

1 **Title:** Two factors that can increase the length of hospital stay of patients with dementia.

2 **Título:** Dos factores que pueden aumentar la duración de la estancia hospitalaria de los  
3 pacientes con demencia.

4 **Abstract, keywords and key-points**

5 **Abstract**

6 **Objectives**

7 Patients with dementia are at greater risk of a long hospital stay and this is associated with  
8 adverse outcomes. The aim of this service evaluation was to identify variables most predictive  
9 of increased length of hospital stay amongst patients with dementia

10

11 **Methods/Design**

12 We conducted a retrospective analysis on a cross-sectional hospital dataset for the period  
13 January-December 2016. Excluding length of stay less than 24 hours and readmissions, the  
14 sample comprised of 1,133 patients who had a dementia diagnosis on record.

15

16 **Results**

17 The highest incidence rate ratio for length of stay in the dementia sample was: a) discharge to  
18 a care home (IRR: 2.443, 95% CI 1.778- 3.357) b) falls without harm (IRR: 2.486, 95% CI  
19 2.029-3.045).

20

21 **Conclusions**

22 Based on this dataset, we conclude that improvements made to falls prevention strategies in  
23 hospitals and discharge planning procedures can help to reduce the length of stay for patients  
24 with dementia.

1 **Resumen**

2 **Objetivos**

3 Los pacientes con demencia tienen mayor riesgo de una estancia hospitalaria prolongada y esto  
4 se asocia con resultados adversos. El objetivo de esta evaluación del fue identificar las variables  
5 predictivas de una mayor duración de la estancia hospitalaria de los pacientes con demencia.

6

7 **Métodos/Diseño**

8 Realizamos un análisis retrospectivo de un conjunto de datos hospitalarios transversales en el  
9 período enero-diciembre de 2016. Excluyendo la estancia hospitalaria inferior a 24 horas y los  
10 reingresos, la muestra estaba compuesta por 1133 pacientes que tenían un diagnóstico de  
11 demencia registrado.

12

13 **Resultados**

14 Los pacientes con demencia con estancia más prolongada presentaban mayor tasa de incidencia  
15 de: a) alta a una residencia (TIR: 2.443, IC 95% 1.778-3.357) b) caídas sin daño (TIR: 2.486,  
16 IC 95% 2.029-3.045)

17

18 **Conclusiones**

19 En base a estos resultados, concluimos que las mejoras realizadas en las estrategias de  
20 prevención de caídas en los hospitales y los procedimientos de planificación del alta pueden  
21 ayudar a reducir la duración de la mejor estancia de los pacientes con demencia.

22 **Keywords**

1 Dementia, Hospital, Length of stay, Falls, Discharge.

2 **Palabras clave**

3 Demencia, Hospital, Duración de la estancia, Caídas, Alta.

4 **Key point**

5 The occurrence of hospital falls and discharges to care homes were associated with increased  
6 length of hospital stay for patients with dementia.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

## **Introduction**

Dementia is a condition that affects the brain and subsequently results in memory and cognitive difficulties [1]. In the UK, 885,000 people have dementia [2] and are more likely to be admitted into hospital compared with their peers without dementia [3]. Also, patients with dementia experience longer hospital stays than patients without dementia [4]. A likely consequence of this increased length of stay is the possibility of acquiring nosocomial infections [5] which may require the use of antibiotics and subsequently result in the development of antimicrobial resistance [6]. This situation can further increase patient length of stay. In addition to having extended hospital stays, researchers have reported that people with dementia or cognitive impairment experience adverse outcomes in hospitals [7-10]. Some of the adverse outcomes include malnourishment [8], the occurrence of delirium [7], complications after surgical procedures [9] and the development of pressure sores [10]. Patients who stay in hospital for a longer period of time are also likely to experience functional decline [11]. Other researchers have suggested that longer hospital stays can make patients vulnerable to harmful medication reactions [12]. Extended hospital stays also reduces the availability of beds for those requiring urgent admission [5] at an increased cost to the National Health Service (NHS) [13].

