ARCHAEOLOGICAL EVALUATION, LAND USE AND DEVELOPMENT:

An application of Decision Analysis to current practices within the local government development control processes in England.

Ruth Waller

Volume 2: Illustrations and Appendices

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements of Bournemouth University for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

March 2008

THESIS CONTAINS CD

Volume 2:

List of Illustrations

Figure 1: Archaeological techniques used in pre-determination Field Evaluations in England between 1982 and 1999.....242
Figure 2: Performance scores for Field Evaluation techniques.....242
Figure 3: The modelled Decision Situation within the Decision Environment.....243
Figure 4: Decision Framework providing the structure of research.....243
Figure 5: Diagram of Archaeological Assessment process 244
Figure 6: Expanded Model of Archaeological Assessment process.....245
Figure 7: Process Model of Stage 1: Appraisal.....246
Figure 8: Process Model of Stage 2: Desk Based Assessment.....247
Figure 9: Process Model of Stage 3: Field Evaluation.....248
Figure 10: Decision Framework for all Decision Making Points within Stages 1 to 3 of Archaeological Appraisal procedures.....249
Figure 11: A three-dimensional Model of the Scales of the Decision Situation of DMP 12b viewed from the side.....250
Figure 12 A three-dimensional Model of the Scales of the Decision Situation of DMP 12b viewed from above.....250
Figure 13: Model of the operation of the Decision Situation of DMP 12b.....251
Figure 14: Shannon's communication model.....251
Figure 15: The relationship between the Message Source and Message Retriever within Decision-making Point 12b.....252
Figure 16: The three dimensional model of the scales of Archaeological Information viewed from the side.....253
Figure 17: The three dimensional model of the scales of Archaeological Information viewed from above.....253
Figure 18: A Model of the Logical Operation of the Decision Situation of DMP 12b.....254
Figure 19: Table of Primary Raw Capta Characteristics recorded for the six questions of DMP 12b.....255
Figure 20: Deposit Groups recorded from the Case Study Sample.....256
Figure 21: Feature Groups recorded from the Case Study Sample.....257
Figure 22: Structure Groups recorded from the Case Study Sample.....258
Figure 23: The geographic area of Southern England with shaded Counties selected for Case Study Sample.....259
Figure 24: Table of number of Case Study sites per County and type of development.....260
Figure 25: Broad classifications of Archaeological Periods in England in chronological order.....261
Figure 26: Past Landscape Use Patterns 1.0: Natural or Managed Past Landscape Uses.....262
Figure 27: Past Landscape Use Patterns 2.0: Human Settlement Landscape Uses.....263
Figure 28: Past Landscape Use Patterns 3.0: Other Human Landscape Uses.....264
Figure 29: Example of a completed Case Study Site Data Recording Sheet.....265
Figure 30: Example of a completed Case Study Field Evaluation intervention Data Recording Sheet.....266

Figure 31: Example of a completed Case Study Excavation intervention Data Recording Sheet..... 267
Figure 32: The Database Switchboard Form..... 268
Figure 33: The Query Switchboard Form.....269
Figure 34: The main Database Switchboard Form..... 270
Figure 35: The Topographic Features Form.....271
Figure 36: The Natural Resources Form.....272
Figure 37: The Situation (1) Form.....273
Figure 38: The Situation (2) Form.....274
Figure 39: The Local Landscape Use Patterns Form.....275
Figure 40: The Interventions Form for Sipson Lane Evaluation Trenching.....276
Figure 41: The Interventions Form for Sipson Lane Post-evaluation Excavation....277
Figure 42: Archaeological techniques recorded from Field Evaluations carried out in England between 1990 and 1999.....278
Figure 43: A blank example of a Decision Matrix.....279
Figure 44: Field-walking interventions recorded from the Case Study sample.....279
Figure 45: Test Pit and Field walking results plan taken from Prospect Park Evaluation Report..... 280
Figure 46: Part of the Magnetometer results plan taken from Monkston Park (All Areas) Evaluation Report..... 281
Figure 47: Geophysical Survey interventions recorded from the Case Study Sample..... 282
Figure 48: Table of % Sample sizes recorded from the Case Study Trenching Interventions..... 284
Figure 49: Table of Targeting details recorded from the Case Study Trenching interventions.....286
Figure 50: Site plan showing Trenches Targeted at gaps between existing buildings at Queen Mary Hospital site.....288
Figure 51: Site plan showing Trenches Targeted at gaps between existing buildings at Townmead School site.....289
Figure 52: Site plan showing Trenches Targeted at evidence from Prior Knowledge and blank areas at Little Marlow.....290
Figure 53: Site plan showing Non-targeted Trenches at Saltwood Tunnel..... 291
Figure 54: Site plan showing Non-targeted Trenches at Blind Lane.....292
Figure 55: Trench Array types identified by PLANARCH study of Archaeological Field Evaluations..... 293
Figure 56: Table of Trench Arrays recorded from the Case study sites.....294
Figure 57: Site plan showing N-S and E-W Standard Grid Trenching Array at Brisley Farm Areas 1-4.....296
Figure 58: Site plan showing Standard Grid Trenching with SE-NW and NE-SW alignments Array at Kingsnorth Power Station..... 297
Figure 59: Site plan showing Parallel Trench Array at Shrubsoles.....298
Figure 60: Site plan showing Parallel Trench Array at the RAF Wattisham site....299
Figure 61: Site plan showing Linear Trench Array at the linear road development at Palgrave.....300
Figure 62: Site plan showing Linear Trench Array at the Copdock site..... 301
Figure 63: Site plan showing Non-standard Trenching Array at Cobham Park Golf Course 1..... 302
Figure 64: Table showing Gaps between Trenches and Trench Lengths recorded from the Case Study Sample sites.....303

Figure 65: Table of Combinations of Field Evaluation techniques from the Case Study sample.....306
Figure 66: Total Periods Present Date scores for Alternative Courses of Action.....307
Figure 67: Negative and Positive results for Periods present measured from Case Study sample.....307
Figure 68: Geophysical Survey Performance Scores for period identification..... 308
Figure 69: Field-walking Performance Scores for period identification..... 308
Figure 70: Trial trenching Performance Scores for period identification.....309
Figure 71: Performance Scores of Combined Trenching and Field-walking for period identification.....309
Figure 72: Performance Scores of Combined Trenching and Geophysical Survey for period identification.....310
Figure 73: Performance Scores of Combined Trenching, Field-walking and Geophysical Survey for period identification.....310
Figure 74: Comparison of Performance Scores for period identification for all single and combined Field Evaluation Techniques measured from the Case Study sample.....311
Figure 75: The Decision Matrix for identification of Periods Present from the Case Study sample.....312
Figure 76: The spread of Type Scores for Trenching Interventions arranged in sequential order for Probability Ranking into groups representing Good and Poor Performance Scores.....313
Figure 77: Comparison of Performance Scores for Feature Type identification for single Field Evaluation Techniques measured from the Case Study sample.....314
Figure 78: The Decision Matrix for identification of Feature Types from the Case Study sample.....315
Figure 79: Performance Scores of Trenching for Composite and Isolated Landscape Use Patterns.....316
Figure 80: Performance Scores of Trenching for Settlement Landscape Use Patterns.....316
Figure 81: Performance Scores of Trenching for Composite and Isolated Land Division Boundary Landscape Use Patterns.....317
Figure 82: Performance Scores of Trenching for Composite and Isolated Agricultural Landscape Use Patterns.....317
Figure 83: Performance Scores of Trenching for Composite and Isolated Funerary and Ritual Landscape Use Patterns.....318
Figure 84: Decision Matrix for Trenching of Past Landscape Use Patterns..... 319
Figure 85: Table of Premises of Propositions based on Known Presence of archaeological remains recorded from the Case Study sites.....320
Figure 86: Table of Premises of Propositions based on General Landscape Model Premises for Prediction of Presence of archaeological remains recorded from the Case Study sites.....321
Figure 87: Proportions of Sound and other Premises for Probability of Presence indicators recorded from the Case Study sample..... 323
Figure 88: Proportions of Composite and Isolated Past Landscape Uses recorded from the Case Study sample sites by period.....323
Figure 89: Proportions of Composite and Isolated Funerary/ritual Past Landscape Uses recorded from Case Study sample sites by period..... 324

Figure 90: Patterns of Change and Continuity between contiguous periods recorded from the Case Study sample..... 324
Figure 91: Continuity of Composite Past Landscape Uses.....325
Figure 92: Continuity of Isolated Past Landscape Uses..... 325
Figure 93: Change from Composite to Isolated Past Landscape Uses..... 326
Figure 94: Change from Isolated to Composite Past Landscape Uses..... 326
Figure 95: Change from Composite/Isolated to No Activity Past Landscape Uses.....327
Figure 96: Proportions of Gap periods for Past Landscape Uses..... 327
Figure 97: Tables of Features comprising the Composite Settlement Past Landscape Use Patterns recorded from the Case Study.....328
Figure 98: The spatial arrangement of Bronze Age Settlement at the Blind Lane site.....330
Figure 99: The spatial arrangement of Bronze Age Settlement at the Innova Business Park site..... 331
Figure 100: The spatial arrangement of Bronze Age Settlement at the site at the A24 Ashington Bypass..... 332
Figure 101: The spatial arrangement of Bronze Age Settlement at the Hurst Park site.....333
Figure 102: The spatial arrangement of Bronze Age Settlement at Sipson Lane....333
Figure 103: Correlations between Topographic Factors and Composite Settlement Past Landscape Use Patterns recorded from Case Study...334
Figure 104: Correlations between Physical Affordance Factors and Composite Settlement Past Landscape Use Patterns recorded from Case Study...334
Figure 105: The Correlation of Sample Size and Total Date Performance with Polynomial Trendline.....335
Figure 106: The Extended Correlation of Sample Size and Total Date Performance using the Polynomial Trendline..... 335
Figure 107: The Correlation of Sample Size and Type Performance by period with the Polynomial Trendline..... 336
Figure 108: The Correlation of Sample Size and Type Performance for the Bronze Age period with the Polynomial Trendline.....336
Figure 109: The Correlation of Sample Size and Type Performance for the Iron Age period with the Polynomial Trendline.....337
Figure 110: The Correlation of Sample Size and Type Performance for the Roman period with the Polynomial Trendline..... 337
Figure 111: The Correlation of Sample Size and Type Performance for the Saxon period with the Polynomial Trendline..... 338
Figure 112: The Correlation of Sample Size and Type Performance for the Medieval period with the Polynomial Trendline.....338
Figure 113: The Correlation of Trench Length and Date Performance Scores with the Polynomial Trendline..... 339
Figure 114: The Correlation of Trench Length and Type Performance Scores with the Polynomial Trendline.....339
Figure 115: The Correlation of Gaps between Trenches and Date Performance Scores with the Polynomial Trendline.....340
Figure 116: The Correlation of Gaps between Trenches and Type Performance Scores with the Polynomial Trendline..... 340
Figure 117: The proportion of different types of Targeted Trenching Interventions in the Case Study sample.....341

Figure 118: The proportion of Targeted to Non-targeted Trenching Interventions in the Case Study sample..... 341
Figure 119: The comparison of Good, Fair and Poor Performance Scores between Targeted and Non-targeted Trenching Interventions from the Case Study sample..... 342
Figure 120: The proportions of Good Performance Scores between Targeted and Non-targeted Trenching Interventions from the Case Study sample..... 342
Figure 121: The proportions of Good, Fair and Poor Performance of Date Scores between the two different Trenching arrays recorded from the Case Study sample..... 343
Figure 122: The proportions of Good Performance Type Scores for each period between the two different Trenching arrays recorded from the Case Study sample..... 343
Figure 123: A Decision Matrix for Trenching Sample Percentage size correlated to increased Type Performance Scores recorded from the Case Study sample..... 344
Figure 124: Model of External Decision Situation Elements of Costs influencing Decision-making at Decision-making Point 12b..... 344
Figure 125: The remodelled Process Model for a Staged Field Evaluation Approach..... 345
Figure 126: The remodelled Process of Decision-making Point 12b showing the provision of systematically gathered information and Predictive Models..... 346
Figure 127: Model of Practice required for Staged Evaluation approach..... 347
Figure 128: Model of Practice required for removal of Field Evaluation Stage..... 348
Figure 129: Model of Practice required for the use Deductive Predictive Models.. 349

List of Appendices

Appendix 1: List of Archaeological Reports in random grab sample used for the identification of Deposits, Features and Structures Capta Types..... 350
Appendix 2: Topographic Features associated with archaeological remains from the Case Study sites.....352
Appendix 3: Water supply, Geology and Soils associated with archaeological remains from the Case Study sites.....356
Appendix 4: Other human activity associated with archaeological remains from the case study sites..... 367
Appendix 5: List of Archaeological Contractor's unpublished Client archaeological reports analysed in Case Study sample of rural sites...377
Appendix 6: Database of recorded Case Study Data.....389
Appendix 7: The Analysis Results Database.....389
Appendix 8: Past Landscape Use Patterns and Features identified by period from the Case Study sample of 100 sites.....390
Appendix 9: Local Past Landscape Use Patterns Model from the Case Study 411

[Note: Appendices 6 & 7 supplied on a CD readable with Microsoft Access].

Chapter 1: Illustrations

Figure 1: Archaeological techniques used in pre-determination Field Evaluations in England between 1982 and 1999. (compiled from data in Darvill & Russell 2002).



Figure 2: Performance scores for Field Evaluation techniques (compiled from data in Hey & Lacey 2001).



Figure 3: The modelled Decision Situation within the Decision Environment



Figure 4: Decision Framework providing the structure of research

Stage No:	Process	Assumption	Theoretical tools
1	Identify Decision	None None	Define Decision Type Define Decision Situation
2	Identify objective	None	Choose Decision Strategy
3	Collect data	Statistically valid sampling	Statistical sampling strategy
4	Identify Alternative Courses of Action	Certainty	Probability theory
5	Identify States of Nature	Certainty	Probability theory
6	Identify Decision Options and Predict Outcomes	Certainty	Probability theory Estimation theory
7	Identify Probability of occurrence of Outcomes	Probability	Probability theories
8	Select evaluation criteria	Quantifiable criteria	Statistical theory
9	Evaluate each course of action	Preference Assessment	Utility theory, Probability theory
10	Make the decision	Risk	Risk Assessment theory.

Chapter 2: Illustrations

Figure 5: Diagram of Archaeological Assessment process taken from Planning for the Past (English Heritage 1995, Figure 1).



Figure 6: Expanded Model of Archaeological Assessment process



Figure 7: Process Model of Stage 1: Appraisal



Figure 8: Process Model of Stage 2: Desk Based Assessment



Figure 9: Process Model of Stage 3: Field Evaluation



Figure 10: Decision Framework for all Decision Making Points within Stages 1 to 3 of Archaeological Appraisal procedures.

Arch. Assess. Stage:	DMP No:	Question:	Decision Type	Number of alternatives	Choice type	Tools to assist choice
Appraisal	DMP1	Is an Environmental Assessment required ?	Simple	2	1 from 2	Compare values of both alternative and use objectives to select one.
Appraisal	DMP2	Should an appraisal be undertaken ?	Simple	2	1 from 2	Compare values of both alternative and use objectives to select one.
Appraisal	DMP3	Is the site archaeologically sensitive ?	Simple	2	1 from 2	Compare values of both alternative and use objectives to select one.
Appraisal	DMP4	Is the archaeology affected by the development ?	Simple	2	1 from 2	Compare values of both alternative and use objectives to select one.
Appraisal	DMP5	Is further action required ?	Simple	2	1 from 2	Compare values of both alternative and use objectives to select one.
Desk-based Assessment	DMP6	Have we got enough information to move to Stage 3 ?	Simple	2	1 from 2	Compare values of both alternative and use objectives to select one.
Desk-based Assessment	DMP7	Are there any other sources of information which need to be gathered ?	Simple	2	1 from 2	Compare values of both alternative and use objectives to select one.
Desk-based Assessment	DMP8	Is the site archaeologically sensitive ?	Simple	2	1 from 2	Compare values of both alternative and use objectives to select one.
Desk-based Assessment	DMP9	Does the development impact upon the archaeology ?	Simple	2	1 from 2	Compare values of both alternative and use objectives to select one.
Desk-based Assessment	DMP10	Is the archaeology important enough to require further action ?	Simple	2	1 from 2	Compare values of both alternative and use objectives to select one.
Desk-based Assessment	DMP11	Do we have enough information to move to Stage 5 (Mitigation) ?	Simple	2	1 from 2	Compare values of both alternative and use objectives to select one.
Field Evaluation	DMP12a	What is the location, extent, date, type, preservation and fragility of the archaeological remains on this site	Simple	2	1 from 2	Use Prior Knowledge and expert models to provide the explanation required.
Field Evaluation	DMP12b	Which techniques and strategies will answer all of the questions ?	Portfolio	More than 6, but limited resources	Combination of many	Use Prioritisation to choose complex combination from all alternative Actions.
Field Evaluation	DMP13	What is the importance of the archaeology compared to the impact of the development within the context of wider environmental, legal and planning issues ?	Portfolio	More than 6, but limited resources	Combination of many	Use Prioritisation to choose complex combination from all alternative Actions.

Chapter 3: Illustrations

Figure 11: A Model of the Scales of the Decision Situation of DMP 12b viewed from the side



Figure 12 A Model of the Scales of the Decision Situation of DMP 12b viewed from above



Figure 13: Model of the operation of the Decision Situation of DMP 12b



Figure 14: Shannon's communication model (taken from Shannon 1949)



Figure 15: The relationship between the Message Source and Message Retriever within Decision-making Point 12b.



Figure 16: The Model of the scales of Archaeological Information viewed from the side.



Figure 17: The Model of the scales of Archaeological Information viewed from above



Figure 18: A Model of the Logical Operation of the Decision Situation of DMP 12b



Figure 19: Table of Primary Raw Capta Characteristics recorded for the six questions of DMP 12b (taken from Molas 1994)

Explained raw capta	Raw capta group 1: Deposit matrix	Raw capta group 2: deposit interface	Raw capta group 3: Artefacts	Raw capta group 4: Ecofacts
What is the date of the remains ?	Shape of deposit; Orientation; Form of deposit; Type of deposit; Date of deposit;	Stratigraphic relationships to deposits; Stratigraphic relationships to artefacts; Stratigraphic relationships to ecofacts;	Artefact form; Date of manufacture; Date of deposition; Residuality;	Species or components types; Absolute age at death or deposition (Scientific methods);
Nature of remains	Shape of deposit; Orientation; Deposit composition; Deposit compaction; Form of deposit; Type of deposit;	Stratigraphic relationships to deposits; Stratigraphic relationships to artefacts; Stratigraphic raelationships to ecofacts;	Material type; Nature of materials; Completeness; Artefact form; Artefact size; Decoration; Surface treatment; Evidence for manufacture; Evidence for function; Evidence for use;	Species or component types; Parts of species or components present; Sex; Size; Number of individuals; Shape; Post death treatment;
How fragile	Extent of deposit; Deposit composition; Deposit compaction; Type of deposit; Location of deposits;	Stratigraphic relationships to deposits; Stratigraphic relationships to artefacts; Stratigraphic relationships to ecofacts;	Nature of materials; Condition of materials; Artefact form; Completeness; Residuality;	Parts of species or components present; Post death treatment; Component condition;
State of preservation	Extent of deposit; Deposit composition; Shape of deposit; Location of deposit;	Stratigraphic relationships to deposits; Stratigraphic relationships to artefacts; Stratigraphic relationships to ecofacts;	Nature of materials; Condition of materials; Completeness; Artefact form;Artefact size; Decoration;	Parts of species or components present; Size; Component condition;
Extent of remains	Extent of deposit; Shape of deposit; Form of deposit; Type of deposit;	Stratigraphic relationships to deposits; Stratigraphic relationships to artefacts; Stratigraphic relationships to ecofacts;	Completeness; Artefact form; Artefact size;	Species or component types present; Parts of species or components present; Shape;
Location of remains	Extent of deposit; Shape of deposit; Orientation; Location of deposit; Type of deposit; Form of deposit;	Stratigraphic relationships to deposits; Stratigraphic relationships to artefacts; Stratigraphic relationships to ecofacts;	Artefact form; Artefact size; Location of artefacts;	Parts of species or components present; Location of parts;

Figure 20: Deposit Groups recorded from the Case Study Sample

Deposit Groups	Deposits Types
Fills	Ditch Unident feature Gully Trench Scoop Pit Posthole Tree bowl Burial Hearth Well
Surfaces	Packed earth Chalk Track way Working Metalled Road Cobbled Floor Mortared
Scatter	Muckspread Burnt flint Fired Clay spread Artefact scatter Burnt spread
Deposits	Topsoil Buried Soil Ploughsoil Kitchen garden soil Colluvium Dark earth Deposit Occupation layer Dump deposits Foreshore deposits
Dumps	Demolition debris Levelling dump Mining dump Rampart dump Pottery dump
Natural	Alluvium Glacial Feature Fill River gravels Subsoil Peat
Other	Residual activity Layer

Figure 21: Feature Groups recorded from the Case Study Sample

Feature Group	Feature Type
Ditch	Foundation Ditch Enclosure Ditch Driveway Ditch Unidentified Ditch Drainage Ditch Ring Ditch (Barrow) Boundary Ditch Terminal Ditch Roadside Ditch Recut Ditch Internal enclosure Ditch Double Ditch alignment
Gully	Unidentified Gully Drainage Gully Foundation Gully Drip Ring Gully Plough Furrow
Trench	Unidentified Trench Drainage Trench Robber Trench Foundation Trench
Slot	Beam Slot Unidentified Slot
Scoop	Unidentified Scoop Pond Depression
Pit	Domestic Rubbish (Midden) Pit Cess Pit Ceremonial/Ritual Pit Stone Lined Pit Storage Pit Industrial Pit Burial Pit Extraction Pit Cooking Pit Post Pit Unidentified Pit cremation Pit Hearth Pit Fire Pit Water storage Pit
Unident Feature	Unidentified
Posthole	Posthole Stakehole

Feature Group	Feature Type
Surfaces	Yard Surface External Surface Working Surface Floor Surface Street Surface Road Surface Hard (River or quay) Entrance Surface Alleyway Surface
Walls	Limestone Wall Brick Wall Sandstone Wall Other types of stone Wall
Banks	Lynchet Banks Boundary Banks Mound Banks Barrow Banks Bank
Other	River Channel Building Foundation Raft Culvert Well Kiln Burnt Mound Waterhole Furnace Hearth Fireplace Annexe enclosure Quay Wall Causeway Cut Burnt clay pad Corn dryer Watermill Race channel Stream Revetment; Moat Shrine timber palisade Tree throw hole Dam Revetment Timber box drain Ceremonial horseshoe Enclosure
Linear	Hollow way
Burial	Grave Pennan ditched inhumation; P/h struct inhumation Ledged inhumation Simple inhumation Animal burial Double inhumation

Figure 22: Structure Groups recorded from the Case Study Sample

Structure Group	Structure Types
Boundary	Posthole Fence-line Land Division Boundary Property Boundary Stake alignment Moat Palisade City Defensive ditches Dam City Defensive Wall
Building	Post-built Building Post-built Hall Sunken Featured Building (SFB) Villa House Roundhouse Foundation Timber Framed Building Floors and Surfaces Cellar Stairs Fireplace Brick Building Stone Building Foundation Raft Wall Footings Wall (Brick/Stone) Hearth Barn Aisled Barn Watermill Latrine
Pits	Pits Pit alignment Domestic rubbish Pits Water storage Pit Well
Industrial	Iron-working Structure Working Surface Kiln Corn dryer Smithy Quarry Pits Furnace
Enclosure	Enclosure Field Enclosure Settlement Enclosure Mortuary Enclosure Annexe Enclosure Entrance Internal Enclosure division
Ritual/Funerary	Burial Double-ditched Structure Shrine Cemetery Burnt mound
Transport	Hollow way Track way Road Street Droveway Reclamation Hard River Channel Roadside Ditches Causeway Quay wall Hard (river or quay) Alleyway
Other	Tree throw Drainage Structures Unknown Structure Timber box Drain Revetment

Chapter 5 : Illustrations

Figure 23: The geographic area of Southern England with shaded Counties selected for Case Study Sample

Figure 24: Table of number of Case Study sites per County and type of development

Local Authority	Total of sites	No. of Road schemes	No. of Railway schemes	No. of Mineral Extraction schemes	No. of Water mains schemes	No. of Commercial/Industrial Developments	No of Residential Developments	No. of Other Developments
Bedfordshire	10	9	-	-	-	1	-	-
Buckinghamshire	9	3	-	3	-	-	3	-
Cornwall	2	1	-	-	1	-	-	-
Greater London	10	-	-	1	-	4	5	-
Hampshire	6	-	-	-	-	-	6	-
Hertfordshire	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
Kent	39	8	20	1	-	2	7	1 (crematorium)
Peterborough	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
Surrey	13	-	-	5	-	1	7	-
Suffolk	5	3	-	-	-	1	1	-
West Sussex	3	1	-	-	-	-	2	-
Wiltshire	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-

Figure 25: Broad classifications of Archaeological Periods in England in chronological order.

Cultural Archaeological Period	Broad Date Range
Palaeolithic	500,000 BC to 10,000 BC
Mesolithic	10,000 BC to 4,500 BC
Neolithic	4,500 BC to 2,000 BC
Bronze Age	2,500 BC to 700 BC
Iron Age	800 BC to 43 AD
Roman	43 AD to 410 AD
Early Medieval (Saxon)	400 AD to 1066 AD
Medieval	1066 AD to 1500 AD
Post-medieval	1500 AD to 1800 AD
Modern	1800 AD to present day

Figure 26: Past Landscape Use Patterns 1.0: Natural or Managed Past Landscape Uses (adapted from LUSAG 1993)

1.0	Natural or Managed Landscape Uses
Landscape Use Types	**Landscape Uses**
1.1 - Managed Ornamental Land	1.1.1 - Deer park; 1.1.2 - Park; 1.1.3 - Garden;
1.2 – Woodland	1.2.1 - Woodland; 1.2.2 - Shrub; 1.2.3 - Felled woodland; 1.2.4 - Cultivated forest; 1.2.5 - Woodland clearance; 1.2.6 - Nearby woodland clearance
1.3 - Semi-natural Vegetation	1.3.1 - Rough grassland; 1.3.2 - Heathland; 1.3.3 - Upland grass moor; 1.3.4 - Bracken; 1.3.5 - Upland mosaic; 1.3.6 - Moor 1.3.7 - Uncultivated moor
1.4 - Water and Wetland	1.4.1 - Sea/estuary; 1.4.2 - Standing water; 1.4.3 - Running water; 1.4.4 - Freshwater marsh; 1.4.5 - Salt marsh; 1.4.6 - Fishponds 1.4.7 - Floodplain 1.4.8 - Moat 1.4.9 - Stream revetment 1.4.10 - Well (water collection) 1.4.11 - Watermill race channel
1.5 - Rock and Coastal Land	1.5.1 - Inland rock; 1.5.2 - Coastal rocks and cliffs; 1.5.3 - Intertidal sand and mud; 1.5.4 - Dunes;
1.6 – Agriculture	1.6.1- Field Crop; 1.6.2 - Horticulture; 1.6.3 - Fallow land; 1.6. 4 - Pasture; 1.6. 5 - Other cropland; 1.6.6 - Agricultural structures; 1.6.7 - Stock enclosures; 1.6.8 - Agricultural activity; 1.6.9 - Agricultural activity nearby; 1.6.10- Agricultural storage activity/structures; 1.6.11 – Field boundary 1.6.12 - Field system; 1.6.13 - Paddock; 1.6.14 - Orchard

Figure 27: Past Landscape Use Patterns 2.0: Human Settlement Landscape Uses (adapted from LUSAG 1993)

2.0	**Human Settlement Landscape Uses**
Landscape Use Types	**Landscape Uses**
2.1 - Single Dwelling	2.1.1 - Isolated domestic structure (domestic building with no associated agric activity); 2.1.2 - Single farmstead (domestic buildings and agricultural activity); 2.1.3 - Defended farmstead (defended domestic buildings and agricultural activity); 2.1.4 - Domestic waste disposal 2.1.5 - Unknown Structures; 2.1.6 - Seasonal accommodation for transhumance;
2.2 – Aggregate Settlement	2.2.1 - Farmsteads (less than 5 dwellings of domestic buildings and agricultural activity); 2.2.2 - Aggregate villages (group of 5 or more farmsteads, a collective agricultural settlement whose occupants engaged in mixed economy but with no commercial, legal or ecclesiastical functions); 2.2.3 - Villages (rural settlement with commercial, legal or ecclesiastical functions); 2.2.4 - Market village (a rural settlement providing local centre of produce distribution); 2.2.5 - Town (a nucleated administrative settlement); 2.2.6 - Market town (A nucleated administrative settlement acting as regional distribution centre); 2.2.7 - City; 2.2.8 - High status occupation site; 2.2.9 - High status country residence acting as land admin, recreation, agriculture and craft centres; 2.2.10 - Palace; 2.2.11 - Subsidiary settlement; 2.2.12 -Civilian settlement associated with military site; 2.2.13 - Places of refuge in crisis;

Figure 28: Past Landscape Use Patterns 3.0: Other Human Landscape Uses (adapted from LUSAG 1993)

3.0	**Other Human Landscape Uses**
Landscape Use Types	**Landscape Uses**
3.1 - Industrial and Commercial	3.1.1 - Domestic industry/activities; 3.1.2 - Commercial Industrial/activities; 3.1.3 - Commercial activities; 3.1.4 - Retail structures/activity; 3.1.5 - Commercial storage; 3.1.6 - Institutional storage; 3.1.7 – Industrial Waste disposal;
3.2 - Vacant	3.2.1 - Vacant land not previously developed; 3.2.2 - Derelict land; 3.2.3 - Urban land not previously developed; 3.2.4 - Break in occupation; 3.2.5 - Clearance; 3.2.6- No activity;
3.3 - Boundaries	3.3.1 - Land division boundaries; 3.3.2 - Property boundaries; 3.3.3 - Territorial boundaries;
3.4 - Other	3.4.1 - Activity; 3.4.2 - Low level activity; 3.4.3 - Very little activity; 3.4.4 - Assemblage; 3.4.5 - Colluvial layer build up 3.4.6 - Charcoal burning; 3.4.7 - Activity nearby; 3.4.8 - Dark earth layer; 3.4.9 - Burning;
3.5 - Ritual and Funerary	3.5.1 - Ritual deposition; 3.5.2 - Ritual activity; 3.5.3 - Ritual landscape; 3.5.4 - Ritual structures; 3.5.5 - Funerary deposition (crem + inhumation); 3.5.6 - Funerary structures; 3.5.7 - Funerary activity;
3.6 - Defence	3.6.1 - Linear defensive barrier; 3.6.2 - Earthen artillery defences; 3.6.3 - Fieldworks (temp. military structures); 3.6.4 - Settlement defences;
3.7 - Military	3.7.1 - Fortlet (temporary def base); 3.7.2 - Marching camp; 3.7.3 - Military installation (perm bases for mil unit); 3.7.4 - Military strongholds as offensive bases; 3.7.5 - Military strongholds as defensive bases; 3.7.6 - Military practice area;
3.8 - Minerals and Landfill	3.8.1 - Mineral workings and quarries; 3.8.2 - Landfill waste disposal;
3.9 - Transportation and Communication;	3.9.1 - Roads; 3.9.2 - Public car parks; 3.9.3 - Railways; 3.9.4 - Airports; 3.9.5 - Docks; 3.9.6 - Coastal ports; 3.9.7 - Bridges; 3.9.8 - Canals; 3.9.9 - Trackways, 3.9.10 - Droveways; 3.9.11 - Signal station; 3.9.12 - Rivers 3.9.13 – Causeways

Figure 29: Example of a completed Case Study Site Data Recording Sheet

Site name: Sipson Lane

Geology
River Terrace of Taplow Gravels (formed in Pleistocene between Hoxnian and Ipswichian Interglacials (189,000 - 128,000BP)

Topography
On Top of S facing River Valley Slope/Terrace/Dry Valley Floor
Flat gravel terrace on N side of Middle Thames Valley which slopes N-S in a series of broad flat terraces. Ex rep notes continuous agric land use (farmsteads on fertile alluvial deposits) with a transitional period of ritual/funerary use from BA to R (8000yrs)

Soils:
Silty sand called Langley Silt (Brickearth) of prob Late Devensian date (19,000-13,000BP) derived from a combination of Aeolian and fluvial deposition. Report states that the high grade/high yield status of the soils for market gardening had long been recognised and this area is recognised as the A4/M4 Horticultural belt by planning authorities. Ex shows establishment of formalised landscape of fields and enclosures, with arable and pasture in LBA to EIA.

