scientific reports

Check for updates

OPEN Age constraints for the Trachilos footprints from Crete

Uwe Kirscher^{1,2^{\overl}}, Haytham El Atfy^{1,3}, Andreas Gärtner⁴, Edoardo Dallanave⁵, Philipp Munz¹, Grzegorz Niedźwiedzki⁶, Athanassios Athanassiou⁷, Charalampos Fassoulas⁸, Ulf Linnemann⁴, Mandy Hofmann⁴, Matthew Bennett⁹, Per Erik Ahlberg⁶ & Madelaine Böhme^{1,2}

We present an updated time frame for the 30 m thick late Miocene sedimentary Trachilos section from the island of Crete that contains the potentially oldest hominin footprints. The section is characterized by normal magnetic polarity. New and published for aminifera biostratigraphy results suggest an age of the section within the Mediterranean biozone MMi13d, younger than ~ 6.4 Ma. Calcareous nannoplankton data from sediments exposed near Trachilos and belonging to the same sub-basin indicate deposition during calcareous nannofossil biozone CN9bB, between 6.023 and 6.727 Ma. By integrating the magneto- and biostratigraphic data we correlate the Trachilos section with normal polarity Chron C3An.1n, between 6.272 and 6.023 Ma. Using cyclostratigraphic data based on magnetic susceptibility, we constrain the Trachilos footprints age at ~ 6.05 Ma, roughly 0.35 Ma older than previously thought. Some uncertainty remains related to an inaccessible interval of ~8 m section and the possibility that the normal polarity might represent the slightly older Chron C3An.2n. Sediment accumulation rate and biostratigraphic arguments, however, stand against these points and favor a deposition during Chron C3An.1n.

The evolutionary history and dispersal patterns of hominins are matters of debate¹. One unresolved aspect is the origin and identification of the first representatives of the hominin lineage². Despite numerous publications suggesting an origin in Africa e.g.,²⁻⁵, there are evidences that the earliest hominins might have evolved in Eurasia^{6,7}. Evidence for a Miocene hominin presence in Europe includes both body and trace fossils. Fuss et al.⁷ identified Graecopithecus freybergi from Pyrgos Vassilissis near Athens as a probable hominin on the basis of its dentognathic morphology, while Gierliński et al.⁶ presented a morphometric analysis of footprints found on the island of Crete (Trachilos section, Fig. 1, S1). This section belongs to the Platanos Basin and the Vrysses Group of northwestern Crete (Fig. 1b)⁸.

These footprints, which possess a suite of characteristic trace fossil features including expulsion rims, pull-up structures and toe drag marks, indicate the track-maker had a distinctive foot morphology. This morphology includes characters that are currently considered be unique to hominins such as the presence of a forefoot ball, a non-divergent and robust hallux placed alongside digit II on the distal margin of the sole and digits II through IV becoming progressively shorter. These are combined with generic primate traits such as the absence of a longitudinal medial arch, a proportionately shorter sole and a heel that is not bulbous. A straightforward comparative morphological analysis of the character suite suggests that the track-maker was a primitive hominin and a strong case has been made for it being phylogenetically basal to the Laetoli trackmaker, which had a longer, more human-like sole⁹. The morphometric analysis of Gierliński et al.⁶, shows that the Trachilos footprints cluster in the same anatomical space with other hominin footprints and are clearly separated from non-hominin primates.

This interpretation has been controversial, and several counter-interpretations have been made. For example, Meldrum and Sarmiento¹⁰ suggested that the Trachilos tracks may have been made by a non-hominin primate with an adducted hallux and they illustrated this with reference to a gorilla footprint. We believe that this

¹Department of Geosciences, Eberhard Karls University, Tübingen, 72076 Tübingen, Germany. ²Senckenberg Centre for Human Evolution and Palaeoenvironment, Tübingen, Germany. ³Department of Geology, Faculty of Science, Mansoura University, Mansoura 35516, Egypt. ⁴Senckenberg Naturhistorische Sammlungen Dresden, Museum Für Mineralogie Und Geologie, Sektion Geochronologie, Königsbrücker Landstraße 159, 01109 Dresden, Germany. ⁵Faculty of Geosciences, University of Bremen, Klagenfurterstr. 2-4, 28359 Bremen, Germany. ⁶Department of Organismal Biology, Uppsala University, Norbyvägen 18A, 752 36 Uppsala, Sweden. ⁷Hellenic Ministry of Culture and Sports, Ephorate of Palaeoanthropology-Speleology, Ardittou 34B, 11636 Athens, Greece. ⁸University of Crete, Natural History Museum, 71409 Iraklion, Greece. ⁹Department of Life and Environmental Sciences, Bournemouth University, Bournmouth, UK. Zemail: uwe.kirscher@uni-tuebingen.de





comparison actually reinforces the interpretation of Gierliński et al.⁶. The illustrated track lacks a ball print, has a hallux print set back from digit II and separated from it by a substantial gap, and the strongly oblique concave posterodistal margin of the sole print reflects the length and finger-like character of digits II through V. None of these features are matched in the Trachilos footprints, which instead resemble the human footprint used in illustration by Meldrum and Sarmiento¹⁰. So, while we are mindful of the need for caution in the absence of any body fossils, the case that the Trachilos track-maker can be identified provisionally as a primitive, bipedal hominin has not been disproven.