Therefore, it is necessary to investigate the factors that influence length of stay of patients with dementia in hospital to identify appropriate strategies needed to improve patient outcomes and minimise the time they are in hospital. One way of improving patient outcomes is to generate knowledge from the evaluation of services so as to enhance bedside practice and organisational culture [14]. The aim of this service

1 evaluation is to investigate the impact of demographic (e.g. age), administrative (e.g.  
2 admission day) and clinical factors (e.g. early warning signal) on the hospital stay of  
3 patients with dementia. Most of the variables investigated in this study have been  
4 previously reviewed. However, most of the previous studies have been limited by small  
5 sample sizes that reduced their statistical power and generalisability (e.g. a study on  
6 falls and length of stay for 622 patients with a range of medical conditions [15]). We  
7 explored factors not previously investigated with a large sample including the patient's  
8 admission method (i.e. whether the patient attended A&E themselves or were referred  
9 by a doctor or transferred from another hospital) and also the various categories of  
10 pressure sores.

## 11 **Materials and Methods**

13 This study was a cross-sectional retrospective analysis of a hospital dataset. The dataset  
14 contained information on 35,792 patients who were admitted and discharged between  
15 01/01/2016 and 31/12/2016 at a hospital in the south west of the UK. This hospital had  
16 a Care Quality Commission rating of 'requires improvement' in October/November  
17 2015 (i.e. prior to the collection of the data). Findings from the report indicated that the  
18 hospital needed to improve hospital care for older patients [16]. The integrity of the  
19 dataset (i.e. compliance with NHS regulations) has been discussed in detail elsewhere  
20 [17]. This process involved the use of trained clinical coders who followed the UK  
21 coding rules for entering aspects of the data which are sent to the Secondary Uses  
22 Service of the NHS Digital Department. Patient data was excluded if they had been  
23 readmitted because findings from a recent study indicated that such patients are likely  
24 to have more co-morbidities and receiving a variety of medication [18]. Similarly,

1 people who were admitted for a short period (i.e. less than 24 hours) were excluded as  
2 they are likely to be healthier than their peers who have been on the ward for a longer  
3 period of time [19]. Our sample comprised of patients with dementia (n=1133).  
4 Dementia was defined as the existence of a dementia coding applied to a spell. The  
5 following codes were used for dementia: Alzheimer's disease, Multi-infarct dementia  
6 or vascular dementia, dementia due to other causes such as Picks disease, dementia  
7 with an unnamed aetiology, Alzheimer's Disease with an onset in older people and  
8 other types of Alzheimer's disease [1]. Patients with dementia may have been lost  
9 because we know that when it is not the principal diagnosis, it is sometimes not  
10 recorded/coded.

## 11 **Statistical Analysis**

12 Length of stay was defined as the time the patient was admitted until their discharge.  
13 The following variables were evaluated for their impact on the patient's length of stay:  
14

### 15 **See Table 1**

16  
17  
18 These variables were selected because they are routinely collected in hospitals. The  
19 information available in the dataset were recorded by nursing and medical staff as well  
20 as clinical coders at the hospital.

21  
22 Descriptive, bivariate and multivariate analysis were conducted using SPSS 19. The  
23 following non-parametric tests were used as the dependent variable (length of stay) was  
24 skewed and continuous: Mann-Whitney, Spearman and Kruskal Wallis Test [20].  
25 Negative Bionmial Regression was used to analyse the data because findings from a

1 previous study indicated that it was the most appropriate multivariate test for a  
2 dependent variable that is skewed and continuous [21]. Missing data was handled using  
3 the exclude pairwise option in SPSS.  
4

