at 183.2 cm\(^{-1}\) on the Raman spectra is attributed to the Se–Se bonds of FeSe\(_2\). The specific surface areas of core–shell FeSe\(_2@\)C MCs and yolk–shell FeSe\(_2@\)C-3 MCs are also measured using Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) analysis. As presented in Figure 2D, the yolk–shell FeSe\(_2@\)C-3 MCs possess a larger specific surface area of 23.0 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\) than the core–shell FeSe\(_2@\)C MCs (9.22 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\)) due to the large volume of void spaces in the yolk–shell architecture. The X-ray photoelectron spectra (XPS) analysis was used to confirm the chemical valence states and bonds of FeSe\(_2@\)C-3 MCs. The high-resolution Se 3d spectrum in Figure 2E, shows three peaks at 54.5, 55.4, and 58.9 eV corresponding to the Se 3d\(_{3/2}\) for the Fe–Se bonds, the Se 3d\(_{1/2}\) for the Se–Se and Se–O bonds, respectively. Figure 2F exhibits the high-resolution Fe 2p spectrum. Apart from satellite peaks, there are two distinctive peaks at 707.2 and 720.0 eV, corresponding to Fe 2p\(_{3/2}\) and Fe 2p\(_{1/2}\) of iron selenide, respectively. No sign of peaks corresponding to any Fe–O bonds, suggesting the carbon shell well protected the FeSe\(_2\) particles from atmospheric oxidation.

To evaluate the electrochemical performance of the yolk–shell FeSe\(_2@\)C-3 MCs, we first assembled coin-typed half-cells for lithium storage. Figure 3A presents the galvanostatic charge/discharge profiles of FeSe\(_2@\)C-3 MCs at a current density of 0.5 A g\(^{-1}\). After different cycles, the voltage plateaus change gradually associated with increasing the specific capacity, indicating a typical activation process of metal selenides\(^{[53]}\). In cycling stability measurement, yolk–shell FeSe\(_2@\)C-3 MCs deliver a high reversible specific capacity of 876.3 mAh g\(^{-1}\) with coulombic efficiency over 98% after 500 cycles, demonstrating high cyclic stability (Figure 3B). The FeSe\(_2@\)C-3 MCs electrode could undergo fast charge/discharge process and present excellent high-rate performance (Figure 3C). When cycled at higher current densities of 5 and 10 A g\(^{-1}\), the yolk–shell FeSe\(_2@\)C-3 MCs still delivered high capacities of 461.2 and 311.2 mAh g\(^{-1}\), respectively. We also summarize the lithium storage of recently reported FeSe\(_2\)-based anodes in Table S1 in the Supporting Information and conclude that our-designed yolk–shell FeSe\(_2@\)C-3 MCs outperforms other FeSe\(_2\) anodes in LIBs.

Inspired by the excellent lithium storage of our yolk–shell FeSe\(_2@\)C-3 MCs, we further measured their sodium and potassium storages. The assembled coin-typed half-cells of SIBs using ether-based electrolyte are cycled in the voltage window of 0.5–2.9 V. As shown in Figure 3D, a remarkable initial coulombic efficiency (ICE) of 92.8% can be achieved and maintained above 99.1% in the subsequent cycles. After the initial activation process, several stable voltage platforms are observed at 1.60, 1.08, and 0.75 V on the discharge curves, and 1.49 and 1.85 V on the charge curves. The long-term cyclic behavior of the yolk–shell FeSe\(_2@\)C-3 MCs was also estimated. As can be seen from Figure 3E, the discharge capacity of yolk–shell FeSe\(_2@\)C-3 MCs experience rapid initial rise, as in LIBs, to 410 mAh g\(^{-1}\) within 40 cycles at 1 A g\(^{-1}\) and then maintain a stable value over 1000 cycles. Even when cycled at a high current density of 10 A g\(^{-1}\), an impressive and stable reversible
discharge capacity of 371.7 mAh g\(^{-1}\) could be maintained over 1500 cycles. The average capacity-fading per cycle is only 0.013‰, indicating outstanding long-term cycle stability of the yolk–shell FeSe\(_2@\)C-3 MCs for sodium storage. Figure 3F demonstrates the excellent high-rate performance of the yolk–shell FeSe\(_2@\)C-3 MCs when cycled at different current densities between 0.1 and 10 A g\(^{-1}\). Remarkably, after the gradual activation under the current densities of 0.1 to 10 A g\(^{-1}\) and continuously cycling at 1 A g\(^{-1}\) for 185 cycles, the discharge capacity stabilizes at 403 mAh g\(^{-1}\) for 0.1 A g\(^{-1}\), then slowly decreases to 347 mAh g\(^{-1}\) with increasing the current density to 10 A g\(^{-1}\). The superior rate capability is evidenced from the immediate capacity recovery to 381 and 386 mAh g\(^{-1}\) when the current densities return to 2 and 1 A g\(^{-1}\). This excellent electrochemical performance of the yolk–shell structure is outperforming or comparable with other FeSe\(_2\) based anodes reported previously for SIBs (Table S2, Supporting Information).