The characteristics of these tracks, together with their geographical location and supposed age, potentially make them highly informative about early hominin evolution^{13–15}. However, at present their scientific significance is limited by the poor age control on the site¹³. To address properly the exact importance of these findings and to put them into a global context, especially with respect to Africa, absolute ages are vital.

Gierliński et al.⁶ constrained the youngest possible age by stratigraphic relationship with the overlying conglomerate, which they interpreted as the Hellenikon Group¹⁶, deposited during the Messinian Salinity Crisis (MSC) between 5.60 and 5.53 Ma¹⁷. However, neither Frydas and Keupp⁸ nor Zachariasse et al.¹⁸ identified Hellenikon Group sediments in the Platanos sub-basin, and so the overlying cover in Trachilos is more likely related to cemented Pleistocene beach conglomerates. This leaves planktonic foraminifera as the remaining constraining factor for the age of the Trachilos footprints with a rather large range between 8.5 and 3.5 Ma⁶. With the wrong assumption of the stratigraphic proximity of the footprints below the Hellenikon Group, Gierliński et al.⁶ conclude a likely age close to the onset of the MSC at ca. 5.7 Ma. Without information on the missing time between the Trachilos sediments and the MSC, however, a much older age is also possible. To improve the age resolution and obtain additional information on the sediment provenance, we conducted a magnetostratigraphic study together with foraminifera and detrital zircon analyses.

Geologic setting and sampling

The late Tortonian to early Messinian shallow marine sedimentary rocks of the Platanos Basin crop out at Trachilos Beach along 30.5 m of stratigraphic section composed of alternating yellow marls, grey calcarenites and bioclastic limestones⁸. It stratigraphically overlies a deep water facies, which has been correlated to the Kissamou Formation of the Potamida section (Fig. 1⁸). Magnetostratigraphy and cyclostratigraphy constrain the age of the Potamida section at the Tortonian–Messinian boundary¹⁹. The high quality of the magnetic signal at Potamida is related to the presence of biogenic magnetite²⁰. At its top, the Trachilos Beach section contains the footprints described by Gierliński et al.⁶. Based on calcareous nannoplankton⁸, corresponding sediments of the Platanos section ~7 km south of Trachilos (Fig. 1b) were correlated with biozone CN9bB between 6.8 and 6.0 Ma²¹, predating the onset of the MSC at 5.971 Ma^{22,23}, which led to the total disappearance of foraminifers and (shortly afterwards) nannoplankton from the Mediterranean Basin. To further constrain the age of the Trachilos footprints, we performed a combined paleomagnetic and micropaleontologic study of the section exposed at Trachilos Beach. We extended the ~ 18 m section of Gierliński et al.⁶ to 30.5 m and 57 oriented samples were obtained with a resolution of 20 to 100 cm (Fig. 2). In the lower part of the section there is a sampling gap of ~ 8 m between ~ 5



Figure 2. Paleomagnetic and cyclostratigraphic results of the Trachilos section. (**a**) AF demagnetization of ARM (normalized) with indication of the median destructive field (MDF), representative susceptibility vs. temperature curves are shown in the inset; (**b**) backfield IRM acquisition curves normalized for the saturation IRM (SIRM) with indication of the coercivity of remanence (B_{cr}); skewed generalized Gaussian-based IRM unmixing of a representative curve (red) (see supplementary information for further detail). (**c**) representative thermal demagnetization results. (**d**) Stereographic projection including results from Pikermi and Azmaka; $a_{95} = 95\%$ confidence angle (Fisher, 1953), grey dots are discarded using a 45° virtual geomagnetic pole (VGP) cutoff. (**e**) From left to right: Timescale with stages and magnetic polarity for the 9.0–5.0 Ma interval of the late Miocene-Pliocene²⁵. ~ 100 ka bandpass filter for the northern hemisphere insolation curve for the 6.0–6.8 Ma interval²⁶. Plot of VGP latitude for the Trachilos samples with according polarity interpretation (black equals normal polarity and grey equals interval with no information), with the bandpass filtered susceptibility record. Susceptibility record (raw data in blue) together with two bandpass filters (red and yellow). Lithological column with positions of paleomagnetic (black), foraminifera (green) and detrital zircon (blue) samples.

and 13 m stratigraphic height (Fig. 2). This part was not accessible for sampling because it was covered by sea water. It was however visible that the section is continuous (Fig. S1). Additionally, in four of the 57 paleomagnetic samples, planktonic foraminifera were identified, and three extra samples were taken for detrital zircon analysis: two at stratigraphic level 2 m from a couplet of fine grained yellow silty marl (Trachilos-A) and coarser grained calcarenites (Trachilos-B), and one at 28 m (Trachilos-C) (Fig. 2).

Results

Paleo- and rock magnetism. Results from alternating field (AF) demagnetization of anhysteretic remanent magnetizations (ARM) and from stepwise isothermal remanent magnetization (IRM) acquisition indicate the presence of low magnetic coercivity magnetite likely of bacterial origin (Fig. 2c+d).