### 7 **Ethics**

8 Ethics approval was obtained from the University (Ethics ID: 23681) as the study was  
9 classified as a service evaluation. Before the NHS Trust provided the dataset, it was  
10 first anonymised, encrypted and password-protected. The information was transferred  
11 onto a password-protected university laptop for analysis.  
12

### 14 **Results**

#### 15 **Descriptive statistics**

16 The length of stay for dementia sample was high at an average of 344.9 hours. Patients  
17 with dementia were on average 85.5 years old. Some patients with dementia were  
18 admitted from a care home (36.7%). Some patients with dementia were discharged to a  
19 care home (40.4%). Patients with dementia experienced falls without harm (9.7%).  
20 Other patients with dementia experienced minor harm during a fall (4%). Some patients  
21 with dementia also experienced falls with moderate and major harm (0.4%). Some  
22 patients with dementia had pre-admission pressure sores of category 1 (8.4%), 2 (9.1%),  
23 3 (2.3%) and 4 (0.9%). Some patients with dementia had post-admission pressure sores  
24 of category 1 (5.1%), 2 (7.1%), 3 (0.9%) and 4 (0.4%).  
25

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

**See Table 2**

**Bivariate statistics**

In the dementia sample, the following variables were all significantly associated with length of stay (age, discharge method, admission from a care home, discharge to a care home, falls without harm, falls with minor harm, MUST scores, Category 1 and 3 pre-admission pressure sores, Category 1, 2, 3, 4 and un-stageable post-admission pressure sores).

**See Table 3**

**Multivariate statistics**

For the multivariate analysis only variables that were significant in the bivariate analysis were entered in the Negative Binomial Regression Model. In the dementia sample, the highest incidence rate ratio for length of stay was: a) discharge to a care home (IRR: 2.443, 95% CI 1.778- 3.357) b) falls without harm (IRR: 2.486, 95% CI 2.029-3.045). Findings from the multivariate analysis indicate that some clinical variables (Medium MUST scores, Category 1, 3 pre-admission pressure sores and Category 3, 4 as well as un-stageable post-admission pressure sores) were not associated with length of stay in the dementia sample.

**See Table 4**



1           **Discussion**

2           The aim of this study was to investigate factors associated with the length of hospital  
3           stay of patients with dementia. Findings from this study indicated that two modifiable  
4           factors associated with an increased length of hospital stay amongst patients with  
5           dementia were discharge to care homes and experiencing an inpatient fall. Our analysis  
6           was novel in including admission method, which was not associated with length of  
7           hospital stay. In addition, we were able for the first time to demarcate in a large sample  
8           the level of harm sustained by an inpatient fall and also categories of pressure sores.

9  
10          This study showed that the decision to discharge a patient to a care home was  
11          significantly associated with an increased length of stay. Previous literature has shown  
12          that the decision to discharge an older patient to a care home could be triggered by the  
13          needs of the patient (e.g. requiring additional nursing care) or the patient being unsafe  
14          in their own home (e.g. possibility of having a fall with an extended wait for a medical  
15          response) [22]. In addition to the decision making processes required for the safe  
16          discharge of patients, there is a serious shortage of care home beds due to the high  
17          demand for community services and residential facilities [23]. Appropriate national  
18          strategies are therefore needed to ease the pressures on care homes and community  
19          services. Furthermore, prolonged hospital stay could potentially be as a result of the  
20          appearance of new conditions (due to functional and cognitive decline, delirium,  
21          malnutrition) that can lead to institutionalization. On the other hand, the difficulty of  
22          obtaining a place in a nursing home can also lead to a prolonged hospital stay. Based  
23          on the evidence available, we believe that prolonged hospital stay is due to difficulty in  
24          obtaining a place in a nursing home.

