



# Drivers of jaguar (*Panthera onca*) and puma (*Puma concolor*) predation on endangered primates within a transformed landscape in southern Mexico

Aralisa Shedden-González<sup>1</sup>  | Brenda Solórzano-García<sup>2</sup> | Jennifer Mae White<sup>3,4</sup> | Phillipa K. Gillingham<sup>1,5</sup> | Amanda H. Korstjens<sup>1,5</sup> 

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Science and Technology, Bournemouth University, Dorset, UK

<sup>2</sup>Departamento de Sistemas y Procesos Naturales, ENES-Merida, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Merida, Mexico

<sup>3</sup>Department of Biology, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, USA

<sup>4</sup>Catalyst Education LLC, Austin, Texas, USA

<sup>5</sup>LEAP: Landscape Ecology and Primatology, Bournemouth University, Bournemouth, UK

## Correspondence

Aralisa Shedden-González, Faculty of Science and Technology, Bournemouth University, Poole, Dorset, BH12 5BB, UK. Email: [ashedden@bournemouth.ac.uk](mailto:ashedden@bournemouth.ac.uk)

## Funding information

Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología, Grant/Award Number: 108990 and 195409; Riddiford-Truman graduate-student award

Associate Editor: Jayashree Ratnam

Handling Editor: Asmita Sengupta

## Abstract

Human pressures have increasingly placed keystone species, such as large cats, under threat. Together with forest loss, prey depletion is one of the main threats to the survival of jaguars (*Panthera onca*) and pumas (*Puma concolor*) throughout the Neotropics. Generally, primates are not considered main prey for jaguar and puma, and their inclusion in the diet could be indicative of ongoing prey species decline. Here, we investigate the effect of habitat type and disturbance on primate predation by large cats. Surveys took place during the dry seasons (March to June) of 2010 and 2011, covering a total of 608.5 km across 24 localities in the Uxpanapa Valley, Mexico. We found 65 felid scat samples with the aid of a wildlife scat detection dog, and then examined them to identify predator species and classify the prey remains they contained. Primates represented the most frequent prey (35%) for both jaguar and puma in our study site and constituted approximately half of the biomass consumed by these felines in the area. Primate remains were more likely to be found in scats surrounded by the lowest percentage of conserved forest or in areas surrounded by more villages, showing the potential effects of human activities on these species' populations. The high proportion of primates found in scats within our study site could be an early indication that populations of ungulates and other "typical" prey are beginning to collapse, and urgent conservation interventions are needed for both large cats and primates before they become locally extinct.

Abstract in Spanish is available with online material.

## KEYWORDS

diet, howler monkey, human impacts, large felids, spider monkey, Uxpanapa Valley

## 1 | INTRODUCTION

Large mammalian carnivores are vital for the maintenance of biodiversity and ecosystem function and serve as indicators of ecosystem

health (Ripple et al., 2014; Wolf & Ripple, 2016). However, human pressures in developing countries pose unprecedented threats to large carnivores (Alvarenga et al., 2021; Ceballos et al., 2021), predominantly through habitat transformation and prey loss (Sandom

This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes.

© 2023 The Authors. *Biotropica* published by Wiley Periodicals LLC on behalf of Association for Tropical Biology and Conservation.

et al., 2017). Understanding the effects originating from these anthropogenic actions is essential for the conservation and management of large carnivore populations, particularly in areas undergoing intensive degradation.

In the Neotropics, populations of all wild felids are declining (ISEC, 2022) including jaguars (*Panthera onca*) and pumas (*Puma concolor*), the two largest felids that occur sympatrically (Ávila-Nájera et al., 2018). The IUCN lists the jaguar as Near Threatened (Quigley et al., 2017) and it is placed in Appendix I of CITES (CITES, 2022), while puma is listed as being species of Least Concern by the IUCN (Nielsen et al., 2015) and are included in Appendix II of CITES. In Mexico, jaguar is considered to be at risk of extinction (SEMARNAT, 2010). Overall, both species are displaying a general trend of population decline (Nielsen et al., 2015; Quigley et al., 2017), and their conservation status will probably worsen in the near future (Hayward et al., 2016; Zanin et al., 2016). Jaguar and puma are obligate carnivores and opportunistic hunters, highly dependent on a good prey base of medium to large sized mammals (Ávila-Nájera et al., 2018; Paviolo et al., 2018; Hernández-SaintMartín et al., 2015; Novack et al., 2005; Rubio-Rocha et al., 2023). Their prey use varies greatly depending on geographical location, but both have a similar primary prey base consisting of peccaries, large rodents, deer, and armadillos (Foster et al., 2010; Foster & Harmsen, 2022; Galindo-Aguilar et al., 2022; Piña-Covarrubias et al., 2023). However, human pressures, together with landscape transformation, can prompt changes in their predation behavior (Craighead, 2019). Jaguar and puma have both been known to switch to alternative prey when the abundance of their main prey has decreased under particular environmental pressures (Foster et al., 2016; Soria-Diaz et al., 2018).

The rarity of felid predation on primates is readily apparent in the Neotropics (Hart, 2007), with studies showing felids tend to significantly avoid carnivores and primates as prey, while all other broad taxonomic groups are usually killed in accordance with their abundance (Hayward et al., 2016; Rubio-Rocha et al., 2023) and vulnerability (Magioli & Paschoaletto, 2021). Predation rates on atelid primates, including howler (*Alouatta* spp.) and spider monkeys (*Ateles* spp.), are thought to be low, possibly due to their behavioral characteristics, which include sociality and arboreal locomotion (Bidner, 2014; di Fiore, 2002; Link & Di Fiore, 2013). Nevertheless, habitat type can influence predation on primates, since the density of vegetation can affect detectability and catch ability (Hayward et al., 2016). Therefore, as primate habitats become increasingly anthropogenic, their predation risk, potential predators, and susceptibility to predation are bound to change (McKinney, 2009). While forest fragmentation remains the most urgent driver of primate decline, the stochastic nature of predation, especially with increasing risk due to forest degradation, has a potential to rapidly eradicate groups, even when short-term surveys show stable or increasing populations (Irwin et al., 2009).