Reliable paleomagnetic data were obtained from 61% of the 57 samples, yielding exclusively normal polarity directions (Fig. 2a, S2). The natural remanent magnetization (NRM) is weak (less than 0.1 mA/m in ~ 80% of samples, Fig. S3). The combination of unblocking temperature of the characteristic remanent magnetization (ChRM) and rock magnetic results suggest remanence carriers likely represented by biogenic magnetite (Fig. 2). Combining all mean directions of the Trachilos section yields a mean direction of $D = 0.8^\circ$, $I = 44.0^\circ$ and α_{95} of 6.3° based on 35 samples (Fig. 2b). This result would imply a paleolatitude of only 25.8° (uncertainty = 21.1°-31.1°), which is low compared to the most recent paleogeographic reconstructions for the region (Fig. 1¹¹). Comparison with paleomagnetic results from Pikermi and Azmaka¹, reveals similar declination values, but shows that especially the red sediments of the Pikermi Formation give a paleolatitude estimate of 37.3° (41.4°-33.6°), in agreement with its present-day location (and the reconstruction for the late Miocene). The ChRM directions from Trachilos samples have likely experienced some degree of inclination shallowing, commonly observed in sediments²⁴.

Cyclostratigraphic results. Spectral analysis based on magnetic susceptibility yields a long wavelength peak of ~ 1500 cm (Fig. 2, S4, S5), whose statistical significance remain low due to the presence of only two cycles within the whole section. The fact that the second significant peak of ~ 400 cm (Fig. 2, S2, S3) reveals amplitude modulation using both Fast-Fourier transform (FFT) and multi-taper approaches in agreement with the long wavelength cycle adds confidence that both cycles represent actual signals. This result has to be treated with caution, however, since the data gap between ~ 5 and 13 m stratigraphic height might facilitate the node of the ~ 400 cm amplitude modulation.

Biostratigraphic results. Foraminiferal analysis shows that there is generally a low diversity trend among planktonic forms, with an exceptionally high abundance of *Orbulina* (Fig. S6) which reaches 80–90% of the total count, characteristic for assemblages just prior the MSC^{27,28}. In addition, identification of *Turborotalita multiloba* in our samples (Fig. S6) suggest an age after its First Common Occurrence (FCO) at 6.42 Ma²⁸. Coiling directions of *Neogloboquadrina acostaensis* reveal the presence of both sinistral and dextral coiled *Neogloboquadrinas* suggesting an age after the coiling change at 6.35 Ma²⁸, before which there are almost exclusively sinistral coiled *Neogloboquadrinas*. Coiling direction ratios of *N. acostaensis* show an abundance of sinistral over dextral forms, except for only one sample which totally lacks dextral ones (Fig. S6). These bioevents, especially the abundance of *Orbulina* and the occurrence of *T. multiloba* suggest a deposition during the late MMi13 Mediterranean planktonic foraminiferal zone, between 6.35 Ma and the disappearance of planktonic foraminifera in the Mediterranean at 6.01–5.99 Ma²⁸. These results agree with calcareous nannoplankton biostratigraphy of the Platanos section in the south of the Platanos Basin (Fig. 1b)⁸, where Frydas and Keupp⁸ described *Nicklithus amplificus* and *Amaurolithus delicatus*. They suggest that *N. amplificus* can be related to the calcareous nannofossil biozone CN9bB between 6.727 and 6.023 Ma^{21,29}. *A. delicatus* has its first occurrence in the Mediterranean at 6.415 Ma²², which suggests an age range of the Trachilos sedimentary formations of 6.415–6.023 Ma.

Detrital zircon geochronology results. Detrital zircon geochronology from all three Trachilos samples yield a distribution with most occurring ages between ~ 500 and 800–900 Ma and some Paleoproterozoic components suggesting a provenance related to the Cadomian, Avalonian and Pan-African orogenies (Fig. S7; zircon ages summarized in^{30–33}). Only the coarser grained calcarenite sample (Trachilos B, Fig. S7) yielded an additional Paleozoic peak centered at around 350 Ma and some Mesoproterozoic detritus, typically observed in the north-eastern realm of the Mediterranean³⁰. Unfortunately, no Neogene zircon grains were identified, which could add maximum age constraints to our age model. We interpret the observed zircon age populations as being dominated by an eolian input from northwestern Africa, e.g., the West African Craton³², similar to what has been observed in Pikermi¹. Only when there is an input of coarser grained material in calcarenites, potentially related to storm events, can a local Tethys or possibly Pelagonian-Cycladic signal be observed³⁰.

Discussion

Although the paleomagnetic signal is stable in the studied sediments (Fig. 2, S2), the quality is not as high as observed in the Potamida clay¹⁹. A reason for this might be a more favorable environment for magnetotactic bacteria during the deep water phase in Potamida compared to the very shallow environment at Trachilos²⁰. The influx of biogenic magnetite related to production of magnetotactic bacteria during climatic variations might also be the reason why it seems possible to detect Milankovitch cycles in Trachilos sediments using magnetic susceptibility. Alternatively, the observed susceptibility variations might be explained by changes in eolian influx of high coercivity/low susceptibility magnetic minerals during cold phases compared to low coercivity/high susceptibility magnetite dominated influx during warmer periods³⁴. A connection of the susceptibility signal to eolian influx during intensified desert periods of the Sahara desert is supported by our detrital zircon results, which might in turn be related to eolian sands identified in the Chad basin between 5.89 ± 0.19 Ma and 6.01 ± 0.23

 Ma^{35} . Other mechanisms for the variations in susceptibility are also possible and they could be related to variations in redox conditions or detrital influx³⁶. A more detailed rock magnetic study would be necessary to solve this question. The FFT results can be best interpreted to reflect eccentricity modulation of precession together with a weak obliquity signal (Fig. 2). This results in a rough estimate of preserved time within the outcropping Vrysses Group at Trachilos Beach of ~ 200 kyr and an estimate of the sediment accumulation rate of ~ 12 cm/ kyr. Using magnetostratigraphic results of the underlying Potamida section, which terminates at around 7 Ma in a reversed chron¹⁹, and our planktonic foraminifera constraints of a sedimentation age younger than 6.42 Ma, respectively 6.35 Ma, we correlate the identified normal polarity interval of the Trachilos section with the Messinian normal polarity chron C3An. In between 6.272 and 6.023 Ma^{29} as maximum constraints, where the Trachilos section can also represent a much shorter time period.