Resources in each period
Hydrography and drainage of the area dominated by River Thames flowing from W to E approx 10km to S. Site is also in middle of 2 S flowing principal tributaries, R Colne to W and R Crane to E.

Enviro Ev shows landscape covered in mixed oak deciduous woodland with presence of charred grain in Neo, shows establishment of formalised landscape of fields and enclosures, wells and pits in LBA to EIA and grain and chaff.

Environmental evidence for each period:
Enviro ev shows woodland landscape with sheep and domestic cattle bones in Neo, and establishment of LBA-EIA formalised landscape of fields and enclosures, with herds of cattle and some sheep and lots of horse.

Situation in each period
Consid number of **L& M Palaeo** axes and flakes from Lynch Hill gravels in this area; **M Palaeo** finds recovered from base of Langley Silt Complex (brickearth); **LNeo** occupation of wooded landscape with forest clearance for crops and grazing animals nearby; Construction of ritual enclosure on site; **M Neo** causeway enclosure nearby; 3 **Neo** ring ditches nearby; **L Neo** Cursus nearby; 2 **Neo** poss settlements to SW and 1 more to E; Formalised landscape of fields & encls in **LBA - EIA** with settlement site on SE of site, **EBA** auroch burial and cpmks nearby, **EBA** crem cem runs E from S end of Stanwell Cursus, **MBA-LBA** settlement and ritual activity in area, incl **LBA** defd encl; Formation of **LIA** enclosed settlement to NW of earlier BA settlement with trackway. Continuation of settlement activity with defended enclosures and unenclosed settlements established in the area; Replacement if IA farmstead settlement with enclosures in **LRB** when midden deposits spread over trackway; **RB** roadside settlement alongside principal roads radiating from Londinium and rural settlement, **R** settlement of the area dates to 1+2C, then break, then 3+4C; Prob on the fringes of area of dispersed **Sax** unenclosed settlements; 2 **Sax** settlement centres nearby (SFB's and agric activity); 3 **Late Sax** settlements nearby; Prosperous **Med** agricultural hinterland to W of London; **Med** continuation of 3 Late Sax settlements as small nucleated villages alongside major roads to London; Continuation of same settlement patterns through **16th, 17th, 18th C's**, Area became subject to Enclosure Act in **19th C.**

Past Landscape Use Patterns by period
Neolithic - Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4); Isolated domestic structure (2.1.1); Nearby Forest Clearance (1.2.6);
Bronze Age - Funerary Deposition (Crem + Inhumation) (3.5.5); Funerary Structures (3.5.4); Agricultural Activity (1.6.8);
Iron Age - Funerary Deposition (Crem + Inhumation) (3.5.5); Single farmstead (2.1.2)
Roman - Single farmstead (2.1.2); Funerary Deposition (Crem + Inhumation) (3.5.5); Field system (1.6.12); Domestic Industry/Activities (3.1.1); Mineral Workings and Quarries (3.8.1)
Late Roman - Isolated domestic structure (2.1.1); Land Division Boundaries (2.4.1);
Saxon - Derelict Land (3.2.2); Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4)
Medieval - Field system (1.6.12)
20th Century - Agricultural Activity (1.6.8)

Figure 30: Example of a completed Case Study Field Evaluation intervention Data Recording Sheet

Site name :

Sipson Lane, Greater London

Intervention: Field Evaluation Trenching

Ex rep says Eval was success in predicting date range and main areas of arch activity, but was less success at ident presence of R midden deps or quarries although located over them. TT is not effective for cut feats which are larger than trench dimensions and can result in serious underestimate of quantity of arch present, esp when large horizon spreads of material overlie structures or complex feats eg midden deps.

Eval was 97 TT of which 54 prod arch ev. 1.7% sample; All 20x1.7m, site is 19ha in area; non targeted Standard Grid array;

Periods identified:

	Idd by Eval Interv	Idd in Excav
Neolithic:	Yes	Yes
Bronze Age:	Yes	Yes
Iron Age:	Yes	Yes
Roman:	Yes	Yes
Saxon:	No	Yes

Period Score

80% (4/5)

Features identified for each period

Neolithic – Pit; Posthole
Bronze Age – Posthole; Pit
Iron Age – Posthole; Pit; Unid Ditch; Crem Pit;
Roman – Pit; Unid Ditch; Field Encl Ditch;

Structures identified for each period

Neolithic – Pits; Post Built Building;
Bronze Age – Post Built Building; Pits
Iron Age – Post Built Building; Pits; Crem Burial
Roman – Pits; Ditched Field Enclosure;

Figure 31: Example of a completed Case Study Excavation intervention Data Recording Sheet

Site name :

Sipson Lane, Greater London

Intervention: Post Determination Excavation

Ex report says "This is a good e.g. of a site which has been open area excavated. Note Ex rep shows continuous settlement from Neo onwards, with the settlement and field systems orientated N-S and E-W, but in LIA settlement and field systs are changed to a NW-SE axis to be co-aligned with route of trackway which becomes formalised in this period".

Periods Identified:

Neolithic;
Bronze Age;
Iron Age ;
Roman;
Saxon;

Features identified for each period

Neolithic – Enclosure Ditch; Internal Enclosure Ditch; Pit; Unid Ditch
Bronze Age – Cremation Pit; Bound Ditch; Enclosure Ditch; Posthole; Ring Ditch;
Iron Age – Drip Ring Gully; Enclosure Ditch;
Roman – Crem Pit; Burial; Quarry Pit; Working Surface; Pit; Posthole; Well; Midden; Drip Ring Gully;
Late Roman – Enclosure Ditch; Midden;
Saxon – Pit

Structures identified for each period

Neolithic – Enclosure; Internal Enclosure; Pits;
Bronze Age – Burial; Land Div Boundary; Ditched Enclosure; Post Built Building; Double Ditched Structure;
Iron Age – Roundhouse; Enclosure;;
Roman – Crem Burial; Burial; Quarry Pits; Working Surface; Pits; Post Built Building; Wells; Middens; Roundhouse;
Late Roman – Enclosure; Midden;
Saxon – Pits.

Figure 32: The Database Switchboard Form.



Figure 33: The Query Switchboard Form



Figure 34: The main Database Switchboard Form



Figure 35: The Topographic Features Form



Figure 36: The Natural Resources Form



Figure 37: The Situation (1) Form



Figure 38: The Situation (2) Form



Figure 39: The Local Landscape Use Patterns Form



Figure 40: The Interventions Form for Sipson Lane Evaluation Trenching



Figure 41: The Interventions Form for Sipson Lane Post-evaluation Excavation

Microsoft Access - [Site Details]

File Edit View Insert Format Records Tools Window Help

Times New Roman 8

Intervention

Favorites Go

Search by Site Name: Sipson Lane

Number 21 Name Sipson Lane County Greater London

Topography | Natural Resources | Situation Page 1 | Situation Page 2 | Land Use Patterns | Interventions

Intervention Post Evaluation

Performance Score

Date 1 % Date 2 % Type Deposits % Type Features % Type Structures %

Palaeo Meso Neo Yes/Yes Bronze Age Yes/Yes Iron Age Yes/Yes

Roman Yes/Yes Saxon Yes/Yes Saxo-Norman 12C-14C

This is a good eg of a site which has been open area excavated. Note ex rep shows continuous setlement from neo onwards, with the sttlement and fld systs orientated n-s and e-w, but in LIA settlement and fld systs are changed to a nw-se axis to be co-aligned with route of trackway which becomes formalised in this

Deposits, Features and Structures identified

Period	Deposits	Features	Structures
Neo		Enclosure	Enclosure
Neo		Internal enclosure	Internal enclosure division
Neo		Pit	
Neo		Unident Ditch	
Bronze Age		cremation pit	Cemetry
Bronze Age		Boundary Ditch	
Bronze Age		Enclosure	Enclosure
Bronze Age		Recut	
Bronze Age		Posthole	Postbuilt Building
Iron Age		Drip Ring	Roundhouse
Iron Age		Enclosure	
Iron Age		Recut	
Iron Age		Enclosure	Enclosure
2nd-3rd Century		Enclosure	Enclosure
2nd-3rd Century		cremation pit	Burial
2nd-3rd Century		Burial	Burial
2nd-3rd Century		Extraction	Quarry pits

Record: 77 of 100

Form View

start | Chapter 5 illustration... | Database : Database | Add/Edit Switchboard | Site Details | 20:03

Chapter 6: Illustrations

Figure 42: Archaeological techniques recorded from Field Evaluations carried out in England between 1990 and 1999 (after Darvill & Russell 2002, 34).

Archaeological Technique	Extensive or Intensive technique	Different Methodologies available	No.of Interventions 1990 to 1999	% of Total Interventions	Discussed in Section No of this study
Aerial Photography	Extensive	No	52	0.54%	6.2.1
Augering	Intensive	Yes	193	2.02%	6.3.1
Documentary Search	Extensive	No	1495	15.65%	6.2.2
Environmental Sampling	Intensive	Yes	176	1.84%	6.3.2
Field-walking	Extensive	Yes	668	6.99%	6.2.3
Geophysical: Magnetometer	Extensive	Yes	691	7.23%	6.2.4
Geophysical: Resistivity	Extensive	Yes	269	2.82%	6.2.4
Geophysical: Magnetic Susceptibility	Extensive	Yes	111	1.16%	6.2.4
Ground Probing Radar	Extensive	Yes	13	0.14%	6.2.4
Metal Detectors	Extensive	Yes	139	1.45%	6.2.5
Phosphate Survey	Intensive	Yes	5	0.05%	6.3.3
Sample Trenching	Intensive	Yes	5537	57.95%	6.3.4
Structural Survey	Extensive	No	195	2.04%	6.2.6
Targeted Trenching	Intensive	Yes	1766	18.48%	6.3.4
Test-Pits	Intensive	Yes	805	8.43%	6.3.5
Topographic Survey	Extensive	No	259	2.71%	6.2.7
Visual Inspection	Extensive	No	410	4.29%	6.2.8

Figure 43: A blank example of a Decision Matrix

	States of Nature						
Actions	N_1	N_2	N_3	N_4	N_5	N_6	N_7
A_1	O_{11}	O_{12}	O13	O_{14}	O_{15}	O_{16}	O_{17}
A_2	O_{21}	O_{22}	O_{23}	O_{24}	O_{25}	O_{26}	O_{27}
A_3	O_{31}	O_{32}	O_{33}	O_{34}	O_{35}	O_{36}	O_{37}
A_4	O_{41}	O_{42}	O_{43}	O_{44}	O_{45}	O_{46}	O_{47}
A_5	O_{51}	O_{52}	O_{53}	O_{54}	O_{55}	O_{56}	O_{57}
A_6	O_{61}	O_{62}	O_{63}	O_{64}	O_{65}	O_{66}	O_{67}
A_7	O_{71}	O_{72}	O_{73}	O_{74}	O_{75}	O_{76}	O_{77}

Figure 44: Field-walking interventions recorded from the Case Study sample

No.	Site Name	County	Data on Methodologies available from reports collected
1	N of Brewers Hill Farm	Bedfordshire	No
2	High Barns Road	Bedfordshire	No
3	Roxton Road West	Bedfordshire	No
4	Water End East	Bedfordshire	No
5	NW of Brewers Hill Farm	Bedfordshire	No
6	Marsh Leys Farm	Bedfordshire	No
7	Wortham	Suffolk	No
8	Birchfield Road	Bedfordshire	No
9	Tring Hill	Buckinghamshire	No
10	Lower Icknield Way	Buckinghamshire	No
11	Woodlands Roundabout	Buckinghamshire	No
12	Prospect Park	Greater London	25m intervals, N-S grid
13	Andover Area 6	Hampshire	No
14	Kennel Farm (Field-walking 1)	Hampshire	No
15	Kennel Farm (Field-walking 2)	Hampshire	No
16	Little Stock Farm	Kent	No
17	Snarkhurst Wood	Kent	No
18	North of Saltwood Tunnel	Kent	No
19	Parsonage Farm	Kent	No
20	Palgrave (A143 Stuston/Scole bypass)	Suffolk	No
21	Wattisham	Suffolk	14m intervals, NW-SE grid

Figure 45: Test Pit and Field walking results plan taken from Prospect Park Evaluation Report (Wessex Archaeology 1999)



Fig.4: Distribution of worked flint and pottery in field 13

Figure 46: Part of the Magnetometer results plan taken from Monkston Park (All Areas) Evaluation Report (Northamptonshire Archaeology 2001)



Figure 47: Geophysical Survey interventions recorded from the Case Study sample

No	Site Name	County	Data on Methodologies available from reports collected	Performance measurement possible
1	Birchfield Road	Beds	Mentioned as prior phase of "Geophysical Survey" but no methodology details	
2	High Barns Road	Beds	Mentioned as prior phase of "Geophysical Survey" but no methodology details	Yes (1)
3	Marsh Leys Farm	Beds	Detailed prior phase of "Geophysical Survey" mentioned but no methodology details	Yes (2)
4	N of Brewers Hill Farm	Beds	Mentioned as prior phase of "Geophysical Survey" but no methodology details	Yes (3)
5	North of Great Barford Road	Beds	Mentioned as prior phase of "Geophysical Survey" but no methodology details	Yes (4)
6	NW of Great Barford	Beds	Mentioned as prior phase of "Geophysical Survey" but no methodology details	Yes (5)
7	Roxton Road West	Beds	Mentioned as prior phase of "Geophysical Survey" but no methodology details	Yes (6)
8	Water End East	Beds	Mentioned as prior phase of "Geophysical Survey" but no methodology details	Yes (7)
9	Lower Icknield Way	Bucks	Magnetic Susceptibility as Scanning survey, then Detailed Magnetometry– no further details	Yes (8)
10	Mill Farm Quarry	Bucks	Detailed Magnetometry over an area of 7.5ha. Readings logged at 0.25m intervals along parallel transects at 1m apart using Fluxgate Gradiometers.	Yes (9)
11	Tring Hill	Bucks	Magnetic Susceptibility as Scanning survey, then Detailed Magnetometry– no further details	Yes (10)
12	Woodlands Roundabout	Bucks	Magnetic Susceptibility as Scanning survey, then Detailed Magnetometry– no further details	Yes (11)
13	St Austell NE Distributor	Cornwall	Magnetometry of 5 hectares as sample of the site – no further details	Yes (12)
14	West Waste	Cornwall	Mentioned as prior phase of "Geophysical Survey" but no methodology details	
15	Kennel Farm	Hamps	Detailed prior phase of "Geophysical Survey" mentioned but no methodology details	Yes (13)
16	Buncefield Lane	Herts	Mentioned as prior phase of "Geophysical Survey" but no methodology details	Yes (14)
17	Tanholt Farm	Herts	Magnetometry mentioned – no further details.	Yes (15)
18	Chapel Mill	Kent	Mentioned as prior phase of "Geophysical Survey" but no methodology details	

No	Site Name	County	Data on Methodologies available from reports collected	Performance measurement possible
19	Church Road	Kent	Mentioned as prior phase of "Geophysical Survey" but no methodology details	
20	Holm Hill A	Kent	Magnetic Susceptibility and Magnetometer – no further details	
21	Holm Hill B	Kent	Magnetic Susceptibility and Magnetometer – no further details	
22	Little Stock Farm	Kent	Mentioned as prior phase of "Geophysical Survey" but no methodology details	
23	Mersham	Kent	Mentioned as prior phase of "Geophysical Survey" but no methodology details	Yes (16)
24	Northumberland Bottom	Kent	Mentioned as prior phase of "Geophysical Survey" but no methodology details	
25	Sandway Road	Kent	Mentioned as prior phase of "Geophysical Survey" but no methodology details	Yes (17)
26	Monkston Park Area 1	Milton Keynes	Detailed Magnetometer survey using Fluxgate Gradiometer at 1m intervals with readings taken every 0.25m.	Yes (18)
27	Monkston Park Area 2 (1)	Milton Keynes	Detailed Magnetometer survey using Fluxgate Gradiometer at 1m intervals with readings taken every 0.25m.	Yes (19)
28	Monkston Park Area 2 (2)	Milton Keynes	Detailed Magnetometer survey using Fluxgate Gradiometer at 1m intervals with readings taken every 0.25m.	Yes (20)
29	Hurst Park	Surrey	Scanning Magnetic Susceptbility at 20m intervals, then detailed Magnetometer of an area of 7200 metres^2.	Yes (21)
30	Netherne-on-the-hill	Surrey	Scanning Magenetometer survey using Fluxgate Gradiometer at 1m intervals with readings taken every 0.25m. Supplemented with systematic Magnetic susceptibility values.	Yes (22)
31	A24 Ashington Bypass	West Sussex	Magnetometer survey using Fluxgate Gradiometer to identify potential presence of kilns on one area.	

Figure 48: Table of % Sample sizes recorded from the Case Study Trenching interventions

No.	Site Name and Intervention	Total Development site Size	% sample
1	Lower Icknield Way (Trenching)	9880000m2	0.006
2	Home Farm 4 (Trenching)	9000000m2	0.013
3	Tring Hill (Trenching)	1260000m2	0.02
4	NW of Brewers Farm Hill (Trenching)	1250000m2	0.024
5	NW of Great Barford (Trenching)	750000m2	0.026
6	Birchfield Road (Trenching)	2500000m2	0.028
7	Highbarns Road (Trenching)	1500000m2	0.05
8	Water End East (Trenching)	2250000m2	0.05
9	Woodlands Roundabout(Trenching)	2250000m2	0.06
10	St Austell NE Distributor (Trenching)	50,000m2 (5ha)	0.08
11	Mill Farm Quarry (Trenching)	330,000m2 (33ha)	0.09
12	Wattisham (Trenching)	90,000m2 (9ha)	0.2
13	Kingsnorth Power Station (Trenching)	131250 sqm	0.26
14	Rixons (Trenching)	90,000m2 (9ha)	0.5
15	West Malling E2 (Trenching)	40000sqm	0.595
16	Netherne (Trenching)	12.45ha (124500sqm)	0.67
17	Marsh Leys Farm (Trenching)	59ha (590000sqm)	0.69
18	Queen Mary Hospital (Trenching 1)	10.64 ha (106400 sqm)	0.99
19	Warren Farm (Trenching)	4.3ha (43000 sqm)	1.08
20	Chapel Way (Trenching)	0.4ha (25000sqm)	1.15
21	Brisley Farm 3 (Trenching)	36000sqm	1.18
22	Townmead School (Trenching)	19600m2 (1.96ha)	1.2
23	Queen Mary Hospital (Trenching 2)	1.23ha (12300 sqm)	1.22
24	The Close (Trenching)	35400m2 (3.54ha)	1.27
25	Tanholt (Trenching)	150900m2 (15.09ha)	1.3
26	Leavesden (Trenching)	80000m2 (8ha)	1.3
27	Roxton Road West (Trenching)	26344m2 (2.6ha)	1.5
28	Hurst Wood (Trenching)	41,000m2 (4.1ha)	1.53
29	Parsonage (Trenching)	64,000 sq m	1.60
30	Sipson Lane (Trenching)	190,000m2 (19ha)	1.7
31	Innova Business Park (Trenching)	20,000m2 (2ha)	1.9
32	Boys Hall (Trenching)	47,000m2 (4.7ha)	1.91
33	North of Saltwood Tunnel (Trenching)	45,000m2 (4.5ha)	1.92
34	Stickfast Lane (Trenching)	6.5 ha (65000sqm)	1.93
35	Brisley Farm 4 (Trenching)	31200sqm	1.94
36	Chapel Mill (Trenching)	43,000m2 (4.3ha)	1.95
37	Marconi Site (Trenching)	28525m2	1.97
38	North Binfields (Trenching)	50,000m2 (5ha)	1.98
39	Prospect Park (Trenching)	7500m2 (0.75ha)	2
40	Chineham Lane (Trenching)	39,000m2 (3.9ha)	2

No.	Site Name and Intervention	Total Development site Size	% sample
41	Walsingham School (Trenching)	50,000m2 (5ha)	2
42	Riverdene (Trenching)	87000m2 (8.7ha)	2
43	Monkston Park Area 2 (Trenching 2)	6000m2 (0.6ha)	2
44	Andover Area 3 (Trenching)	170,000m2 (17ha)	2.01
45	Mersham (Trenching)	20,000m2 (2ha)	2.03
46	Brisley Farm 2 (Trenching)	30800sqm	2.07
47	Cobham Park (Trenching 2)	4.9 ha (49000sqm)	2.08
48	Hurst Park (Trenching)	140000m2	2.1
49	Palgrave (Trenching)	5700m2 (0.57ha)	2.10
50	Monkston Park Area 1(Trenching)	13000m2 (1.3ha)	2.30
51	White Horse Stone (Trenching)	90,000m2 (9ha)	2.46
52	Little Marlow (Trenching)	250,000m2 (25ha)	2.5
53	Snarkhurst (Trenching)	40,000m2 (4ha)	2.5
54	Holm Hill Area B (Trenching)	21500m2 (2.15ha)	2.51
55	Monkston Park Area 2 (Trenching 1)	6000m2 (0.6ha)	2.6
56	Northumberland Bottom (Trenching)	100,000m2 (10ha)	2.64
57	Home Farm 7 (Trenching)	37100m2	2.7
58	Broughton Barn (Trenching)	14ha (140000sqm)	2.91
59	Monkston Park Area 3 (Trenching)	2000m2 (0.2ha)	3.00
60	Blind Lane (Trenching)	20400sqm	3.18
61	Brisley Farm 1 (Trenching)	13200 sqm	3.45
62	Westwood Cross (Trenching)	72,000m2 (7.2ha)	3.5
63	A24 Ashington Bypass (Trenching)	7200m2	3.6
64	Copdock (Trenching)	30,000m2 (3ha)	4
65	Battlebridge Lane (Trenching)	8000m2	4.00
66	Brisley Farm 6 (Trenching)	17600sqm	4.01
67	West Malling B (Trenching)	9000sqm	4.48
68	West Malling A (Trenching)	9000sqm	4.48
69	Oakley (Trenching)	6000m2 (0.6ha)	4.5
70	Sandway Road (Trenching)	8000m2 (0.8ha)	5.4
71	Cliffs End Farm (Trenching)	1 ha (10000sqm)	6.3
72	Brisley Farm 7 (Trenching)	8500sqm	6.7
73	82-90 Park Lane (Trenching)	2704m2	7.00
74	West Malling C(Trenching)	1400 sqm	7.57
75	Little Stock (Trenching)	11,600m2 (1.16ha)	7.91
76	Holm Hill Area A (Trenching)	8800m2 (0.88ha)	7.97
77	Cuxton (Trenching)	20,300m2 (2.03ha)	7.98
78	Ibis Hotel (Trenching)	940msq	12
79	14 Progress Way (Trenching)	1200m2	12.75
80	Loxwood Place Farm (Trenching)	4000m2	19.8

Figure 49: Table of Targeting details recorded from the Case Study Trenching interventions

		Trenches Targeted at							
No.	**Site Name**	**AP evidence**	**Geophys evidence**	**Field-walk evidence**	**Earthworks**	**Development foundations**	**Previous trenching evidence**	**Blank areas**	**Gaps between buildings**
1	Beechbrook Wood	**Yes**							
2	Birchfield Road		**Yes**					**Yes**	
3	Chapel Mill		**Yes**						
4	Cobham Park Tr 2		**Yes**				**Yes**		
5	Highbarns Road		**Yes**					**Yes**	
6	Innova					**Yes**			
7	Kingsnorth Power Station					**Yes**			
8	Leavesden Aerodrome					**Yes**			
9	Little Marlow	**Yes**			**Yes**			**Yes**	
10	Lower Icknield Way		**Yes**					**Yes**	
11	Loxwood Place Farm					**Yes**			
12	Marsh Leys Farm	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**				**Yes**	
13	Mill Farm Quarry	**Yes**	**Yes**						
14	Monkston Park Area 2 Tr1		**Yes**					**Yes**	
15	Monkston Park Area 2 Tr2		**Yes**					**Yes**	
16	Monkston Park Area 3		**Yes**					**Yes**	
17	NW of Brewers Hill Farm		**Yes**					**Yes**	
18	NW of Great Barford		**Yes**					**Yes**	
19	Oakley					**Yes (Road)**			
20	Palgrave					**Yes (Road)**			
21	Prospect Park		**Yes**						**Yes**

		Trenches Targeted at							
No.	**Site Name**	**AP evidence**	**Geophys evidence**	**Field-walk evidence**	**Earthworks**	**Development foundations**	**Previous trenching evidence**	**Blank areas**	**Gaps between buildings**
22	Queen Mary Hospital Tr 2						**Yes**		
23	Queen Mary Hospital Tr 1								**Yes**
24	Rixons Gate	**Yes**							
25	Roxton Road West		**Yes**					**Yes**	
26	St Austell NE Distributor Road		**Yes**		**Yes**				
27	Tanholt Farm		**Yes**						
28	Tring Hill		**Yes**					**Yes**	
29	Townmead School								**Yes**
30	Water End East		**Yes**					**Yes**	
31	Westwood Cross								**Yes**
32	White Horse Stone			**Yes**					
33	Woodlands Roundabout		**Yes**						**Yes**

Figure 50: Site plan showing Trenches Targeted at gaps between existing buildings at Queen Mary Hospital site (taken from Wessex Archaeology 1999)



Figure 51: Site plan showing Trenches Targeted at gaps between existing buildings at Townmead School site (taken from RPS Clouston 1998)



Figure 52: Site plan showing Trenches Targeted at evidence from Prior Knowledge and blank areas at Little Marlow (taken from Kibberd 1994)

Figure 53: Site plan showing Non-targeted Trenches at Saltwood Tunnel (taken from Oxford Archaeology Unit 1997)



Figure 2: Trench location plan

Figure 54: Site plan showing Non-targeted Trenches at Blind Lane (taken from MOLAS 1998)



340 x 60m

Fig 2 Plan of evaluation trenches

Figure 55: Trench Array types identified by PLANARCH study of Archaeological Field Evaluations (taken from Hey & Lacey 2001).



Figure 56: Table of Trench Arrays recorded from the Case study sites.