1 This study indicated that falls with and without harm were significantly associated with  
2 an increased hospital stay. The findings of this research is consistent with that of  
3 previous researchers who have found an association between falls and the hospital stay  
4 of patients with and without dementia [15, 24]. In addition to increasing the length of  
5 hospital stay, falls can cause physical injuries [25], increase hospital costs [26] and  
6 have adverse psychological consequences [27]. The inadequacy of current fall  
7 prevention measures for people with dementia is therefore concerning [28]. There is an  
8 urgent need to develop innovative falls prevention interventions for patients with  
9 dementia in the hospital setting. One strategy would be to adjust the hospital stay to  
10 what is strictly necessary and to then develop care resources that are alternatives to  
11 conventional hospitalization such as hospital at home, primary care, and home-based  
12 physiotherapy.

13  
14 The authors found that some types of pressure sores were associated with the length of  
15 stay in the dementia sample. This finding is consistent to previous research which has  
16 found an association between pressure sores and hospital length of stay [29]. Also, it  
17 has been reported that the development of pressure sores could lead to: an increase use  
18 of a hospital's financial resources [30], cause the patient pain, have negative  
19 psychological consequences (e.g. make patient feel sad) and also limit the patient's  
20 ability to engage in social activities [31]. The incidence of post-admission pressure  
21 sores needs to be minimised. The presence of pressure ulcers in people with advanced  
22 dementia usually indicates a shorter survival period [32], so the increase in hospital stay  
23 may be more related to the functional grade of dementia (GDS 7, FAST >7c) than to  
24 the ulcers themselves. With advanced dementia, social activities may not be valued  
25 when compared to interaction with family members and usual caregivers.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

The main strength of this study was its large sample size. Although a previous study used a large dementia dataset, the authors did not investigate the impact of the various types of pressure sores on the hospital length of stay of patients with dementia [24]. They also did not look at the relationship between the various categories of falls and length of hospital stay [24]. Another strength of the study is the assessment of the administrative variables (i.e. if they are referred by their doctor or not, if they were admitted during public holidays). It seems to be something interesting and to be considered in future studies. In the current study, although the authors could not validate the dementia diagnosis, the dataset used for this service evaluation complied with NHS quality assessments. Staff documentation of variables such as falls and MUST scores can be prone to errors which could not be eliminated in the analysis of this retrospective study. Also, data regarding the occurrence of delirium was not readily available in the dataset. In future studies, other strategies such as prevention of delirium should be investigated to ascertain whether they play a role in the length of stay of patients with dementia, as well as prevention of falls or discharge planning procedures.

To conclude, the analysis was conducted in a hospital which had a Care Quality Commission rating of ‘requires improvement’ prior to the collection of data. The findings may therefore not be transferable to hospitals which do not have a similar Care Quality Commission rating. Based on this dataset, we conclude that some of the factors that can be modified in order to reduce the hospital stay of patients with dementia are discharge planning and the prevention of falls. Other alternatives to conventional hospitalization (hospital at home, primary care, physiotherapy) need to be considered.

1           **Implications for clinical practice**

2           Our research indicates that nursing/care staff can reduce the length of hospital stay of  
3           patients with dementia by focusing their efforts on minimising in-patient falls and  
4           improving the discharge planning process. Hospital/Trust policies need to be improved  
5           by incorporating findings from robust patient focused research into their formation and  
6           application.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26           **References**

- 27   1.       World Health Organisation. *International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related*  
28       *Health Problems 10th Revision*,. 2010; Available from:  
29       <http://apps.who.int/classifications/icd10/browse/2010/en>.