We cannot rule out that the 8 m stratigraphic gap between ~ 5 and 13 m contains the reversed polarity Chron C3An.1r ($6.386-6.272 \text{ Ma}^{29}$) and that the lower part of the section (0-5 m) was deposited during Chron C3An.2n. This would imply a slightly lower sediment accumulation rate of ~ 7 cm/ka, which raises some inconsistency because with such a rate the end of C3An.1n should be reached and the top of the Trachilos section should therefore be of reversed polarity. Even if there is a slight change of sediment accumulation rate present to account for the inconsistency, the footprints would be of a very similar age in such a scenario of ~ 6.06 Ma.

We can also reasonably exclude recent normal-polarity remagnetization of the sediments due to the low mean ChRM inclination (44.0°), about 11° shallower than the (present-day) 55° predicted by the geocentric axial dipole model at the sampling site. The observed two ~ 100 ka eccentricity cycles agree with our interpretation and would imply an age of ~ 6.05 Ma for the footprints yielding an increase of the footprint age of more than 300 ka⁶.

The fact that the bipedal *Orrorin tugenensis*³⁷ has been identified mainly within the reversed chron C3r, but also within the normal polarity chron C3An.1n³⁸, reveals a temporal overlap between *Orrorin* and the Trachilos footprints. Recently Macchiarelli et al.³⁹ have suggested, on the basis of femoral morphology, that *Sahelanthropus tchadensis* may not have been habitually bipedal. This intriguing suggestion has yet to be verified by further work on the skeletal remains from Chad, but, if correct, it implies that *Orrorin* and the Trachilos footprints may together constitute the oldest evidence for bipedal hominids.

Irrespective of the affinity of the Trachilos footprints to the hominin lineage, we have in this paper refined the age of these tracks by placing them on a more secure geochronological basis. This will allow future descriptive and comparative analyses to be based on a robust temporal framework, facilitating the evaluation of the true significance of the Trachilos footprints and their relationship to the hominin lineage.

Methods

Magnetostratigraphy. We collected a total of 51 samples along the section. Samples were drilled in the field with an electric battery drill mounted with a diamond bit and oriented with a magnetic compass. From each sample we trimmed a standard ~11 cm³ core-specimen (2.54 cm of diameter) for paleomagnetic analyses. In order to retrieve the vector components of the natural remanent magnetization (NRM), a pilot set of 12 specimen was subjected to either stepwise alternating field (AF) demagnetization up to a maximum field of 100 mT, or stepwise thermal demagnetization up to a maximum temperature of 500 °C (steps are indicated in Figure S2). After the pilot phase, all remaining specimens (39) where demagnetized thermally. AF demagnetization was performed with a 2-G longcore system including an in-line AF demagnetizer, while thermal demagnetization with an ASC single chamber paleomagnetic furnace. The NRM has been measured after each demagnetization step with a 2G Enterprises SQUID magnetometer. Reliable characteristic remanent magnetization (ChRM) was obtained from 31 specimens (61%). We estimated the orientation of the paleomagnetic directions by applying the principal component analysis of Kirschvink⁴⁰ on vector end-points selected visually from the demagnetization diagrams (Fig. S2)⁴¹. In order to identify the magnetic carriers responsible for the ChRM we performed susceptibility vs. temperature measurement on a set of 5 representative specimens throughout the section. To obtain cyclostratigraphic information⁴², low field magnetic susceptibility was obtained from all measured samples throughout the section. All paleomagnetic experiments were carried out at the paleomagnetic laboratory of the University of Tuebingen within a magnetically shielded room designed and built by Wolfgang Rösler.

Cyclostratigraphy. A Fast-Fourier transform (FFT) method was applied to the susceptibility dataset of the Trachilos section (Fig. 2, S3, S4). The spectral power used was the complex conjugate of the Fourier coefficients, normalized to unit mean power⁴³. The significance was estimated using a Monte Carlo noise estimation for 1000 randomly generated time series, including a 95% confidence level⁴³ and additionally using a multi-taper approach⁴⁴. The two most prominent peaks were further analysed with a bandpass filter using a Gaussian window (Fig. 2).