No.	Site Name	Standard grid	Non standard grid	Discontinuous Linear	Linear Parallel
1	Andover Area 6	SE-NW/NE-SW			
2	Battlebridge	SE-NW/NE-SW			
3	Blind Lane	SE-NW/NE-SW			
4	Brisley Farm 1	N-S & E-W			
5	Brisley Farm 2	N-S & E-W			
6	Brisley Farm 3	N-S & E-W			
7	Brisley Farm 4	N-S & E-W			
8	Broughton Barn	SE-NW/NE-SW			
9	Chapel Mill	SE-NW/NE-SW			
10	Chapel Way		Yes		
11	Chineham Lane	SE-NW/NE-SW			
12	Cliffs End Farm	N-S & E-W			
13	Cobham Park Tr 1		Yes		
14	Copdock Mill			Yes	
15	Harvest Home		Yes		
16	Holm Hill 1			Yes	
17	Holm Hill 2			Yes	
18	Home Farm 4		Yes		
19	Home Farm 7		Yes		
20	Hurst Park		Yes		
21	Ibis Hotel	SE-NW/NE-SW			
22	Innova				Yes
23	Kingsnorth	N-S & E-W			
24	Little Marlow	N-S & E-W			
25	Little Stock Farm	N-S & E-W	Yes		
26	Lower Icknield Way	N-S & E-W			
27	Loxwood Place Farm	SE-NW/NE-SW			
28	Marconi site				Yes
29	Marsh Leys Farm	SE-NW/NE-SW			
30	Mersham	N-S & E-W			
31	Mill Farm Quarry		Yes		
32	Monkston Area 1		Yes		
33	Monkston Area 2		Yes		
34	Monkston Area 3		Yes		
35	Netherne		Yes		
36	North Binfields	N-S & E-W			
37	Oakley			Yes	
38	Palgrave			Yes	
39	Park Lane	SE-NW/NE-SW			
40	Parsonage Farm	N-S & E-W			

No.	Site Name	Standard grid	Non standard grid	Discontinuous Linear	Linear Parallel
41	Progress Way	SE-NW/NE-SW			
42	Prospect Park	N-S & E-W			
43	Queen Mary Hospital	N-S & E-W			
44	Sandway Road	N-S & E-W			
45	Riverdene	SE-NW/NE-SW			
46	Rixons Gate	SE-NW/NE-SW			
47	St Austell NE Dist Road		Yes		
48	Saltwood Tunnel	SE-NW/NE-SW			
49	Shrubsoles				Yes
50	Sipson Lane	N-S & E-W			
51	Snarkhurst	N-S & E-W			
52	Tanholt	N-S & E-W			
53	Thanet Way 7				Yes
54	Thanet Way 8				Yes
55	Thanet Way 11				Yes
56	The Close		Yes		
57	Townmead School	N-S & E-W			
58	Tring Hill	N-S & E-W			
59	Warren Farm		Yes		
60	Wattisham				Yes
61	West Malling Bypass A	N-S & E-W			
62	West Malling Bypass B	N-S & E-W			
63	West Malling Bypass C	N-S & E-W			
64	West Malling Bypass E1	N-S & E-W			
65	West Malling Bypass E2	N-S & E-W			
66	Westwood Cross		Yes		
67	White Horse Stone	N-S & E-W			
68	Woodlands Roundabout	N-S & E-W			
69	Wortham			Yes	

Figure 57: Site plan showing N-S and E-W Standard Grid Trenching Array at Brisley Farm Areas 1-4 (taken from Greatorex 1999)



Figure 58: Site plan showing Standard Grid Trenching with SE-NW and NE-SW alignments Array at Kingsnorth Power Station (taken from Archaeology South East 1998)



Figure 59: Site plan showing Parallel Trench Array at Shrubsoles (taken from Pine 1999).



Figure 2. Location of evaluation trenches and excavated area (red).

SBS99/37

Figure 60: Site plan showing Parallel Trench Array at the RAF Wattisham site (taken from Suffolk County Archaeology Service 1993).



Figure 61: Site plan showing Linear Trench Array at the linear road development at Palgrave (taken from Suffolk County Archaeology Service 1993



Figure 62: Site plan showing Linear Trench Array at the Copdock site (taken from Boulter 1996)



Figure 63: Site plan showing Non-standard Trenching Array at Cobham Park Golf Course 1 (Taken from Oxford Archaeological Unit 1997)



Figure 64: Table showing Gaps between Trenches and Trench Lengths recorded from the Case Study Sample sites

No	Site Name	Gaps between Trenches in M^2	Trench Lengths (Metres)
1	14 Progress Way		20
2	82-90 Park Lane		15
3	A24 Ashington	13000	40
4	Andover Area 6		25
5	Battlebridge Lane	1200	20
6	Beeckbrook Wood		30
7	Birchfield Road		50
8	Blind Lane	1600	25
9	Boarley Farm		30
10	Boarley Lane		30
11	Boys Hall		30
12	Brisley Farm 1		20
13	Brisley Farm 2		20
14	Brisley Farm 3	2800	20
15	Brisley Farm 4	4500	20
16	Brisley Farm 6	2100	20
17	Brisley Farm 7	900	25
18	Broughton Barn	16000	30
19	Chapel Mill		30
20	Chapel Way	1600	20
21	Cliffs End Farm		25
22	Cobham Park (Tr 2)	2500	30
23	Copdock	4000	
24	Cuxton		30
25	Highbarns Road		50
26	Holm Hill Area A		30
27	Holm Hill Area B		30
28	Home Farm 4	4200	25

No	Site Name	Gaps between Trenches in M^2	Trench Lengths (Metres)
29	Home Farm 6b	625	
30	Home Farm 7		21
31	HRI Littlehampton	14000	
32	Hurst Park	6400	39
33	Hurst Wood		30
34	Ibis Hotel	180	10
35	Kingsnorth	14000	
36	Innova		40
37	Leavesden		100
38	Little Marlow		50
39	Little Stock	5000	30
40	Lower Icknield Way		100
41	Loxwood Place	450	22
42	Marconi site		100
43	Marsh Leys Farm	16800	
44	Mersham		30
45	Monkston Park Area 1	3000	30
46	Monkston Park Area 2 (Tr 1)	4000	
47	Monkston Park Area 2 (Tr 2)	5000	30
48	Monkston Park Area 3	10000	30
49	Netherne	3000	25
50	North Binfields		30
51	North of Saltwood Tunnel		30
52	Northumberland Bottom		30
53	NW of Brewers Farm Hill		50
54	NW of Great Barford		50
55	Oakley		41
56	Palgrave		80
57	Parsonage Farm	4900	30
58	Pilgrims Way		30

No	Site Name	Gaps between Trenches in M^2	Trench Lengths (Metres)
59	Prospect Park		2
60	Queen Mary Hospital (Tr 2)		75
61	Riverdene	2500	30
62	Rixons Gate	20000	
63	Roxton Road West		50
64	Sandway Road		30
65	Shrubsoles	4800	
66	Sipson Lane	2500	20
67	Snarkhurst	3000	30
68	Stickfast Lane		20
69	Tanholt	15000	100
70	Thanet Way 7	300	25
71	Thanet Way 8	300	25
72	The Close		30
73	Townmead School		20
74	Tring Hill		60
75	Warren Farm		10
76	Water End East		100
77	West Malling Bypass A		27
78	West Malling Bypass B1		22
79	West Malling Bypass E1		22
80	West Malling Bypass E2		22
81	Westwood Cross	900	
82	White Horse Stone		30
83	Woodlands Roundabout		250
84	Wortham		100

Figure 65: Table of Combinations of Field Evaluation techniques from the Case Study sample

No.	Site Name	County	Techniques used
1	A24 Ashington Bypass	West Sussex	Two separate Trenching interventions
2	Cobham Park Golf Course	Kent	Two separate Trenching interventions
3	Monkston Park Area 3	Kent	Two separate Trenching interventions
4	Queen Mary Hospital	Glondon	Two separate Trenching interventions
5	Andover Area 6	Hampshire	Trenching and Fieldwalking
6	Birchfield Road	Beds	Trenching and Fieldwalking
7	Little Stock Farm	Kent	Trenching and Fieldwalking
8	North of Saltwood Tunnel	Kent	Trenching and Fieldwalking
9	NW of Brewers Hill Farm	Beds	Trenching and Fieldwalking
10	Palgrave (A143 Stuston/Scole)	Suffolk	Trenching and Fieldwalking
11	Parsonage Farm	Kent	Trenching and Fieldwalking
12	Prospect Park	Glondon	Trenching and Fieldwalking
13	Snarkurst Wood	Kent	Trenching and Fieldwalking
14	Wattisham	Suffolk	Trenching and Fieldwalking
15	Wortham	Suffolk	Trenching and Fieldwalking
16	Buncefield Lane	Herts	Trenching and Geophysics
17	Hurst Park	Surrey	Trenching and Geophysics
18	Mersham	Kent	Trenching and Geophysics
19	Mill Farm Quarry	Bucks	Trenching and Geophysics
20	Monkston Park Area 1	Kent	Trenching and Geophysics
21	Monkston Park Area 2	Kent	Trenching and Geophysics
22	Netherne-on-the-hill	Surrey	Trenching and Geophysics
23	North of Great Barford Road	Beds	Trenching and Geophysics
24	NW of Great Barford	Beds	Trenching and Geophysics
25	Sandway Road	Kent	Trenching and Geophysics
26	St Austel NE distributor	Cornwall	Trenching and Geophysics
27	Tanholt Farm	Herts	Trenching and Geophysics
28	High Barns Road	Beds	Trenching, Fieldwalking and Geophysics
29	Lower Icknield Way	Bucks	Trenching, Fieldwalking and Geophysics
30	Marsh Leys Farm	Beds	Trenching, Fieldwalking and Geophysics
31	N of Brewers Hill Farm	Beds	Trenching, Fieldwalking and Geophysics
32	Roxton Road West	Beds	Trenching, Fieldwalking and Geophysics
33	Tring Hill	Bucks	Trenching, Fieldwalking and Geophysics
34	Water End East	Beds	Trenching, Fieldwalking and Geophysics
35	Kennel Farm	Hampshire	Two Trenching; Geophysics and two Fieldwalking interventions
36	Woodlands Roundabout	Bucks	Trenching, Fieldwalking and Geophysics

Chapter 7: Illustrations

Figure 66: Total Periods Present Date scores for Alternative Courses of Action



Figure 67: Negative and Positive results for Periods present measured from Case Study sample.

Period	Technique	Total	True Positive	False Positive	True Negative	False Negative
Mesolithic	Trenching	106	0 (0%)	2 (2%)	94 (89%)	10 (9%)
Neolithic	Trenching	106	8 (8%)	2 (2%)	83 (78%)	13 (12%)
Bronze Age	Trenching	106	43 (40%)	2 (2%)	36 (34%)	25 (24%)
Iron Age	Trenching	106	46 (43%)	1 (1%)	34 (32%)	25 (24%)
Roman	Trenching	106	35 (33%)	1 (1%)	37 (35%)	33 (31%)
Saxon	Trenching	106	14 (13%)	0 (0%)	72 (68%)	20 (19%)
Medieval	Trenching	106	24 (23%)	1 (1%)	60 (56%)	21 (20%)
Mesolithic	F-walking	21	0 (0%)	1 (5%)	19 (90%)	1 (5%)
Neolithic	F-walking	21	3 (14%)	2 (10%)	12 (57%)	4 (19%)
Bronze Age	F-walking	21	5 (24%)	2 (10%)	6 (28%)	8 (38%)
Iron Age	F-walking	21	8 (38%)	0 (0%)	2 (10%)	11 (52%)
Roman	F-walking	21	8 (38%)	2 (10%)	2 (10%)	9 (42%)
Saxon	F-walking	21	1 (5%)	0 (0%)	12 (57%)	8 (38%)
Medieval	F-walking	21	5 (24%)	2 (10%)	9 (42%)	5 (24%)
Mesolithic	Geophysics	22	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	18 (82%)	4 (18%)
Neolithic	Geophysics	22	1 (4%)	0 (0%)	16 (73%)	5 (23%)
Bronze Age	Geophysics	22	1 (4%)	0 (0%)	8 (36%)	13 (59%)
Iron Age	Geophysics	22	6 (27%)	0 (0%)	5 (23%)	11 (50%)
Roman	Geophysics	22	3 (13%)	0 (0%)	5 (23%)	14 (64%)
Saxon	Geophysics	22	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	14 (64%)	8 (36%)
Medieval	Geophysics	22	1 (4%)	0 (0%)	10 (46%)	11 (50%)

Figure 68: Geophysical Survey Performance Scores for period identification (in descending order of performance)



Figure 69: Field-walking Performance Scores for period identification (in descending order of performance)



Figure 70: Trial trenching Performance Scores for period identification (in descending order of performance)



Figure 71: Performance Scores of Combined Trenching and Field-walking for period identification (in descending order of performance)



Figure 72: Performance Scores of Combined Trenching and Geophysical Survey for period identification (in descending order of performance)



Figure 73: Performance Scores of Combined Trenching, Field-walking and Geophysical Survey for period identification (in descending order of performance)



Figure 74: Comparison of Performance Scores for period identification for all single and combined Field Evaluation Techniques measured from the Case Study sample



Figure 75: The Decision Matrix for identification of Periods Present from the Case Study sample.

	States of Nature for Date identification						
Courses of Action	**N_1 Mesolithic**	**N_2 Neolithic**	**N_3 Bronze Age**	**N_4 Iron Age**	**N_5 Roman**	**N_6 Saxon**	**N_7 Medieval**
A_1 Trenching	0% (O_{11})	33% (O_{12})	61% (O_{13})	63% (O_{14})	46% (O_{15})	39% (O_{16})	62% (O_{17})
A_2 Field-walking	0% (O_{21})	43% (O_{22})	39% (O_{23})	47% (O_{24})	50% (O_{25})	0% (O_{26})	36% (O_{27})
A_3 Geophysical Survey	0% (O_{31})	17% (O_{32})	7% (O_{33})	35% (O_{34})	13% (O_{35})	0% (O_{36})	8% (O_{37})
A_4 Field-walking and Trenching	No score (O_{41})	33% (O_{42})	40% (O_{43})	88% (O_{44})	83% (O_{45})	0% (O_{46})	100% (O_{47})
A_5 Geophysical Survey and Trenching	0% (O_{51})	33% (O_{52})	60% (O_{53})	60% (O_{54})	50% (O_{55})	0% (O_{56})	66% (O_{57})
A_6 Geophysical Survey, Field-walking and Trenching	No score (O_{61})	100% (O_{62})	43% (O_{63})	66% (O_{64})	60% (O_{65})	50% (O_{66})	72% (O_{67})

Figure 76: The spread of Type Scores for Trenching Interventions arranged in sequential order for Probability Ranking into groups representing Good and Poor Performance Scores



Figure 77: Comparison of Performance Scores for Feature Type identification for single Field Evaluation Techniques measured from the Case Study sample in chronological order.



Figure 78: The Decision Matrix for identification of Feature Types from the Case Study sample

Courses of Action	States of Nature for Type identification						
	N_1 Mesolithic	N_2 Neolithic	N_3 Bronze Age	N_4 Iron Age	N_5 Roman	N_6 Saxon	N_7 Medieval
A_1 Trenching	13% (O_{11})	14% (O_{12})	32% (O_{13})	18% (O_{14})	13% (O_{15})	15% (O_{16})	23% (O_{17})
A_2 Field-walking	0% (O_{21})	25% (O_{22})	8% (O_{23})	7% (O_{24})	0% (O_{25})	0% (O_{26})	0% (O_{27})
A_3 Geophysical Survey	0% (O_{31})	0% (O_{32})	0% (O_{33})	7% (O_{34})	6% (O_{35})	0% (O_{36})	0% (O_{37})

Chapter 7: Illustrations

Figure 79: Performance Scores of Trenching for Composite and Isolated Landscape Use Patterns



Figure 80: Performance Scores of Trenching for Settlement Landscape Use Patterns



Figure 81: Performance Scores of Trenching for Composite and Isolated Land Division Boundary Landscape Use Patterns



Figure 82: Performance Scores of Trenching for Composite and Isolated Agricultural Landscape Use Patterns



Figure 83: Performance Scores of Trenching for Composite and Isolated Funerary and Ritual Landscape Use Patterns



Figure 84: Decision Matrix for Trenching of Past Landscape Use Patterns

Past Landscape Use Patterns	Type identification of Past Landscape Use Patterns				
	T_1 Bronze Age	T_2 Iron Age	T_3 Roman	T_4 Saxon	T_5 Medieval
P_1 Composite Settlement	29% (O_{11})	46% (O_{12})	32% (O_{13})	23% (O_{14})	62% (O_{15})
P_2 Isolated Landscape Use	48% (O_{21})	23% (O_{22})	41% (O_{23})	10% (O_{24})	30% (O_{25})
P_3 All Settlement Structures	46% (O_{31})	49% (O_{32})	40% (O_{33})	27% (O_{34})	83% (O_{35})
P_4 Settlement Waste Disposal	40% (O_{41})	100% (O_{42})	41% (O_{43})	28% (O_{44})	33% (O_{45})
P_5 Composite Land Div Boundaries	43% (O_{51})	0% (O_{52})	50% (O_{53})	30% (O_{54})	100% (O_{55})
P_6 Isolated Land Div Boundaries	0% (O_{61})	50% (O_{62})	0% (O_{63})	No Score (O_{64})	33% (O_{65})
P_7 Composite Agriculture	29% (O_{71})	50% (O_{72})	50% (O_{73})	No Score (O_{74})	60% (O_{75})
P_8 Isolated Agriculture	57% (O_{81})	29% (O_{82})	29% (O_{83})	No Score (O_{84})	31% (O_{85})
P_9 Composite Funerary and Ritual	5% (O_{91})	0% (O_{92})	28% (O_{93})	No Score (O_{94})	No Score (O_{95})
P_{10} Isolated Funerary and Ritual	0% (O_{101})	5% (O_{102})	0% (O_{103})	0% (O_{104})	No Score (O_{105})

Chapter 8: Illustrations

Figure 85: Table of Premises of Propositions based on Known Presence of archaeological remains recorded from the Case Study sites.

Site Name	Premise offered	Archaeological remains proven to be present by excavation
1) Battlebridge	Roman remains present (Sound)	Mesolithic activity; Neolithic activity; Iron Age settlement Roman settlement Saxon activity
2) Copdock	Neolithic remains present (Unsound)	Saxon settlement
3) Highbarns Road	Bronze Age settlement present (Sound); Roman settlement present (Sound)	Bronze Age activity; Iron Age settlement and funerary Roman settlement
4) Little Marlow	Mesolithic activity (Sound)	Mesolithic activity; Bronze Age ritual structures
5) Loxwood	Medieval Manor House present (Sound)	Medieval Manor House present
6) Marconi	Iron Age remains present (Unsound)	Bronze Age settlement and ritual
7) Marsh Leys	Iron Age remains present (Sound); Roman remains present (Sound)	Neolithic activity; Bronze Age activity; Iron Age settlement and funerary Roman settlement and funerary
8) Mill Farm Quarry	Bronze Age funerary structure present (Sound)	Neolithic ritual and funerary; Bronze Age funerary structures Iron Age settlement; Roman funerary
9) Monkston Park 1	Bronze Age remains present (Unsound)	Roman settlement and funerary
10) N of Brewers	Iron Age remains present (Sound); Roman remains present (Sound)	Iron Age settlement Roman settlement Saxon settlement
11) Parsonage	Medieval remains present (Sound)	Iron Age remains present; Saxon remains present Medieval remains present
12) Queen Mary Hospital	Bronze Age Scheduled Monument present (Sound)	Bronze Age remains present
13) Sipson Lane	Neolithic remains present (Sound)	Neolithic settlement; Bronze age funerary; Iron Age settlement and funerary; Roman settlement

Figure 86: Table of Premises of Propositions based on General Landscape Model Premises for Prediction of Presence of archaeological remains recorded from the Case Study sites.

Site Name	Premise offered	Remains proven to be present
1) Andover Area 6	Lies in an area of chalk downland where there is a considerable body of Prehistoric (Sound), RB (Unsound) and Medieval (Sound) activity:	Bronze Age Activity Iron Age settlement Saxon activity
2) Chineham Lane	Site is part of a LNeo- EBA landscape including burial mounds (Sound); Site is part of a landscape of Iron Age settlements and fields systems (Sound)	Neolithic settlement Bronze Age settlement Iron Age Settlement
3) HRI Littlehampton	Numerous M/LBA finds in area of rivers suggest a settlement shift from chalk to coastal environments such as this one (Sound)	Bronze Age settlement and funerary activity; Iron Age Settlement Roman Settlement
4) Kingsnorth	This area of the Hoo peninsula on S side of Medway is well known for RB pot production sites (Sound)	Bronze Age activity Iron Age settlement Roman activity
5) Netherne	HER shows potential for IA (Unsound)-R (Unsound) settlement on site	Neolithic settlement Bronze Age settlement Medieval activity
6) Pilgrims Way	Site lies in middle of cluster of Neolithic megalithic monuments (Unsound)	Bronze Age activity Iron Age activity and funerary
7) Prospect Park	Areas of the floodplain and adjacent terrace such as this were cleared in Neo to enable construction of major ritual monuments including the Stanwell Cursus (Sound)	Neolithic settlement; Bronze Age settlement, funerary and ritual activity; Iron Age activity Roman activity and funerary Saxon settlement

Site Name	Premise offered	Remains proven to be present
8) Sipson Lane	Meso flints and faunal remains concentrated within river valleys such as this (Unsound) Large number of Palaeo axes and flakes from gravel terraces (Unsound); Area was formalised landscape of fields & settlement enclosures in Bronze Age (Sound); Continuation of settlement activity with defended enclosures and unenclosed settlements established in Iron Age in this area (Sound); Area on the fringes of area of Saxon dispersed unenclosed settlements (Sound);	Neolithic settlement; Bronze age funerary; Iron Age settlement and funerary; Roman settlement Saxon activity and derelict land
9) St. Austell	Classed by Cornwall HLC as "Anciently enclosed land" suggesting landscape of agricultural settlements from Bronze Age (Sound)	Bronze age ritual; Iron Age activity; Roman activity and funerary;
10) Warren Farm	Site lies in an area of IA (Sound)-R (Unsound) occupation	Bronze Age activity Iron Age settlement
11) White Horse Stone	Site lies in middle of cluster of Neolithic (Unsound) megalithic monuments	Bronze Age settlement & funerary; Iron Age settlement & funerary; Roman activity

Figure 87: Proportions of Sound and other Premises for Probability of Presence indicators recorded from the Case Study sample



Figure 88: Proportions of Composite and Isolated Past Landscape Uses recorded from the Case Study sample sites by period.



Figure 89: Proportions of Composite and Isolated Funerary/ritual Past Landscape Uses recorded from the Case Study sample sites by period



Figure 90:.Patterns of Change and Continuity between contiguous periods recorded from the Case Study sample

Period	No of sites	Composite to Composite	Composite to Isolated	Isolated to Composite	Isolated to Isolated	Composite to No Activity	Isolated to No Activity
Bronze Age to Iron Age	63	19 (30%)	5 (8%)	13 (21%)	10 (16%)	12 (19%)	4 (6%)
Iron Age to Roman	74	25 (34%)	14(19%)	5 (7%)	8 (11%)	15 (20%)	7 (9%)
Roman to Saxon	55	4 (7%)	8 (15%)	4 (7%)	4 (7%)	25 (45%)	9 (16%)
Saxon to Medieval	27	2 (7%)	3 (11%)		8 (30%)	7 (26%)	7 (26%)

Figure 91: Continuity of Composite Past Landscape Uses



Figure 92: Continuity of Isolated Past Landscape Uses



Figure 93: Change from Composite to Isolated Past Landscape Uses



Figure 94: Change from Isolated to Composite Past Landscape Uses



Figure 95: Change from Composite/Isolated to No Activity Past Landscape Uses



Figure 96: Proportions of Gap periods for Past Landscape Uses



Figure 97: Tables of Features comprising the Composite Settlement Past Landscape Use Patterns recorded from the Case Study

Bronze Age Settlement Land Use			**Number of sites: 34**
Enclosure features	**Structural features**	**Occupational use features**	**Associated land use features**
enclosure ditches at 10 sites	postholes at 23 sites	middens at 2 sites,	Funerary and ritual at 14 sites
entrances at 10 sites	postpits at 3 sites,	rubbish pits at 26 sites	Agriculture at 11 sites (8 field enclosure ditches and 3 tree throw holes)
terminals at 1 sites	stakeholes at 2 sites	cess pits at 1 sites	Land division boundaries (all boundary ditches) at 8 sites
	beamslots at 1 sites,	cooking pits at 3 sites	quarrying at 1 site
	drainange gullies at 3 sites	hearths at 4 sites	transport at 5 sites (1 trackway and 4 droveways)
	foundation gullies at 3 sites		

Iron Age Settlement Land Use			**Number of sites: 47**
Enclosure features	**Structural features**	**Occupational use features**	**Associated land use features**
enclosure ditches at 21 sites,	postholes at 28 sites,	rubbish pits at 46 sites	Funerary and ritual at 13 sites
entrances at 3 sites	postholes of fenceline at 1 site	cooking pits at 1 sites	Agriculture at 1 sites (6 field enclosure ditches and 5 others)
terminals at 4 sites	postpits at 7 sites,	hearths at 10 sites	Land division boundaries (all boundary ditches) at 17 sites
posthole enclosure structure at 2 sites	stakeholes at 5 sites	working surface at 4 sites	quarrying at 3 sites
	beamslots at 7 sites	storage pit at 2 sites	transport at 12 sites (2 trackways, 1 road, 2 hollowways, 1 cartruts, 2 roadside ditches, 1 paralell ditches and 3 droveways)
	drip ring gully of roundhouse at 15 sites	industrial gully or pit at 2 sites	drainage ditches at 3 sites
	foundation gullies at 13 sites	kiln at 2 sites	
	unid ditch at 10 sites	waterhole or well at 3 sites	
	four post granary structure at 1 site	ironworking feature at 1 site	

Roman Settlement Land Use			Number of sites: 36
Enclosure features	**Structural features**	**Occupational use features**	**Associated land use features**
enclosure ditches at 15 sites,	postholes at 16 sites,	rubbish pits at 17 sites	Funerary and ritual at 14 sites
Timber palisade at 1 sites	postpits at 3 sites,	Firepits at 1 sites	Agriculture at 13 sites (8 field enclosure ditches and 2 corndryers, 1 ploughsoil, 1 plough furrow and 1 animal burial))
terminals at 1 sites	beamslots at 6 sites	hearths at 2 sites	Land division boundaries (all boundary ditches) at 14 sites
posthole enclosure structure at 1 sites	drip ring gully of roundhouse at 8 sites	waterhole or well at 6 sites	quarrying at 5 sites
annexe enclosure ditch at 5 sites	foundation ditches 4 sites	pond at 1 site	transport at 8 sites (1 trackway, 2 hollowways, 3 roadside ditches, and 3 droveways
	floor at 4 sites		drainage ditches at 1 sites
	external surface at 1 site		industrial at x sites (4 kilns, 1 dump deposit, 6 working surfaces, 2 pits)

Saxon Settlement Land Use			Number of sites: 16
Enclosure features	**Structural features**	**Occupational use features**	**Associated land use features**
enclosure ditches at 3sites,	postholes at 9sites,	rubbish pits at 12sites	Agriculture at 1 site
boundary ditch at 5 sites,	stakehole at 1 site	corndryer at 1 site,	transport at 1 site (1 droveway)
	beamslots at 1sites	hearths at 2 sites	
	postpits at 3 sites,	water storage pit at 1 sites	
	hut circle at 1 site	oven at 1 site	
	floor at 1sites	industrial pit at 2 sites	
	external surface at 1 site		
	sunken featured building at 4 sites		
	walls at 1 site		
	structural scoop/gully at 4 sites		

Medieval Settlement Land Use			Number of sites: 12
Enclosure features	**Structural features**	**Occupational use features**	**Associated land use features**
enclosure ditches at 2 sites,	postholes at 8 sites,	rubbish pits at 8 sites	Agriculture at 1 site
boundary ditch at 5 sites,	postpits at 4 sites	industrial at 3 sites	transport at 1 site
annexe enclosure ditch at 2 sites	beamslots at 2 sites	hearths at 3 sites	quarrying at 2 sites
moat at 1 site	floor at 3 sites	yard at 1 sites	
	foundation ditches or gully at 4 sites	working surface at 1 site	
	floor at 1sites		
	walls at 1 site		
	stakehole at 3 sites		
	house at 1 site		
	causeway at 1 site		
	stream revetment at 1 site		

Figure 98: The spatial arrangement of Bronze Age Settlement at the Blind Lane site (taken from OAU 2001).



Figure 99: The spatial arrangement of Bronze Age Settlement at the Innova Business Park site (taken from Wessex Archaeology 2000).



Figure 100: The spatial arrangement of Bronze Age Settlement at the site at the A24 Ashington Bypass (taken from Priestly-Bell 1993)



Figure 101: The spatial arrangement of Bronze Age Settlement at the Hurst Park site (taken from Andrews & Crocket 1996)



Figure 35 Plan of Late Bronze Age features

Figure 102: The spatial arrangement of Bronze Age Settlement at Sipson Lane (taken from Wessex Archaeology 2000)



Figure 103: Correlations between Topographic Factors and Composite Settlement Past Landscape Use Patterns recorded from the Case Study



Figure 104: Correlations between Physical Affordance Factors and Composite Settlement Past Landscape Use Patterns recorded from the Case Study



Chapter 9 – Illustrations

Figure 105: The Correlation of Sample Size and Total Date Performance with Polynomial Trendline



Figure 106: The Extended Correlation of Sample Size and Total Date Performance using the Polynomial Trendline



Figure 107: The Correlation of Sample Size and Type Performance by period with the Polynomial Trendline



Figure 108: The Correlation of Sample Size and Type Performance for the Bronze Age period with the Polynomial Trendline



Figure 109: The Correlation of Sample Size and Type Performance for the Iron Age period with the Polynomial Trendline



Figure 110: The Correlation of Sample Size and Type Performance for the Roman period with the Polynomial Trendline



Figure 111: The Correlation of Sample Size and Type Performance for the Saxon period with the Polynomial Trendline



Figure 112: The Correlation of Sample Size and Type Performance for the Medieval period with the Polynomial Trendline



Figure 113: The Correlation of Trench Length and Date Performance Scores with the Polynomial Trendline



Figure 114: The Correlation of Trench Length and Type Performance Scores with the Polynomial Trendline



Figure 115: The Correlation of Gaps between Trenches and Date Performance Scores with the Polynomial Trendline



Figure 116: The Correlation of Gaps between Trenches and Type Performance Scores with the Polynomial Trendline



Figure 117: The proportion of different types of Targeted Trenching Interventions in the Case Study sample.



Figure 118: The proportion of Targeted to Non-targeted Trenching Interventions in the Case Study sample.



Figure 119: The comparison of Good, Fair and Poor Performance Scores between Targeted and Non-targeted Trenching Interventions from the Case Study sample.



Figure 120: The proportions of Good Performance Scores between Targeted and Non-targeted Trenching Interventions from the Case Study sample.



Figure 121: The proportions of Good, Fair and Poor Performance of Date Scores between the two different Trenching arrays recorded from the Case Study sample



Figure 122: The proportions of Good Performance Type Scores for each period between the two different Trenching arrays recorded from the Case Study sample.



Figure 123: A Decision Matrix for Trenching Sample Percentage size correlated to increased Type Performance Scores recorded from the Case Study sample.