- 1 2. Wittenberg, R., et al., *Projections of Older People Living with Dementia and Costs of*  
2 *Dementia Care in the United Kingdom 2019-2040*. 2019, CPEC Working Paper 5. London  
3 School of Economics and Political Science ....
- 4 3. Shepherd, H., et al., *Hospitalisation rates and predictors in people with dementia: a*  
5 *systematic review and meta-analysis*. BMC Medicine, 2019. **17**(1): p. N.PAG-N.PAG.
- 6 4. Möllers, T., et al., *Length of hospital stay and dementia: A systematic review of observational*  
7 *studies*. International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry, 2019. **34**(1): p. 8-21.
- 8 5. Toh, H.J., et al., *Factors associated with prolonged length of stay in older patients*. Singapore  
9 medical journal, 2017. **58**(3): p. 134-138.
- 10 6. Hyunyoung, B., et al., *Analysis of length of hospital stay using electronic health records: A*  
11 *statistical and data mining approach*. PLoS ONE, 2018. **13**(4): p. e0195901-e0195901.
- 12 7. Travers, C., et al., *Prospective observational study of dementia in older patients admitted to*  
13 *acute hospitals*. Australasian journal on ageing, 2014. **33**(1): p. 55-58.
- 14 8. Fogg, C., et al., *The relationship between cognitive impairment, mortality and discharge*  
15 *characteristics in a large cohort of older adults with unscheduled admissions to an acute*  
16 *hospital: a retrospective observational study*. Age and Ageing, 2017. **46**(5): p. 794-801.
- 17 9. Hu, C.-J., et al., *Postoperative adverse outcomes in surgical patients with dementia: a*  
18 *retrospective cohort study*. World Journal Of Surgery, 2012. **36**(9): p. 2051-2058.
- 19 10. Bail, K., et al., *Potentially preventable complications of urinary tract infections, pressure*  
20 *areas, pneumonia, and delirium in hospitalised dementia patients: retrospective cohort*  
21 *study*. BMJ open, 2013. **3**(6): p. e002770.
- 22 11. van Vliet, M., M. Huisman, and D.J.H. Deeg, *Decreasing Hospital Length of Stay: Effects on*  
23 *Daily Functioning in Older Adults*. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society, 2017. **65**(6): p.  
24 1214-1221.
- 25 12. Morimoto, T., et al., *Incidence of Adverse Drug Events and Medication Errors in Japan: the*  
26 *JADE Study*. JOURNAL OF GENERAL INTERNAL MEDICINE, 2011. **26**(2): p. 148-153.
- 27 13. Annear, M.J., et al., *Counting the cost of dementia-related hospital admissions: A regional*  
28 *investigation*. Australasian Journal on Ageing, 2016. **35**(3): p. E32-E35.
- 29 14. Moule, P., et al., *Evaluation and its importance for nursing practice*. Nursing Standard, 2017.  
30 **31**(35): p. 55.
- 31 15. Dunne, T.J., I. Gaboury, and M.C. Ashe, *Falls in hospital increase length of stay regardless of*  
32 *degree of harm*. JOURNAL OF EVALUATION IN CLINICAL PRACTICE, 2014. **20**(4): p. 396-400.
- 33 16. Care Quality Commission, *The Royal Bournemouth and Christchurch Hospitals NHS*  
34 *Foundation Trust Quality Report*. 2016.
- 35 17. Duah-Owusu White, M., *Improving the short-term management of patients with dementia*  
36 *admitted to hospital*. 2021, Bournemouth University.
- 37 18. Glans, M., et al., *Risk factors for hospital readmission in older adults within 30 days of*  
38 *discharge - a comparative retrospective study*. BMC Geriatrics, 2020. **20**(1): p. 467-467.
- 39 19. Trentino, K.M., et al., *Measuring the incidence of hospital-acquired complications and their*  
40 *effect on length of stay using CHADx*. Med J Aust, 2013. **199**(8): p. 543-7.
- 41 20. Field, A., *Discovering statistics using SPSS*. 2005: Sage publications.
- 42 21. Carter, E.M. and H.W. Potts, *Predicting length of stay from an electronic patient record*  
43 *system: a primary total knee replacement example*. BMC medical informatics and decision  
44 making, 2014. **14**(1): p. 26.
- 45 22. Rhynas, S.J., et al., *New care home admission following hospitalisation: How do older people,*  
46 *families and professionals make decisions about discharge destination? A case study*  
47 *narrative analysis*. International Journal of Older People Nursing, 2018. **13**(3): p. e12192.
- 48 23. Kable, A., et al., *Health professional perspectives on systems failures in transitional care for*  
49 *patients with dementia and their carers: a qualitative descriptive study*. BMC Health Services  
50 Research, 2015. **15**: p. 567.