Information regarding the predation rates of primates by large cats is not readily abundant, since direct observations of predation events on primates are uncommon and gathering large cat scat samples to identify and quantify primate consumption is in itself a challenging procedure. Thus, the effects of these two predators on the

long-term survival of primate populations may be underestimated. Furthermore, we need to fully understand the effects concurrent land transformation and threats to primary prey species have on jaguar and puma already living in heavily degraded habitats in the Neotropics. Here, we present a study on primate predation in Veracruz, southern Mexico, a region which has been highly disturbed by human activities and is lacking in studies on the diet of top predators (Rodríguez-Soto et al., 2011). We collected and examined scat samples from jaguar and puma and classified the primate remains found within them. We combined traditional morphological techniques of diet determination with molecular information to corroborate predator species identity. We then assessed whether primate predation was influenced by habitat type and disturbance. We predicted primates would be occasional prey, and if predated, there would be a higher consumption of howler monkeys, given that they move more slowly and are less agile than spider monkeys (Cuarón, 1997; Peetz et al., 1992). We predicted habitat quality to be a main driver of primate consumption, with higher primate predation in more disturbed areas with less forest cover, where they would be more accessible as prey (Schwitzer et al., 2011). Our work aims to contribute toward a better understanding of jaguar and puma diet in an anthropogenically altered landscape, as well as to help assess the implications of large cat predation for primate conservation. In particular, information on large cat predation would provide necessary data to develop primate conservation strategies for our study site, since both spider (*Ateles geoffroyi*) and howler (*Alouatta palliata mexicana*) monkeys are classified as endangered (IUCN, 2023) and face increasing threat due to habitat transformation in the area (Galán-Acedo et al., 2018; Shedden et al., 2022).


## 2 | METHODS

### 2.1 | Study area

The Uxpanapa Valley in southeastern Mexico is one of the last main relicts of tall evergreen forest in the country and is classified as one of the most biodiverse areas in both Mexico and the world (World Wide Fund for Nature–Fundación Carlos Slim, 2018). It is currently unprotected and over 43% of the territory has undergone severe transformation since the 1970s (Hernández-Gómez et al., 2013). The current landscape is a mosaic dominated by pasture (26%) and secondary forest (38%), with smaller portions consisting of small corn (*Zea mays*) plantations and rubber tree (*Hevea brasiliensis*) cultivations (15%), remnant mature tall evergreen forest (16%), and village settlements (5%) (White, 2017) (Figure 1).

### 2.2 | Collection of predator scat samples

Surveys took place during the dry seasons (March to June) of 2010 and 2011, as seasonal floods during the rainy season make large parts of the region inaccessible during the rest of the year. Scat samples were found with the aid of a wildlife scat detection dog from the



**FIGURE 1** Study site in the state of Veracruz (box 1). Scat collection points across the Uxpanapa Valley (black circle representing Large Cat, pink triangle representing Jaguar and blue diamond representing Puma). Also showing a simplified vegetation classification (tall evergreen forest in dark green, secondary forest in light green, human settlements in orange, and transformed land in light gray).

Conservation Canines program at University of Washington (Wasser et al., 2004). The use of detection dogs allowed us to cover a large area effectively as olfactory search by dogs does not rely on visually conspicuous scat deposition on trails or roads. We surveyed a total of 608.5 km across 24 localities within the Uxpanapa Valley, collecting a total of 65 putative felid samples. Each scat sample was divided in two, one piece was preserved in plastic vials containing silica gel desiccants for diet analysis and kept at room temperature until further examination in the laboratory. The other piece was kept frozen for genetic identification of the felid species. Where the sample was of sufficient size, a portion was left in the field to minimize disruption of any territorial marking behavior. For each sample, we also registered geographic location (GPSMAP 60CSx, Garmin).

### 2.3 | Predator ID through molecular methods

Predator species ID was confirmed using mitochondrial DNA sequencing of sloughed intestinal cells (Chaves et al., 2011). The ATP6 region (approximately 175 bp) was used to distinguish all sympatric carnivore species (White, 2017). Additionally, predator hair found in

the scats (ingested during auto-grooming) was used to support the species ID. We confirmed 32 jaguar and 15 puma scats, with 18 large cat scats for which DNA quantity and quality was not sufficient to discriminate between jaguar and puma, nor was there enough hair from self-grooming to establish this.

### 2.4 | Predator diet analysis

Prey identification was based on macroscopic and microscopic patterns of the guard hairs found in these scats following a modification of the standard procedure suggested by Arita and Aranda (1987). Each sample was placed in water and allowed to sit for 24–48 h. The hair was then placed in a petri dish and rinsed with soap-water to eliminate dirt and other biological materials. A macroscopic examination of the shape and coloration patterns of the hairs was made under a dissection microscope. Microstructural patterns of the cuticle were determined by making an impression of the cuticle in a glass slide with nail polish and observing it under the light microscope. To describe the medulla, hairs were cleared by soaking them for 24 h in Xilol, then the widest distal region of the hair observed under a light microscope.

Hair guides were employed to determine the prey species of each hair (Baca-Ibarra & Sánchez-Cordero, 2004; Juárez et al., 2010; Pech-Canché et al., 2009). The primate guard hairs found in the scats were identified and differentiated by their morphology, specifically by their patterns in the medulla and scales, which allows howler and spider monkeys to be distinguished (Figure S1). We considered all primate items of the same species found in a scat as representing one individual prey item. Hairs of mammals that did not appear in any of the guides we consulted were classified as “unknown mammal.”

Prey data were analyzed for three categories according to predator species: jaguar, puma, and large cats, which encompasses all of the samples (jaguar + puma + non-identified large cat). We calculated the frequency of occurrence of each prey found as  $FO = Fs/N \times 100$ , where  $F_s$  is the number of scats in which a prey species was detected, and  $N$  is the total number of scats analyzed. We also estimated the percentage of occurrence (PO) of primate remains by dividing the total frequency of a primate item by the sum of the frequencies of all prey species. To determine the energetic contribution of each prey, we estimated the total and relative consumed biomass applying the Ackerman et al. (1984) correction factor for prey larger than 2 kg ( $Y = 1.98 + 0.035 X$ , where  $Y =$  consumed biomass/scat and  $X =$  average prey weight, both in kilograms—kg). We used Aranda (2000) as a source of mean prey body weight.