	Percentage of site to sample						
Trenching for Type	Meso	Neol	BA	IA	Rom	Saxon	Med
100% of feature types present identified	No score	No score	No score	No score	13%	22%	35%
66% of feature types present identified	No score	No score	10%	No score	10%	17.5%	28%
60% of feature types present identified	No score	No score	7%	No score	-	-	27%
40% of feature types present identified	No score	No score	2%	No score	8%	-	22%
38% of feature types present identified	-	-	-	2%	-	-	-

Figure 124: Model of External Decision Situation Elements of Costs influencing Decision-making at Decision-making Point 12b



Figure 125: The remodelled Process Model for a Staged Field Evaluation approach



Figure 126: The remodelled Process of Decision-making Point 12b showing the provision of systematically gathered information and Predictive Models



Chapter 10: Illustrations

Figure 127: Model of Practice required for Staged Evaluation approach



Figure 128: Model of Practice required for removal of Field Evaluation Stage



Figure 129: Model of Practice required for the use of Deductive Predictive Models



Appendix 1

List of Archaeological Reports in random grab sample used
for the identification of Deposits, Features and Structures
Capta Types

Appendix 1: List of Archaeological Reports in random grab sample used for the identification of Deposits, Features and Structures Capta Types.

Birmingham City Council:

Site Name	Reports analysed
Genetics Field, University of Birmingham (SP 043 835)	Jones, A, December 1995. ***Genetics Field, University of Birmingham. A Desk Top Study 1995.*** Birmingham University Field Archaeology Unit Report no. 385. Jones, A, October 1998. ***Genetics Field, University of Birmingham. An Archaeological Evaluation.*** Birmingham University Field Archaeology Unit Report no. 385.01 Jones, A, February 1999. ***Genetics Field, University of Birmingham. Archaeological Investigations 1998. Post Excavation Assessment.*** University of Birmingham Field Archaeology Unit Project no. 385.04.

Lincolnshire County Council:

Quarrington (TF 055 445)	Dymond, MC, November 1992. ***Archaeological Evaluation at Town Road, Quarrington.*** Heritage Lincolnshire Report on behalf of DB Lawrence and Associates. Walker, F & Lane, T, November 1996. ***An Early and Middle Saxon Settlement at Quarrington, Lincolnshire Vol 1 The Excavations, Figures and Plates.*** Archaeological Project Services Report no. 49/96 for Chartdale Homes.
Mill Drove, Bourne (TF 1030 2125)	Lindsey Archaeological Services, 1993. ***Land at Mill Drove, Bourne: An Archaeological Assessment.*** Lindsey Archaeological Services Report. Geophysical Surveys of Bradford, February 1994. ***Mill Drove, Bourne. Report on Geophysical Survey.*** Geophysical Surveys of Bradford Report No. 94/09 for Lindsey Archaeological Services. Tipper, JB, 1994. ***Mill Drove, Bourne. An Archaeological Evaluation.*** Lindsey Archaeological Services Report. Tipper, J & Field, N, April 1995. ***Excavations of a Late Iron Age/Romano-British settlement at Mill Drove, Bourne.*** Lindsey Archaeological Services Report for M. Parke and Sons Ltd.
Brauncewell Quarry (TF 0320 5220)	Field, N, 1993. ***Brauncewell Limestone Quarry: Archaeological Desk-Top Study.*** Lindsey Archaeological Service Report. Field, N, March 1994. ***Brauncewell Limestone Quarry Extension. Brauncewell Quarries Ltd. Archaeological Evaluation.*** Lindsey Archaeological Services. Oxford Archaeotechnics, October 1996. ***Brauncewell Limestone Quarry, Lincolnshire. Topsoil Magnetic Susceptibility and Gradiometer Survey.*** Oxford Archaeotechnics Survey Ref: 0940996/BRI/LAS for Lindsey Archaeological Services on behalf of Brauncewell Quarries Ltd. Lindsey Archaeological Services, January 1998. ***Brauncewell Limestone Quarry Extension Excavations 1997.*** Network Archaeology Ltd for Lindsey Archaeological Services on behalf of Brauncewell Quarries Ltd. Lindsey Archaeological Services Report no. 279.
Hall Farm, Baston (TF 114 138)	Jarvis, M, 1992. ***Archaeological Evaluation at Baston Hall Farm, November and December 1992.*** Heritage Lincolnshire Report on behalf of Swallow Homes Ltd. Taylor, G, December 1997. ***Archaeological Excavation on land adjacent to Hall Farm Baston, Lincolnshire.*** Archaeological Project Services Report no. 42/97 for Swallow Homes.

Staffordshire County Council:

Holditch Quarry (SJ 83788 48211)	Gifford and Partners, June 1998. ***Report an Archaeological Evaluation at Holditch Colliery, Lymedale Park, Staffordshire.*** Gifford and Partners Report No. B1505A.02R Gifford and Partners, August 1999. ***Archaeological Excavation and Watching Brief at Holditch Colliery, Lymedale Industrial Park, Newcastle under Lyme. Post Excavation Assessment Report.*** Gifford and Partners Report no. B1505B.05R for Independent Energy Ltd. Gifford and Partners, 2000. ***Holditch – Small town or military depot.*** Gifford and Partners Report No. B1505B, 1st draft.
Orton's Pasture (SK 1105 3937)	Jones, A & Cutler, R, October 1995. ***An Archaeological Evaluation at Orton's Pasture, Mill Street, Rocester, Staffordshire.*** Birmingham University Field Archaeology Unit Project No. 128.01 Ferris, I, Bevan, L & Cuttler, R, 1998. ***The Excavation of a Romano-British Shrine at Orton's Pasture, Rocester, Staffordshire.*** Birmingham University Archaeology Service Report.
Whitemoor Haye Quarry (SK 180 130	Birmingham University Field Archaeology Unit, December 1992. ***An Archaeological Evaluation at Whitemoor Haye, Alrewas, Staffordshire 1992.*** Birmingham University Field Archaeology Unit Report no. 231. Coates, G, August 1999. ***Excavation at Whitemoor Haye Quarry, Alrewas, Staffordshire. 1997-1998. Post-Excavation Assessment and updated Project Design.*** Birmingham University Field Archaeology Unit Project No. 495.

Shropshire County Council:

Ellesmere Road (SJ 4960 1418)	Oxford Archaeological Unit, revised March 1995. ***Ellesmere Road, Shrewsbury, Shropshire. Archaeological Desktop Appraisal and Field Evaluation.*** Oxford Archaeological Unit Report. Oxford Archaeological Unit, January 1996. ***Ellesmere Road, Shrewsbury Shropshire. Archaeological Excavation.***
Perry Farm (SJ 350 303)	Jones, NW & Frost, P, December 1996. ***Perry Farm, Whittington, Shropshire. Archaeological Assessment.*** The Clwyd-Powys Archaeological Trust Report No. 206 for Mr J Ward. Jones, NW & Hankinson, R, December 1997. ***Perry Farm, Whittington, Shropshire. Archaeological Excavation and Watching Brief.*** The Clwyd-Powys Archaeological Trust Report No. 233.1 for Mr J Ward.

Appendix 2

Topographic Features associated with archaeological remains
from the Case Study sites

Appendix 2: Topographic Features associated with archaeological remains from the Case Study sites

Site	Topographic features	Composite settlement	Isolated funerary
14 Progress Way	Gravel floodplain of River valley		
82-90 Park Lane	Gravel terrace River floodplain		ROM; SAX;
A24 Ashington Bypass	Hilltop	BA; SAX;	
Andover Area 6	River/stream valley floor	IA;	BA
Battlebridge Lane	Upper slopes of N downs, major access route in natural gap in Downs	IA; ROM;	
Beechbrook Wood	Top of N facing hillslope with ridge	IA; ROM;	BA;
Birchfield Road	No info	IA; ROM;	
Blind Lane	Side of South facing dry valley slope and floor	BA; IA; ROM;	
Boarley Farm	Side of dry valley slope	IA;ROM;	
Boys Hall	On a plateau in river valley slope	IA	
Brisley Farm 1	Site lies within a clay vale in upper valley of River	ROM;	IA;
Brisley Farm 2	River valley slope		ROM;
Brisley Farm 3	River valley slope	BA; IA;	
Brisley Farm 6	Site lies to NE of a prominent hill		
Brisley Farm 7	Site lies to NE of a prominent hill	IA;	
Broughton Barn	No info	BA; IA; ROM;	
Buncefield Lane	On top of river/stream valley slope and plateau	BA; IA; ROM;	
Chapel mill	River valley slope and floor		IA;
Chapel Way		IA; ROM;	
Chineham Lane	Side of N facing ridge	BA; IA;	
Church Road,	Under N facing dry valley slope	BA;	
Cliffs End Farm	Coastal bay		BA; SAX;
Cobham Park Golf Course	Hillslope, dry valley slope	BA; IA; ROM;	
Copdock Mill	On side of NW facing dry valley slope	SAX;	
Cuxton	On side of an E facing slope, terrace	IA;	BA; SAX;
Duncroft B	Overlooking floodplain	ROM; SAX;	

Site	Topographic features	Composite settlement	Isolated funerary
Duncroft C	Overlooking floodplain	ROM; SAX;	BA;
Duncroft D	Overlooking floodplain	SAX;	
Harvest Home		BA; IA;	
Highbarns Road	River/stream valley floor, plateau; ridge	ROM;	
Holm Hill Area A	Below a hillslope	BA; ROM	
Holm Hill Area B	On top of a ridge in a floodplain		
Home Farm Phase 1	Floodplain gravels and brickearth	BA;	
Home Farm Phase 4	Floodplain gravels and brickearth	BA;	
Home Farm Phase 5	Floodplain gravels and brickearth	BA;	
Home Farm Phase 6b	Floodplain gravels and brickearth	BA;	
Home Farm Phase 7	Floodplain	BA;	
HRI Littlehampton	Next to a river/stream valley floor	BA; ROM;	
Hurst Wood	S facing ridge near floodplain	BA; IA;	
Hurst Park	On top of terrace and floodplain	BA; SAX;	ROM;
Ibis Hotel	Brickearth; gravels of 3rd Taplow river Terrace	BA; IA;	
Innova Business Park		BA;	
Kennel Farm		BA; IA;	
Kingsnorth Power Station	Site lies in an area which would have ben between ecoznes and would thus have beenfavoured for occupation in later prehistoric and earlier historic periods. Site is on S side of Hoo peninsula on N side of Medway Estuary, flat and low lying, site lies 80mm from present estuary edge	IA; SAX;	BA;
Leavesden aerodrome	On side of plateau next to river/stream valley floor	IA;	
Little Marlow	On side of floodplain terrace		BA;
Little Stock Farm	S facing plateau overlooking floodplain	BA; IA; MED;	
Lower Icknield Way	No info	BA; IA; ROM; SAX;	
Loxwood		MED;	
Marconi Site	Gravel terraces	BA;	
Marsh Leys Farm	No info	IA; ROM;	

Site	Topographic features	Composite settlement	Isolated funerary
Mersham	On top of ridge	SAX; MED;	
Mill Farm	On top of S facing river/stream valley slope with floodplain and terrace	IA;	BA; ROM;
Monkston Park 1	On top of W facing plateau in river/stream valley floor	IA; ROM;	
Monkston Park 2	On top of SW facing river/stream valley slope	IA; ROM;	
Monkston Park 3	On top of ridge in river/stream valley slope	IA; ROM;	
N of Brewers Hill Farm	Hillslope	IA; ROM;	
N of Great Barford	On side of W facing river/stream valley slope	SAX; MED;	
N of Saltwood Tunnel	On top of plateau	IA; ROM; SAX;	
Netherne on the hill		MED;	
North Binfields	On side of S facing river/stream valley slope with a scarp	IA; ROM;	
Northumberland Bottom	-	IA; ROM; MED;	BA;
NW of Brewer's Hill Farm	River/stream valley slope; ridge	IA; ROM;	
NW of Great Barford	River/stream valley slope	MED;	
Oakley	Floodplain and river valley floor	IA; ROM;	
Palgrave	On side of N facing hillslope		
Parsonage Farm	On side of scarp	IA; SAX;	
Pigrims Way	Dry valley slope and floor, escarpment	BA; IA; ROM;	
Prospect Park	On side of river/stream valley floor with scarp, terrace and floodplain	BA; SAX;	
Queen Mary Hospital	On top of a hill and on side of N facing hillslope		
Riverdene	On top of and overlooking river/stream valley floor	SAX;	
Rixons Gate Farm	On S facing river/stream valley slope; ridge	IA; ROM;	
Roxton Road West	River/stream valley slope	ROM;	
Sandway Road	Terrace and river		
Shrubsoles	Hillslope	BA; SAX;	
Sipson	On top of S facing gravel terrace of river/stream valley slope	IA; ROM;	BA;
Snarkhurst	Dry valley slope and floor, escarpment, terrace	BA; IA; ROM;	
St Austell	On side of S facing hillslope overlooking a coastal bay	ROM;	

Site	Topographic features	Composite settlement	Isolated funerary
Stickfast lane, Bobbing	No info		
Tanholt	Fens	BA; IA; ROM;	
Thanet 7	Hill slope	IA;	
Thanet 8	Hill top	BA; IA;	
The Close	On top of terrace and floodplain	BA; ROM	
Townmead School	On top of gravel terrace next to floodplain of river/stream valley floor		BA;
Tring Hill	Hillslope; scarp	IA; ROM;	SAX;
Walsinham School	Tributary valley	MED;	
Warren Farm		IA;	
Water End East	Dry valley slope	IA; ROM;	
Wattisham	-	BA;	
West Malling A		IA;	
West Malling B		SAX;	
West Malling C			
West Malling E1			
West Malling E2		IA; SAX;	
West Waste	On top of lias island within low lying peat moors		
Westwood Cross	Flat ground with no topographic feats mentioned in reports	BA; IA;	
White Horse Stone	At foot of escarpment in a dry valley	BA; IA;	
Woodlands Roundabout	No info	BA; IA;	
Wortham	On side of SE facing river/stream valley slope	ROM;	

Appendix 3

Water supply, Geology and Soils associated with archaeological remains from the Case Study sites

Appendix 3: Water supply, Geology and Soils associated with archaeological remains from the Case Study sites

Site	Water	Geology	Soils	Composite settlement	Isolated funerary
14 Progress Way	River	Gravel floodplain of river valley	London Clay to N		
82-90 Park Lane	-	Gravel terrace	Hillwash and humic soils		ROM; SAX;
A24 Ashington Bypass		Weald clay		BA; SAX;	
Andover Area 6	-	Upper chalk	Loamy topsoil overlying chalk-with-flints	IA;	BA
Battlebridge Lane	-	Chalk and Upper Greensand of North Downs	Brickearth, then silty sand of Folkestone Beds	IA; ROM;	
Beechbrook Wood	Small pond to S of site	Sandgate Beds (firm sandy clay)	Silt of sands	IA; ROM;	BA;
Birchfield Road	Stream valley	Boulder Clay	-	IA; ROM;	
Blind Lane	River tributary 1km to SW	At base of Lower Greensand and grades up the dry valley sope to sandier Hythe Beds of sands and limestone rubble	Sandy clay soils, also evaluation report notes that prehistoric features have been protected by accumulation of collluvial deposits from higher up slope, 0.8m of Colluvium in base of valley so any features would not show up as cropmarks	BA; IA; ROM;	
Boarley Farm	-	Middle Chalk	A head deposit of silty clay with silts	IA;ROM;	
Boys Hall;	River 1km to S	Atherfield Clay at base of Lower Greensand in E of site and glauconite sand and sandy limestone (Kentish Rag) as part of Hythe Beds in W.	-	IA	
Brisley 2	Site lies within a clay vale in upper valley				ROM;

Site	Water	Geology	Soils	Composite settlement	Isolated funerary
	of River				
Brisley 3	Low wet ground to E	Weald clay with alluvium	Weald clay with alluvium	BA; IA;	
Brisley 4	Low wet ground to E	Weald clay with alluvium	Weald clay with alluvium		
Brisley 6			Weald clay	IA;	
Brisley 7			Weald clay		ROM;
Brisley Farm 1				ROM;	IA;
Broughton Barn		On first terrace river gravels		BA; IA; ROM;	
Buncefield Lane	-	-	Subsoils are glacial deposits of Boulder Clay and flints	BA; IA; ROM;	
Chapel mill	Stream flows across W end of the site	on Folkestone Beds	-		IA;
Chapel Way	-	Clay with flints	Clay	IA; ROM;	
Chineham Lane	-	Site on a chalk ridge at geological junction of Cretaceous Upper Chalk with Tertiary Reading Beds with London Clay	Well drained calcareous brown earth soils with localised colluvial footslope deposits on S edge of site	BA; IA;	
Church Road,	-	Upper Chalk of North Downs	Pleistocene drift deposits of clay with flints and pockets of colluvium	BA;	
Cliffs End Farm	Coastal bay shore	Thanet Bay clays and sands on Upper Chalk	Brickearth		BA; SAX;
Cobham Park golf course	Excavation report notes access to river for fishing	Upper chalk	Drift deposits of silt-clays with gravel spreads and more recent pockets of colluvial silts, Excavation report notes that all archaeological features are cut into alluvial silt pockets	BA; IA; ROM;	
Copdock	Nearest water source	Heavy glacial clays and outwash sands	Clay soils	SAX;	

Site	Water	Geology	Soils	Composite settlement	Isolated funerary
	is Belstead Brook 500m to SSW;				
Cuxton	-	Site on Upper Chalk bedrock on N side of Medway valley	-	IA;	BA; SAX;
Harvest Home	-	Reading Beds	London Clay	BA; IA;	
High Barns Road	-	Boulder clay	-	ROM;	
Holm Hill A	A watercourse at S end of site	Cretaceous Lower Greensand Sand beds		BA; ROM	
Holm Hill Area B	Probably a palaeochannel flowed through the coombe feeding into the river floodplain	Cretaceous Lower Greensand Folkestone Beds with a superficial cap of 4th Terrace River gravel in this area.	-		
Home Farm 6	On the floodlain of River Thames which is 500m to E			BA;	
Home Farm 7	On the floodlain of River Thames which is 500m to E	Floodplain gravel and brickearth	-	BA;	
HRI Littlehampton	Near a tributary to a river	Stone quarries at Lodsworth possibly imported by navigable river nearby	Brickearth deposits of 0.5m below current ground surface;	BA; ROM;	
Hurst Park	Site is 200m S of River Thames on parts of sands and gravels of floodplain terrace	River gravels of Devensian date and parts of floodplain terrace of River Thames	-	BA; SAX;	ROM;
Hurst Wood;	Hydrography of area is dominated by River Great Stour along	Cretaceous Lower Greensand beds outcropping at base of ridge forming edge of N Downs.	Pleistocene Head Brickearth with alluvium from river to S	BA; IA;	

Site	Water	Geology	Soils	Composite settlement	Isolated funerary
	foot of N Downs 1km to S, 2 S flowing streams sping from footslopes and feed into River Great Stour both 300m either side of site				
Ibis Hotel	Palaeochannel on site	Ggravels of 3rd Taplow Terrace	Brickearth	BA; IA;	
Innova	Floodplain		Floodplain deposits of alluvium on some of site	BA;	
Innova Business Park - Tr	Floodplain	Floodplain	Floodplain	BA; IA;	
Kennel Farm				IA; SAX;	BA;
Kingsnorth Power Station	Site lies in an area which would have been between ecozones and would thus have been favoured for occupation in later prehistoric and earlier historic periods. Damhead Creek 100m to SE	Head brickearth and alluvium	Major sediment body of fine grained channel fill deposits dating to last interglacial (Ipswichian) across muchof area	IA;	
Leavesden	-	Glacial plateau gravels overlying chalk bedrock	-		BA;
Little Marlow	Soils saturated after a depth of 0.6m in floor of valley. Excavation shows	Taplow gravels on highest ground to NE and floodplain terrace on rest of site	Sandy silty loams and brickearth (a windblown (colluvial) silt in the bluff junction of Taplow and	BA; IA; MED;	

Site	Water	Geology	Soils	Composite settlement	Isolated funerary
	open water wetland in Meso, wetland with woodland and pastoral land in Neo, wetland with peat build up from expanses of woodland in BA with reed swamps with areas of open water, burnt mound construction		floodplain terrrace and eroded on terrace tops, with around 1.2m depth of alluvial deposits of Endbury and Fladbury series, soils saturated after depth of 0.6m on valley floor. Present - southern part of field prone to flooding. The environmental evidence in Excavation report shows the relationship between brickearths and human choice of land-use. The brickearths are an Aeolian sediment and the brickearth on the site is against the southern edge of the terrace and was deposited between 19,000 and 13,000 BP by movement downslope of capping deposits. Report shows that there are 2 different environments within the site. One on and above the stream terrace and another within the floodplain of the stream		
Little Stock Farm	Site slopes down to river floodplain and natural springs nearby	Southern-most fringes of Cretaceous Lower Greensand Hythe beds,	Atherfield clay with drift deposits of alluvium mapped along course of river to S	BA; IA; ROM; SAX;	

Site	Water	Geology	Soils	Composite settlement	Isolated funerary
Lower Icknield Way	-	At junction of Lower Chalk with heavy Gaults and Upper Greensands of Lower Cretaceous Icknield Belt	Slow-draining soils	MED;	
Loxwood Place Farm		Folkestone Beds division of Lower Greensand		BA; IA;	
Marsh Leys Farm	Elstow Brook in E	Oxford Clay	Gravel with alluvial deposit associated with the Elstow Brook in E	IA; ROM;	
Mersham	-	Site is at edge of Hythe Beds and a ragstone outcrop	Sandy clay capped by a sandy clay loessic drift deposit over the Hythe Beds	SAX; MED;	
Mill Farm	-	On First River Terrace gravels and sands overlying Blisworth Clay and Limestone	-	IA;	BA; ROM;
Monkston Park 1	River Ouzel to W of site	Some super imposed glacial sands and gravels	Redish Oxford Clay	IA; ROM;	
Monkston Park 2	River Ouzel to W of site	Some super imposed glacial sands and gravels	Redish Oxford Clay	IA; ROM;	
Monkston Park 3	River Ouzel to W of site	Some super imposed glacial sands and gravels	Redish Oxford Clay	IA; ROM;	
N of Brewers Hill Farm	River 400m to SE	Gravel terrace	Gravel terace	IA; ROM;	
N of Great Barford	On NW side of stream	Clay	Yellowish brown glacial till overlaying Oxford clay	SAX; MED;	
Netherne	-	Upper chalk	Drift deposits of clay with flints over chalk	MED;	
North Binfields	-	London Clay and gravel	Soils are Wickham 4 Association - clayey and poorly drained	IA; ROM;	
North of Saltwood Tunnel	Land dips into narrow valley of Slay Brook	Within the Folkestone Beds (a formation of Lower Cretaceous sandstones)	On Argillic brown earth soils on Cretaceous sands and	IA; ROM; SAX;	

Site	Water	Geology	Soils	Composite settlement	Isolated funerary
			loams. Acidic Ph 3 value of soils, well-drained and prone to water erosion.		
Northumberland Bottom		Upper Chalk with pockets of clay with flints	Sands and gravels with silty brown topsoil for farming on site	IA; ROM; MED;	BA;
NW of Brewers Hill Farm		Boulder Clay		IA; ROM;	
NW of Great Barford	Stream	Boulder Clay overlying Oxford Clays	Marginal land of clay soils	MED;	
Oakley	Floodplain of river	Low sand and gravel terrace in floodplain	Peat	IA; ROM;	
Palgrave					
Parsonage Farm	2 streams join just to S of site	Folkestone Sand Beds	Pleistocene Head Brickearth with alluvium from river to S	IA; SAX;	
Pilgims Way	-	Middle Chalk	-	BA; IA; ROM;	
Propsect Park	-	Sands and gravels of the Taplow Terrace and brickearth	Floodplain alluvium and brickearth soils	BA; SAX;	
Queen Mary Hospital	-	Cretaceous Upper Chalk	-		
Riverdene	-	On a spur of Upper Chalk	Drift deposits of clay-with-flints	SAX;	
Rixons	River Thames headwater	On alluvium of First (Upper Thames) gravel terrace (Oolithic limestone gravel)	Alluvium on lower areas	IA; ROM;	
Roxton Road West	-	Overlying Third Terrace Gravel	Natural substrate of alluvial gravel on W side of field with change to cold phase colluvium derived from glacial tills upslope	ROM;	
Sandway Road	Site to E of a S-flowing stream	Cretaceous Lower Greensand of Folkestone Sand Beds	Drift alluvium along course of River Len to SW		

Site	Water	Geology	Soils	Composite settlement	Isolated funerary
Shrubsoles		Bagshot Beds over Claygate Beds and London Clay		BA; SAX;	
Sipson Lane	Hydrography and drainage of the area dominated by River Thames flowing from W to E approx 10KM to S. Site is also in middle of 2 S flowing principal tributaries, R Colne to W and R Crane to E.	River terrace of Taplow gravels (formed in Pleistocene between Hoxnian and Ipswichian interlacials (189,000 - 128,000BP)	Silty sand called Langley Silt (brickearth) of prob Late Devensian date (19,000-13,000BP) derived from a combination of Aeolian and fluvial deposition. Report states that the high grade/high yield status of the soils for market gardening had long been recognised and this area is recognised as the A4/M4 Horticultural Belt by planning authorities.	IA; ROM;	BA;
Snarkhurst Wood	-	Gault Clay	Folkstone Sand beds, silty sedments containing or overlying prehistoric material has accumulated in base of both dry valleys	BA; IA; ROM;	
St Austell	-	Meadfoot beds, calcareous slate, grit and thin limestone (shillet) of Lower Devensian period	Brown earths, stagnogley soils and brown podzolic soils	ROM;	
Stickfast Lane	-	Brickearth Head over Head Gravels	Clay		
Tanholt	-	Fluvial sands and gravels	Topsoil over 0.3m thick spread of alluvium/buried soil over fluvial gravels, Roman pottery includes imported Samian and Nene Valley wares in the 2nd Century AD.	BA; IA; ROM;	
The Close	Edge of former river	Interbedded fluvial gravels overlain in	Some areas of brickearth	BA; ROM	

Site	Water	Geology	Soils	Composite settlement	Isolated funerary
	channel runs on a NW-SE alignment 50m to W of site	some areas by brickearth			
Townmead School	-	River gravel terraces deposited between 297,000 and 130,000 BC	Alluvial soils over terrace gravels, including as a fill of dip in gravel, where silty clay alluvial soils result from build up of flood deposits and sealed in gravel land surface with burnt flint activity. Geoarch report suggs a once active water channel became redundant, poss as an oxbow lake before the accumulation of flood deposits, 2 other alluvium filled water cut channels on site archaeomag dated to EIA. Agric use of well drained, fertile and light soils in Neo and BA - sustainable soils for agric with damp grasslands for cattle grazing near river and arable fields for cereals on slightly higher gravel terrace. Ex rep suggs that there is a link between the alluviation and landuse patterns with this site and Prospect Park,		BA;
Tring Hill	-	At junction of Lower and Middle Chalk	-	IA; ROM;	SAX;
Walsingham School	On W side of river tributary	Thanet Beds (sandy clay)	Clay	MED;	

Site	Water	Geology	Soils	Composite settlement	Isolated funerary
Warren Farm	-	Sand	Sandy subsoils	IA;	
Water End East				IA; ROM;	
Wattisham	500m NW of water source	Boulder Clay	Boulder Clay, poor quality heavy claytopsoils on top of clay silt subsoil, report suggests that the clay silt layer may have improved drainage enough to make cultivation viable. Report concludes that this is an area of poorly drained soils which was used for settlement during periods of higher population pressures (BA, Rom, Med)	BA;	
West Malling A		Brickearth head deposits on Folkestone beds	Brickearth	IA;	
West Malling B		Brickearth head deposits on Folkestone beds	Brickearth	SAX;	
West Malling C	at confluence of 2 water courses flowing into site				
West Malling E1	2 water courses flow into site as confluence				
West Malling E2		Brickearth head deposits on Folkestone Beds	Brickearth	IA; SAX;	
West Waste	Site lies on margins of Meare Pool, an ancient freshwater lake which expanded	Lower lias clays and stone	Lower lias clays. Oranic peat deposits over estuarine clays. Thin alluvial gleyed clay soil overlays the peats. Used		

Site	Water	Geology	Soils	Composite settlement	Isolated funerary
	and contracted due to rainfall.		mainly for permanent pasture or hay crops. Peat moors greatly valued in summer as grazing land		
Westwood Cross	-	Upper Chalk overlain with Thanet Beds in NE part of site	Head brickeath over most of the site except where there is exposure of the Upper Chalk, Med quarry pits to extract chalk for fertiliser	BA; IA;	
White Horse Stone	-	Middle Chalk and gravels (prob solifluction sediments deposited in Late Devensian	Typical dry valley sedimentary sequence of colluvium known to have been deposited in LBA/EIA;	BA; IA;	
Woodlands Roundabout	Prone to being very wet in winter months	Solid geol is Upper Greensand and Gault of Createcous, partially capped by N extent of valley gravel and chalk of recent Pleistocene	Heavy clay derived from underlying weathered Gault with intermittent thin drift deposits of glacial till. Soils are classified as gleys. Most of site lies on the Gault	BA; IA;	
Wortham	100m from small stream	-	-	ROM;	

Appendix 4

Other human activity associated with archaeological remains
from the case study sites

Appendix 4: Other human activity associated with archaeological remains from the case study sites