Rock magnetism. We selected a representative set of 8 weighted specimens from the trimmed ends of the oriented core samples. After imparting an anhysteretic remanent magnetization (ARM) with an alternating field (AF) decaying from a peak value of 100 mT and direct current (DC) field of 50 μ T, the specimens were subjected to stepwise AF demagnetization with peak field ranging from 2 to 100 mT (Fig. 2c). ARM demagnetization curves are normalized and plotted altogether to estimate the median destructive field (MDF) of the ARM, which is defined as the AF field at which half of the magnetization is lost. After this analysis, the same set of samples was subjected to stepwise backfield isothermal remanent magnetized and measured for the IRM up to a field of 700 mT applied on the opposite direction. This allows to estimate the coercivity of remanence (Bcr), the field at which the IRM = 0. All curves, which are shown normalized and plotted on a logarithmic field scale in Fig. 2d, have been rescaled to positive half values and then "unmixed" for their coercivity components using the MAX

UnMix web-based software⁴⁵ (http://shinyapps.its.carleton.edu/max-unmix/). This software allows interpolating the IRM data by means of skewed generalized Gaussian (SGG) functions curves^{46,47}. In order to monitor the thermal dependence of the magnetic mineralogy during heating, magnetic susceptibility during heating up to 700 °C was continuously monitored on a set of 5 representative specimens with an AGICO MFK-1 Kappabridge. ARM and IRM analyses were performed at the paleomagnetic laboratory of the University of Bremen (Germany) by using the automated measuring system⁴⁸, placed in line with a 2G Enterprises cryogenic magnetometer. The susceptibility variations during heating have been measured in the paleomagnetic laboratory of the University of Tübingen (Germany).

The MDF of the ARM ranges between 19 and 37 mT, with an average value of 28 mT. This is similar to values observed in modern sediments containing magnetotactic bacteria (MTB), which range from 30 to 50 mT^{49,50}. The lowest value could be explained by the contribution of detrital multi-domain magnetite particles, which possess lower MDF of ARM⁵¹. Coercivity of remanence (B_{cr}) values derived by isothermal remanence magnetization (IRM) curves are well clustered between 38 and 51 mT, with an average of 45 mT, in good agreement with proposed MTB values⁵⁰. The IRM curves show some complexity (e.g., inset in Fig. 2d), but they are dominated by one low-coercivity component that is fully saturated by 300 mT. The presence of more contributors to the total IRM can be related detrital magnetic grains. The susceptibility vs. temperature curves are not reversible, with much higher susceptibility values during cooling (inset in Fig. 2c), likely indicating the formation of magnetite during heating from a Fe-rich mineral precursor (e.g., clay minerals)⁵².

Biostratigraphy. Samples for biostratigraphy were washed to collect the >125 μ m fractions, following standard sieve techniques. Planktonic foraminifera from the >125 μ m sieved fraction were analyzed to determine the presence and relative abundance of recorded species. Abundance and dominant coiling direction ratios (dextral and sinistral) of *Neogloboquadrina acostaensis* were also determined by counting (n = 200) all the individuals in four representative samples of the 125 μ m fraction.

Detrital zircon geochronology. Approximately 0.5 kg of fresh sample was crushed in a jaw crusher. After this, the material was sieved for the < 400 μ m fraction. Heavy mineral separation from the latter was done using LST (lithium heteropolytungstate in water) prior to magnetic separation in the Frantz isomagnetic separator. Zircon grains for U–Pb dating were selected by hand-picking under a binocular microscope (ZEISS Stemi 2000-C). In order to get a representative selection of the overall zircon population, as many zircon grains as possible of all sizes, colors and morphologic types were randomly picked from the sample^{53,54}. Subsequently, the zircon grains were mounted in resin blocks and polished to approximately half their thickness in order to expose their internal structure. Thus, CL-imaging was performed using SEM coupled to a HONOLD CL-detector operating with a spot size of 450 nm at 20 kV.

U-Pb age determination and Th-U measurement via LA-ICP-MS. In order to avoid mixed U-Pb ages resulting from different late-to postmagmatic or metamorphic influences, spots for isotope analyses were preferentially set on monophase growth patterns. U-Th-Pb isotopic analyses took place at the GeoPlasma Lab, Senckenberg Naturhistorische Sammlungen Dresden and were carried out via LA-ICP-MS (Laser Ablation with Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry) techniques. Therefore, Element 2 XR instrument coupled to an ASI RESOlution SE S155 193 nm Excimer Laser System was utilized (for data see electronic supplement). For ablation, the mounts were put into a Laurin Technic S155 ablation cell, which enables sequential sampling of heterogeneous grains (e.g., growth zones) during time-resolved data acquisition. Single measurement of one spot is composed of approximately 15 s background acquisition followed by 30 s data acquisition. With respect to grain structure and size, the chosen spot sizes were set at 25 µm. Correction of common-Pb, based on the interference- and background-corrected ²⁰⁴Pb signal and a model Pb composition⁵⁵, was carried out if necessary. Judgement of necessity for correction depended on whether the corrected ²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁶Pb lay outside the internal errors of the measured ratios. A U-Pb analysis is concordant when it overlaps within uncertainty with the Concordia. So, it seems to be appropriate to exclude results with a low level of concordance ($^{206}Pb/^{238}U$ age/ $^{207}Pb/^{206}Pb$ age × 100), but very large errors that overlap with the Concordia from interpretation. Thus, an interpretation with respect to the obtained ages was done for all grains within the concordance interval of 90-110% ($^{206}Pb/^{238}U$ age/ $^{207}Pb/^{206}Pb$) $age \times 100$) which is often used e.g.,⁵⁶. Discordant analyses were generally interpreted with caution. Finally, raw data were corrected for background signal, common-Pb, laser induced elemental fractionation, instrumental mass discrimination, depth- and time-dependant elemental fractionation of Pb/Th and Pb/U by use of an Excel* spread sheet program developed by Richard Roper and Axel Gerdes (Frankfurt Isotope and Element Research Centre (FIERCE), Institute of Geosciences, Johann Wolfgang Goethe-University Frankfurt, Frankfurt am Main, Germany). The given uncertainties were propagated by quadratic addition of the external reproducibility obtained from the reference zircon GJ-1 (~0.6% and 0.5-1.0% for the 207Pb/206Pb and 206Pb/238U, respectively) during individual analytical sessions and the within-run precision of each analysis. Each sequence started with two measurements of the GJ-1, one measurement on the Plešovice and one measurement of the 91,500 reference zircon, followed by 20 analyses of unknown grains, and so on. The obtained calculated Concordia ages for the reference zircon analyses were at GJ-1 603 \pm 2 Ma (GJ-1, n_{total} = 34), at 337 \pm 2 Ma (Plešovice, n_{total} = 17) and at 1065 ± 7 Ma (91,500, $n_{total} = 17$), which are in line with the published ages e.g., 57-60. Concordia diagrams (2σ error ellipses) and concordia ages (95% confidence level) were produced by using Isoplot/Ex 4.13⁶¹. The program Age Display⁶² was employed to generate frequency as well as relative probability plots. For zircons with ages older than 1 Ga, ²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁶Pb ages were taken for interpretation, the ²⁰⁶Pb/²³⁸U ages for younger grains. Further details on analytical protocol and data processing are reported in^{63,64}.