We finally tested the potassium storage capacity of the yolk–shell FeSe\(_2@\)C-3 MCs in a half-cell using coin-typed PIBs. As shown in Figure 3G, the yolk–shell FeSe\(_2@\)C-3 MCs can deliver a high initial discharge capacity of 643.4 mAh g\(^{-1}\) at a current density of 0.1 A g\(^{-1}\). The galvanostatic profiles are featureless after the first cycle, where only short voltage platforms can be observed at 0.28 and 1.74 V in the charge and discharge profiles, respectively. A high discharge capacity of 2277 mAh g\(^{-1}\) can be maintained after 100 cycles at 0.1 A g\(^{-1}\) (Figure 3H). In addition, the continuous potassiation/depotassiation cycling process can still be conducted running at high rates. The discharge capacity decreases gradually from 376.8 to 141.8 mAh g\(^{-1}\) as the current densities increasing from 0.05 to 2 A g\(^{-1}\), and finally stabilizes at 289.9 mAh g\(^{-1}\) when the current density turns back to 0.2 A g\(^{-1}\) (Figure 3I), also presenting good rate performance.

To emphasize on the role of the internal voids on the electrochemical performance of the yolk–shell structure, we also measured the performance of the controlled core–shell FeSe\(_2@\)C MCs (without HCl leaching) for all three AMIBs (Figure S9, Supporting Information). The specific capacities of the FeSe\(_2@\)C MCs anode in LIBs and PIBs drop sharply upon cycling during the long-term cycle and high-rate measurements. For LIBs, the discharge capacity fails from \(\approx\)400 mAh g\(^{-1}\) for the third cycle to below 200 mAh g\(^{-1}\) after 120 cycles. The gradual increase of the capacity, which was attributed to the activation of the iron selenide in the case the yolk–shell anode, is not observed for FeSe\(_2@\)C MCs, indicating the electrolyte is not able to access all the selenide due to the denser structure. For the PIBs, the initial discharge capacity recorded...
for the FeSe$_2$@C MCs electrodes is ≈410 mAh g$^{-1}$, almost 50% less than the yolk–shell FeSe$_2$@C-3 MCs electrode. The discharge capacity decreases to around 75 mAh g$^{-1}$ after 100 cycles, less than one-third of that recorded for the hierarchical yolk–shell anode. Although the assembled SIB using core–shell FeSe$_2$@C MCs as anode also demonstrates a gradual increase in the discharge capacity when cycled at 1 A g$^{-1}$, the reversible capacity drops to 251 mAh g$^{-1}$ after 100 cycles. More importantly, when cycling at various current densities in the range 0.1–10 A g$^{-1}$, the rate performance in SIBs is extremely erratic, indicating its impracticality. All these results demonstrate the poor performance of the dense core–shell structure when compared with the well-engineered yolk–shell FeSe$_2$@C-3 MCs.