Site	Period	Situation
82-90 Park Lane	Sax	SMR shows site within a known Saxon burial ground
Andover Area 6	Sax	Cemetery and occupation sites nearby
Andover Area 6	BA	Burial mound nearby; Metalwork hoard nearby
Andover Area 6	Neo	Settlement site of Old Down Farm 1km to SW
Andover Area 6	2-3 C	IA-R occupation sites nearby; Site lies at possible junction of possible route of road from Old Sarum to Silchester;
Andover Area 6	IA	Adjacent enclosed settlement to SW; Another enclosed settlement nearby; IA-R occupation sites nearby
Battlebridge Lane	Meso	HER shows Meso microliths to SW
Battlebridge Lane	2-3C	RB pot from SW of actual site
Battlebridge Lane	BA	Documentary evidence for barrows adjacent to the site
Battlebridge Lane	IA	IA coin to N of site
Birchfield Road	2-3C	HER shows site lies 100m SW of RB settlement features
Birchfield Road	12-14C	HER shows suggested site of Deserted Medieval hamlet of East End 20m to S
Blind Lane	BA	Cropmarks of ring ditch complex with enclosures and field bounds to N of site
Blind Lane	IA	Cropmarks of ring ditch complex with enclosures and field bounds to N of site
Boys Hall	12-14C	Site adjacent to Med and PMed moated manor house site with LIA to RB settlement
Boys Hall	2-3C	Site adjacent to Med and PMed moated manor house site with LIA to RB settlement; LIA to ERB sites to S of site
Boys Hall	IA	Site adjacent to Med and PMed moated manor house site with LIA to RB settlement
Brisley 2	IA	M-LIA occupation site nearby Roman crossroads settlement 750m to E
Brisley 2	2-3C	M-LIA occupation site nearby Roman crossroads settlement 750m to E
Brisley 3	IA	M-LIA occupation site nearby Roman crossroads settlement 750m to E
Brisley 3	2-3C	M-LIA occupation site nearby Roman crossroads settlement 750m to E
Brisley 4	2-3C	M-LIA occupation site nearby Roman crossroads settlement 750m to E
Brisley 4	IA	M-LIA occupation site nearby Roman crossroads settlement 750m to E

Site	Period	Situation
Brisley 6	BA	LBA field system overlain by IA settlement and warrior burial excavated 320m to E
Brisley 6	IA	LBA field system overlain by IA settlement and warrior burial excavated 320m to E
Brisley 7	IA	LBA field system overlain by IA settlement and warrior burial excavated 320m to E
Brisley 7	BA	LBA field system overlain by IA settlement and warrior burial excavated 320m to E
Brisley Farm 1	IA	LIA features excavated 1.6km to SE, IA enclosure excavated 3km to NE; M-LIA occupation site nearby; Roman crossroads settlement 750m to E; alignment of major R road lies to N of site;
Broughton Barn	BA	BA ring ditch 1.5km to E
Broughton Barn	2-3C	R field system and droveway 400m to E
Broughton Barn	IA	Linear cropmarks on AP; Substantial IA settlement with 21 hut circles 1.5km to E
Broughton Barn	Neo	L Neo pits 1.5km to E
Buncefield Lane	BA	BA barrow nearby; BA knife and flint arrowhead 1 Km to NW,
Buncefield Lane	2-3C	Roman building remains and pottery 1km to E, Romano-Celtic temple complex 300m to S; R road runs 1.5km to W and another 1km to NE
Chapel Mill	BA	Cropmarks of possibe BA ring ditches and linears 600m to NW
Chapel Mill	IA	IA findspots of coins, brooch and R coins 200m to E and IA coins 500m to E
Chapel Mill	2-3C	R coin findspot 200m to E
Chapel Mill	12-14C	Series of small grassland fields with Med and PMed Royton Chapel 150m to E
Chineham Lane	12-14 C	Part of a chalkland manor with extensive areas of open fields, next to a strip field system
Chineham Lane	2-3 C	Roman settlement nearby;
Chineham Lane	BA	Site is part of a LNeo- EBA landscape including burial mounds
Chineham Lane	16 C	Open fields
Chineham Lane	IA	In a landscape of settlements and fields systems; AP evidence for IA field systs
Chineham Lane	Neo	Site is part of a LNeo- EBA landscape including burial mounds running ENE to WSW along crest of ridge that site is on S slope of; Circular enclosure cropmark (120m diam) just below crest of S slope and 800m to W;
Church Road,	2-3C	Prehistoric and Roman occupation nearby to W (extensive area of settlement and field system cropmarks); Watling St (principal Roman road from London to Dover) is 150m N of site
Church Road,	IA	Prehistoric and Roman occupation nearby to W (extensive area of settlement and field system cropmarks)
Cliffs End Farm	BA	Cropmarks of BA funerary practice ring ditch and enclosure in field to N, LBA remains to S of hill

Site	Period	Situation
Cliffs End Farm	IA	LBA to IA remains to S of hill and IA burials to E;
Cobham Park Golf course	2-3C	Site lies adjacent to Watling St and 800m to SW of R Villa site, could have been part of villa's estate as agricultural land
Copdock Mill	Neo	1 flint scatter including leafshaped arowhead and scraper found on site
Cuxton Area 340	Sax	HER shows possible saxon grave nearby to site found in 19th Century
Highbarns Road	BA	A circular ring ditch (possible BA burial mound) on site
Highbarns Road	2-3C	HER shows extensive area of cropmarks immediately to E of site (large enclosures and boundary ditches of Roman period.; Roman burnt building material, pits, ditchces and human skeleton recovered from site in 1969
HRI Littlehampton	IA	LIA deposits within 400m; 2 KM SW of continuous IA to R settlement + enclosure; LIA-R Pottery kilns 8.5KM to NE
HRI Littlehampton	2-3C	Series of 1st –2nd Century enclosures, timber building and waste pits 700m to NW; R Villa to NE with occupation spreads; R pottery small scale local W Sussex kilns 7KM to N
HRI Littlehampton	BA	Numerous M/LBA finds in area of rivers suggest a settlement shift from chalk to coastal environments
Hurst Park	Neo	Neo-BA chance find in area
Hurst Park	BA	Neo-BA chance find in area
Kennel Farm	IA	IA site situated at distinct break of slope
Kingsnorth Power Station	2-3C	Roman pottery found 800m to S, Roman cremations 1200m to N. This area of the Hoo peninsula on S side of Medway is well known for RB pottery production sites
Leavesden aerodrome	SN	Agricultural land between two 10th - 11th Century settlements
Leavesden aerodrome	2-3 C	Roman villas known to have been sited every 2-3km along Chiltern River valleys; A substantial villa 2km to NW; RB valley settelment at Abbots Langley; Temple+Mausoleum complex 8km to N, also on higher ground;Sub-rectangular cropmark feature within site on 1955 AP; Possible Roman road runs NE-SW along edge of development area;
Little Marlow	IA	Industrial activity at junction of gravel terrace with brickearth
Little Marlow	Palaeo	
Little Marlow	Meso	Isolated axe find on site
Little Marlow	BA	Ring ditch cropmarks to W; Excavation shows ephemeral activity on raised gravel terrace, burnt mound on lower terrace; EBA

Site	Period	Situation
		activity on side of stream; M-LBA activity on N side of stream; LBA boat found nearby
Little Marlow	2-3 C	Artefacts from nearby sites
Little Marlow	Sax	Site lies between 2 known LSax settlements; Sax cemetery on opposite side of river
Little Marlow	SN	Part of DB Manor estate landsas fields
Little Marlow	12-14 C	Part of manorial estate lands even after Dissolution of 1540 AD
Little Marlow	Neo	
Loxwood Place Farm	12-14C	HER shows the farm is a Med moated homestead
Marconi site	IA	HER shows cropmark of 2 rectangular enclosures on site
Marconi site	BA	HER shows ring dictches 330m to NW on higher ground
Marsh Leys Farm	2-3C	APs show enclosure complexes of IA/R settlment on site
Marsh Leys Farm	IA	APs show enclosure complexes of IA/R settlment on site
Mersham	12-14C	Med occupation nearby in 13-14th Centuries AD,
Mersham	Sax	HER shows ESax burials 6th –7th Centuries AD in the area
Mill Farm	BA	Cropmark on HER of ring ditch and rectilinear enclosure
Monkston Park 1	2-3 C	Major Roman Road (Watling Street) London to Wales is 4km to S and there is a projected route of Roman road close to this site
Monkston Park 1	Sax	2 BA, EIA and Sax sites 500m to N
Monkston Park 1	IA	2 BA, EIA and Sax sites 500m to N
Monkston Park 1	BA;	2 BA, EIA and Sax sites 500m to N; HER shows a major BA assemblage of gold jewellery and pottery on the site
Monkston Park 2	2-3 C	Projected route of Roman road close to site; Major Roman road (Watling Street) London to Wales 4km to S
Monkston Park 2	BA	2 BA, IA and Sax sites within 500m; HER shows major BA assemblage of gold jewellry and pottery in the study area
Monkston Park 2	IA	2 BA, IA and Sax sites within 500m
Monkston Park 2	Sax	2 BA, IA and Sax sites within 500m
Monkston Park 3	BA	2 BA, IA and Sax sites within 500m; HER shows major BA assemblage of gold jewellery and pottery in the study area
Monkston Park 3	IA	2 BA, IA and Sax sites within 500m
Monkston Park 3	2-3 C	Projected route of Roman road close to site; Major Roman road (Watling Street) London to Wales 4km to S
Monkston Park 3	Sax	2 BA, IA and Sax sites within 500m

Site	Period	Situation
N Of Brewers Hill	2-3C	Cropmarks of IA/R settlements on site
N Of Brewers Hill	IA	Cropmarks of IA/R settlements on site
N of Great Barford	2-3C	HER shows site lies 100m SW of RB settlement features
N of Great Barford	12-14C	HER shows suggested site of Deserted Med hamlet of East End 20m to S
Netherne	2-3C	HER shows potential IA-Rom settlement on site
Netherne	IA	HER shows potential IA-Rom settlement on site
North Binfields Chineham	SN	1066 Basing had become an established settlement 1km to S and St Mary's 1.2km to SE thought to have been site of Sax Minster Church; Motte and Bailey castle 750m SE
North Binfields Chineham	12-14 C	Med settlement of the area was a series of hamlets and farmsteads along a system of lanes
North Binfields Chineham	Palaeo	Upper Palaeo scraper 800m to NE
North Binfields Chineham	2-3 C	Excavated settlement 200m to W, a number of Roman settlements in the area; site near to a Roman road
North Binfields Chineham	IA	Poss BA to IA occupation 1.1km to SW; 2 IA pottery finds within 200m of site;
North Binfields Chineham	BA	EBA to LBA settlement sites at Cowdery's Down 850m to S, poss area of BA/IA occupation 1.1km to SW; 3 EBA ring ditches and 2 MBA cemeteries nearby
North Binfields Chineham	Sax	High status centre and settlement from 5th to 7th Centuries at Cowdrey's Down 850m to S
North Binfields Chineham	Neo	Neo flints 400m to E
North of Saltwood Tunnel	IA	Site lies 180m to E of IA and R sttlmnt
North of Saltwood Tunnel	2-3C	Site lies 180m to E of IA and R sttlmnt
NW of Great Barford	12-14C	HER shows ploughed R+F earthworks immediately to S

Site	Period	Situation
NW of Great Barford	2-3C	HER shows site lies 100m SW of RB settlement features
Oakley	2-3C	Major RB and Sax site in next field; part of a RB small town centred under present village of Scole, along R road junction
Oakley	Sax	Major RB and Sax site in next field
Parsonage Farm	12-14C	House on site Late 11th-14th Centuries AD, then demolished, possibly a mill
Pilgrims Way	2-3C	LIA-R building (possibly a temple) on top of escarpment 300m to N; scattered group of Roman burials to NW; site lies between 2 trackways and crossed by another thought to be line of Roman road
Pilgrims Way	IA	LIA-R building (possibly a temple) on top of escarpment 300m to N
Pilgrims Way	Neo	Site lies in middle of cluster of megalithic monuments (1km); possible Neo Long barrow chambered tombs adjacent to site;
Prospect Park	Meso	HER shows Meso tools as stray finds on the floodplain
Prospect Park	Neo	Areas of the floodplain and adjacent terrace were cleared in Neo to enable construction of major ritual monuments including the Stanwell Cursus.
Quuen Mary Hospital	BA	HER shows a Scheduled Monument of a LBA enclosure at SW boundary of site on the dip slope of Chalk Downs
Riverdene	2-3C	Extensive occupation in Roman period; Roman occupation on 3 sites nearby and burials just to N; 1km NE of Cowdrey's Down settlement
Riverdene	IA	Extensive occupation in IA in the area; 1km NE of Cowdrey's Down settlement
Riverdene	Sax	1km NE of Cowdrey's Down settlement; Sax inhumation burial 2m to NW
Rixons Gate	All periods	No known HER info
Roxton Road West	12-14C	Mound which is possibly a prehistoric funerary monument or Med windmill tump with Rom settlement remains around it 100m away to SW
Roxton Road West	2-3C	HER shows cropmarks of possible prehistoric or Roman enclosures and droveways 50m to SW and 4 prehistoric or Roman farmsteads with field systems, roundhouses and droveways as cropmarks 70m to NW and 50m to SE; Mound which is poss prehistoric funerary monument or Med windmill tump with R settlement remains around it 100m away to SW.
Roxton Road West	IA	HER shows cropmarks of poss prehistoric or Roman enclosures and droveways 50m to SW and 4 prehistoric or Roman farmsteads with fld systs, roundhouses and droveways as cropmarks 70m to NW and 50m to SE;
Roxton Road West	BA	Mound which is poss prehistoric funerary monument or Med windmill tump with Roman settlement remains around it 100m to SW

Site	Period	Situation
Sandway Road	BA	Palaeoenviro evidence from Excavation shows the exploitation of woodland from Meso to BA
Sandway Road	Neo	Palaeoenviro evidence from Excavation shows the exploitation of woodland from Meso to BA
Sandway Road	Meso	Large assemblage of Meso flints 300-400m to W. Palaeoenviro evidence from Excavation shows the exploitation of woodland from Meso to BA
Shrubsoles	BA	Extensive BA enclosure excavated to E of hill on high ground overlooking Estuary in almost identical position to this site
Sipson Lane	Palaeo	Considerable number of L and M Palaeo axes and flakes from Lynch Hill gravels in this area. M Palaeo finds recovered from base of Langley Silt Complex (brickearth)
Sipson Lane	12-14 C	Prosperous agricultural hinterland to W of London; Med continuation of 3 Late Sax settlements as small nucleated villages alongside major roads to London
Sipson Lane	SN	3 Late Sax settlements nearby
Sipson Lane	2-3 C	Replacement of IA farmstead settlement with enclosures in LRB when midden deposits spread over trackway; RB roadside settlement alongside principal roads radiating from Londinium and rural settlement, R settlement of the area dates to 1st +2nd Centuries AD, then break, then more settlement activity in 3rd + 4th Centuries AD.
Sipson Lane	IA	Formation of LIA enclosed setlement to NW of earlier BA settlement with trackway. Continuation of settlement activity with defended enclosures and unenclosed settlements established in the area.
Sipson Lane	BA	Formalised landscape of fields, encls, wells & pits in LBA - EBA with settlement site on SE of site, EBA auroch burial and cropmarks nearby, EBA cremation cemetery runs E from S end of Stanwell Cursus, MBA-LBA settlement and ritual activity in area, including LBA defended enclosure.
Sipson Lane	Meso	Meso flints and faunal remains concentrated within river valleys in this area show nomadic communities with temporary seasonal base camps; Prob excavated animal butchery site nearby in Colne Valley
Sipson Lane	Neo	Construction of ritual enclosure on site; MNeo causeway enclosure nearby, 3 Neo ring ditches nearby, Lt Neo Cursus nearby, 2 poss settlements to SW and 1 to E.
Sipson Lane	Sax	Prob on the fringes of area of dispersed unenclosed settlements; 2 settlement centres nearby (SFB's and agric activity)
Snarkhurst Wood	Sax	Secondary Sax burials with barrows to W and Sax cemetery to S
Snarkhurst Wood	IA	IA burial urns to N; LIA kiln to S; IA and R settlment adjacent to S of site
Snarkhurst Wood	BA	LBA settlement excavated adjacent to N, BA barrow to W
Snarkhurst Wood	2-3C	Roman burial group to W; large R coin hoard to S; 1st –2nd Century AD stone building to S of site; Excavated Rom gully + pond adjacent to N, IA and R settlement adjacent to S of site
St Austell	12-13 C	Documentary evidence (1296AD) of settlement at Trenowah

Site	Period	Situation
St Austell	BA	Excavation shows agricultural land on site
Stickfast Lane, Bobbing	12-14C	Road at SE boundary of site was important from Med period, poss manor house opposite development site
Stickfast Lane, Bobbing	2-3C	Roman finds from village and fields to W of village
Stickfast Lane, Bobbing	IA	IA finds from village and fields to W of village and IA deposits excavated to the N
Tanholt	BA	Enclosed field system on S fringes of LBA settlement to fen edge; Excavated LNeo/EBA pits and cremations to W of site; Large number of EBA barrows set out along fen edge, MBA land divisions nearby; LBA settlement immed to W
Tanholt	2-3 C	Series of enclosed co-axial field systems and a metalled trackway associated with villa/farmstead immed to W; widespread RB settlements and fields systems in area around Fen edge
Tanholt	Neo	Excavated LNeo/EBA pits and cremations to W of site
The Close	Sax	Sax settlement of Staines to SE
The Close	12-14C	HER shows field boundaries immediately to W of site
The Close	Palaeo	HER shows Upper Palaeo overnight camp immediately to W of site
The Close	BA	HER shows LBA enclosure of possible ritual significance and field boundaries immediately to W of site
The Close	2-3C	HER shows field boundaries immediately to W of site
The Close	IA	HER shows field boundaries immediately to W of site
Townmead School	Neo	Site is 2km NE of a ritual landscape (Stanwell Cursus)
Townmead School	Meso	HER shows flints 0.5km to S and further Meso sites, including a butchery site, known from Colne valley
Townmead School	BA	M-LBA settlement 0.5km to S; enclosure site (poss regional centre) nearby; MBA cremations in ring ditch excavated 0.5km to S; Peat deposits & BA hurdle trackway 1.2km to N; double ditch parallel to this one 2km to N (poss part of network linking settlements to River.
Townmead School	Sax	Dispersed settlement (4 ESax SFBs) 0.5km to SE, E-M Sax poss settlement 0.5km to NE,
Townmead School	IA	No sites on HER, but other areas of Thames Valley have continuous farming occupation.

Site	Period	Situation
Townmead School	2-3 C	Sparse Roman evidence on HERR, pottery 2km to NE suggests nearby settlement, manuring of arable land with waste 0.8km to NE, pit 0.5km to S.
Townmead School	Palaeo	HER shows 2 assemblages found to NE
Townmead School	12-14 C	HER shows Med manorial complex & cemetery &15thCentury building 0.7km to N; original Med village 0.8km to E; Med hamlet 350m to NE; mill 350m to NW
Walsingham School	12-14C	Med moated site 50m to NW
Warren Farm	Saxo-Norman	Site lies 250m to NW of site of Nonsuch Palace and within boundary of Little Park of Nonsuch (1096AD)
Warren Farm	2-3C	Between areas of IA-R occupation; immediately W of suggested course of Roman road
Warren Farm	12-14C	Within Little Park of Nonsuch
Warren Farm	IA	Between areas of IA-R occupation
Water End East	IA-ER	HER shows cropmarks from APs of LIA-ER rural settlement activity 50m to NE and 70m to N.
Wattisham	2-3 C	Roman site 50m to NW immediately S of old Roman road linking Coddenham with Long Melford, excavated evidence of likely Roman setlement nearby
Wattisham	BA	Likely BA settlement nearby
Wattisham	Neo	Likely Neo settlemnt nearby
West Malling C	Meso	Scraper from fieldwalking
West Malling C	Sax	Poss Sax route-way nearby
West Malling C	Saxo-Norm	Poss Domesday Book mill nearby
West Waste	Sax	Part of moorland resource of Glastonbury Abbey from 7th Century AD to Dissolution in 1539 AD
West Waste	Palaeo	Low lias island in peat moors with Palaeo/Meso flint activity 200m to E
West Waste	Meso	Low lias island in peat moors with Palaeo/Meso flint activity 200m to E
West Waste	2-3 C	Low lias island in peat moors with IA/RB pottery 200m to E
West Waste	SN	Part of moorland resource of Glastonbury Abbey from 7th Century AD to Dissolution in 1539 AD
West Waste	12-14 C	Part of moorland resource of Glastonbury Abbey from 7th Century AD to Dissolution in 1539 AD
West Waste	IA	Low lias island in peat moors with IA/RB pottery 200m to E

Site	Period	Situation
Westwood Cross	12-14 C	-
Westwood Cross	BA	BA barrow cemtery nearby with an AS cemetery 100m to S of it; cropmark of possible settlement 350m to SE; 3 ring ditches 350m and 500m to SW; nearby Excavated LBA-EIA settlement
Westwood Cross	IA	Nearby excavated LBA-EIA settlement; Nearby excavated settlement of Neo-EBA date;
Westwood Cross	Sax	AS cemetery 200m to NE; AS stone buildinggs and enclosures (poss a Manor) nearby
Westwood Cross	Neo	Nearby excavated settlement of Neo-EBA date;
Westwood Cross	2-3 C	Cropmarks 550m to W, Poss RB settlement; R site and coin hoard nearby
White Horse Stone	IA	LIA-R building (poss temple) on top of escarpment 300m to N
White Horse Stone	2-3 C	LIA-R building (poss temple) on top of escarpment 300m to N; scattered group of R burials to NW; site lies between 2 trackways and crossed by another thought to be line of Roman road
White Horse Stone	Neo	Site lies in middle of cluster of megalithic monuments (1km); poss Neo Long barrow chambered tombs adjacent to site;
Woodlands Roundabout	IA	Site also in vicinity of IA activity at 2 sites nearby, thought to be high status
Woodlands Roundabout	2-3C	Major arterial road (Akeman street) follows existing line of A41

Appendix 5

List of Archaeological Contractor's unpublished Client archaeological reports analysed in Case Study sample of rural sites

Appendix 5: List of Archaeological Contractor's unpublished Client archaeological reports analysed in Case Study sample of rural sites.

Site Name	Bedfordshire reports analysed
1) Birchfield Road (TL 121 533); **2) Highbarns Road** (TL 143 541); **3) N of Brewer's Hill Farm** (TL 110 517); **4) N of Great Barford** (TL 124 534) **5) NE of Great Barford** (TL 124 534); **6) NW of Brewer's Hill Farm** (TL 112 526); **7) NW of Great Barford** (TL 114 527) **8) Roxton Road West** (TL 150 550); **9) Water End East** (TL 106 516)	1) Bedfordshire County Archaeology Service, 1992. ***A421 Great Barford Bypass Archaeological Assessment of the Preferred Route. Stage 1 Initial Appraisal Desk Study.*** 2) Northamptonshire Archaeology, 2001. A421 Great Barford Bypass, Bedfordshire. ***Geophysical Survey and Archaeological Evaluation Method Statement.*** Northamptonshire County Council. 3) Northamptonshire Archaeology, 2001. ***Archaeological Field Evaluation of the line of the Great Barford Bypass, Bedfordshire. Geophysical Survey and Fieldwalking.*** Northamptonshire County Council. 4) Northamptonshire Archaeology, 2004. ***A421 Great Barford Bypass, Bedfordshire. Trial Trench Evaluation: Interim Report (Part 1) Zones 1 and 2.*** Northamptonshire County Council. 5) Northamptonshire Archaeology, 2004. ***A421 Great Barford Bypass, Bedfordshire. Trial Trench Evaluation: Interim Report (Part 2) Zone 3.*** Northamptonshire County Council. 6) Northamptonshire Archaeology, 2004. ***A421 Great Barford Bypass, Bedfordshire. Trial Trench Evaluation: Interim Report (Part 3) Zone 4.*** Northamptonshire County Council 7) Northamptonshire Archaeology, August 2004. ***A421 Great Barford Bypass, Bedfordshire. Trial Trench Evaluation: Volume 2 (Figures)*** Northamptonshire County Council. 8) Northamptonshire Archaeology, April 2005. ***A421 Great Barford Bypass, Bedfordshire. Trial Trench Evaluation February to May 2004.*** Northamptonshire County Council. 9) Oxford Archaeology, September 2005. ***A421 Great Barford Bypass, Bedfordshire. Post-Excavation Assessment and Updated Project Design for the Highways Agency.***
10) Marsh Leys Farm (NGR 0250 4555)	10) Bedfordshire Archaeological Service, January. ***Marsh Leys Farm: Archaeological Field Evaluation Stages 1, 2 and 3.*** Bedfordshire Archaeological Service Report No. 1999/01. 11) Bedfordshire Archaeological Service, May 1999. ***Marsh Leys Farm: Archaeological Field Evaluation Stage 4: Trial Excavation ansd Synthesis of results.*** Bedfordshire Archaeological Service Report No. 1999/23 for Old Road Securities PLC. 12) Albion Archaeology, 2002. ***Marsh Leys Farm, Kempston, Bedfordshire, Assessment of Potential and Updated Project Design.*** Albion Archaeology Document 2002/42.

Site Name	Buckinghamshire reports analysed
11) Broughton Barn (NGR 907 405)	13) Northamptonshire Archaeology, September 1996. ***Broughton Barn, Broughton, Buckinghamshire. Archaeological Evaluation.*** Northamptonshire County Council unpublished site Report. 14) Northamptonshire Archaeology, October 2001. ***Archaeological Watching Brief at Broughton Barn Quarry, Buckinghamshire. Interim Report 197 to 2001.*** Northamptonshire County Council unpublished site Report 15) Northamptonshire Archaeology, January 2002. ***Archaeological Watching Brief at Broughton Farm, Broughton, Buckinghamshire. September 1997 to December 1999 Progress Report.*** Northamptonshire County Council unpublished site Report. 16) Northamptonshire Archaeology, November 2002. ***Archaeological Watching Brief at Broughton Barn Quarry, Buckinghamshire.*** Northamptonshire County Council unpublished site Report.
12) Little Marlow (SU 860 880)	17) Terra Nova, August 1994. ***Little Marlow, Buckinghamshire: Aerial Photograph Appraisal.*** 18) Kiberd, P, December 1994. ***Area 6, Little Marlow, Buckinghamshire: An Archaeological Evaluation.*** Tempus Reparatum Archaeological and Historical Associates Report No. TR 31118DFA on behalf of Redland Aggregates Ltd. 19) Richmond, A & Rackham, DJ, October 1999. ***Excavation of a Prehistoric stream-side site at Little Marlow, Buckinghamshire.*** Tempus Reparatum Archaeological and Historical Associates Report on behalf of Redland Aggregates Ltd.
13) Monkston Park Area 1; **14) Monkston Park Area 2;** **15) Monkston Park Area 3** (NGR 48850 23830.	20) King, N & Zeepvat, R, 2000. ***An Archaeological Watching Brief on Phase II, Monkston Park.*** Milton Keynes Archaeological Services and Consultancy Ltd. 21) Bedfordshire County Archaeology Service, March 2001. ***Monkston Park, Milton Keynes: Report on Geophysical Survey.*** Unpublished site Report 2001/12. 22) Northamptonshire Archaeology, May 2002. ***Archaeological Evaluation at Monkston Park, Milton Keynes.*** Northamptonshire County Council. 23) Northamptonshire Archaeology, June 2001. ***Detailed Gradiometer Survey, Monkston Park, Milton Keynes.*** Northamptonshire County Council. 24) Museum of London Archaeology Service, October 2002. ***A Project Design for an Archaeological Excavation and Watching Brief.*** 25) Museum of London Archaeology Service, August 2004. ***Monkston Park, Milton Keynes MK10, County of Buckinghamshire. An Archaeological Post-Excavation Assessment and Updated Project Design.***
16) Lower Icknield Way (SP 893 126); **17) Tring Hill** (SP 904 116) and **18) Woodlands Roundabout** (SP 860 128)	26) RPS Consultants, no date. ***Draft Specification for a Stage IV Archaeological Evaluation, Proposed construction of the A41 Aston Clinton By-pass, Buckinghamshire.*** 27) RPS Consultants, June 2000. ***A41 Aston Clinton Bypass. An Archaeological Evaluation.*** 28) RPS Consultants, June 2000. ***A41 Aston Clinton Bypass Magnetic Susceptibility and Magnetometer Survey for Buckinghamshire County Museum.*** 29) RPS Consultants, August 2001. ***Archaeological Investigations for the A41 Aston Clinton Bypass, Buckinghamshire. Analysis of Excavations at the Woodlands Roundabout, Lower Icknield Way and Tring Hill sites.*** Volume 1. 30) RPS Consultants, August 2001. ***Archaeological Investigations for the A41 Aston Clinton Bypass, Buckinghamshire. Analysis of Excavations at the Woodlands Roundabout, Lower Icknield Way and Tring Hill sites.*** Volume 2 Figures.

19) Mill Farm Quarry (SP 853 446)	31) Northamptonshire Archaeology, September 1997. ***Archaeological Evaluation at Mill Farm Quarry, Gayhurst, Buckinghamshire, Stage 1: Geophysical Survey.*** Northamptonshire County Council unpublished site Report. 32) Northamptonshire Archaeology, October 1997. ***Archaeological Evaluation at Gayhurst Quarry, Buckinghamshire, Stage 2: Trial Excavation.*** Northamptonshire County Council unpublished site Report. 33) Northamptonshire Archaeology, January 2000. Excavation of a Bronze Age Barrow Cemetery, Pit Alignments and Iron age Enclosures at Mill Farm Quarry, ***Gayhurst, Buckinghamshire, 1998 to 1999 Progress Report.*** Northamptonshire County Council unpublished site Report.