## 2.5 | Primate detection in the field

Primate surveys were performed in parallel and within the same areas as large cat scat collection, as part of a larger project studying biodiversity in the region (Shedden et al., 2022). Two species of primates were found in our study site: the Mexican mantled howler monkey (*Alouatta palliata mexicana*) and the spider monkey (*Ateles geoffroyi*), both classified as Endangered in the IUCN Red List (Cuarón et al., 2020; Rosales-Meda et al., 2020). The data on primate occurrences and number of groups used for our analysis were derived from these surveys (Shedden et al., 2022).

## 2.6 | Vegetation mapping

Vegetation data were based on a supervised classification of five SPOT 5 satellite images captured in the dry season of March and April 2011 (88.25% classification accuracy, Kappa coefficient = 0.85) (C.A. Muñoz-Robles, unpublished data). We used ArcMap v. 10.6.1 to create 5 km buffers around each scat, an approximate size of a jaguar home range (White, 2017). Within each buffer, we determined the number of primate groups, number of villages, and percentage of the area covered by different land use.

## 2.7 | Data analysis

To assess primate predation by large cats in relation to habitat type and quality, we analyzed the presence/absence of primate remains in each

scat as a dependent variable with the number of spider monkey groups, howler monkey groups, number of villages, and percentage of each land use type within 5 km buffers, using generalized linear models (GLMs) with a logit link function and binomial error structure (Crawley, 2007).

We conducted three separate analyses to predict the presence/absence of primate remains in feline scats: (1) both primates together, (2) spider monkey only, and (3) howler monkey only. The land cover predictor variables were the percentage of secondary forest (SF), secondary mature forest (SMF), young secondary forest (YSF), and tall evergreen forest (TEF) estimated for each sampled plot. These variables were included since both large cats and primates need forest to survive. We also included as predictors the percentage of water bodies (WB), clearings (CL), rubber plantations (RP), farmland (FL), grassland (GL), and area occupied by human settlements (HS), as well as the number of primate groups and villages per buffer. These variables were selected since water bodies are known to be important for jaguar, while plantations and cleared land represent the disturbances that could be affecting our focal species. Finally, human settlements and number of villages are considered as proxies for poaching pressure. We carried out all statistical analyses in R software 4.0.3 (R Core Team, 2020). To assess collinearity among explanatory variables, Pearson's correlation estimates were produced using the *PerformanceAnalytics* package (Peterson & Carl, 2020), and correlated variables ( $r > .7$ ) were not included in the same models (Dormann et al., 2013). We ran the GLMs with a logit link function and binomial error structure using the *MASS* package (Venables & Ripley, 2002) and the function “dredge” from the *MuMIn* package (Barton, 2022) to select the best model based on the Akaike's information criterion (AIC) using a two-variable cutoff due to our small sample size.

## 3 | RESULTS

### 3.1 | Predator diet composition

We identified a total of 21 mammal species as prey of large cats in the Uxpanapa Valley, 11 and eight mammal species present in the diet of jaguar and puma respectively (Table 1). The average number of different prey species per scat was 1.2, with most of the scats containing only one mammal species (87.5% for jaguar, 77.7% for puma, and 84% for large cats). Primates represented the most frequent prey, with nearly 35% of all found items belonging to a primate species (Table 2). Spider monkeys showed higher frequency of occurrence than any other prey species for both puma and jaguar, while howler monkeys showed a higher frequency of occurrence in puma than in jaguar scats (Tables 1 and 2). Likewise, primates constituted approximately half of the biomass consumed by these felines in this area (Table 2).

### 3.2 | Predictors of primate predation

When analyzing the data on overall primate predation, the percentage of tall evergreen forest (TEF) had a negative association

TABLE 1 Frequency of occurrence (FO) in predator scats and relative biomass (RBC) of prey consumed by jaguars, pumas, and large cats in the Uxpanapa Valley, Mexico.

Prey	Jaguar ( <i>Panthera onca</i> )			Puma ( <i>Puma concolor</i> )			Large cats		
	N = 32			N = 15			N = 65		
	n	FO	RBC (%)	n	FO	RBC (%)	n	FO	RBC (%)
<i>Alouatta palliata</i>	2	6.3	7.87	2	13.3	15.69	8	12.3	12.66
<i>Ateles geoffroyi</i>	7	21.9	26.90	5	33.3	38.30	19	29.2	29.36
<i>Bassariscus sumichrasti</i>				1	6.7	3.15	2	3.1	1.27
<i>Chironectes minimus</i>	1	3.1	0.97				1	1.5	0.39
<i>Coendou mexicanus</i>	1	3.1	3.65	2	13.3	14.53	5	7.7	7.33
<i>Conepatus</i> sp.	4	12.5	14.63				4	6.2	5.88
<i>Cuniculus paca</i>	1	3.1	4.03				3	4.6	4.86
<i>Cyclopes didactylus</i>				1	6.7	1.75	1	1.5	0.35
<i>Galictis vittata</i>	1	3.1	3.63				1	1.5	1.46
<i>Lontra longicaudis</i>							1	1.5	1.64
<i>Marmosa mexicana</i>				1	6.7	0.24	1	1.5	0.05
<i>Mazama temama</i>	1	3.1	4.76	2	13.3	18.99	4	6.2	7.66
<i>Mustela frenata</i>							1	1.5	0.21
<i>Nasua narica</i>							1	1.5	1.51
<i>Pecari tajacu</i>	2	6.3	9.59				2	3.1	3.86
<i>Potos flavus</i>				1	6.7	7.35	2	3.1	2.97
<i>Procyon lotor</i>							1	1.5	1.53
<i>Sciurus</i> sp.							1	1.5	0.42
<i>Tamandua mexicana</i>	2	6.3	7.56				2	3.1	3.04
<i>Tapirus bairdii</i>							1	1.5	6.95
<i>Tayassu pecari</i>	3	9.4	16.41				3	4.6	6.60
Unknown mammals	11	34.4		3	20.0		14	21.5	

with presence of primate remains in scat ( $\beta = -0.030$ ,  $SE = 0.01$ ,  $z\text{-value} = -2.05$ ,  $p = .041$ ). Primate remains were more likely to be found in scats surrounded by the lowest percentage of TEF. The percentage of other land cover types, number of primate groups, and number of villages, although part of the delta AIC threshold, did not have significant effects on the probability of presence of primate remains in large cat scats (Table 3; Table S1).