Received: 9 March 2021; Accepted: 7 September 2021 Published online: 11 October 2021

References

- Böhme, M. et al. Messinian age and savannah environment of the possible hominin Graecopithecus from Europe. PLoS ONE 12, e0177347. https://doi.org/10.1371/Journal.Pone.0177347 (2017).
- Harcourt-Smith, W. H. E. The first hominins and the origins of bipedalism. Evol. Educ. Outreach 3, 333–340. https://doi.org/10. 1007/S12052-010-0257-6 (2010).
- 3. Senut, B. et al. First hominid from the Miocene (Lukeino formation, Kenya). C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris IIA 332, 137-144 (2001).
- White, T. D., Suwa, G. & Asfaw, B. Australopithecus ramidus, a new species of early hominid from Aramis, Ethiopia. Nature 371, 306–312. https://doi.org/10.1038/371306a0 (1994).
- Brunet, M. et al. A new hominid from the Upper Miocene of Chad, Central Africa. Nature 418, 145–151. https://doi.org/10.1038/ Nature00879 (2002).
- Gierliński, G. D. et al. Possible hominin footprints from the Late Miocene (C. 5.7 Ma) of Crete?. Proc. Geol. Assoc. 128, 697–710. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.Pgeola.2017.07.006 (2017).
- Fuss, J., Spassov, N., Begun, D. R. & Bohme, M. Potential hominin affinities of *Graecopithecus* from the Late Miocene of Europe. PLoS ONE 12, e0177127. https://doi.org/10.1371/Journal.Pone.0177127 (2017).
- Frydas, D. & Keupp, H. Biostratigraphical Results in Late Neogene deposits of NW Crete, Greece, based on calcareous nannofossils. Berliner Geowiss. Abh. 18, 169–189 (1996).
- 9. Raichlen, D. A., Gordon, A. D., Harcourt-Smith, W. E., Foster, A. D. & Haas, W. R. Jr. Laetoli footprints preserve earliest direct evidence of human-like bipedal biomechanics. *PLoS ONE* 5, e9769 (2010).
- Meldrum, J. & Sarmiento, E. Comments on possible Miocene hominin footprints. Proc. Geol. Assoc. 129, 577–580. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/J.Pgeola.2018.05.006 (2018).
- Van Hinsbergen, D. J. J. et al. Orogenic architecture of the Mediterranean region and kinematic reconstruction of its tectonic evolution since the Triassic. Gondwana Res. 81, 79–229. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.Gr.2019.07.009 (2020).
- Wessel, P., Smith, W. H., Scharroo, R., Luis, J. & Wobbe, F. Generic mapping tools: improved version released. EOS Trans. Am. Geophys. Union 94, 409–410 (2013).
- Crompton, R. H. Making the case for possible hominin footprints from the Late Miocene (c. 5.7 Ma) of Crete?. Proc. Geol. Assoc. 128, 692–693. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.Pgeola.2017.09.001 (2017).
- DeSilva, J., McNutt, E., Benoit, J. & Zipfel, B. One small step: a review of Plio-Pleistocene hominin foot evolution. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 168, 63–140. https://doi.org/10.1002/Ajpa.23750 (2019).
- Helm, C. W., Lockley, M. G., Cole, K., Noakes, T. D. & McCrea, R. T. Hominin Tracks in southern Africa: A review and an approach to identification. *Palaeont. Afr.* 53, 81–96 (2019).
- Van Hinsbergen, D. J. J. & Meulenkamp, J. E. Neogene supradetachment basin development on Crete (Greece) during exhumation of the South Aegean core complex. *Basin Res.* 18, 103–124. https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1365-2117.2005.00282.X (2006).
- 17. Roveri, M. *et al.* The Messinian Salinity Crisis: past and future of a great challenge for marine sciences. *Mar. Geol.* **352**, 25–58. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.Margeo.2014.02.002 (2014).
- Zachariasse, W., Van Hinsbergen, D. & Fortuin, A. Mass wasting and uplift on Crete and Karpathos during the early Pliocene related to initiation of south Aegean left-lateral, strike-slip tectonics. *Geol. Soc. Am. Bull.* 120, 976–993 (2008).