Site Name	Cornwall Reports analysed
20) St. Austell NE Distributor (SX 045 533)	34) Johns, C, 1995. ***An Archaeological Assessment of the St Austell NE Distributor Road.*** Cornwall Archaeological Unit Report, Cornwall County Council. 35) Shiel, D, 1995. ***St Austell NE Distributor Road: Report on Geophysical Survey.*** Geophysical Surveys of Bradford Report No. 95/97. 36) Thomas, N & Johns, C, September 1995. ***An Archaeological Evaluation of the St Austell NE Distributor Road.*** Cornwall Archaeological Unit Report, Cornwall County Council. 37) Gould, J, 1996. ***Brief for Archaeological Recording and Mitigation for the St Austell NE Distributor Road.*** Cornwall Archaeological Unit Report, Cornwall County Council. 38) Johns, C, February 2000. ***St Austell NE Distributor Road: Archaeological Excavations at Trenowah, Cornwall 1997. The Archaeological recording Archive Report.*** Cornwall Archaeological Unit Report, Cornwall County Council.
21) West Waste (ST 472 411)	39) Unknown Author, August 1998. ***An Archaeological Evaluation in West Waste, Godney, Glastonbury.*** 40) Faxton, K, 1998. ***Archaeological Excavation at West Waste, Godney, near Meare (WWW98) on behalf of Bristol Water for C & N Hollinrake.*** Report No. 128. 41) Hollinrake, C &N, 1998. ***An Archaeological Excavation and Watching Brief on a Bristol Water Mains Replacement pipe trench through West Waste, Godney and Meare Parishes(WWW98) Interim Report No. 136.***

Site Name	Greater London Reports analysed
22) 82-90 Park Lane (TQ 325 650)	42) Museum of London Archaeology Service, October 1992. ***82-90 Park Lane, Croydon, Evaluation Report.*** 43) Wessex Archaeology, November 2000. ***82-90 Park Lane, Croydon, Interim Excavation Report.***
23) Ibis Hotel (TQ 0911 7701)	44) Museum of London Archaeology Service, December 1996. ***Land adjacent to Ibis Hotel, Nobel Drive, London borough of Hillingdon. Archaeological Evaluation Report.*** 45) Museum of London Archaeology Service, August 1997. ***Ibis Hotel, Nobel Drive, Harlington. Post-Excavation Assessment.***
24) Innova (NGR 5369 1990)	46) Wessex Archaeology, March 2000. ***Innova Science Park. Evaluation Report.*** 47) Wessex Archaeology, November 2000. ***Innova Science Park, London Boroughof Enfield . Excavation Report.***
25) Leavesden Aerodrome	48) Ashworth, H, 1996. ***Leavesden Aerodrome, Abbotts Langley, Herts Phase 1 Development: Archaeological Evaluation Report.*** Heritage Network.

(TL 0950 0030)	49) Oxford Archaeological Unit, 1998. ***Leavesden Aerodrome, Abbotts Langley, Watford, Hertfordshire. Archaeologiacl Evaluation Interim Report.*** 50) Brossler, A, 1999. ***Leavesden Aerodrome, Abbotts Langley, Watford, Hertfordshire. Post-excavation Assessment and Research Design.*** Oxford Archaeology Unit
26) Progress Way (NGR 5308 1658)	51) Museum of London Archaeology Service, October 1993. ***Archaeological Evaluation at 14 Progress Way and 222 Purley Way, London Borough of Croydon.*** 52) Tucker, S, 1993. ***14 Progress Way & 222 Purley Way, Croydon, London Borough of Croydon. An Archaeological Excavation***. Museum of London Archaeology Service.
27) Prospect Park (TQ 050 775)	53) Wessex Archaeology, 1999. ***British Airways PLC Combined Business Centre Prospect Park, Harmondsworth, West London. Archaeological Evaluation.*** Trust for Wessex Archaeology Report No. 36661 on behalf of Lawson-Price. 54) Farwell, D, Andrews, A & Brook, R, 1995. ***Prehistoric, Roman and Early Saxon Settlement at Prospect Park, Hillingdon.*** Wessex Archaeology. 55) Farwell, D & Brook, R, 1995. ***British Airways PLC Combined Business Centre,Prospect Park, Harmondsworth, West London. Interim Summary Report and Proposals for Analysis.*** Wessex Archaeology.
28) Queen Mary Hospital (TQ 279 623)	56) Museum of London Archaeological Service, 1995. ***Former Queen Mary Hospital Site. Stage 1 Evaluation.*** 57) Wessex Archaeology, April 1999. ***Former Queen Mary's Hospital, Carshalton, London Borough of Sutton. Archaeological Evaluation and Excavation of Area 2.*** 58) Museum of London Archaeological Service, July 1999. ***Former Queen Mary Hospital Site. Geophysical survey Report.*** 59) Groves, J & Lovell, J, June 2001. ***Excavations within and close to the late Bronze Age Enclosure at the former Queen Mary's Hospital, Carshalton 1999.*** Wessex Archaeology Report.
29) Sipson Lane (TQ 081 777)	60) Museum of London Archaeological Service, 1996. ***Imperial College Sports Ground, Sipson Lane, Harlington, London Borough of Hillingdon. An Archaeological Evaluation.*** 61) Wessex Archaeology, March 1998. ***Imperial College Sports Ground, Sipson Lane, Harlington, London Borough of Hillingdon. Archaeological Excavation: Phase 1 and 2 Interim Assessment Report No. 42282b.*** 62) Wessex Archaeology, January 2000. ***Imperial College Sports Ground, Sipson Lane, Harlington, London Borough of Hillingdon. Archaeological Excavation: Phases 1 to 4 and 5 (N) Interim Assessment Report No. 42282d.***
30) Townmead School (TQ 057 788)	63) Spurr, G, 1998. ***A Geo-archaeological Investigation of Townmead School, West Drayton (WLN 98).*** Museum of London Archaeological Specialist Servives Environmental Archaeology Section. 64) RPS Clouston, February 1998. ***Townmead School, West Drayton. An Archaeological Evaluation.*** 65) RPS Clouston, June 1999. ***Archaeological Excavations at the former site of Townmead School, West Drayton.***
31) Walsingham School (TQ 463 697)	66) Durden, T, 1995. ***Walsingham School, St Paul's Cray, Bromley. An Archaeological Desk Top Study.*** Thames Valley Archaeological Services Report No. 95/29. 67) Ford, S, 1995. ***Walsingham School, St Paul's Cray, Bromley. An Archaeological Evaluation.*** Thames Valley Archaeological Services Report No. 95/29-2. 68) Thames Valley Archaeological Services, 1995. ***Walsingham School, St Paul's Cray, Bromley. An Archaeological Excavation.***

Site Name	Hampshire Reports analysed
32) Andover Area 6 (SU 475 359)	69) Wessex Archaeology, 1993. ***Area 6, Charlton, Andover, Hampshire. Archaeological Site Investigations (W589).*** 70) Heaton, M & Adam, NJ, 1993. ***Pits and Postholesat "Saxon Fields", Charlton near Andover: Iron Age Settlement.*** Wessex Archaeology. 71) Wright, J, 2004. ***Excavation of Early Saxon Settlement and Mesolithic Activity at Goch Way, Near Charlton, Andover.*** In Proceedings of the Hampshire Field Club and Archaeological Society 59, 2004, 116-138.
33) Chineham Lane (SU 637 548)	72) Wessex Archaeology, 1997. ***Land off Chineham Lane, Sherborne St. John, Basingstoke, Hampshire. Archaeological Evaluation.*** 73) Boismier, W, 1997. ***Later Prehistoric features at Land off Chineham Lane, Sherbourne St John, Basingstoke, Hampshire.*** Wessex Archaeology. 74) Boismier, W, 1998. ***Later Prehistoric features at Land off Chineham Lane, Sherbourne St John, Basingstoke, Hampshire.*** In Proceedings of the Hampshire Field Club and Archaeological Society, 53, 1998, 25-33.
34) Harvest Home (SU 650 118)	75) Wessex Archaeology, 1999. ***Forest Road, Denmead II, Hampshire: An Archaeological Evaluation Report.*** Wessex Archaeology Report No. 46334.01. 76) Wessex Archaeology, 1999. ***Forest Road/Southwick Road, Denmead, Hampshire: Archaeological Watching Brief Report.*** Wessex Archaeology Report No: 46334.02
35) Kennel Farm (SU 6030 4800)	77) Northamptonshire Archaeology, September 1994. ***An Archaeological Assessment at Kennel Farm, Basingstoke.*** Northamptonshire County Council 78) Northamptonshire Archaeology, February 1995. ***Geophysical Survey at Kennel Farm, Basingstoke, Hampshire, December 1994.*** Northamptonshire County Council 79) Northamptonshire Archaeology, September 1995. ***Archaeological Evaluation at Kennel Farm, Basingstoke, Hampshire. Trial Excavation August to September 1995.*** Northamptonshire County Council 80) Northamptonshire Archaeology, February 1996. ***Archaeological Fieldwalking Survey, Kennel Farm, Basingstoke, Hampshire.*** Northamptonshire County Council 81) Northamptonshire Archaeology, November 1996. ***Kennel Farm, Basingstoke. Assessment and Post-Excavation Project Design.*** Northamptonshire County Council. 82) Chapman, A, 2006. ***An Iron Age Enclosure at Site A, Kennel Farm, Basingstoke, Hampshire.*** In Proceedings of the Hampshire Field Club and Archaeological Society, 61, 2006, 16-62.
36) North Binfields (SU 6630 5470)	83) Thames Valley Archaeological Services, July 1996. ***Binfields Development Area; Parcel II, Chineham, Hampshire: Archaeological Watching Brief.*** TVAS Report 96/36
37) Riverdene (650 523)	84) Oxford Archaeological Unit, December 1993. ***Land East of Riverdene, Basingstoke, Hampshire. Archaeological Evaluation Report.*** 85) Thames Valley Archaeological Services, 1995. ***Riverdene, Basingstoke, Hampshire. Excavation Report.*** 86) Hall-Torrance, M & Weaver, S D G, 2003. ***The Excavation of a Saxon Settlement at Riverdene, Basingstoke, Hampshire 1995.*** In Proceedings of the Hampshire Field Club and Archaeological Society 58, 2003, 63-105.

Site Name	Hertfordshire Reports analysed
38) Buncefield Lane (TL 0820 0800)	87) Walker, C, 1994. ***Buncefield Lane, Hemel Hempstead: An Archaeological Evaluation.*** Geophysical Surveys of Bradford. 88) Walker, C, 1994. ***Buncefield Lane, Hemel Hempstead: An Archaeological Evaluation.*** Hertforshire Archaeological Trust. 89) Walker, C, 1995. ***Buncefield Lane, Hemel Hempstead: An Archaeological Excavation.*** Hertforshire Archaeological Trust

Site Name	Kent Reports analysed
39) Beechbrook Wood (TQ 967 452)	90) Museum of London Archaeology Service, November 1997. ***South of Beechbrook Wood ARC BWD 97.An ArchaeologicalEvaluation.*** 91) Museum of London Archaeology Service, September 1999. ***Project Area 430 South of Beechbrook Wood ARC BWD 98. Archaeological Excavation Interim Report***
40) Blind Lane (TQ 604 140)	92) Museum of London Archaeology Service, January 1998. ***West of Blind Lane Evaluation Report.*** 93) Oxford Archaeology Unit, April 2001. ***ARC 420 West of Blind Lane. Excavation Report.***
41) Boys Hall (TR 309 070)	94) Museum of London Archaeology Service, October 1997. ***Boys Hall Road – Sevington Railhead ARC BHR 97. An Archaeological Evaluation.*** 95) Oxford Archaeological Unit, August 1999. ***Boys Hall Balancing Pond, Sevington, Kent ARC BHB 99. Stip, Map and Sample Archaeological Works Interim Report Final.***
42) Brisley Farm Area 1; **43) Area 2;** **44) Area 3;** **45) Area 4;** **46) Area 6;** **47) Area 7:** (TQ 992 401)	96) Greatorex, R, February 1999. ***Land at Brisley Farm, Chilmington Green, Ashford, Kent. Field Evaluation.*** Archaeology South East Project No. 966. 97) Archaeology South East, October 1999. ***Brisley Farm, Chilmington Green, Ashford, Kent. Phase I Interim Summary Statement..*** 98) Archaeology South East, July 2003. ***Brisley Farm (Chartfields), Chilmington Green, Ashford, Kent. Evaluation for Areas VI and VII.*** 99) Archaeology South East, December 2003. ***Brisley Farm. Excavation of Areas I – IV.*** 100) Archaeology South East, November 2004. ***Post Excavation Report of Excavations 2002-3. Land at Brisley Farm Areas V – VII.*** Archaeology South East Project No. 749.
48) Chapel Mill (TQ 904 500)	101) Oxford Archaeology Unit, 1997. ***Area 420 Chapel Mill, Lenham (ARC CML 97). Archaeological Evaluation Report.*** 102) Oxford Archaeology Unit, 1999. ***Area 420 Chapel Mill, Lenham (ARC CML 99). Archaeological Excavation Report***
49) Church Road	103) Oxford Archaeology Unit, July 1997. ***West of Church Road, Singlewell, Kent ARC CRS 97. Archaeological Evaluation Report.*** 104) Museum of London Archaeology Service, September 1999. ***West Of Church Road, Singlewell ARC CRS 98. Archaeologial Excavation Interim Report.***
50) Cliffs End Farm (NGR 6348 1642)	105) Wessex Archaeology, May 2004. ***Cliffs End Farm, Kent. Evaluation Report.*** 106) Wessex Archaeology, July 2005. ***Cliffs End Farm, Kent. Excavation Report.***
51) Cuxton (TQ 720 673)	107) Museum of London Archaeology Service, September 1997. ***Cuxton Anglo-Saxon Burial ARC CXT 97. An Archaeological Evaluation.*** 108) Museum of London Archaeology Service, October 1997. ***Cuxton Anglo-Saxon Burial Phase 3 ARC CXT 97. An Archaeological Evaluation*** 109) Museum of London Archaeology Service, September 1999. ***Area 350 Cuxton Anglo-Saxon Cemetery Excavation Report.***

52) Cobham Park Golf Course (TQ 692 695)	110) Oxford Archaeological Unit, October 1997. ***Cobham Park Golf Course (ARC CGC 97). Evaluation Report.*** 111) Preconstruct Archaeology, 1997. ***Evaluation of Cobham Golf Course.*** 112) Museum of London Archaeology Service, September 1999. ***Area 330 Cobham Golf Course (ARC CGC 98).***
53) Holm Hill Area A; **54) Holm Hill Area B** (TQ 848 800)	113) Wessex Archaeology, November 1999. ***ARC HOL 98. Archaeological Evaluation Report.*** 114) Wessex Archaeology, 1999. ***Contract 420: A20 Holm Hill Diversion (ARC HOL 99). Archaeological Excavation Interim Report.*** 115) Wessex Archaeology, 2001. ***Contract 420: A20 Diversion Holm Hill (ARC HOL 99). Post-Excavation assessment Report.***
55) Hurst Wood (TQ 929 484)	116) Wessex Archaeology, December 1997. ***Archaeological Evaluation at Hurst Wood (ARC HWD 97), Charing Heath, Kent Final Fieldwork Report.*** Wessex Archaeology Report No. 43504d. 117) Oxford Archaeological Unit, September 1999. ***Hurst Wood, Charing Heath, Kent ARC HWD 98. Strip, Map and Sample Archaeological Works Interim Report Final***
56) Kingsnorth Power Station (TQ 810 725)	118) Archaeology South East, July 1998. ***Kingsnorth Power Station. Archaeological Evaluation.*** 119) Archaeology South East, December 1999. ***Kingsnorth, Damhead Creek. Post-Excavation Assessment.***
57) West Malling Bypass Area A **58) Area B** **59) Area C** **60) Area E1** **61) Area E2** (TQ 691 594 to TQ 678 566)	120) Canterbury Archaeological Trust, May 2005. ***A228 Leybourne Bypass, Kent. Field Walking and Earthwork Survey.*** Canterbury Archaeological Trust Report No. 2004/110. 121) Archaeology South East, September 2005.***A228 Leybourne to West Malling Bypass, Kent. Evaluation Report.*** 122) Wessex Archaeology, August 2005. ***A228 West Malling and Leybourne Bypass, West Malling, Kent. Archaeologial Excavation and WatchingBrief Works. Post-Excavation AssesmentReport and Proposals for Analysis and Final Publication.***
62) Little Stock Farm (TR 064 386)	123) Wessex Archaeology, November 1999. ***ARC LSF 98. Archaeological Evaluation Report for Union Railways (Southern) Ltd.*** 124) Wessex Archaeology, October 1999. ***Contract 440:Little Stock Farm (ARC LSF 99). Archaeological Excavation Interim Report for Union Railways (Southern) Ltd***
63) Mersham (TR 051 392)	125) Museum of London Archaeology Service, January 1998. ***Mersham (ARC MSH 97) Evaluation Report.*** 126) Canterbury Archaeological Trust, October 2001. ***Area 440 Mersham (ARC MSH 98) Evaluation Report.***
64) Northumber-land Bottom (TQ 633 714)	127) Museum of London Archaeology Service, July 1997. ***West of Northumberland Bottom ARC WNB 97. An Archaeological Evaluation.*** 128) Museum of London Archaeology Service, September 1998. ***Northumberland Bottom ARC WNB 98.Archaeological Excavation InterimReport.***
65) Parsonage Farm (TQ 890 461)	129) Museum of London Archaeology Service, October 1997. ***West of Station Road, Parsonage Farm. Evaluation Report.*** 130) Museum of London Archaeology Service, September 1999. ***Area 430 Parsonage Farm. Excavation Report.***

66) Sandway Road (TQ 880 515)	131) Wessex Archaeology, June 1999. ***ARC SWR 99. Archaeological Evaluation Report.*** 132) Wessex Archaeology, October 1999. ***Contract 420: Sandway Road (ARC SWR 99). Archaeological Excavation Interim Report.*** 133) Wessex Archaeology, 2001. ***Contract 420: Sandway Road (ARC SWR 99). Post Excavation Assessment Report.***
67) Saltwood Tunnel (TR 157 369)	134) Oxford Archaeological Unit, December 1997. ***North of Saltwood Tunnel ARC SLT97). Evaluation Report.*** 135) Canterbury Archaeological trust, 1999. ***Area 440 North of Saltwood Tunnel ARC SLT98C. Post Evaluation Excavation Assessment.*** Canterbury Archaeological Trust Report for Union Railways (Southern) Ltd
68) Shrubsoles Hill (TQ 966 716)	136) Pine, J, June 1999. ***Shrubsoles Hill, Brambledown, Isle of Sheppey, Kent. An Archaeological Evaluation.*** Thames Valley Archaeological Services Report 99/37. 137) Thames Valley Archaeological Services, August 1999. ***Shrubsoles Hill, Brambledown, Isle of Sheppey, Kent. Excavation Interim Report.*** 138) Pine, J, August 2000. ***Shrubsoles Hill, Brambledown, Isle of Sheppey, Kent. Pots-Excavation Assessment.***
69) Snarkhurst Wood (TQ 823 551)	139) Oxford Archaeology Unit, February 1996. Land ***South of Snarkhurst Wood, Hollinbourne. CTRL Phase 1Evaluation Report*** 140) Oxford Archaeology Unit, December 2000. ***Area 420 South of Snarkhurst Wood. Excavation Report.***
70) Stickfast Lane (TQ 891 659)	141) Pine, J, August 2000. ***Stickfast Lane, Bobbing, Sittingbourne, Kent. An Archaeological Evaluation (Phase 1).*** Thames Valley Archaeological Services Report 00/50. 142) Hindmarsh, E, 2000. . ***Stickfast Lane, Bobbing, Kent. An Archaeological Excavation.*** Thames Valley Archaeological Services Report 00/50b.
71) Thanet Way Site 7; **72) Thanet Way Site 8;** **73) Thanet Way Site 11;** (TR 613 164)	143) Parfitt, K & Allen, T, 1990. ***An Archaeological Survey of the Thanet Way (phases 2-4).*** Canterbury Archaeological Trust. 144) Canterbury Archaeological Trust, 1991. ***Thanet Way Sections 2-4: Archaeological Evaluations (TWPT 91).*** Canterbury Archaeological Trust Report No. 278. 145) Cross, RP, 1994. ***A299 Thanet Way Road Extension: Report on the Archaeological Evaluations 1994.*** 146) Canterbury Archaeological Trust, 1995. Archaeological Works on the ***Thanet Way Sections 2-4 1995: Assessment.*** Canterbury Archaeological Trust Report No. 1995/47.
74) Westwood Cross (TR 370 690)	147) Diack, 2003, ***Land at Westwood Cross, Thanet. Archaeological Evaluation.*** Canterbury Archaeological Trust, September 2003. 148) Canterbury Archaeological Trust, 2004. ***Westwood Cross, Broadstairs, Kent. Integrated Site Report.*** October 2004.
75) White Horse Stone (TQ 753 604; **76) Pilgrims Way** (TQ 753 601); **and** ***77) W of Boarley Farm*** (TQ 752 604)	149) Oxford Archaeological Unit, July 1997. ***White Horse Stone (ARC WHS 97). Archaeological evaluation.*** 150) Museum of London Archaeology Service, September 1997. ***Boarley Farm ARC BFM 97. An Archaeological Evaluation.*** 151) Oxford Archaeological Unit, December 1998. ***Pilgrims Way, Aylesford, Kent ARC PIL 98. Archaeological Evaluation Report.*** 152) Oxford Archaeological Unit, August 1999. **Area 420** ***White Horse Stone (ARC WHS 98); Pilgrims Way (ARC PIL 98); West of Boarley Farm (ARC BFW 98). Archaeological Excavation.*** *153) Oxford Archaeological Unit, May 2001.* ***Area 4101420 White Horse Stone (ARC WHS 98). Post Excavation Assessment.***

Site Name	Peterborough Reports analysed
78) Tanholt Farm (TF 243 024)	154) Colcutt, S, Petchey, M & Johnson, A, 2000. ***Eyebury Quarry, Tanholt Farm, Northeastern Extension, Eyebury, Peterborough – Cultural Heritage Statement.*** Oxford Archaeological Associates Report. 155) Garrow, D, November 2000. ***An Archaeological Evaluation at Tanholt Farm, Eyebury Quarry, Eye, Peterborough.*** Cambridge Archaeological Unit Report No. 401, University of Cambridge. 156) Patten, R, January 2002. ***An Archaeological Excavation at Tanholt Farm, Eyebury Quarry, Eye, Peterborough. Phase 1.*** Cambridge Archaeological Unit Report No. 464, University of Cambridge.

Site Name	Surrey Reports analysed
79) Battlebridge Lane (TQ 287 524)	157) Preconstruct Archaeology, 1998. ***Desk Based Assessment of Battlebridge Lane, Mersham.*** 158) Preconstruct Archaeology, 1998. ***Archaeological Evaluation on land at Battlebridge Lane, Merstham, Surrey.*** 159) Preconstruct Archaeology, 1999. ***Battlebridge Lane, Merstham, Surrey. Archaeological Evaluation.*** 160) Saunders, MJ & Weaver, SDG, 1999. ***The Excavation of a Late Iron Age/early Roman Settlement enclosure and prehistoric and early to mid Saxon deposits at Battlebridge Lane, Merstham, Surrey.*** Thames valley Archaeological Services Report.
80) Chapel Way (TQ 236 580)	161) Saunders, MJ, September 1997. ***Desk Top Assessment and Evaluation Report, Chapel Way, Burgh Heath.*** Thames Valley Archaeological Services. 162) Saunders, MJ, January 1998. ***Chapel Way, Burgh Heath, Surrey. An Archaeological Excavation.*** Thames Valley Archaeological Services Report No. 97/62b.
81) Duncroft (TQ 0320 7200)	163) Poulton, R, 1996. ***An Assessment of the Proposed Work on Duncroft, Staines.*** Surrey County Archaeology Unit. 164) Robertson, J, 1996. ***An Archaeological Evaluation of Land at Duncroft, Moor Lane, Staines.*** Surrey County Archaeological Unit. 165) Robertson, J, 1997. ***An Archaeological Evaluation, Excavation and WatchingBrief at Duncroft, Staines.*** Surrey County Archaeological Unit.

82) Home Farm Phase 1; **83) Phase 4;** **84) Phase 5;** **85) Phase 6b;** **86) Phase 7** (TQ 0570 6920)	166) Surrey County Council Archaeological Unit, 1995. ***An Archaeological Evaluation at Home Farm, Laleham, Surrey. Phase 4.*** 167) Surrey County Council Archaeological Unit, November 1995. ***The Discovery of further Prehistoric settlement evidence at Home Farm, Laleham, 1995.*** 168) Surrey County Council Archaeological Unit, 1997. ***An Archaeological Evaluation of the Phase 6A & 6B Mineral Extraction Divisions at Home Farm, Laleham.*** 169) Surrey County Council Archaeological Unit, September 1998. ***An Archaeological Evaluation of the Phase 7Mineral Extraction Division at Home Farm, Laleham.*** 170) Surrey County Council Archaeological Unit, 2002. ***Archaeological discoveries, principally of Neolithic and Bronze Age date, within the Home Farm, Laleham Mineral Extraction Site, 1991-1999.***
87) Hurst Park (TQ 145 690)	171) AJ Clark Consulting, April 1994. ***Hurst Park Racecourse: Archaeo-geophysical Survey.*** 172) Canterbury Archaeological Trust, 1994. ***Hurst Park, East Molesey, Evaluation Report.*** 173) Andrews, P & Crocket, A, 1996. ***Three excavations along the Thames and its tributaries, 1994. Neolithic to Saxon settlement and burial in the Thames, Colne and Kennet Valleys.*** Wessex Archaeology Report No. 10.
88) Marconi (TQ 055 651)	174) Aldsworth, F, 2000. ***A Desk Based Archaeological Asessment of the Marconi Site, Addelstone, Weybridge.*** Broadway Malyan Cultural Heritage. 175) Dover, M, 2000. ***An Archaeological Evaluation on Land at the Marconi Site, Addlestone, Surrey.*** Surrey County Archaeology Unit. 176) Surrey County Council Archaeological Unit, 2002. ***An Archaeological Excavation at the Marconi Site, Addlestone, Surrey.***
89) Netherne (TQ 296 562)	177) Poulton, N & Shaikhley, N, October 1996. ***A Preliminary Archaeological Assessment of the property development at Netherne-on-the-Hill.*** Surrey County Archaeology Unit. 178) Surrey County Archaeology Unit, November 1997. ***Archaeological Evaluation at Netherne on the Hill. .*** 179) Bartlett, AD, January 1988. ***Archaeogeophysical Survey Netherne-on-the-Hill.*** Bartlett-Clark Consultancy. 180) Surrey County Archaeology Unit, January 2000. ***An Archaeological Excavation and Evaluation at Netherne on the Hill, Hooley. Assessment Report.*** 181) Surrey County Archaeology Unit, June 2000. ***Archaeological Investigations at Netherne on the Hill, Hooley.***
90) The Close (TQ 029 721)	182) AOC Archaeology, June 1997. ***The Close, Vicarage Road, Staines: Archaeological Evaluation Report.*** 183) Entwistle, R, January 1998. ***Excavations at The Close, Vicarage Road, Staines, Surrey.*** AOC Archaeology Report.
91) Warren Farm (TQ 0021 0062)	184) Farminer & Poulter, 1994. ***Preliminary Assessment at Warren Farm, Ewell, Surrey.*** Surry County Council Archaeological Unit. 185) Hayman, 1995. ***An Archaeological Evaluation at Warren Farm, Ewell, Surrey.*** Surrey County Council Archaeological Unit. 186) Surrey County Council Archaeological Unit, 1995. ***An Archaeological Excavation at Warren Farm, Ewell, Surrey.***

Site Name	Suffolk Reports analysed
92) Copdock (TM 125 426)	187) Boulter, S, August 1996. ***Copdock Mill Interchange, A record of an Archaeological Evaluation.*** Suffolk Archaeology Unit Report No. 96/43, Suffolk County Council. 188) Abbot, C, July 1997. ***Copdock Mill Interchange, A record of an Archaeological Excavation (WSH 012).*** Suffolk Archaeology Unit Report No. 97/45, Suffolk County Council
93) Oakley (TM 146 784) **94) Palgrave** (TM 105 426) **95) Wortham** (TM 032 513)	189) Suffolk Archaeology Unit, 1993. ***Archaeological Evaluation of A143 Stuston-Scole Bypass preferred route – Phase 2 Evaluation.*** Suffolk County Council. 190) Sommers, M, 1994. ***Wortham (WTM 020) Excavation Report.*** Suffolk County Council Archaeology Service. 191) Suffolk Archaeology Unit, 1994. ***Palgrave (PAL 011) Excavation Report.*** Suffolk County Council. 192) Suffolk Archaeology Unit, 1995. ***Archaeological Assessment Report for OKY 005, A143 Stuston-Scole Bypass.*** Suffolk County Council.
96) Wattisham (TM 0328 5132)	193) Suffolk County Council Archaeological Service, March 1993. ***Archaeological Evaluation, RAF Wattisham, Great Bricett.*** Archaeological Section Field Projects Team. 194) Suffolk County Council Archaeological Service, June 1993. ***A. A. C. Wattisham, BCG005 Excavation Report.*** 195) Suffolk County Council Archaeological Service, November 1994. ***A. A. C. Wattisham, (BCG005, BCG006 and BCG007) Record of Monitoring..***

West Sussex:

Site Name	Reports analysed
97) Ashington Bypass (TQ 134 164)	196) Priestly-Bell, G, July 1993. ***An Archaeological Evaluation of the line of the 24 Ashington Bypass, West Sussex.*** South Eastern Archaeology Services Report No. 1993/10. 197) Priestly-Bell, G, August 1993. ***An Archaeological Evaluation of the line of the 24 Ashington Bypass, West Sussex Further Investigations.*** South Eastern Archaeology Services Report No. 1993/10b. 198) Priestly-Bell, G, September 1993. ***A24 Ashington Bypass, West Sussex. Excavation Report.*** South Eastern Archaeology Services Report.
98) HRI Littlehampton (TQ 043 034)	199) Wessex Archaeology, 1995. ***Horticultural Research International Site, Worthing Road, Littlehampton. Archaeological Evaluation and Desk Top Study.*** Trust for Wessex Archaeology Reference No. 95/53. 200) Wessex Archaeology, January 1998 ***Former Horticultural Research International Site, Worthing Road, Littlehampton, West Sussex. Archaeological Excavation Interim Report.*** Trust for Wessex Archaeology Reference No. 44125.01. 201) Wessex Archaeology, February 2000. ***Former Horticultural Research International Site, Worthing Road, Littlehampton, West Sussex. Archaeological Excavation Publication Report.*** Trust for Wessex Archaeology Reference No. 44125.04.
99) Loxwood Place Farm (TQ 038 514)	202) Kirk, L, April 1996. ***An Archaeological Evaluation at Loxwood Place Farm, Loxwood, Billingshurst, West Sussex.*** South Eastern Archaeological Services Report No. 4366 prepared for the Norchester Partnership, April. 203) Martin, D&B, No date. ***An Appraisal of Standing Buildings, Loxwood Place, Loxwood, West Sussex.*** South Eastern Archaeology South East Report No. 463a. 204) James, R, February 1997. ***An Interim Report on a Watching Brief at LoxwoodPlace, Loxwood, West Sussex.*** Archaeology South East

	Report No. 609. 205) Stevens, S, September 1997. ***An Archaeological Investigation at Loxwood Place Farm, Loxwood, Billingshurst, West Sussex: Stage 4 Excavation.*** Archaeology South East Project No. 663b.