- 1 24. Kasteridis, P., et al., *“The impact of primary care quality on inpatient length of stay for*  
2 *people with dementia: an analysis by discharge destination”*, CHE Research Paper No. 113,  
3 *Centre for Health Economics, University of York, York. 2015.*
- 4 25. Terroso, M., et al., *Physical consequences of falls in the elderly: a literature review from 1995*  
5 *to 2010. EUROPEAN REVIEW OF AGING AND PHYSICAL ACTIVITY, 2014. 11(1): p. 51-59.*
- 6 26. Morello, R.T., et al., *The extra resource burden of in-hospital falls: a cost of falls study.*  
7 *Medical Journal of Australia, 2015. 203(9): p. 367.e1-367.e8.*
- 8 27. Cox, C. and M. Vassallo, *Fear of falling assessments in older people with dementia.* Reviews  
9 *in Clinical Gerontology, 2015. 25(2): p. 98-106.*
- 10 28. Peek, K., et al., *Reducing falls among people living with dementia: A systematic review.*  
11 *Dementia: The International Journal of Social Research and Practice, 2020. 19(5): p. 1621-*  
12 *1640.*
- 13 29. Theisen, S., A. Drabik, and S. Stock, *Pressure ulcers in older hospitalised patients and its*  
14 *impact on length of stay: a retrospective observational study.* JOURNAL OF CLINICAL  
15 NURSING, 2012. 21(3-4): p. 380-387.
- 16 30. Dealey, C., J. Posnett, and A. Walker, *The cost of pressure ulcers in the United Kingdom.*  
17 *Journal of Wound Care, 2012. 21(6): p. 261-264.*
- 18 31. Gorecki, C., et al., *Patient-Reported Pressure Ulcer Pain: A Mixed-Methods Systematic*  
19 *Review.* Journal of Pain and Symptom Management, 2011. 42(3): p. 443-459.
- 20 32. Jaul, E., O. Meiron, and J. Menczel, *The Effect of Pressure Ulcers on the Survival in Patients*  
21 *With Advanced Dementia and Comorbidities.* Experimental Aging Research, 2016. 42(4).

22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40

**Table 1: Variables evaluated for their impact on the patient’s length of stay**

|                          |                                    |   |   |  |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------|---|---|--|
| age                      | gender                             | admission method<br>(e.g. referral from a doctor or hospital)   | discharge method<br>(e.g. based on clinical advice or a court (e.g. mental health tribunal))            | admission from a care home   |
| discharge to a care home | admission day                      | admission is a bank holiday   | first Early Warning Signal (based on physiological measurements such as blood pressure and respiration) | falls without injury   |
| falls with minor harm    | falls with moderate and major harm | MUST (Malnutrition Universal Screening Tool which is based on clinical factors such as the patient's weight and height) scores on admission | category 1, 2,3,4 and unstageable pre and post-admission pressure                                       | Waterlow scores on admission (this assesses the patient's risk of developing pressure sores by for example, looking at the patient's ability to mobilise and eat). |