For spider monkey predation, the number of villages ( $\beta = 0.28$ ,  $SE = 0.14$ ,  $z\text{-value} = 1.98$ ,  $p = .047$ ) and the percentage of secondary mature (SMF) ( $\beta = 0.09$ ,  $SE = 0.05$ ,  $z\text{-value} = 1.73$ ,  $p = .083$ ) were the strongest predictors. Spider monkey remains were more likely to be found in scats surrounded by more villages and a higher amount of SMF. The percentage of other land cover types and number of primate groups did not have significant effects on the probability of presence of spider monkey remains in large cat scats (Table 3; Table S2).

For howler monkey predation, the number of howler groups ( $\beta = 1.74$ ,  $SE = 0.57$ ,  $z\text{-value} = 3.05$ ,  $p = .002$ ) and the percentage of tall

evergreen forest (TEF) ( $\beta = -0.17$ ,  $SE = 0.09$ ,  $z\text{-value} = -1.93$ ,  $p = .053$ ) were the strongest predictors for finding howler monkey remains in scat. Howler monkey remains were more likely to be found where there were more howler monkey groups and in scats surrounded by the lowest percentage of TEF. None of the remaining variables had a significant effect on the likelihood of howler monkey remains being present in scats (Table 3; Table S3).

## 4 | DISCUSSION

Our results show that primate predation by jaguar and puma in our study site was surprisingly high compared to that of other wild mammals found in the area and compared to previous studies of large cats' diet in Mexico (Aranda & Sánchez-Cordero, 1996; Galindo-Aguilar et al., 2022; Piña-Covarrubias et al., 2023; Rubio-Rocha et al., 2023). This is a marked difference from the general understanding that Neotropical primates are not usually targeted as prey

TABLE 2 Consumption of primates by large cats in the Uxpanapa Valley, Mexico.

	Jaguar	Puma	Large cats
	( <i>Panthera onca</i> )	( <i>Puma concolor</i> )	
<i>Alouatta palliata</i>			
<i>n</i>	2	2	8
kg	7.5	7.5	7.5
PO	5.5	11.1	10.3
TCB	4.5	4.5	17.9
RCB	7.9	15.7	12.7
<i>Ateles geoffroyi</i>			
<i>n</i>	7	5	19
PO	19.4	27.8	24.4
kg	6	6	6
TCB	15.3	11	41.6
RCB	26.9	38.3	29.4
Primates			
<i>n</i>	9	7	27
PO	25	38.9	34.6
TCB	19.8	15.4	59.6
RCB	34.8	54	42

Abbreviations: kg, mean prey weight; *n*, number of scats with primate remains; PO, Percentage of occurrence; RCB, percentage of relative biomass consumed; TCB, total corrected biomass consumed (kg).

by large cats (Bidner, 2014; di Fiore, 2002; Hart, 2007; Hayward et al., 2016; Santos et al., 2014).

In our study area, spider monkeys represent the most preyed species for both jaguar and puma. This high predation rate contrasts with previous findings within the spider monkey distribution range (di Fiore, 2002; Hart, 2007; Urbani, 2005). Scats containing spider monkey remains were more likely to be found in sites where more villages were present. A higher number of villages can signify increased human activity and presence, with associated possible greater habitat perturbation and greater extraction of the preferred prey of large cats. On a global scale, prey depletion is a threat to large carnivores (Wolf & Ripple, 2016), and human accessibility to forest areas is generally associated with heightened poaching pressure on large cat's prey base (Paviolo et al., 2018). Specifically, studies have shown that depletion of primary prey species by humans in unprotected forests can lead to dietary changes in jaguars (Foster et al., 2010), while deforestation can lead to changes in puma diet (Magioli & Paschoaletto, 2021). In Central America, consumption of primates by jaguar and puma has been reported to be greater in areas with intense hunting activities compared to non-hunting areas (Novack et al., 2005), while abundance of alternative non-primate prey, particularly ungulates, has been shown to affect the intensity of predation on primates at four sites in Africa and Asia (Hart, 2007). One of the few studies on bushmeat in an area of the Selva Zoque adjacent to our study site shows that the most

sought-after bushmeat prey are red brocket deer (*Mazama temama*), collared and white-lipped peccary (*Pecari tajacu* and *Tayassu pecari*), lowland paca (*Cuniculus paca*), and armadillos (*Dasypus novemcinctus*) (Lira-Torres et al., 2014). These bushmeat preferences were confirmed in our study area through numerous conversations with local residents during our field surveys (Shedden-Gonzalez, 2016). Furthermore, all of these species, except armadillos, were found in our jaguar and puma scat samples (Table 1). This points toward human-feline competition for prey, as hunting is a common activity throughout our study site.

Presence of howler monkey remains in scats was lower than that of spider monkeys and was associated with a lower percentage of TEF and higher number of howler monkey groups in the area. There are several possible reasons for lower predation on howler monkeys than spider monkeys. First, the overall prevalence of howler monkeys appears to be lower than that of spider monkeys in the region (Shedden et al., 2022), and large cats tend to use prey in accordance with their abundance (Hayward et al., 2016). This abundance-based difference is supported by our finding that howler monkey consumption was higher in sites with more howler monkey groups.

Additionally, there may be behavioral differences between the primate species that make spider monkeys more susceptible to predation. During our surveys, we observed mobbing behaviors from spider monkeys toward the scat detection dog, which was never observed with howler monkeys. Mobbing by spider monkeys has been recorded in other studies (Matsuda & Izawa, 2008; Mourthe & Barnett, 2014; Ramos-Fernández, 2008) and can involve primates coming close to the ground (Tórrez et al., 2012) which we directly observed when the spider monkeys reacted to the detection dog. Such behavior may contribute to higher exposure of spider monkeys to felid predation than howler monkeys. Moreover, recent reports on primate predation by jaguar and puma in Mexico, while showing low occurrence and low contribution to the consumed biomass, also show higher consumption of spider monkeys over howler monkeys (Piña-Covarrubias et al., 2023). This study was carried out in private ecological reserves of continuous forest with a few interspersed rural communities, which would explain the lower primate predation compared to our study site which is mainly composed of remnants of forest within an anthropic vegetation matrix.

When considering both primate species together, our results indicate that felid predation on primates is increased with the reduction of tall evergreen forest. This agrees with reports that forest transformation may intensify predation on canopy dwelling primates by facilitating access to prey (Irwin et al., 2009), due to a lack of large trees and especially in areas close to the forest edge, where the presence of other mammals can be lower (Slater et al., 2023). Additionally, changes in the environment and habitat structure (e.g., microclimate and large tree availability) may also force both spider and howler monkeys to seek resources such as water on the ground (Delgado-Martínez et al., 2021; Youlatos & Guillot, 2015), or to cross through open areas to reach disconnected forest fragments

TABLE 3 Best models that predict primate consumption by large cats in Uxpanapa Valley, southeast Mexico.