To investigate the electrochemical kinetics of the yolk–shell FeSe$_2$@C-3 MCs, CV profiles were recorded for LIBs, SIBs, and PIBs at various scan rates from 0.2 to 1.5 mV s$^{-1}$ (Figure 4A–C). The CV curves show similar profiles to that reported in the literature for the Li, Na, K storage in FeSe$_2$-based anode.$^{[53–55]}$ In all the AMIBs, the CV curves display three reduction peaks and two oxidation peaks. In addition to the formation of the SEI layer in the first cycle, the three cathodic peaks can be attributed to the formation of various stoichoimetries of the ternary compound M$_x$FeSe$_2$ (where M is Na, K, or Li). Peak 2 can be attributed to the formation of the more metallic phase FeSe and M$_2$Se. The last reduction peak (peak 3) can be attributed to the reduction of iron selenide to give Fe and M$_2$Se. During the anodic scans, two oxidation peaks can be observed; peak 4 for the back oxidation to form M$_x$FeSe$_2$ and peak 5 for the formation of FeSe$_2$. For any redox peak, the relationship between the peak current and the scan rate can be used to give an idea about the reaction kinetics. Equation $i = av^b$, where ($i$) is the peak current and ($v$) the scan rate was used in the literature to determine if the current is a response of a slow diffusion process or a fast surface controlled process.$^{[56,57]}$ The $b$-value in these equation approaches to 1 for fast faradaic reactions on the exposed electrode surface, and to 0.5 for diffusion-controlled processes. For the FeSe$_2$@C-3 MCs electrode, the majority of the calculated $b$ values for different current peaks approach to 1.0 in all the AMIBs systems (Figure 4D–F), indicating the electrochemical processes are dominated by surface-mediated capacitive behavior rather than an ionic diffusion-limited mechanism. To be more specific, the total capacitive behavior can be determined according to the equation: $i = k_1v + k_2v^{0.5}$ ($k_1$: capacitive behavior, $k_2v^{0.5}$: ionic diffusion behavior).$^{[58]}

Figure 4. A–C) CV profiles, D–F) corresponding log $i$ versus log $v$ plot at each redox peak, and G–I) percent of pseudocapacitive contribution at different scan rates of FeSe$_2$@C-3 MCs in A,D,G) LIBs, B,E,H) SIBs, and C,F,I) PIBs storage.
As a result, we find that the capacitive behaviors of yolk–shell FeSe2@C-3 MCs anodes increase gradually with the scan rates in the range from 0.2 to 1.5 mV s\(^{-1}\), (Figure 4G–I). For example, at 1 mV s\(^{-1}\), the capacitance proportions are 73.3%, 77.2%, and 65.4% when the yolk–shell FeSe2@C-3 MCs electrode is used for LiBs, SIBs, and PIBs, respectively. These results demonstrate faster ion adsorption/desorption ability of the designed hierarchal yolk–shell anodes (Figure S10, Supporting Information). The electrochemical reaction dynamics of yolk–shell FeSe2@C-3 MCs in the three kind AMIBs were further investigated by the galvanostatic intermittent titration technique (GITT) to calculate the ionic diffusion coefficient (\(D\)). Figure S1 in the Supporting Information reveals the GITT curves and the corresponding Li\(^+\), Na\(^+\), and K\(^+\) ions chemical diffusion coefficient of yolk–shell FeSe2@C-3 MCs as a function of reaction depth. The \(D\) values calculated from the GITT profiles are in the range of \(10^{-12}-10^{-9}\) cm\(^2\) s\(^{-1}\), showing the effectiveness of the new design of the electrode on boosting the kinetics of the reaction. It should be noted here that the overpotential of yolk–shell FeSe2@C-3 MCs for PIB is slightly higher than that for LIB and SIB, which could be related to its lower pseudocapacitive contribution and a larger radius of K\(^+\).[59] Noticeably, the overpotential in the charging process is as low as 0.18 V, suggesting fast K\(^+\) diffusion in the depotassiation stage.