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6

**Table 2: Descriptive statistics for inpatients with dementia**

| <b>Variable</b>                        | <b>n=1,133(%)</b> |
|--|-------------------|
| Discharge age                          | Mean=85.5         |
| Gender                                 |                   |
| Male                                   | 460 (41%)         |
| Female                                 | 673(59 %)         |
| Admission methods                      |                   |
| Attendance at Emergency unit           | 706 (62%)         |
| Referral from a medical practitioner   | 400 (35%)         |
| Referral from a consultant             | 2 (0.2%)          |
| Other (e.g. hospital)                  | 25 (2%)           |
| Discharge methods                      |                   |
| Based on clinical advice               | 984 (87%)         |
| Self-discharge                         | 2 (0.2%)          |
| Discharged by institution (e.g. court) | -                 |
| Death                                  | 147 (13%)         |
| Admissions from a care home            |                   |
| Yes                                    | 416 (37%)         |
| No                                     | 717 (63%)         |
| Discharges to a care home              |                   |
| Yes                                    | 458 (40 %)        |
| No                                     | 675 (60%)         |
| Admission day                          |                   |
| Friday                                 | 161 (14%)         |
| Monday                                 | 155 (13%)         |
| Saturday                               | 166 (15%)         |
| Sunday                                 | 192 (17%)         |
| Thursday                               | 170 (15%)         |
| Tuesday                                | 155 (14%)         |
| Wednesday                              | 134 (12%)         |
| Admission is a bank holiday            |                   |
| Yes                                    | 28 (2%)           |
| No                                     | 1,105 (98%)       |
| First Early Warning Signal             |                   |
| High(>6)                               | 61 (5%)           |
| Medium(5-6)                            | 106 (10%)         |
| Low(0-4)                               | 808 (71%)         |
| Missing                                | 158 (14%)         |

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7

|                    |  |
|--------------------|--|
| Falls without harm |  |
|--------------------|--|



|  |               |
|--|---------------|
| Yes                                      | 110 (10%)     |
| No                                       | 1,023 (90%)   |
| Falls with minor harm                    |               |
| Yes                                      | 45 (4%)       |
| No                                       | 1,088 (96%)   |
| Falls major and moderate                 |               |
| Yes                                      | 5 (0.4%)      |
| No                                       | 1,128 (99.6%) |
| MUST scores                              |               |
| High(>1)                                 | 261 (23%)     |
| Medium (1)                               | 44 (4%)       |
| Low (0)                                  | 816 (72%)     |
| Missing                                  | 12 (1%)       |
| Category 1 preadmission pressure sores   |               |
| Yes                                      | 95 (8%)       |
| No                                       | 1,038 (92%)   |
| Category 2 preadmission pressure sores   |               |
| Yes                                      | 103 (9%)      |
| No                                       | 1,030 (91%)   |
| Category 3 preadmission pressure sores   |               |
| Yes                                      | 26 (2%)       |
| No                                       | 1,107 (98%)   |
| Category 4 preadmission pressure sores   |               |
| Yes                                      | 10 (1%)       |
| No                                       | 1,123 (99%)   |
| Un-stageable preadmission pressure sores |               |
| Yes                                      | 3 (0.3%)      |
| No                                       | 1,130 (99.7%) |

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13

|  |               |
|--|---------------|
| Category 1<br>Postadmission pressure sores   |               |
| Yes  | 58 (5%)       |
| No   | 1,075 (95%)   |
| Category 2<br>Postadmission pressure sores   |               |
| Yes  | 80 (7%)       |
| No   | 1,053 (93%)   |
| Category 3<br>Postadmission pressure sores   |               |
| Yes  | 10 (0.9%)     |
| No   | 1,123 (99.1%) |
| Category 4<br>Postadmission pressure sores   |               |
| Yes  |               |
| No   | 5 (0.4%)      |
| Un-stageable<br>Postadmission pressure sores |               |
| Yes  |               |
| No   | 1,128 (99.6%) |
| Waterlow scores                              |               |
| High (>15)                                   | 878 (77%)     |
| Medium (11-15)                               | 179 (16%)     |
| Low (0-10)                                   | 66 (6%)       |
| Missing                                      | 10 (1%)       |