Predictors												
	TEF	CL	GL	PG	HS	VI	SMF	SF	YSF	HG	ΔAIC	Weight
Primates	-0.03*										0.00	0.08
	-0.04	-0.23									1.04	0.05
	-0.04	-0.59	0.14								1.09	0.04
	-0.04			0.09							1.28	0.04
	-0.03				-0.85	0.09					1.72	0.03
	-0.03						0.03				1.81	0.03
	-0.04							-0.02			1.83	0.03
										1.98	0.03	
Spider monkeys						<b>0.28*</b>	0.09				0.00	0.10
									0.84		1.89	0.04
						0.22					1.92	0.04
							0.07				1.96	0.04
						0.20			0.64		2.00	0.04
Howler monkeys										<b>1.74*</b>	0.00	0.58

Abbreviations: CL, clearings; GL, grassland; HG, howler groups; HS, human settlements; PG, primate groups; SF, secondary forest; SMF, secondary mature forest; TEF, tall evergreen forest; VI, villages; YSF, young secondary forest.

\*Significant associations with primate predation ( $p \leq .05$ ) are in bold font.

(Campbell et al., 2005; Martínez-Mota et al., 2007), which potentially explains the high levels of primate consumption at our study site.

Global carnivore declines are driven mainly by the loss or degradation of habitat and prey base (di Minin et al., 2016), and in the Uxpanapa Valley, human pressures seem to be pushing jaguar and puma to rely on alternative prey compared to more intact ecosystems. Lack of tree cover and potential prey depletion due to unregulated bushmeat hunting and habitat loss could potentially be the drivers behind the high primate predation in our study area, though long-term monitoring and larger sample sizes are needed to fully understand any dietary shifts occurring in this region. Nevertheless, this has important implications for both primates and felines, especially since the region is unprotected and has rapid and ongoing deforestation (Carvajal-Hernández et al., 2018). One major concern for primate conservation is the potential for habitat loss and increased predation acting multiplicatively. Even if populations survive landscape transformation, the subsequent increased predation may have a greater-than-additive effect on population extinction rates. There are already signs of disruption in gene flow and genetic loss in howler monkeys within this region (Dunn et al., 2013; Solórzano-García et al., 2021) signaling the need for rapid conservation actions for both primate species. At the same time, large cats could face local extinction if prey continue to diminish and forest tracts are further reduced. The abundance of some prey species may be a better proxy for the threat to jaguars than landscape transformation, and unregulated hunting pressure could result in substantial prey/predator population declines (De Thoisy et al., 2016). Two of the five species of ungulates found in the region are classified as endangered, and although ungulate populations are still prevalent in areas surrounding Uxpanapa Valley, recent studies highlight reduction in connectivity and overhunting as conservation concerns for these species (Lira-Torres et al., 2014; Pérez-Irribeo & Santos-Moreno, 2016). Consequently, the high proportion of primates found in scats within our study site could be an early indication that populations of ungulates and other “typical” prey are beginning to collapse, and urgent conservation interventions are needed.

The predation patterns observed here show an increase in primate consumption by large cats in altered habitats, making primate populations living in perturbed habitats highly vulnerable to predation. Moreover, the flexible and opportunistic hunting nature of jaguar and puma enables them to feed on prey that are either more vulnerable or more available. Thus, shifts in diet patterns of top predators reflect changes in ecosystem structure due to environmental or anthropogenic pressures. The landscape heterogeneity, limited sample size, and fixed temporality of the present study provide a partial notion of primate-predator dynamics. Further studies that incorporate larger samples sizes across climatic seasons in intact and fragmented forest will aid to confirm the patterns presented here for a better understanding of the variations on ecological processes and dynamics in human dominated landscapes. Our results highlight the importance of maintaining tall forest cover and ecosystem biodiversity and for management strategies that mitigate overhunting

the primary prey of large cats to avoid disruption of prey predator interactions, before the negative effects on both primate and large cat populations become irreversible.

#### AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

ASG, PKG, and AHK conceptualized and designed the research. ASG, BSG, and JMW performed the fieldwork and conducted data analyses. BSG and JMW performed laboratory work. ASG wrote the original draft, and all co-authors reviewed/edited the manuscript and agreed on the submission.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the National Council of Science of Technology (CONACyT) and the Veracruz State Government for providing financial support to the project (grant no. 108990). ASG received support from Bournemouth University and CONACyT (registration 195409) to conduct all the data analysis as part of her PhD. The UW Department of Biology Riddiford-Truman graduate-student award to JMW used to partially fund travel of the CK9 team. We are grateful to the local communities of the Uxpanapa Valley for their invaluable knowledge and help during our fieldwork. A special thanks to the Centro de Investigaciones Tropicales for supporting our research. We acknowledge the working dog Scooby, of the UW Conservation Canines, for his tireless energy and contribution to a good-natured field team. Finally, we thank the anonymous reviewers whose helpful comments improved this manuscript.

#### CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

None.

#### DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Model outputs are available in supporting information. The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The editor in chief has waived the required archiving due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

#### ORCID

Aralisa Shedden-González  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1804-9043>

[org/0000-0002-1804-9043](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1804-9043)

Amanda H. Korstjens  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9587-4020>

#### REFERENCES

- Ackerman, B. B., Lindzey, F. G., & Hemker, T. P. (1984). Cougar food habits in southern Utah. *Journal of Wildlife Management*, 48, 147–155.
- Alvarenga, G. C., Chiaverini, L., Cushman, S. A., Dröge, E., Macdonald, D. W., Kantek, D. L. Z., Morato, R. G., Thompson, J. J., Oscar, R. B., Abade, L., de Azevedo, F. C. C., & Kaszta, Z. (2021). Multi-scale path-level analysis of jaguar habitat use in the Pantanal ecosystem. *Biological Conservation*, 253, 108900.
- Aranda, M. (2000). *Huellas y otros rastros de los mamíferos grandes y medianos de México* (p. 212). Instituto de Ecología.
- Aranda, M., & Sánchez-Cordero, V. (1996). Prey spectra of jaguar (*Panthera onca*) and puma (*Puma concolor*) in tropical forests of Mexico. *Studies on Neotropical Fauna and Environment*, 31, 65–67.