We further examined the possible electrochemical reaction mechanism of yolk–shell FeSe2@C-3 MCs in PIBs using in situ XRD and ex situ XPS measurements. As shown in Figure 5A, the characteristic peaks of FeSe2 diminish gradually at the first stage of the discharge process without any other visible changes in the XRD spectra. Then, new peaks at 30.4° and 28.5° appear after passing 1.0 V, corresponding to the (040), (101) crystal planes of intermediate KFeSe2 and FeSe, respectively.[60] The peak at 29.4° is enhanced because of the formation of KFeSe2 ((221) facet) overlapping with the peak of FeSe2 ((101) facet). The complete discharge products are relatively complicated. Both K\(_2\)Se\(_3\) and K\(_5\)Se\(_3\) phases could be detected and partially remain in the following charging process (Figure S12, Supporting Information).[60] When fully charged, the (101), (110), and (111) crystal facets of orthorhombic FeSe2 phase recovered again. At the same time, the FeSe and KFeSe2 phases also appear at intermediate stages of the charging, indicating a partially reversible conversion reaction mechanism. Figure 5B presents the high-resolution Se 3d XPS spectra at different discharge/charge stages. When discharged from 1.2 to 0.01 V the relative intensity of the Se 3d\(_{3/2}\) peak at 54.9 eV increases gradually, while that of the Se 3d\(_{5/2}\) peak at 54.1 eV decreases, which can be attributed to the formation of K-Se bond and the cleavage of Fe-Se bond, respectively.[61,62] After fully charged at 2.8 V, Se 3d\(_{5/2}\) peak became...
more distinctive, confirming the reversible processes during consecutive potassiation/depotassiation. We have summarized all the possible reactions mechanisms in Table S4 in the Supporting Information, based on the above results.

Furthermore, the volume change and structural stability are evaluated by ex situ scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and TEM. As shown in Figure S13 in the Supporting Information, yolk–shell FeSe$_2$@C-3 MCs still maintain their initial morphology after consecutive 100 cycles in the three storage systems. Figure 5C shows one representative TEM image, revealing that the initial void spaces are still maintained after long-term cycling in PIBs. The volume expansion/contraction and the ionic diffusion paths of the yolk–shell electrodes are schematically depicted in Figure 5D. Generally, both interconnected external carbon shell and internal FeSe$_2$ nanoparticles facilitate electronic conductivity. The electrochemical impedance spectra (EIS) of the fresh FeSe$_2$@C-3 MCs electrode and after 100 cycles in the battery systems are presented in Figure S14 in the Supporting Information. Apparently, after 100 cycle tests, the semicircle radius of yolk–shell FeSe$_2$@C-3 MCs in the high-frequency region is smaller than that of the controlled core–shell FeSe$_2$@C MCs, especially for the PIBs, demonstrating higher electric conductivity and lower interfacial resistance after activation.

The ion diffusion behavior of alkali ions inside FeSe$_2$ was further investigated by density functional theory (DFT) calculations. As presented in Figure 6, all the three different alkali atoms reveal negative adsorption energy, indicating a good adsorption performance. For a certain alkali atom, all sites yield similar adsorption energy, suggesting that atoms move to the same place during the relaxation. After examining the final optimized image, site1 is chosen as the initial and final position in the diffusion process. Among all alkali atoms, K atom exhibits the lowest adsorption energy ($-1.53$ eV), further proving the potential of FeSe$_2$ in KIBs. In the diffusion process, the Na and K atoms show a relatively lower energy barrier, indicating an easier diffusion process and higher rate capacity (Figure 6E). The combination of Na atoms and FeSe$_2$ material manifests the lowest diffusion energy, which is consistent with the good performance of SIBs revealed by the experimental results.[55]

In summary, yolk–shell FeSe$_2$@C-3 MCs have been successfully fabricated by a facile solvothermal method, HCl etching, and selenization process. When used as typical CTAMs for AMIBs, it manifests excellent electrochemical performance. The well-designed yolk–shell structure can efficiently alleviate the volume expansion and promote electronic conductivity during consecutive Li$^+$, Na$^+$, and K$^+$ insertion. As a result, the yolk–shell FeSe$_2$@C-3 MCs electrodes have achieved high reversible capacity, long-term cycle stability, and excellent high-rate performance in LIBs and SIBs. Besides, we also demonstrate the potential of such FeSe$_2$-based anodes in PIBs. The reaction mechanism has been systematically disclosed by in/ex situ measurement and DFT calculation. Furthermore, our research paves the way to construct other micro-sized CTAMs for multiple metal ion battery systems.
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