- 19. Krijgsman, W., Hilgen, F., Langereis, C. & Zachariasse, W. The age of the Tortonian/Messinian boundary. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **121**, 533–547 (1994).
- 20. Chang, S.-B.R. & Kirschvink, J. L. Magnetite Biomineralization and Magnetoreception in Organisms 647-669 (Springer, 1985).
- 21. Raffi, I. et al. A review of calcareous nannofossil astrobiochronology encompassing the past 25 million years. Q. Sci. Rev. 25, 3113–3137 (2006).
- 22. Manzi, V. et al. Age refinement of the Messinian salinity crisis onset in the Mediterranean. Terra Nova 25, 315–322 (2013).
- Krijgsman, W., Hilgen, F., Raffi, I., Sierro, F. & Wilson, D. Chronology, causes and progression of the Messinian salinity crisis. *Nature* 400, 652–655 (1999).
- 24. Tauxe, L. Inclination flattening and the geocentric axial dipole hypothesis. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 233, 247-261 (2005).
- Hilgen, F. J. et al. The Neogene period. In Geologic Time Scale 2012, 923–978. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-59425-9.00029-9 (2012).
- 26. Laskar, J. et al. A Long-term numerical solution for the insolation quantities of the earth. Astrophys. 428, 261–285 (2004).
- Sprovieri, R., Di Stefano, E. & Sprovieri, M. High resolution chronology for late Miocene Mediterranean stratigraphic events. *Riv. Ital. Paleontol. Stratigr.* 102, 77–104 (1996).
- 28. Lirer, F. et al. Mediterranean Neogene planktonic foraminifer biozonation and biochronology. Earth Sci. Rev. 196, 102869 (2019).
- 29. Drury, A. J. et al. Late Miocene climate and time scale reconciliation: accurate orbital calibration from a deep-sea perspective. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 475, 254–266 (2017).
- Dörr, W., Zulauf, G., Gerdes, A., Lahaye, Y. & Kowalczyk, G. A hidden Tonian basement in the eastern Mediterranean: age constraints from U–Pb data of magmatic and detrital zircons of the External Hellenides (Crete and Peloponnesus). *Precambrian Res.* 258, 83–108 (2015).
- Gärtner, A. et al. Palaeogeographic Implications from the sediments of the Sebkha Gezmayet unit of the Adrar Souttouf Massif (Moroccan Sahara). C. R. Geosci. 350, 255–266 (2018).
- Gärtner, A. *et al.* The zircon evidence of temporally changing sediment transport—the Nw Gondwana margin during Cambrian to Devonian time (Aoucert and Smara areas, Moroccan Sahara). *Int. J. Earth Sci.* 106, 2747–2769. https://doi.org/10.1007/S00531-017-1457-X (2017).
- 33. Linnemann, U., Gerdes, A., Hofmann, M. & Marko, L. The Cadomian Orogen: Neoproterozoic to Early Cambrian crustal growth and orogenic zoning along the periphery of the West African Craton—constraints from U–Pb zircon ages and HF isotopes (Schwarzburg Antiform, Germany). Precambrian Res. 244, 236–278 (2014).
- Dinares-Turell, J., Hoogakker, B. A. A., Roberts, A. P., Rohling, E. J. & Sagnotti, L. Quaternary climatic control of biogenic magnetite production and eolian dust input in cores from the Mediterranean Sea. *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoecol.* 190, 195–209. https://doi.org/10. 1016/S0031-0182(02)00605-3 (2003).
- 35. Lebatard, A.-E. *et al.* Application of the authigenic ¹⁰Be/⁹Be dating method to continental sediments: reconstruction of the Mio-Pleistocene sedimentary sequence in the early hominid fossiliferous areas of the northern Chad Basin. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 297, 57–70 (2010).
- Mitchell, R. N., Kirscher, U., Kunzmann, M., Liu, Y. & Cox, G. M. Gulf Of Nuna: Astrochronologic correlation of a Mesoproterozoic oceanic euxinic event. *Geology* 49, 25–29 (2021).
- Pickford, M., Senut, B., Gommery, D. & Treil, J. Bipedalism in Orrorin tugenensis revealed by its femora. C. R. Palevol. 1, 191–203 (2002).