- Arita, H., & Aranda, M. (1987). Técnicas para el estudio y clasificación de los pelos. In *Cuadernos de divulgación INIREB* No. 32. 21. Instituto Nacional de Investigaciones Sobre Recursos Bióticos.
- Ávila-Nájera, D. M., Palomares, F., Chávez, C., Tigar, B., & Mendoza, G. D. (2018). Jaguar (*Panthera onca*) and puma (*Puma concolor*) diets in Quintana Roo, Mexico. *Animal Biodiversity and Conservation*, *41*, 257–266.
- Baca-Ibarra, I., & Sánchez-Cordero, V. (2004). Catálogo de pelos de guardia en mamíferos del estado de Oaxaca, México. In *Anales del Instituto de Biología* (Vol. 75, pp. 383–437). Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Serie Zoología.
- Barton, K. (2022). *MuMIn: Multi-Model Inference*. R package version 1.46.0. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=MuMIn>
- Bidner, L. R. (2014). Primates on the menu: Direct and indirect effects of predation on primate communities. *International Journal of Primatology*, *35*, 1164–1177.
- Campbell, C. J., Aureli, F., Chapman, C. A., Ramos-Fernández, G., Matthews, K., Russo, S. E., Suarez, S., & Vick, L. (2005). Terrestrial behavior of *Ateles* spp. *International Journal of Primatology*, *26*, 1039–1051.
- Carvajal-Hernández, C. I., Guzman-Jacob, V., Smith, A. R., & Kromer, T. (2018). A new species, new combinations in *Pecluma* and *Pleopeltis*, and new records for the state of Veracruz, Mexico. *American Fern Journal*, *108*, 139–150.
- Ceballos, G., de la Torre, J. A., Zarza, H., Huerta, M., Lazcano-Barrero, M. A., Barcenas, H., Cassaigne, I., Chávez, C., Carreón, G., Caso, A., Carvajal, S., & Torres-Romero, E. J. (2021). Jaguar distribution, biological corridors and protected areas in Mexico: From science to public policies. *Landscape Ecology*, *36*, 3287–3309.
- Chaves, P. B., Graeff, V. G., Lion, M. B., Oliveira, L. R., & Eizirik, E. (2011). DNA barcoding meets molecular scatology: Short mtDNA sequences for standardized species assignment of carnivore noninvasive samples. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, *12*, 1–18.
- CITES. (2022). *Apéndices I, II y III*. <https://cites.org/esp/app/appendices.php>
- Craighead, K. A. (2019). *A multi-scale analysis of jaguar (Panthera onca) and puma (Puma concolor) habitat selection and conservation in the narrowest section of Panama*. PhD dissertation, Antioch University. Retrieved from Open Access to Scholarly Research Antioch University. <https://aura.antioch.edu/etds/474/>
- Crawley, M. (2007). *The R book*. Wiley.
- Cuarón, A. D. (1997). Conspecific aggression and predation: Costs for a solitary mantled howler monkey. *Folia Primatologica*, *68*, 100–105. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000157237>
- Cuarón, A. D., Shedden, A., Rodríguez-Luna, E., de Grammont, P. C., & Link, A. (2020). *Alouatta palliata* ssp. *mexicana*. The IUCN red list of threatened species 2020: E.T925A17978896. <https://doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2020-2.RLTS.T925A17978896.en> Accessed on 20 March 2023.
- De Thoisy, B., Fayad, I., Clément, L., Barrioz, S., Poirier, E., & Gond, V. (2016). Predators, prey and habitat structure: Can key conservation areas and early signs of population collapse be detected in neotropical forests? *PLoS One*, *11*(11), e0165362.
- Delgado-Martínez, C. M., Spaan, D., Contreras-Moreno, F. M., Simá-Pantí, D. E., & Mendoza, E. (2021). Spider monkey use of natural and artificial terrestrial water sources in Calakmul, Mexico. *Behaviour*, *158*, 161–175.
- di Fiore, A. (2002). Predator sensitive foraging in ateline primates. In L. E. Miller (Ed.), *Eat or be eaten* (pp. 242–267). New York.
- di Minin, E., Slotow, R., Hunter, L. T., Montesino Pouzols, F., Toivonen, T., Verburg, P. H., Leader-Williams, N., Petracca, L., & Moilanen, A. (2016). Global priorities for national carnivore conservation under land use change. *Scientific Reports*, *6*, 1–9.
- Dormann, C. F., Elith, J., & Bacher, S. (2013). Collinearity: A review of methods to deal with it and a simulation study evaluating their performance. *Echography*, *36*, 27–46.
- Dunn, J. C., Shedden-González, A., Cristóbal-Azkarate, J., Cortés-Ortiz, L., Rodríguez-Luna, E., & Knapp, L. A. (2013). Limited genetic diversity in the critically endangered Mexican howler monkey (*Alouatta palliata mexicana*) in the Selva Zoque, Mexico. *Primates*, *55*, 155–160.
- Foster, R. J., & Harmsen, B. J. (2022). Dietary similarity among jaguars (*Panthera onca*) in a high-density population. *PLoS One*, *17*, e0274891.
- Foster, R. J., Harmsen, B. J., Macdonald, D. W., Collins, J., Urbina, Y., García, R., & Doncaster, C. P. (2016). Wild meat: A shared resource amongst people and predators. *Oryx*, *50*, 63–75.
- Foster, R. J., Harmsen, B. J., Valdes, B., Pomilla, C., & Doncaster, C. P. (2010). Food habits of sympatric jaguars and pumas across a gradient of human disturbance. *Journal of Zoology*, *280*, 309–318.
- Galán-Acedo, C., Arroyo-Rodríguez, V., Estrada, A., & Ramos-Fernández, G. (2018). Drivers of the spatial scale that best predict primate responses to landscape structure. *Ecography*, *41*, 2027–2037.
- Galindo-Aguilar, R. E., Luna-Olivera, B. C., Ramírez-Ibáñez, M., & Lavariega, M. C. (2022). Spatiotemporal co-occurrence of predators and prey in a neotropical mammal community in southern Mexico. *Journal of Tropical Ecology*, *38*, 285–294.
- Hart, D. (2007). Predation on primates: A biogeographical analysis. In S. L. Gursky & K. A. I. Nekaris (Eds.), *Primate anti-predator strategies* (pp. 27–59). Chicago.
- Hayward, M. W., Kamler, J. F., Montgomery, R. A., Newlove, A., Rostro-García, S., Sales, L. P., & van Valkenburgh, B. (2016). Prey preferences of the jaguar *Panthera onca* reflect the post-Pleistocene demise of large prey. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution*, *3*, 148.
- Hernández-Gómez, I., Ellis, E. A., & Gómez, C. A. G. (2013). Aplicación de teledetección y sistemas de información geográfica para el análisis de deforestación y deterioro de selvas tropicales en la región Uxpanapa, Veracruz. *Revista Internacional de Ciencia y Tecnología de la Información Geográfica*, *13*, 1–24.
- Hernández-SaintMartín, A. D., Rosas-Rosas, O. C., Palacio-Núñez, J., Tarango-Arambula, L. A., Clemente-Sánchez, F., & Hoogesteijn, A. L. (2015). Food habits of jaguar and puma in a protected area and adjacent fragmented landscape of northeastern Mexico. *Natural Areas Journal*, *35*, 308–317.
- Irwin, M. T., Raharison, J. L., & Wright, P. C. (2009). Spatial and temporal variability in predation on rainforest primates: Do forest fragmentation and predation act synergistically? *Animal Conservation*, *12*, 220–230.
- ISEC. (2022). *Status and population trends for wild cats*. Accessed October 2022, <https://wildcatconservation.org/wild-cats/status-of-wild-cats/>
- Juárez, D., Estrada, C., Bustamante, M., Quintana, Y., Moreira, J., & López, J. E. (2010). Guía ilustrada de pelos para la identificación de mamíferos medianos y mayores de Guatemala. In *Dirección General de Investigación (DIGI)*. Universidad de San Carlos de Guatemala.
- Link, A., & Di Fiore, A. (2013). Effects of predation risk on the grouping patterns of white-bellied spider monkeys (*Ateles belzebuth belzebuth*) in Western Amazonia. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*, *150*, 579–590.
- Lira-Torres, I., Briones-Salas, M., Gómez de Anda, F. R., Ojeda-Ramírez, D., & Peláez Acero, A. (2014). Uso y aprovechamiento de fauna silvestre en la selva Zoque, México. *Acta Zoológica Mexicana*, *30*, 74–90.
- Magioli, M., & Paschoaletto, K. M. (2021). Deforestation leads to prey shrinkage for an apex predator in a biodiversity hotspot. *Mammal Research*, *66*, 245–255.
- Martínez-Mota, R., Valdespino, C., Sánchez-Ramos, M., & Serio-Silva, J. (2007). Effects of forest fragmentation on the physiological stress response of black howler monkeys. *Animal Conservation*, *10*, 374–379.
- Matsuda, I., & Izawa, K. (2008). Predation of wild spider monkeys at La Macarena, Colombia. *Primates*, *49*, 65–68.