Wiltshire:

Site Name	Reports analysed
100) Rixons Gate (SU 065 940)	206) Wessex Archaeology, April 1992. ***Rixons Gate, Ashton Keynes, Archaeological Evaluation.*** Wessex Archaeology Report No. 35104. 207) Wessex Archaeology, July 1992. ***Rixons Gate, Wiltshire. Archaeological Excavation Summary Report.*** Wessex Archaeology Report No. W515.

Appendices 6 and 7

Appendix 6: Database of recorded Case Study Data
Appendix 7: The Analysis Results Database

Appendix 6: Database of recorded CaseStudy Data

A Read-only copy of the completed Database is included on the disc attached to this page. The Microsoft Access Programme is required to open it.

Appendix 7: The Analysis Results Database

A Read-only copy of the Analysis Results Database is included on the disc attached to this page. The Microsoft Access Programme is required to open it.



Appendix 8

Past Landscape Use Patterns and Features *identified by period*
from the Case Study sample of 100 sites

Appendix 8: Past Landscape Use Patterns and Features identified by period from the Case Study sample of 100 sites

Table 1: Paleolithic:

Site name	Period	Features	Land use pattern
Queen Mary Hospital	Palaeo	Glacial feature fill	Running water (1.4.3)
Brisley 4	Palaeo	Artefact scatter	Activity (3.4.1)

Table 2: Mesolithic:

Site name	Period	Features	Land use pattern
Monkston Park 3	Meso	Unid ditch; Post pit; Posthole	Unknown structures (2.1.5)
Battlebridge Lane	Meso	Artefact scatter	Activity (3.4.1)
Little Marlow	Meso	Artefact scatter	Activity (3.4.1)
Sandway Road	Meso	Pit;	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4)
Sandway Road	Meso	Scoop; Artefact scatter;	Activity (3.4.1);
Prospect Park	Meso	Artefact scatter	Activity (3.4.1)
The Close	Meso	Artefact scatter	Activity (3.4.1)
West Waste,	Meso	Stakehole; Cut; Posthole; Unid Slot	Isolated domestic structure (2.1.1) ;
West Waste,	Meso	Pit;	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4);
West Waste,	Meso	Bank; Unid gully	Land division boundary (3.3.1)
Monkston Park 2	Meso	Residual artefacts	Activity (3.4.1)
Netherne on the hill	Meso	Pit	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4)

Table 3: Neolithic:

Site name	Period	Features	Land use pattern
Home Farm 4	Neo	Pit;	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4);
Home Farm 4	Neo	Posthole; Unid ditch; Unid scoop;	Activity (3.4.1);
Home Farm 4	Neo	Cremation	Funerary deposition (3.5.5);
Home Farm 6b	Neo	Pit; Posthole; Enclosure ditch;	Isolated domestic structure (2.1.1);
Home Farm 6b	Neo	Burial	Funerary deposition (3.5.5);
Little Stock Farm	Neo	Pit	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4)
Hurst Park	Neo	Tree throw hole	Woodland clearance (1.2.5)
Hurst Park		Pit;	Domestic Waste disposal (2.1.4);
Duncroft D	Neo	Waterhole	Activity (3.4.1)
Mill Farm Quarry	Neo	Ring ditch; Enclosure ditch	Ritual structures (3.5.4)
Mill Farm Quarry	Neo	Burial pit;	Funerary deposition (3.5.5);
Home Farm 5	Neo	Unid ditch; Pit	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4)
14 Progress Way	Neo	Fire pit; Unid ditch; Posthole; Unid scoop	Isolated domestic structure (2.1.1);
14 Progress Way	Neo	Pit;	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4)
The Close	Neo	Artefact scatter	Activity (3.4.1)
Battlebridge Lane	Neo	Pit	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4)
Ibis Hotel	Neo	Enclosure ditch; Enclosure entrance; Beam slot; Posthole;	Isolated domestic structure (2.1.1);
Ibis Hotel	Neo	Pit;	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4)
Ibis Hotel	Neo	Unid ditch;	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
Prospect Park	Neo	Unid scoop; Pit; Posthole; Unid slot; Unid ditch	Isolated domestic structure (2.1.1)

Site name	Period	Features	Land use pattern
Sandway Road	Neo	Depression	Activity (3.4.1)
Blind Lane	Neo	Artefact scatter	Activity (3.4.1)
Wattisham	Neo	Artefact scatter	Activity (3.4.1)
Snarkhurst	Neo	Pit; unid gully;	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4)
Netherne on the hill	Neo	Pit;	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4);
Netherne on the hill	Neo	Unid feature	Unknown structures (2.1.5)
Netherne on the hill	Neo	Unid ditch;	Land division boundary (3.3.1);;
Chineham Lane	Neo	Post pit	Unknown structures (2.1.5)
Kennel Farm	Neo	Artefact scatter	Activity (3.4.1)
Marsh Leys Farm	Neo	Artef scatter	Activity (3.4.1)
Sipson Lane	Neo	;	Nearby Forest Clearance (1.2.6);
Sipson Lane	Neo	Posthole; Enclosure ditch; Unid ditch; Internal enclosure ditch	Isolated domestic structure (2.1.1);
Sipson Lane	Neo	Pit;	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4)

Table 4: Bronze Age

Site name	Period	Features	Land use pattern
Chapel Mill	BA	Double boundary ditch;	Ritual structures (3.5.4)
Prospect Park	BA	Artefact scatter; Pit; Unid scoop; Posthole;	Isolated domestic structure (2.1.1);
Prospect Park	BA	Burnt mound ditch;	Ritual structures (3.5.4);
Prospect Park	BA	Cremation pit	Funerary deposition (3.5.5);
Prospect Park	BA	Enclosure ditch;	Funerary structures (3.5.6)
Cobham Park Golf Course	BA	Field enclosure ditch;	Field system (1.6.12)
Cobham Park Golf Course	BA	Pit; Posthole; Occupation layer; Drip ring gully; Hearth	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
Pilgrims Way	BA	Posthole; Unid ditch; Ceremonial pit;	Ritual deposition (3.5.1);
Pilgrims Way	BA	Cremation pit;	Funerary deposition (3.5.5);
White Horse Stone	BA	Pits; Posthole; Middens;	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
White Horse Stone	BA	Boundary ditch;	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
White Horse Stone	BA	Burial	Funerary deposition (3.5.5);
Wattisham	BA	Beam slot	Isolated domestic structure (2.1.1)
Sandway Road	BA	Unid ditch; Pit; Post pit	Field system (1.6.12)
Church Road,	BA	Pits;	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4);
Church Road,	BA	Posthole	Unknown structures (2.1.5)
14 Progress Way	BA	Boundary ditch	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
West Malling A	BA	Pit	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4)
A24 Ashington Bypass	BA	Pit;	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4)
A24 Ashington Bypass	BA	Posthole; Stakehole; Depression; Unid ditch; Layer;	Unknown structures (2.1.5);
Stickfast Lane,	BA	Pit; Unid gully; Unid ditch;	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4)
Holm Hill area A	BA	Field Enclosure ditch	Field system (1.6.12)
Hurst Wood	BA	Field enclosure ditch;	Field system (1.6.12)
Hurst Wood	BA	Hearth pit; Hearth; Stakehole; Pit;	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
82-90 Park Lane	BA	Boundary ditch	Land division boundary (3.3.1);

Site name	Period	Features	Land use pattern
Kingsnorth Power Station	BA	Unid ditch;	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
Kingsnorth Power Station	BA	Cremation pit;	Funerary deposition (3.5.5);
Kingsnorth Power Station	BA	Droveway	Trackway (3.9.9);
Cuxton	BA	Burial pit;	Funerary deposition (3.5.5);
Cuxton	BA	Ring ditch;	Funerary structures (3.5.6)
Beechbrook Wood	BA	Cremation pit	Funerary deposition (3.5.5);;
Holm Hill Area B	BA	Field Enclosure ditch;	Field system (1.6.12)
Snarkhurst	BA	Pit;	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4)
Blind Lane	BA	Unid ditch; Unid gully;	Field system (1.6.12)
Blind Lane	BA	Linear cut; Enclosure ditch; Pits; Posthole; Enclosure slot; Stakehole; Depression;	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
Shrubsoles	BA	Tree throw hole;	Woodland clearance (1.2.5);
Shrubsoles	BA	Cremation;	Funerary deposition (3.5.5);
Shrubsoles	BA	Posthole; Scoop; Enclosure ditch; Pit	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
Shrubsoles	BA	Ceremonial pit;	Ritual deposition (3.5.1);
Shrubsoles	BA	Ring ditch;	Funerary Structures (3.5.6);
Thanet 8	BA	Post pit; Pit	Isolated domestic structure (2.1.1);
Thanet 8	BA	Road	Road (3.9.1);
Thanet 8	BA	Hollowway;	Trackway (3.9.9)
Town Mead School	BA	Burnt flint deposit; Pit; Boundary ditch	Ritual Structures (3.5.4)
Cliffs End Farm	BA	Tree throw hole;	Woodland clearance (1.2.5);
Cliffs End Farm	BA	Boundary ditch	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
Cliffs End Farm	BA	Ceremonial Horseshoe Enclosure	Ritual structures (3.5.4);
Cliffs End Farm	BA	Pit;	Funerary deposition (3.5.5);
Cliffs End Farm	BA	Ring ditch;	Funerary structures (3.5.6);
Cliffs End Farm	BA	Droveway;	Trackway (3.9.9)
North Binfields Chineham	BA	Boundary Ditch;	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
Broughton Barn	BA	Enclosure ditch;	Unknown structures (2.1.5)
Broughton Barn	BA	Cremation pit;	Funerary deposition (3.5.5);
Duncroft D	BA	Waterhole	Water collection (1.4.10)
Home Farm 7	BA	Field Enclosure ditch;	Field system (1.6.12);
Home Farm 7	BA	Unid ditch; Pit; Posthole; Boundary ditch; Waterhole;	Isolated domestic structure (2.1.1);
Home Farm 7	BA	Ceremonial pit;	Ritual; deposition (3.5.1)
Home Farm 7	BA	Cremation pit;	Funerary deposition (3.5.5);
Chineham Lane	BA	Posthole; Pits; Post pit;	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
Hurst Park	BA	Posthole; Pit; Enclosure ditch; Midden; Cooking pit	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
Hurst Park	BA	Tree throw hole;	Clearance (3.2.5);
Hurst Park	BA	Unid ditch;	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
Hurst Park	BA	Ceremonial pit;	Ritual deposition (3.5.1)
Hurst Park	BA	*Cremation pit*	Funerary deposition (3.5.5);

Site name	Period	Features	Land use pattern
Hurst Park	BA	Ring ditch	Funerary Structures (3.5.6);
Brisley 4	BA	Posthole; Unid gully; Field Enclosure ditch;	Field system (1.6.12);
Brisley 4	BA	Pit	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4);
Brisley 4	BA	Droveway;	Droveway (3.9.10)
Marsh Leys Farm	BA	Artefact scatter;	Activity (3.4.1)
Netherne on the hill	BA	Pit;	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4);
Netherne on the hill	BA	Land division boundary ditch	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
The Close	BA	Plough furrow;	Field crop (1.6.1);
The Close	BA	Pit; Posthole;	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
The Close	BA	Boundary ditch;	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
Westwood Cross	BA	Enclosure ditch;	Field system (1.6.12)
Westwood Cross	BA	Cess pits; Settlement Enclosure ditch and recut; Pits; Middens; Storage pits	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
Westwood Cross	BA	Droveway;	Droveway (3.9.10);
Woodlands Roudabout	BA	Pit; Posthole; Unid gully; Entrance; Post pit; Drainage ditch;	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
Woodlands Roudabout	BA	Ceremonial Pit	Ritual deposition (3.5.1);
Woodlands Roudabout	BA	Extraction pit	Mineral workings and quarries (3.8.1);
Buncefield Lane	BA	Structural pit; Hearth; Burnt flint layer	Isolated domestic structure (2.1.1)
Harvest Home	BA	Cut; Enclosure ditch; Boundary ditch; Posthole; Drip Ring gully; Unid ditch; pit;	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
Harvest Home	BA	Hearth	Domestic industrial activity (3.1.1);
Harvest Home	BA	Cremation pit;	Funerary deposition (3.5.5);
Queen Mary Hospital	BA	Pits; Burnt spread	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4);
Queen Mary Hospital	BA	Quarry pit;	Mineral workings and quarries (3.8.1);
Innova Business Park	BA	Drainage ditch;	Water collection (1.4.10);
Innova Business Park	BA	Pit;	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4);
Innova Business Park	BA	Structural pit; Unid ditch; Tree throw hole	Unknown structures (2.1.5);
Innova Business Park	BA	Boundary ditch;	Land division boundary (3.3.1);;
Ibis Hotel	BA	Enclosure ditch; Entrance; Beam slot; Posthole; Pit	Isolated domestic structure (2.1.1);
Ibis Hotel	BA	Pit;	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4)
Ibis Hotel	BA	Unid ditch;	Land division boundary (3.3.1);;
Duncroft C	BA	Boundary Ditch;	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
Duncroft C	BA	Ring ditch; Unid gully; Posthole of Post-built Building	Funerary structures (3.5.4);
Lower Icknield Way	BA	Midden; Posthole; Enclosure ditch	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
Lower Icknield Way	BA	Cremation pit;	Funerary deposition (3.5.5);
Mill Farm Quarry	BA	Ceremonial pit; Burial pit;	Funerary deposition (3.5.5);
Mill Farm Quarry	BA	Ring ditch; Barrow	Ritual structures (3.5.4)

Site name	Period	Features	Land use pattern
St Austell NE Distrib	BA	Posthole; Scoop; Enclosure ditch; Enclosure annexe; Bank; Unid ditch	Unknown structures (2.1.5)
St Austell NE Distrib	BA	Boundary ditch;	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
St Austell NE Distrib	BA	Pit;	Ritual deposition (3.5.1);
Andover Area 6	BA	Unid gully; Cremation pit; Unid ditch; Burial	Funerary deposition (3.5.5);
Home Farm 1	BA	Unknown structure	Unknown structures (2.1.5)
Home Farm 1	BA	Cremation pit;	Funerary deposition (3.5.5);
Home Farm 4	BA	Posthole;	Isolated domestic structure (2.1.1);
Home Farm 4	BA	Pits;	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4);
Home Farm 4	BA	Boundary ditch;	Land division boundary (3.3.1)
Home Farm 4	BA	Cremation pit	Funerary deposition (3.5.5);
Kennel Farm	BA	Unid ditch;Settlement enclosure ditch	Isolated domestic structure (2.1.1);
Kennel Farm	BA	Barrow ring ditch	Funerary structures (3.5.4)
Riverdene	BA	Pit	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4)
Highbarns Road	BA	Well;	Water collection (1.4.10)
Highbarns Road	BA	Pit;	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4);
Home Farm 6b	BA	Waterhole;	Water collection (1.4.10);
Home Farm 6b	BA	Pit; Posthole; Enclosure ditch; Enclosure entrance; Cooking pit	Isolated domestic structure (2.1.1);
Home Farm 6b	BA	Burial;	Funerary deposition (3.5.5);
Home Farm 5	BA	Waterhole; Well;	Water collection (1.4.10)
Home Farm 5	BA	Unid ditch; Pit; Posthole;	Isolated domestic structure (2.1.1);
Home Farm 5	BA	Boundary ditch	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
Home Farm 5	BA	Cremation pit;	Funerary deposition (3.5.5);
HRI Littlehampton	BA	Unid ditch; Posthole	Unknown Structures (2.1.5)
HRI Littlehampton	BA	Cremation pit;	Funerary deposition (3.5.5);
Warren Farm	BA	Pit; Unid gully	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4);
Northumberland Bottom	BA	Pit;	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4);
Northumberland Bottom	BA	Boundary ditch	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
Northumberland Bottom	BA	Burial;	Funerary deposition (3.5.5);
Little Marlow	BA	Pit;	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4);
Little Marlow	BA	Posthole; Alluvium;	Unknown structures (2.1.5)
Little Marlow	BA	Boundary ditch	Land division boundary (3.3.1
Little Marlow	BA	Burnt mound ditch	Ritual activity (3.5.2);
Tanholt	BA	Middens; Posthole; Pits	Isolated domestic structure (2.1.1)
Tanholt	BA	Boundary ditch;	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
Brisley 3	BA	Unid ditch; Unid gully; Posthole	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
Little Stock Farm	BA	Field Enclosure gully;;	Field system (1.6.12)
Little Stock Farm	BA	Pit; Posthole; Hearth; Foundation ditch; Artefact scatter	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
Sipson Lane	BA	Bound ditch; Enclosure ditch; recut Enclosure ditch; Pit; Posthole;	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);

Site name	Period	Features	Land use pattern
Sipson Lane	BA	Cremation pit;	Funerary Deposition (3.5.1);
Sipson Lane	BA	Barrow ring ditch;	Funerary structures (3.5.4);
Marconi Site	BA	Waterhole;	Water collection (1.4.10)
Marconi Site	BA	Pit; Terminal; Unid gully;	Isolated domestic structure (2.1.1);
Marconi Site	BA	Ceremonial pit	Ritual deposition (3.5.1);

Table 5: Iron Age

Site name	Period	Features	Land use pattern
North Binfields	IA	Midden; Enclosure ditch; Drip ring gully; Posthole;	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
North Binfields	IA	Ceremonial pit	Ritual deposition (3.5.1)
Town Mead School	IA	Burnt spread; Pit	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4)
Town Mead School	IA	Roadside ditch;	Trackway (3.9.9);
Chineham Lane	IA	Pit; Posthole	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
Leavesden aerodrome	IA	Enclosure ditch; Pit; Posthole;	Unknown structures (2.1.5)
Leavesden aerodrome	IA	Ditch	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
Leavesden aerodrome	IA	Quarry pit	Mineral Workings and Quarries (3.8.1);
Westwood Cross	IA	Boundary ditch;	Field system (1.6.12)
Westwood Cross	IA	Storage pits; Posthole; Cess pits; Middens	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
Prospect Park	IA	Artefact scatter	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4)
Cliffs End Farm	IA	Unid ditch	Agricultural activity (1.6.8);
Cliffs End Farm	IA	Colluvium;	Colluvial layer build up (3.4.5);
Sipson Lane	IA	Posthole; Oval pit; Unid ditch; Drip Ring gully; Enclosure ditch; Recut Enclosure ditch;	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
Sipson Lane	IA	Cremation pit;	Funerary Deposition (3.5.5)
North of Saltwood Tunnel	IA	Enclosure ditch; Pit; Posthole; Industrial pits; Unid ditch; Depression	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
North of Saltwood Tunnel	IA	Quarry pit;	Mineral Workings and Quarries (3.8.1);
North of Saltwood Tunnel	IA	Hollowway; Road	Trackway (3.9.9);
Andover Area 6	IA	Pit; Posthole; Unid ditch;	Unknown structures (2.1.5)
Parsonage Farm	IA	Building foundation raft;	Unknown structures (2.1.5);
Monkston Park 3	IA	Hearth; Posthole	Unknown structures (2.1.5)
Monkston Park 3	IA	Cremation pit;	Funerary Deposition (3.5.5);
White Horse Stone	IA	Pit; Beam slot; Boundary ditch; Posthole; Middens; Posthole of Post-built building;	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
White Horse Stone	IA	Furnace; Industrial pits; Working surface;	Domestic industrial activity (3.1.1);
White Horse Stone	IA	Burial; Cremation pit;	Funerary deposition (3.5.5)
Thanet 8	IA	Post pit; Pit	Isolated domestic structure (2.1.1);
Thanet 8	IA	Hollowway; Road;	Roads (3.9.1);

Site name	Period	Features	Land use pattern
Shrubsoles	IA	Pit	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4)
West Malling E2	IA	Unid ditch; Pit; Enclosure ditch; Annexe Enclosure ditch	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
West Malling E2	IA	Burial;	Funerary deposition (3.5.5);
Monkston Park 2	IA	Settlement Enclosure ditch; Pit; Hearth; Midden;	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
Monkston Park 2	IA	Cremation pit	Funerary deposition (3.5.5);
West Malling A	IA	Field enclosure ditch	Field system (1.6.12)
West Malling A	IA	Unid ditch; Pit;Double ditched structure; Enclosure ditch; Entrance; Posthole	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
Monkston Park 1	IA	Annexe enclosure ditch; Enclosure ditch; Pits	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
Mill Farm Quarry	IA	Annexe enclosure ditch	Stock enclosures (1.6.7)
Mill Farm Quarry	IA	Settlement enclosure ditch; Beam slot; Pit;	Defended Farmstead (2.1.3);
Mill Farm Quarry	IA	Burial pit;	Funerary deposition (3.5.5);
Kennel Farm	IA	Settlement enclosure ditch; Ditch terminal; Unid gully; Beam slot; Posthole; Pit; Storage pits; Fenceline; Posthole;	Isolated domestic structure (2.1.1)
St Austell NE Distrib	IA	Enclosure ditch; Annexe enclosure ditch; Bank; Unid ditch; Posthole	Agricultural structures(1.6.6);
St Austell NE Distrib	IA	Field enclosure ditch;	Field system (1.6.12);
St Austell NE Distrib	IA	Boundary ditch;	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
Blind Lane	IA	Unid ditch;	Field system (1.6.12)
Blind Lane	IA	Posthole; Unid gully;	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
Holm Hill area A	IA	Field enclosure ditch	Field system (1.6.12)
Rixons Gate Farm	IA	Stakehole; Posthole; Drip ring gully; Pit; Unid gully; Foundation trench; Enclosure ditch;	Isolated domestic structure (2.1.1)
Oakley	IA	Drip ring gully; Unid ditch; Pit	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
Thanet 7	IA	Field enclosure ditch;	Field system (1.6.12);
Thanet 7	IA	Pit; Enclosure ditch;; Posthole of Post-built building;	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
Thanet 7	IA	Trackway	Trackway (3.9.9);
Pilgrims Way	IA	Midden;	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4);
Pilgrims Way	IA	Posthole; Unid ditch;	Unknown structures (2.1.5);
Pilgrims Way	IA	Ceremonial pit	Ritual deposition (3.5.1);
Pilgrims Way	IA	Cremation pit;;	Funerary deposition (3.5.5);
Lower Icknield Way	IA	Artefact scatter; Unid ditch; Drainage ditch; Pit; Enclosure ditch; Beam slot; Post pit; Stakehole; Terminal;	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
Lower Icknield Way	IA	Industrial pit	Industrial waste deposition (3.1.7);
Lower Icknield Way	IA	Boundary ditch;	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
Lower Icknield Way	IA	Ceremonial pit;	Ritual deposition (3.5.1)
Lower Icknield Way	IA	Cremation pit	Funerary deposition (3.5.5);
Lower Icknield Way	IA	Quarry pit;	Mineral workings and quarries

Site name	Period	Features	Land use pattern
			(3.8.1);
Lower Icknield Way	IA	Roadside ditch;	Roads (3.9.1);
Lower Icknield Way	IA	Droveway;	Trackway (3.9.9);
Snarkhurst	IA	Enclosure ditch; Posthole; Hall posthole; Pit;; Unid trench; Unid ditch;	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
Snarkhurst	IA	Kiln	Domestic industrial activity (3.1.1);
Boarley Farm	IA	Pit	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4);
Boarley Farm	IA	Posthole	Unknown structures (2.1.5);
Boarley Farm	IA	Boundary ditch	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
Boarley Farm	IA	Animal burial;	Ritual deposition (3.5.1)
NW of Brewer's Hill Farm	IA	Boundary ditch;	Field system (1.6.12)
NW of Brewer's Hill Farm	IA	Pit	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4);
NW of Brewer's Hill Farm	IA	Unid gully;	Unknown Structures (2.1.5);
HRI Littlehampton	IA	Unid ditch; Posthole; Unid gully	Field boundaries (1.6.11)
HRI Littlehampton	IA	Pit;	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4);
Broughton Barn	IA	Posthole	Unknown structures (2.1.5)
Brisley Farm 1	IA	Land boundary ditch,	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
Brisley Farm 1	IA	Cremation;	Funerary deposition (3.5.5);
Brisley Farm 1	IA	Pit, Hearth; Posthole; Cermonial pit;	Funerary structures (3.5.6);
Brisley Farm 1	IA	Trackway,	Trackways (3.9.9);
Highbarns Road	IA	Enclosure ditch; Stakehole; Drip ring gully; Pit; Hearth	Defended Farmstead (2.1.3);
Highbarns Road	IA	Boundary ditch	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
Highbarns Road	IA	Burial;	Funerary deposition (3.5.5)
Marsh Leys Farm	IA	Waterhole;	Water collection (1.4.10);
Marsh Leys Farm	IA	Field enclosure ditch;	Field system (1.6.12);
Marsh Leys Farm	IA	Foundation gully; Drip ring gully; Beam slot; Enclosure ditch; Pit	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
Marsh Leys Farm	IA	Shrine; Ceremonial pit	Ritual structures (3.5.4)
Marsh Leys Farm	IA	Cremation;	Funerary deposition (3.5.5)
Marsh Leys Farm	IA	Quarry pit;	Mineral workings and quarries (3.8.1)
Marsh Leys Farm	IA	Droveway	Droveways (3.9.10);
Birchfield Road	IA	Plough furrow;	Field system (1.6.12)
Birchfield Road	IA	Drip ring gully; Enclosure ditch; Pit;	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
Tring Hill	IA	Enclosure ditch; Entrance; Pit; Post pit; Posthole	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
Kingsnorth Power Station	IA	River channel;;	Running water (1.4.3);
Kingsnorth Power Station	IA	Unid ditch; Pit; Terminal; Posthole; Drip ring gully;	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
Kingsnorth Power Station	IA	Industrial pit	Domestic industrial activity (3.1.1)

Site name	Period	Features	Land use pattern
Kingsnorth Power Station	IA	Cremation pit	Funerary deposition (3.5.5);
N of Brewer's Hill Farm	IA	Field Enclosure ditch;	Field system (1.6.12)
N of Brewer's Hill Farm	IA	Pit;	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4);
N of Brewer's Hill Farm	IA	Unid gully	Unknown structures (2.1.5);
Water End East	IA	Enclosure ditch; Post pit; Posthole;	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
Water End East	IA	Cremation pit;	Funerary deposition (3.5.5);;
Stickfast Lane,	IA	Pit; Unid gully; Unid ditch	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4)
Brisley 3	IA	Well	Water collection (1.4.10)
Brisley 3	IA	Unid ditch; Pit; Posthole; Hearth;	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
Duncroft C	IA	Pit	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4);
Duncroft C	IA	Boundary ditch;	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
Brisley 7	IA	Field Encosure ditch;	Field system (1.6.12);
Brisley 7	IA	Pit; Enclosure ditch; Posthole of Post-built building	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
Brisley 7	IA	Trackway;	Trackway (3.9.9);
Battlebridge Lane	IA	Pit; Posthole; Working surface; Enclosure ditch; Drip ring gully;	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
Battlebridge Lane	IA	Boundary ditch	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
Chapel Way	IA	Drip ring gully	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
The Close	IA	Working surface	Domestic industrial activity (3.1.1)
Brisley 4	IA	Posthole; Unid gully; Field enclosure ditch;	Field system (1.6.12);
Brisley 4	IA	Pit	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4);
Brisley 4	IA	Droveway;	Droveway (3.9.10)
Warren Farm	IA	Pit;;	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4);
Warren Farm	IA	Unid gully; Posthole	Unknown structures (2.1.5)
Warren Farm	IA	Unid ditch;	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
Little Stock Farm	IA	Drip ring gully; Posthole; Entrance; Enclosure ditch; Hearth;	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
Little Stock Farm	IA	Grave	Funerary deposition (3.5.5);
Little Stock Farm	IA	Road	Trackway (3.9.9);
Northumberland Bottom	IA	Field enclosure ditch;	Field system (1.6.12)
Northumberland Bottom	IA	Unid gully; Pits; Enclosure ditch; Posthole; Unid slot; Hearth	Single farmstead (2.1.2);
Northumberland Bottom	IA	Working surface; Kiln;	Domestic industrial activity (3.1.1);
Northumberland Bottom	IA	Boundary ditch;	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
Northumberland Bottom	IA	Ceremonial pit;	Ritual deposition (3.5.1)
Northumberland Bottom	IA	Burial;	Funerary deposition (3.5.5);
Northumberland	IA	Hollowway;	Trackway (3.9.9);

Site name	Period	Features	Land use pattern
Bottom			
Beechbrook Wood	IA	Field boundary ditch	Field system (1.6.12)
Beechbrook Wood	IA	Firepit; Posthole; Pit; Enclosure ditch;	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
Buncefield Lane	IA	Structural pit; Hearth; Burnt flint layer	Isolated domestic structure (2.1.1)
Hurst Wood	IA	Field enclosure gully;	Field system (1.6.12)
Hurst Wood	IA	Hearth pit; Stakehole; Unid feature;	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
Chapel Mill	IA	Field enclosure ditch	Field system (1.6.12)
Chapel Mill	IA	Pits;	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4);
Chapel Mill	IA	Cremation pit;	Funerary deposition (3.5.5);
Cuxton	IA	Posthole; Enclosure postholes; Entrance; Fence Posthole; Drip ring gully;	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
Cuxton	IA	Pit;	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4)
Harvest Home	IA	Unid ditch; Enclosure ditch;Boundary ditch; Posthole; Drip ring gully;; Unid; pit;	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
Harvest Home	IA	Ironworking structure	Domestic industrial activity (3.1.1);
Harvest Home	IA	Cremation pit	Funerary deposition (3.5.5);
Home Farm 1	IA	Pit	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4);
Ibis Hotel	IA	Posthole; Terminal; Pit	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
Ibis Hotel	IA	Boundary ditch;	Land division boundaries (3.3.1)
Cobham Park Golf Course	IA	Pits; Drip ring gully;	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
Church Road, Chineham	IA	Field enclosure gully;	Field system (1.6.12)
Woodlands Roudabout	IA	Unid gully; Entrance; Post pit; Drainage ditch;; Posthole;	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
Woodlands Roudabout	IA	Ceremonial pit	Ritual deposition (3.5.1);
Woodlands Roudabout	IA	Quarry pit	Mineral workings and quarries (3.8.1);
Holm Hill Area B	IA	Field enclosure ditch;	Field system (1.6.12)
Boys Hall	IA	Unid gully; Unid scoop;; Unid slot	Unknown structures (2.1.5)
Boys Hall	IA	Boundary ditch;	Land division boundary (3.3.1)
Boys Hall	IA	Cremation pit	Funerary deposition (3.5.5);