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16

1 **Table 3: Bivariate statistics for inpatients with dementia (N=1,133)**

| Variable                                 | U or $\chi$ or rho value/p value/Z value |
|--|--|
| Age                                      | .072/.015                                |
| Gender                                   | 153,033.5/.745/-.325                     |
| Admission methods                        | 5.250/.154                               |
| Discharge methods                        | 11.866/.003                              |
| Admissions from a care home              | 111,724.5/.000/-7.047                    |
| Discharge to a care home                 | 133,349.5/.000/-3.927                    |
| Admission Day                            | 4.856/.562                               |
| Admission is a bank holiday              | 15,275.5/.909/-.114                      |
| First Early Warning Signal               | .562/.755                                |
| Falls without harm                       | 24,117.5/.000/-9.858                     |
| Falls with minor harm                    | 9,828.5/.000/-6.812                      |
| Falls major and moderate                 | 2,143.0/.354/-.927                       |
| MUST scores                              | 6.045/.049                               |
| Category 1 Preadmission pressure sores/  | 42,454.0/.025/-2.244                     |
| Category 2 Preadmission pressure sores/  | 47,821.0/.099/-1.650                     |
| Category 3 Preadmission pressure sores   | 10,376.0/.015/-2.435                     |
| Category 4 Preadmission pressure sores   | 4,523.0/.289/-1.060                      |
| Unstageable Preadmission pressure sores  | 1,214.5/.396/-.849                       |
| Category 1 Postadmission pressure sores  | 16,831.5/.000/-5.909                     |
| Category 2 Postadmission pressure sores  | 25,481.5/.000/-5.897                     |
| Category 3 Postadmission pressure sores  | 2,598.0/.003/-2.929                      |
| Category 4 Postadmission pressure sores  | 1,252.5/.032/-2.147                      |
| Unstageable Postadmission pressure sores | 848.5/.031/-2.158                        |
| Waterlow scores                          | .172/.917                                |

2  
3  
4  
5  
6

1 **Table 4: Negative Binomial Regression for inpatients with dementia with Length of**  
 2 **stay as the dependent variable (N=1,133)**

| Variable                                   | IRR/ p value | 95% Confidence Interval |       |
|--|--------------|-------------------------|-------|
|  |              | Lower                   | Upper |
| Discharge Age                              | 1.000/.992   | .992                    | 1.008 |
| Ref: clinical advice                       |              |                         |       |
| Death as a Discharge cause                 | 1.228/.028   | 1.022                   | 1.476 |
| Self-discharge                             | .541/.390    | .134                    | 2.193 |
| Admissions from a care home                | .252/.000    | .182                    | .349  |
| Discharges to a care home                  | 2.443/.000   | 1.778                   | 3.357 |
| Falls without harm                         | 2.486/.000   | 2.029                   | 3.045 |
| Falls with minor harm                      | 1.750/.000   | 1.284                   | 2.384 |
| Ref: Low MUST score                        |              |                         |       |
| High MUST scores                           | 1.163/.037   | 1.009                   | 1.340 |
| Medium MUST scores                         | .945/.721    | .694                    | 1.287 |
| Category 1                                 | 1.189/.113   | .960                    | 1.474 |
| Preadmission pressure sores/<br>Category 3 |              |                         |       |
| Preadmission pressure sores                | .978/.916    | .652                    | 1.467 |

3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16

1

2

|                               |            | <b>Lower</b> | <b>Upper</b> |
|-------------------------------|------------|--------------|--------------|
| Category 1                    |            |              |              |
| Postadmission pressure sores/ | 1.787/.000 | 1.363        | 2.342        |
| Category 2                    | 1.621/.000 | 1.275        | 2.060        |
| Postadmission pressure sores/ | .966/.915  | .508         | 1.837        |
| Category 3                    |            |              |              |
| Postadmission pressure sores/ | 1.641/.279 | .669         | 4.028        |
| Category 4                    |            |              |              |
| Postadmission pressure sores/ | 1.814/.242 | .669         | 4.916        |
| Unstageable                   |            |              |              |
| Postadmission pressure sores  |            |              |              |

3

4