- McKinney, T. (2009). Anthropogenic change and primate predation risk: Crested caracaras (*Caracara plancus*) attempt predation on mantled howler monkeys (*Alouatta palliata*). *Neotropical Primates*, 16, 24–27.
- Mourthe, I., & Barnett, A. A. (2014). Crying tapir: The functionality of errors and accuracy in predator recognition in two neotropical high-canopy primates. *Folia Primatologica*, 85, 379–398.
- Nielsen, C., Thompson, D., Kelly, M., & Lopez-Gonzalez, C.A. (2015). *Puma concolor* (errata version published in 2016). The IUCN red list of threatened species 2015: E.T18868A97216466. <https://doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2015-4.RLTS.T18868A50663436.en>. Accessed 11 October 2022.
- Novack, A. J., Main, M. B., Sunquist, M. E., & Labisky, R. F. (2005). Foraging ecology of jaguar (*Panthera onca*) and puma (*Puma concolor*) in hunted and non-hunted sites within the Maya biosphere reserve, Guatemala. *Journal of Zoology*, 267, 167–178.
- Paviolo, A., Cruz, P., Iezzi, M. E., Martínez Pardo, J., Varela, D., de Angelo, C., Benito, S., Vanderhoeven, E., Palacio, L., Quiroga, V., Arrabal, J. P., Costa, S., & Di Bitetti, M. S. (2018). Barriers, corridors or suitable habitat? Effect of monoculture tree plantations on the habitat use and prey availability for jaguars and pumas in the Atlantic Forest. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 430, 576–586.
- Pech-Canché, J. M., Sosa-Escalante, J. E., & Koyoc-Cruz, M. E. (2009). Guía para la identificación de pelos de guardia de mamíferos no voladores del estado de Yucatán, México. *Revista Mexicana de Mastozoología*, 13, 7–33.
- Peetz, A., Norconk, M. A., & Kinzey, W. G. (1992). Predation by jaguar on howler monkeys (*Alouatta seniculus*) in Venezuela. *American Journal of Primatology*, 28, 223–228.
- Pérez-Irribe, G., & Santos-Moreno, A. (2016). Abundance, herd size, activity pattern and occupancy of ungulates in southeastern Mexico. *Animal Biology*, 66, 97–109.
- Peterson, B. G., & Carl, P. (2020). *PerformanceAnalytics: Econometric tools for performance and risk analysis*. R Package version 2.0.4. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=PerformanceAnalytics>
- Piña-Covarrubias, E., Chávez, C., Chapman, M. A., Morales, M., Elizalde-Arellano, C., & Doncaster, C. P. (2023). Ecology of large felids and their prey in small reserves of the Yucatán peninsula of Mexico. *Journal of Mammalogy*, 104, 115–127.
- Quigley, H., Foster, R., Petracca, L., Payan, E., Salom, R., & Harmsen, B. (2017). *Panthera onca* (errata version published in 2018). The IUCN red list of threatened species 2017: E.T15953A123791436. <https://doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2017-3.RLTS.T15953A50658693.en>. Accessed 22 June 2022.
- R Core Team. (2020). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. R foundation for statistical computing. Accessed September 2021. <http://www.R-project.org/>
- Ramos-Fernández, G. (2008). Communication in spider monkeys: The function and mechanisms underlying the use of the whinny. In C. Campbell (Ed.), *Spider monkeys: Behavior, ecology and evolution of the genus Ateles* (pp. 220–235). Cambridge University Press.
- Ripple, W. J., Estes, J. A., Beschta, R. L., Wilmers, C. C., Ritchie, E. G., Hebblewhite, M., Berger, J., Elmhagen, B., Letnic, M., & Nelson, M. P. (2014). Status and ecological effects of the world's largest carnivores. *Science*, 343, 1241484.
- Rodríguez-Soto, C., Monroy-Vilchis, O., Maiorano, L., Boitani, L., Faller, J. C., Briones, M. Á., Núñez, R., Rosas-Rosas, O., Ceballos, G., & Falcucci, A. (2011). Predicting potential distribution of the jaguar (*Panthera onca*) in Mexico: Identification of priority areas for conservation. *Diversity and Distributions*, 17, 350–361.
- Rosales-Meda, M., Cortes-Ortiz, L., Canales Espinosa, D., Marsh, L. K., Rylands, A. B., & Mittermeier, R. A. (2020). *Ateles geoffroyi ssp. vellerosus*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Accessed 20 March 2023. <https://doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2020-3.RLTS.T16082795A17979441.en>
- Rubio-Rocha, Y. G., Gaxiola, S. M., Chávez, C., Ceballos, G., Bojorquez, C., & Diaz, D. (2023). Jaguar (*Panthera onca*) food resource use and its interaction with humans: Scoping review. *Veterinaria México OA*, 10, 1–27.