- 38. Sawada, Y. et al. The age of Orrorin tugenensis, an early hominid from the Tugen Hills, Kenya. C. R. Palevol 1, 293-303 (2002).
- Macchiarelli, R., Bergeret-Medina, A., Marchi, D. & Wood, B. Nature and relationships of Sahelanthropus tchadensis. J. Hum. Evol. 149, 102898 (2020).
- Kirschvink, J. L. The least-squares line and plane and the analysis of paleomagnetic data. *Geophys. J. Int.* 62, 699–718. https://doi. org/10.1111/J.1365-246x.1980.Tb02601.X (1980).
- Zijderveld, J. D. A. A. C. Demagnetization of rocks: analysis of results. In *Methods in Paleomagnetism* (eds Collinson, D. W. et al.) 254–286 (Elsevier, 1967).
- 42. Kodama, K. P. & Hinnov, L. A. Rock Magnetic Cyclostratigraphy Vol. 5 (Wiley, 2014).
- 43. Muller, R. A. & MacDonald, G. J. Ice Ages and Astronomical Causes: Data: Spectral Analysis and Mechanisms (Springer, 2002).
- 44. Ghil, M. et al. Advanced spectral methods for climatic time series. Rev. Geophys. 40, 1003. https://doi.org/10.1029/2000rg000092 (2002).
- Maxbauer, D. P., Feinberg, J. M. & Fox, D. L. Max Unmix: a web application for unmixing magnetic coercivity distributions. *Comput. Geosci. UK* 95, 140–145 (2016).
- Egli, R. Analysis of the field dependence of remanent magnetization curves. J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth 108, 2081. https://doi.org/ 10.1029/2002JB002023 (2003).
- 47. Heslop, D. Numerical strategies for magnetic mineral unmixing. Earth Sci. Rev. 150, 256-284 (2015).
- Mullender, T. A. et al. Automated paleomagnetic and rock magnetic data acquisition with an in-line horizontal "2 G" system. Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst. 17, 3546–3559 (2016).
- Pan, Y. et al. The detection of bacterial magnetite in recent sediments of Lake Chiemsee (Southern Germany). Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 232, 109–123 (2005).
- 50. Pan, Y. et al. Rock magnetic properties of uncultured magnetotactic bacteria. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 237, 311-325 (2005).
- Egli, R. & Lowrie, W. Anhysteretic remanent magnetization of fine magnetic particles. J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth 107, Epm 2-1-Epm2-21 (2002).
- Hirt, A., Banin, A. & Gehring, A. Thermal generation of ferromagnetic minerals from iron-enriched smectites. *Geophys. J. Int.* 115, 1161–1168 (1993).
- Fedo, C. M., Sircombe, K. N. & Rainbird, R. H. Detrital zircon analysis of the sedimentary record. *Rev. Miner. Geochem.* 53, 277–303 (2003).
- Link, P. K., Fanning, C. M. & Beranek, L. P. Reliability and longitudinal change of detrital-zircon age spectra in the Snake River system, Idaho and Wyoming: an example of reproducing the bumpy barcode. *Sediment. Geol.* 182, 101–142 (2005).
- Stacey, J. T. & Kramers, J. Approximation of terrestrial lead isotope evolution by a two-stage model. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 26, 207-221 (1975).
- Spencer, C. J., Kirkland, C. L. & Taylor, R. J. Strategies towards statistically robust interpretations of in situ U–Pb zircon geochronology. *Geosci. Front.* 7, 581–589 (2016).
- Jackson, S. E., Pearson, N. J., Griffin, W. L. & Belousova, E. A. The application of laser ablation-inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry to in situ U–Pb zircon geochronology. *Chem. Geol.* 211, 47–69 (2004).
- Horstwood, M. S. et al. Community-derived standards for LA-ICP-MS U-(Th-) Pb geochronology-uncertainty propagation, age interpretation and data reporting. Geostand. Geoanal. Res. 40, 311–332 (2016).
- Sláma, J. et al. Plešovice zircon—a new natural reference material for U–Pb and Hf isotopic microanalysis. Chem. Geol. 249, 1–35 (2008).
- Wiedenbeck, M. et al. Three natural zircon standards for U-Th-Pb, Lu-Hf, trace element and ree analyses. Geostand. Newsl. 19, 1-23 (1995).
- 61. Ludwig, K. User Manual for Isoplot/Ex Rev. 2.49: Special Publication LA (Berkeley Geochronology Center, 2001).
- 62. Sircombe, K. N. Agedisplay: an Excel workbook to evaluate and display univariate geochronological data using binned frequency histograms and probability density distributions. *Comput. Geosci. UK* **30**, 21–31 (2004).
- Gerdes, A. & Zeh, A. Combined U-Pb and Hf isotope LA-(MC-)ICP-MS analyses of detrital zircons: comparison with shrimp and new constraints for the provenance and age of an Armorican metasediment in Central Germany. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 249, 47–61 (2006).
- 64. Gerdes, A. & Zeh, A. Zircon formation versus zircon alteration—new insights from combined U–Pb and Lu–Hf in-situ LA-ICP-MS analyses, and consequences for the interpretation of Archean zircon from the Central Zone of the Limpopo Belt. *Chem. Geol.* 261, 230–243 (2009).

Acknowledgements

We thank Editor Junsheng Nie and four anonymous reviewers for constructive comments that improved the manuscript. P.E.A.was funded by a Wallenberg Scholarship from the Knut & Alice Wallenberg Foundation, H.E. was supported by the Humboldt-Stiftung and E.D. was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.

Author contributions

U.K., M.B., G.N. and P.E.A. designed the project; U.K., G.N., P.E.A., M.B., M.Be., A.A. and C.F. carried out the field work; U.K. did the paleomagnetic measurements, the cyclostratigraphic analysis and wrote the first draft of the manuscript; H.E. and P.M. did the biostratigraphic measurements and the foraminifera interpretation; A.G., U.L. and M.H. did the detrital zircon measurements; A.G. did the geochemical interpretation; E.D. did the rock magnetic measurements and its interpretation; C.F. and A.A. provided information on the local geology; M.Be., G.N. and P.E.A. added the discussion on the footprint interpretation, the presented criticism so far and its importance; all authors worked together to improve the initial draft of U.K.

Funding

Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL. We acknowledge support by Open Access Publishing Fund of University of Tübingen. Funding was provided by Alexander von Humboldt-Stiftung (Grant No. EGY-1190326-GF-P), Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (Grant No. 408178503) and the Knut & Alice Wallenberg Foundation (Grant No. KAW 2015.0273).

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41598-021-98618-0.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to U.K.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2021