- Sandom, C. J., Williams, J., Burnham, D., Dickman, A. J., Hinks, A. E., Macdonald, E. A., Macdonald, D. W., & Leung, B. (2017). Deconstructed cat communities: Quantifying the threat to felids from prey defaunation. *Diversity and Distributions*, 23, 667–679.
- Santos, J. L., Paschoal, A. M., Massara, R. L., & Chiarello, A. G. (2014). High consumption of primates by pumas and ocelots in a remnant of the Brazilian Atlantic Forest. *Brazilian Journal of Biology*, 74, 632–641.
- Schwitzer, C., Glatt, L., Nekaris, K. A. I., & Ganzhorn, J. U. (2011). Responses of animals to habitat alteration: An overview focussing on primates. *Endangered Species Research*, 14, 31–38.
- SEMARNAT. (2010). *Norma Oficial Mexicana NOM-059-ECOL-2010, protección ambiental-especies nativas de México de flora y fauna silvestres-Categorías de riesgo y especificaciones para su inclusión, exclusión o cambio-Lista de especies en riesgo*. Diario Oficial de la Federación.
- Shedden-Gonzalez, A. C. (2016). Using primates to establish priority conservation sites in Mexico. PhD dissertation, Bournemouth University. Faculty of Science and Technology. <https://eprints.bournemouth.ac.uk/24978/>
- Shedden, A., Dunn, J. C., Martínez-Mota, R., Cristobal-Azkarate, J., Gillingham, P. K., MacSwiney-Gonzalez, C., Newton, A. C., Rodríguez-Luna, E., & Korstjens, A. H. (2022). Forest maturity has a stronger influence on the prevalence of spider monkeys than howler monkeys in an anthropogenically impacted rainforest landscape. *Primates*, 63, 283–291.
- Slater, H., Gillingham, P. K., Pratt, V., Eaton, B., Fletcher, S., Abdullah, A., & Korstjens, A. H. (2023). *Living on the edge: Forest edge effects on microclimate and terrestrial mammal activity in disturbed lowland forest in Sumatra*. *Oryx* (in press).
- Solórzano-García, B., Zubillaga, D., Piñero, D., & Vázquez-Domínguez, E. (2021). Conservation implications of living in forest remnants: Inbreeding and genetic structure of the northernmost mantled howler monkeys. *Biotropica*, 53, 1163–1177.
- Soria-Díaz, L., Fowler, M. S., Monroy-Vilchis, O., & Oro, D. (2018). Functional responses of cougars (*Puma concolor*) in a multiple prey-species system. *Integrative Zoology*, 13, 84–93.
- Tórrez, L., Robles, N., González, A., & Crofoot, M. C. (2012). Risky business? Lethal attack by a jaguar sheds light on the costs of predator mobbing for capuchins (*Cebus capucinus*). *International Journal of Primatology*, 33, 440–446.
- Urbani, B. (2005). The targeted monkey: A re-evaluation of predation on New World primates. *Journal of Anthropological Sciences*, 83, 89–109.
- Venables, W. N., & Ripley, B. D. (2002). *Modern applied statistics with S* (4th ed.). Springer.
- Wasser, S. K., Davenport, B., Ramage, E. R., Hunt, K. E., Parker, M., Clarke, C., & Stenhouse, G. (2004). Scat detection dogs in wildlife research and management: Application to grizzly and black bears in the yellowhead ecosystem, Alberta, Canada. *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, 82, 475–492.
- White, J. M. (2017). Exploring drivers of gene flow in jaguars and pumas in southern Mexico via molecular scatology and eco-evo simulations, PhD dissertation, University of Washington. Retrieved from Research Work Archives <https://digital.lib.washington.edu/researchworks/handle/1773/38586>
- Wolf, C., & Ripple, W. J. (2016). Prey depletion as a threat to the world's large carnivores. *Royal Society Open Science*, 3, 160252.
- World Wide Fund for Nature–Fundación Carlos Slim. (2018). *Selva Zoque*. Accessed 19 June 2022, <https://fundacioncarlosslim.org/conoce-trabajo-la-alianza-wwf-fundacion-carlos-slim-en-selva-zoque/>
- Youlatos, D., & Guillot, D. (2015). Howler monkey positional behavior. In M. M. Kowalewski (Ed.), *Howler monkeys, developments in primatology: Progress and prospects* (pp. 191–218). New York.

Zanin, M., Adrados, B., González, N., Roques, S., Brito, D., Chávez, C., Rubio, Y., & Palomares, F. (2016). Gene flow and genetic structure of the puma and jaguar in Mexico. *European Journal of Wildlife Research*, 62, 461–469.

#### SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

**How to cite this article:** Shedden-González, A., Solórzano-García, B., White, J. M., Gillingham, P. K., & Korstjens, A. H. (2023). Drivers of jaguar (*Panthera onca*) and puma (*Puma concolor*) predation on endangered primates within a transformed landscape in southern Mexico. *Biotropica*, 55, 1058–1068. <https://doi.org/10.1111/btp.13253>