Table 6: Roman

Site name	Period	Features	Land use pattern
Home Farm 7	ER	Pit	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4)
N of Brewer's Hill Farm	ER	Enclosure ditch; Field boundary ditch; Annexe Enclosure ditch; Post pit	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
N of Brewer's Hill Farm	ER	Pit;	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4)
Battlebridge Lane	ER	Drip ring gully; Enclosure ditch; Pit; Posthole; Annexe Enclosure ditch	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);

Site name	Period	Features	Land use pattern
Battlebridge Lane	ER	Boundary ditch	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
Birchfield Road	ER	Enclosure ditch; Enclosure Annexe ditch; Pit; Timber palisade; Post pit; Foundation ditch; Posthole;; Cremation pit; Drip ring gully	Defended Farmstead (2.1.3);
Birchfield Road	ER	Unid ditch	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
Birchfield Road	ER	Burial;	Funerary deposition (3.5.5);
Birchfield Road	ER	Droveway;	Trackway (3.9.9)
Pilgrims Way	ER	Midden;	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4);
Pilgrims Way	ER	Posthole; Unid ditch	Unknown structures (2.1.5);
Pilgrims Way	ER	ceremonial pit;	Ritual deposition (3.5.1)
Pilgrims Way	ER	Cremation pit;	Funerary deposition (3.5.5);
Rixons Gate Farm	ER	Stock enclosure boundary ditch	Stock enclosures (1.6.7)
Home Farm 5	ER	Unid ditch	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
Marsh Leys Farm	ER	Waterhole; Well;	Water collection (1.4.10);
Marsh Leys Farm	ER	Enclosure ditch; Pit; Posthole; Beam slot; Post pit; Midden; Floor; Enclosure ditch;	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
Marsh Leys Farm	ER	Boundary ditch;	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
Marsh Leys Farm	ER	Grave; Animal burial	Funerary deposition (3.5.5);
Marsh Leys Farm	ER	Extraction pit	Mineral workings and quarries (3.8.1);
Marsh Leys Farm	ER	Droveway;	Droveways (3.9.9);
Snarkhurst	ER	Enclosure ditch; Hall posthole; Posthole;	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
Snarkhurst	ER	Kiln	Domestic industrial activity (3.1.1);
Chapel Way	ER	Posthole	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
Chapel Way	ER	Ceremonial pit;	Ritual deposition (3.5.1)
Tring Hill	ER	Posthole; Pit	Single Farmstead (2.1.2)
Highbarns Road	ER	Enclosure ditch; Drip ring gully; Pit;Hearth	Defended Farmstead (2.1.3);
Highbarns Road	ER	Boundary ditch	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
Highbarns Road	ER	Burial;	Funerary deposition (3.5.5);
Broughton Barn	ER	Boundary ditch;	Field boundaries (1.6.11)
Broughton Barn	ER	Enclosure ditch; Pit; Hearth; Pit; Unid gully; Posthole	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
Beechbrook Wood	ER	Field boundary ditch;	Field system (1.6.12)
Beechbrook Wood	ER	Firepit; Posthole; Pit; Enclosure ditch	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
North Binfields Chineham	ER	-	Break in occupation (3.2.4)
Lower Icknield Way	ER	Terminal;	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
Lower Icknield Way	ER	Industrial pit	Industrial waste deposition (3.1.7);
Lower Icknield Way	ER	Boundary ditch;	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
Lower Icknield Way	ER	Cremation pit;	Funerary deposition (3.5.5);
Lower Icknield Way	ER	Quarry pit;	Mineral workings and quarries (3.8.1);
Lower Icknield Way	ER	Roadside ditch; Droveway;	Road (3.9.1);

Site name	Period	Features	Land use pattern
Boarley Farm	ER	Pit	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4);
Boarley Farm	ER	Posthole;	Unknown structures (2.1.5);
Boarley Farm	ER	Boundary ditch	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
Boarley Farm	ER	Animal burial;	Ritual deposition (3.5.1)
Shrubsoles	ER	Pit	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4)
Kingsnorth Power Station	ER	Unid ditch	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
HRI Littlehampton	ER	Enclosure ditch; Internal Enclosure ditch;	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
HRI Littlehampton	ER	Droveway	Droveways (3.9.10);
Site name	**Period**	**Features**	**Land use pattern**
Town Mead School	2-3 C	Alluvium	Standing Water (1.4.2);
Town Mead School	2-3 C	Unid ditch; Boundary ditch;	Field system (1.6.12)
Sipson Lane	2-3 C	Field enclosure ditch	Field system (1.6.12);
Sipson Lane	2-3 C	Enclosure ditch; Pit; Well; Middens; Drip ring gully;	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
Sipson Lane	2-3 C	Working surface	Domestic industry (3.1.1);
Sipson Lane	2-3 C	Cremation pit;	Funerary deposition (3.5.5);
Sipson Lane	2-3 C	Quarry pit	Mineral workings and quarryies (3.8.1)
Prospect Park	2-3 C	Pits;	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4);
Prospect Park	2-3 C	Cremation pit	Funerary deposition (3.5.5);
North of Saltwood Tunnel	2-3 C	Double ditched boundary;	Agricultural structures (1.6.6);
North of Saltwood Tunnel	2-3 C	Enclosure ditch; Pit; Posthole; Unid gully; Stone wall; Internal Enclosure ditch	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
North of Saltwood Tunnel	2-3 C	Corndryer;	Domestic industrial activity (3.1.1);
North of Saltwood Tunnel	2-3 C	Cremation;	Funerary deposition (3.5.5);
Monkston Park 2	2-3 C	Field enclosure gully;	Field system (1.6.12)
Monkston Park 2	2-3 C	Settlement Enclosure ditch;; Working surface; Posthole; Beam slot; Floor;	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
Monkston Park 2	2-3 C	Cremation pit;	Funerary deposition (3.5.5);
Monkston Park 2	2-3 C	Trackway; Hollowway	Trackway (3.9.9);
Wattisham	2-3 C	Beam slot; unid feature;	Agricultural structures (1.6.6)
Wattisham	2-3 C	Field enclosure ditch; Unid ditch	Field boundaries (1.6.11);
Tanholt	2-3 C	Field enclosure ditch;	Field system (1.6.12);
Tanholt	2-3 C	Boundary ditch; Pit; Enclosure ditch	Isolated domestic structure (2.1.1);
Tanholt	2-3 C	Trackway;	Roads (3.9.1)
North Binfields Chineham	2-3 C	Unid oval pit; Hearth; Well; Boundary ditch	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
Monkston Park 1	2-3 C	Enclosure ditch; Annexe enclosure ditch; Foundation pit; Quarry;	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
Monkston Park 1	2-3 C	Cremation pit;	Funerary deposition (3.5.5);
Mill Farm Quarry	2-3 C	Burial pit	Funerary deposition (3.5.5);

Site name	Period	Features	Land use pattern
Rixons Gate Farm	2-3 C	Field boundary ditch;	Stock enclosures (1.6.7);
Rixons Gate Farm	2-3 C	Foundation trench; Cess pits; Posthole;	Isolated domestic structure (2.1.1);
Rixons Gate Farm	2-3 C	Demolition debris	Clearance (3.2.5);
Rixons Gate Farm	2-3 C	Grave;	Funerary deposition (3.5.5);
HRI Littlehampton	2-3C	Field enclosure ditch;;	Field boundaries (1.6.11);
HRI Littlehampton	2-3C	Pit;Posthole; Stakehole;	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
HRI Littlehampton	2-3C	Kiln; Working surface;	Commercial industrial activity (3.1.2);
HRI Littlehampton	2-3C	Dump depsosits	Industrial waste disposal (3.1.7);
HRI Littlehampton	2-3C	Quarry pit	Mineral workings and quarries (3.8.1);
Highbarns Road	2-3C	-	No activity (3.2.6)
The Close	2-3C	Tree throw hole;	Woodland clearance (1.3.5);
The Close	2-3C	Pit;	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4);
The Close	2-3C	Enclosure ditch	Unknown structures (2.1.5)
The Close	2-3C	Boundary ditch;	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
Water End East	2-3C	Kiln; Corndryer;	Agricultural structures (1.6.6);
Water End East	2-3C	Enclosure ditch; Drip ring gully; Beam slot; Waterhole	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
Water End East	2-3C	Ceremonial pit	Ritual deposition (3.5.1);
Water End East	2-3C	Cremation pit; Inhumation burial;	Funerary deposition (3.5.5);
Water End East	2-3C	Roadside ditch;	Trackway (3.9.9)
N of Brewer's Hill Farm	2-3C	Field enclosure ditch	Field system (1.6.12)
N of Brewer's Hill Farm	2-3C	Boundary ditch; Terminal; Annexe enclosure; Unid gully; Unid ditch; Artefact scatter;	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
N of Brewer's Hill Farm	2-3C	Pit;	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4);
Ibis Hotel	2-3C	Boundary ditch	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
Marconi Site	2-3C	Unid guly	Field system (1.6.12)
Little Stock Farm	2-3C	Artefact scatter; Unid ditch;	Field system (1.6.12)
Chapel Way	2-3C	Boundary ditch; Unid gully	Field system (1.6.12);
Chapel Way	2-3C	Pit;	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4)
Beechbrook Wood	2-3C	Pits	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4)|
Beechbrook Wood	2-3C	Boundary ditch;	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
Snarkhurst	2-3C	Pit; Unid gully; Unid trench; Unid ditch	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4)
NW of Brewer's Hill Farm	2-3C	Terminal; Annexe; Unid ditch;; Unid gully;	Field system (1.6.12);
NW of Brewer's Hill Farm	2-3C	Pit	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4);
NW of Brewer's Hill Farm	2-3C	Boundary ditch;	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
Battlebridge Lane	2-3C	; Pit	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4)
Battlebridge Lane	2-3C	Boundary ditch	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
Birchfield Road	2-3C	Timber palisade; Post pit; Found	Defended Farmstead (2.1.3);

Site name	Period	Features	Land use pattern
		ditch; Posthole; Unid ditch; Drainage ditch;;	
Birchfield Road	2-3C	Boundary ditch	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
Birchfield Road	2-3C	Cremation pit	Funerary deposition (3.5.5);
Birchfield Road	2-3C	Droveway;	Trackway (3.9.9.);
Mersham	2-3C	Pit	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4)
Blind Lane	2-3C	Unid ditch; Posthole	Unknown structures (2.1.5);
Blind Lane	2-3C	Pottery dump;	Industrial waste disposal (3.1.7)
Parsonage Farm	2-3C	Colluvium	Colluvial layer build up (3.4.5)
Cliffs End Farm	2-3C	Quarry pit;	Mineral workings and quarries (3.8.1)
Kennel Farm	2-3C	Pit; Artefact scatter	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4)
Holm Hill area A	2-3C	Cremation pit	Funerary deposition (3.5.5);
Monkston Park 3	2-3C	Field enclosure ditch;	Field system (1.6.12)
Monkston Park 3	2-3C	Boundary ditch; Beam slot; Enclosure ditch; Pit; Posthole	Single farmstead (2.1.2);
Cobham Park Golf Course	2-3C	Drip ring gully;	Single farmstead (2.1.2);
White Horse Stone	2-3C	Boundary ditch;	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
Northumberland Bottom	2-3C	Well;	Water collection (1.4.10);
Northumberland Bottom	2-3C	Pit	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4);
Northumberland Bottom	2-3C	Kiln;	Domestic industrial activity (3.1.1);
Northumberland Bottom	2-3C	Burial;	Funerary deposition (3.5.5);
St Austell NE Distrib	2-3C	Pit	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4);
St Austell NE Distrib	2-3C	Enclosure ditch;	Unknown structures (2.1.5);
St Austell NE Distrib	2-3C	Boundary ditch	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
Roxton Road West	2-3C	Enclosure ditch; Drip ring gully; Posthole; Post pit; Pit	Single Farmstead (2.1.2)
Brisley 2	2-3C	Cremation;	Funerary deposition (3.5.5);
Brisley 2	2-3C	Furnace; Hearth; Boundary ditch;	Funerary structures (3.5.6);
Hurst Wood	2-3C	Pits	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4)
Cuxton	2-3C	Ploughsoil	Agricultural activity (1.6.8)
Buncefield Lane	2-3C	Field enclosure ditch;	Field system (1.6.12)
Buncefield Lane	2-3C	Unid ditch; Beam slot	Isolated domestic structure (2.1.1);
Wortham	2-3C	Posthole	Unknown structures (2.1.5)
Wortham	2-3C	Boundary ditch;	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
Wortham	2-3C	Pit;	Domestic industrial activity (3.1.1)
Woodlands Roudabout	2-3C	Extraction pit	Mineral workings and quarries (3.8.1)
Leavesden aerodrome	2-3C	Waterhole;	Water collection (1.4.10);
Leavesden aerodrome	2-3C	Corndryer;	Agricultural structures (1.6.6);
Leavesden aerodrome	2-3C	Industrial pit; Hearth;	Domestic industrial activities (3.1.1);

Site name	Period	Features	Land use pattern
Duncroft C	2-3C	Posthole; Unid gully	Single farmstead (2.1.2)
Duncroft C	2-3C	Boundary ditch;	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
Boys Hall	2-3C	Cremation pit	Funerary deposition (3.5.5);
Oakley	2-3C	Occupation layer; Unid ditch; Alluvium; Peat; Boundary ditch; Posthole; Floor;	Single farmstead (2.1.2);
Oakley	2-3C	Ironworking surface	Domestic industrial activity (3.1.1)
Oakley	2-3C	Roadside ditch;	Roads (3.9.1);
Lower Icknield Way	2-3C	Pit; Artefact scatter	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4)
Hurst Park	2-3C	Corndryer;	Agricultural structures (1.6.6);
Hurst Park	2-3C	Cremation pit	Funerary deposition (3.5.5);
West Malling C	2-3C	Road	Roads (3.9.1)
Brisley Farm 1	2-3C	Posthole, Hearth,	Isolated domestic structure (2.1.1);
Brisley Farm 1	2-3C	Pits,	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4);
Brisley Farm 1	2-3C	Hollowway	Trackway (3.9.9);
Brisley Farm 1	2-3C	Land boundary ditch	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
Holm Hill Area B	2-3C	Field enclosure ditch;	Field system (1.6.12);
Holm Hill Area B	2-3C	Trackway	Trackways (3.9.9)
Brisley 3	2-3C	Enclosure ditch; Pit; Posthole; Drip ring gully;	Single farmstead (2.1.2);
Brisley 3	2-3C	Furnace; Kiln; Ceremonial enclosure	Ritual structures (3.5.4);
Brisley 3	2-3C	Burial	Funerary deposition (3.5.5);
Duncroft D	2-3C	Unid ditch;	Activity (3.4.1)
Marsh Leys Farm	2-3C	*Enclosure ditch; Posthole;* Pit;	Single farmstead (2.1.2);
Marsh Leys Farm	2-3C	Boundary ditch;	*Land division boundary (3.3.1);*
Marsh Leys Farm	2-3C	Burial	Funerary deposition (3.5.5);
Marsh Leys Farm	2-3C	Droveway;	Droveways (3.9.10);
Kingsnorth Power Station	2-3C	Industrial pit; Post pit; Kiln	Domestic industrial activity (3.1.1)
82-90 Park Lane	2-3C	Ploughsoil; Pit	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4)
82-90 Park Lane	2-3C	Inhumation;	Funerary deposition (3.5.5);
Duncroft B	2-3C	Pit	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4)
Duncroft B	2-3C	Unid gully;	Unknown structures (2.1.5);
Site name	**Period**	**Features**	**Land use pattern**
Kingsnorth Power Station	LR	Deposit	No activity (3.2.6)
Monkston Park 1	LR	Annexe enclosure ditch; Beam slot; Corndryer; Enclosure ditch;	Agricultural structures (1.6.6);
Monkston Park 1	LR	Working surface;	Agricultural activity (1.6.8)
Monkston Park 1	LR	Extraction pit;	Mineral workings and quarries (3.8.1);
Chapel Way	LR	Pit	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4)
Oakley	LR	Ploughsoil	Field crop (1.6.1)
Oakley	LR	Dark earth;	Dark earth layer build up (3.4.8)
Marsh Leys Farm	LR	Pond;	Water collection (1.4.10);
Marsh Leys Farm	LR	Enclosure ditch; Pit;	Stock enclosures (1.6.7)
Marsh Leys Farm	LR	Quarry pit	Mineral workings and quarries

Site name	Period	Features	Land use pattern
			(3.8.1)
Broughton Barn	LR	Unid ditch	Field boundaries (1.6.11)
Lower Icknield Way	LR	Waterhole; Well;	Water collection (1.4.10)
Lower Icknield Way	LR	Pit; Floor	Unknown structures (2.1.5);
Lower Icknield Way	LR	Unid ditch;	Land division boundaries (3.3.1);
Lower Icknield Way	LR	Roadside ditch	Road (3.9.1)
Water End East	LR	Enclosure ditch; Unid gully; Building foundation raft; Kiln	Domestic industrial activity (3.1.1);
Water End East	LR	Roadside ditch;	Trackways (3.9.9)
Battlebridge Lane	LR	Pit	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4)
Birchfield Road	LR	Timber palisade; Post pit; Foundation ditch; Posthole; Unid ditch; Drainage ditch;	Defended Farmstead (2.1.3);
Birchfield Road	LR	Boundary ditch;	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
Birchfield Road	LR	Cremation pit;	Funerary deposition (3.5.5);
Birchfield Road	LR	Droveway;	Trackways (3.9.9);
Sipson Lane	LR	Pit; enclosure ditch; Middens; Muckspread	Isolated domestic structure (2.1.1);
Sipson Lane	LR	Unid ditch	Land division boundary (3.3.1);;
Roxton Road West	LR	-	No activity (3.2.6)
Rixons Gate Farm	LR	-	Running Water (1.4.3)
West Waste,	Lsax	-	Moor (1.3.6)

Table 7: Saxon

Site name	Period	Features	Land use pattern
Battlebridge Lane	Sax	Pit	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4)
St Austell NE Distrib	Sax	Bank	Field system (1.6.12)
HRI Littlehampton	Sax	-	Break in occupation (3.2.4)
Kingsnorth Power Station	Sax	Unid gully;	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4)
Kingsnorth Power Station	Sax	Posthole; Pit;	Unknown structures (2.1.5);
Kingsnorth Power Station	Sax	Unid ditch	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
Kingsnorth Power Station	Sax	Droveway;	Trackways (3.9.9);
Andover Area 6	Sax	Pit	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4)
Water End East	Sax	Waterhole	Water collection (1.4.10)
Duncroft C	Sax	Posthole of Post-built building	Single farmstead (2.1.2);
Duncroft C	Sax	Boundary ditch;	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
Duncroft D	Sax	Pit	Single farmstead (2.1.2);
Duncroft D	Sax	Unid ditch;	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
Cliffs End Farm	Sax	Pit; Unid ditch; Simple inhumation; Ceremonial pit	Funerary deposition (3.5.5);
Mersham	Sax	Unid ditch; Midden; Water storage pit; Cess pits; Posthole; Drainage ditch; Beam slot; Pit; Post pit	Single farmstead (2.1.2);
Mersham	Sax	Foundation gully;	Unknown structures (2.1.5)

Site name	Period	Features	Land use pattern
Mersham	Sax	Industrial pit;	Domestic industrial activity (3.1.1);
Mersham	Sax	Boundary ditch;	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
West Malling E2	Sax	Pit; Posthole; Post pit; Posthole of Sunken Featured building	Single farmstead (2.1.2);
West Malling E2	Sax	Boundary ditch;	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
West Malling C	Sax	Stream revetment	Stream revetment (1.4.9)
Cuxton	Sax	Pennanular ditched inhumation; Posthole inhumation; Ledged inhumation; Simple inhumation; Cremation pit;	Funerary deposition (3.5.5);
Cuxton	Sax	Hearth	Funerary structures (3.5.6)
Shrubsoles	Sax	Pit; Unid gully; Posthole; Field enclosure ditch;	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
Shrubsoles	Sax	Fired clay spread; Hearth	Domestic industrial activity (3.1.1);
Oakley	Sax	Causeway	Causeway (3.9.13)
Riverdene	Sax	Unid feature; Hearth pit; Posthole;; Sunken Featured Building posthole; Unid gully	Single farmstead (2.1.2);
Riverdene	Sax	Pit	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4)
Sipson Lane	Sax	Pit	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4)
Sipson Lane	Sax		Derelict Land (3.2.2);
Copdock	Sax	Pit; enclosure ditch; Posthole of unknown structure	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
Copdock	Sax	Boundary ditch	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
Tring Hill	Sax	Grave	Funerary deposition (3.5.5);
Hurst Park	Sax	Corndryer	Agricultural structures (1.6.6)
Hurst Park	Sax	Post pit; Pit; Posthole;	Single farmstead (2.1.2);
Hurst Park	Sax	Boundary ditch;	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
Wortham	Sax	Pit;	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4)
Wortham	Sax	Unid ditch	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
Innova Business Park	Sax	Pit	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4)
82-90 Park Lane	Sax	Boundary ditch;	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
82-90 Park Lane	Sax	Pit; Posthole; Cut	Ritual structures (3.5.4);
82-90 Park Lane	Sax	Cremation pit; Inhumation; Grave;	Funerary deposition (3.5.5);
Prospect Park	Sax	Post pit of hall; Post pit of Sunken Featured Building; Enclosure ditch;	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
Prospect Park	Sax	Pit;	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4);
North of Saltwood Tunnel	Sax	Hollowway;Posthole; Foundation trench;	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
North of Saltwood Tunnel	Sax	Industrial pits;Kiln in SFB	Domestic industrial activity (3.1.1);
North of Saltwood Tunnel	Sax	Land division boundary	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
Lower Icknield Way	Sax	Pit;Unid gully	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4)
Lower Icknield Way	Sax	Unid scoop	Unknown structures (2.1.5);
Lower Icknield Way	Sax	Unid ditch;	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
West Waste,	Sax	-	Moor (1.3.6)

Site name	Period	Features	Land use pattern
Town Mead School	Sax	-	Agricultural Activity (1.6.8)
Duncroft B	Sax	Pit;	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4)
Duncroft B	Sax	Posthole; Unid gully	Unknown structures (2.1.5);
Lower Icknield Way	Saxo-N		Agricultural Activity (1.6.8)
Parsonage Farm	SN	Watermill race channel	Watermill Race Channe (1.4.11)l
St Austell NE Distrib	SN		Agricultural Activity (1.6.8)
North of Saltwood Tunnel	SN	Posthole; Foundation trench;	Unknown structures (2.1.5);
North of Saltwood Tunnel	SN	Boundary ditch;	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
Town Mead School	SN	-	Agricultural Activity (1.6.8)
A24 Ashington Bypass	SN	Floor; Posthole; External floor	Unknown structures (2.1.5);
A24 Ashington Bypass	SN	Boundary ditch;	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
West Waste,	SN	-	Moor (1.3.6)
Tanholt	S-N	-	Agricultural Activity (1.6.8)

Table 8: 12-14th Century

Site name	Period	Features	Land use pattern
Tanholt	12-14 C	Field enclosure ditch	Field system (1.6.12)
Wattisham	12-14 C	Unid ditch; Posthole;	Agricultural structures (1.6.6);
Wattisham	12-14 C	Pit	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4);
Wattisham	12-14 C	Working surface	Domestic industry (3.1.1)
Wattisham	12-14 C	Boundary ditch;	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
Wattisham	12-14 C	Trackway;	Roads (3.9.1);
Town Mead School	12-14 C	-	Agricultural Activity (1.6.8)
Mill Farm Quarry	12-14 C	Plough furrow; Boundary ditch	Field system (1.6.12)
West Waste,	12-14 C	-	Moor (1.3.6)
Leavesden aerodrome	12-14 C	Quarry pit;	Mineral workings and quarries (3.8.1)
Westwood Cross	12-14 C	Quarry pit	Mineral workings and quarries (3.8.1)
Sipson Lane	12-14 C	Field enclosure ditch;	Field system (1.6.12)
N of Great Barford	12-14C	Field enclosure ditch	Field system (1.6.12)
N of Great Barford	12-14C	Post pit; Pit; Enclosure ditch; Extraction pit; Hearth; Posthole;	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
Walsingham School	12-14C	Unid gully; Stakehole;	Agricultural activity (1.6.8)
Walsingham School	12-14C	Unid ditch;	Field system (1.6.12)
Walsingham School	12-14C	Hearth; Pit; Stone wall; Foundation ditch; Buillding foundation raft;	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
Walsingham School	12-14C	Burnt spread;	Clearance (3.2.5);
Blind Lane	12-14C	Posthole	Field system (1.6.12)
Loxwood Place Farm	12-14C	Pit;	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4);
Loxwood Place Farm	12-14C	Posthole	Unknown structures (2.1.5)
Loxwood Place Farm	12-14C	Industrial pit;	Industrial waste disposal (3.1.7);
A24 Ashington	12-14C	Robber trench;	Clearance (3.2.5)

Site name	Period	Features	Land use pattern
Bypass			
A24 Ashington Bypass	12-14C	Boundary ditch	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
A24 Ashington Bypass	12-14C	Quarry pits	Mineral workings and quarries; (3.8.1);
A24 Ashington Bypass	12-14C	Posthole; Drainage ditch	Unknown structures (2.1.5)
North Binfields	12-14C	Drainage ditch	Agricultural activity (1.6.8);
North Binfields	12-14C	Boundary ditch;	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
North Binfields	12-14C	Hollowway	Trackways (3.9.9)
Pilgrims Way	12-14C	Corndryer	Agricultural structures (1.6.6)
Pilgrims Way	12-14C	Trackway;	Roads (3.9.1);
Snarkhurst	12-14C	Unid gully; Pit	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4)
Parsonage Farm	12-14C	Moat;	Moat (1.4.8)
Parsonage Farm	12-14C	Stream revetment;	Stream revetment (1.4.9);
Parsonage Farm	12-14C	Enclosure ditch; Pit; Foundation trench; Hearth; Yard; Posthole; Building foundation raft; Stakehole; Drainage ditch; Middens; Causeway	High status countryside residence (2..9);
Roxton Road West	12-14C	Plough furrow	Agricultural activity (1.6.8)
Roxton Road West	12-14C	Trackway	Trackways (3.9.9);
82-90 Park Lane	12-14C	Pit	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4)
Marsh Leys Farm	12-14C	Plough furrow	Agricultural activity (1.6.8)
Boys Hall	12-14C	Pit;	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4)
Boys Hall	12-14C	Boundary ditch	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
Lower Icknield Way	12-14C	Plough furrow	Agricultural activity (1.6.8)
Highbarns Road	12-14C	Plough furrow	Agricultural activity (1.6.8)
Birchfield Road	12-14C	Drainage ditch;Artefact scatter	Field system (1.6.12)
Birchfield Road	12-14C	Droveway;	Droveway (3.9.10);
NW of Great Barford	12-14C	Post pit; Beam slot; Posthole; Drainage ditch; Stakehole; Floor; Annexe enclosure ditch;	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
NW of Great Barford	12-14C	Industrial pit;	Domestic industrial acitivity (3.1.1);
NW of Great Barford	12-14C	Unid ditch	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
Chapel Way	12-14C	Kiln	Agricultural structures (1.6.6);
Chapel Way	12-14C	Pit	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4);
Chapel Way	12-14C	Boundary ditch	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
N of Brewer's Hill Farm	12-14C	Plough furrow; Pits	Agricultural activity (1.6.8)
The Close	12-14C	Pit;	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4)
The Close	12-14C	Boundary ditch;	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
The Close	12-14C	Droveway	Droveways (3.9.10);
Water End East	12-14C	Plough furrow;	Agricultural activity (1.6.8);
Water End East	12-14C	Boundary ditch;	Land division boundary (3.3.1);;
Buncefield Lane	12-14C	Plough furrow	Agricultural activity (1.6.8)
Tring Hill	12-14C	Post pit	Agricultural activity (1.6.8)
Warren Farm	12-14C	Unid ditch	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
West Malling B	12-14C	Bound ditch;	Field system (1.6.12);

Site name	Period	Features	Land use pattern
West Malling B	12-14C	Pit	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4);
West Malling B	12-14C	Unid gully; Posthole; Fireplace;	Unknown structures (2.1.5);
West Malling B	12-14C	Hearth;	Domestic industrial activity (3.1.1)
Mersham	12-14C	Pit;	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4);
Mersham	12-14C	Foundation trench; Post pit; Posthole; Pit	Unknown structures (2.1.5)
Mersham	12-14C	Boundary ditch	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
West Malling E2	12-14C	Pit	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4);
Little Stock Farm	12-14C	Field enclosure ditch; Artefact scatter	Field system (1.6.12);
Little Stock Farm	12-14C	Hearth; Drainage ditch; Pit; Foundation trench;	Single Farmstead (2.1.2);
Little Stock Farm	12-14C	Quarry pits;	Mineral workings and quarries (3.8.1);
Duncroft D	12-14C	Posthole;	Unknown structures (2.1.5);
Duncroft D	12-14C	Boundary ditch	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
West Malling E1	12-14C	Pit;	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4);
West Malling E1	12-14C	Boundary ditch; Unid ditch; Posthole fenceline	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
Brisley Farm 1	12-14C	Land boundary ditch,	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
Brisley Farm 1	12-14C	Trackway,	Trackways (3.9.9)
St Austell NE Distrib	12-14C		Agricultural Activity (1.6.8)
Duncroft C	12-14C	Unid gully; Pit; Posthole of Post-built building	Unknown structures (2.1.5)
Cobham Park Golf Course	12-14C	Ploughsoil	Agricultural activity (1.6.8)
Northumberland Bottom	12-14C	Enclosure ditch; Posthole; Pit;	Unknown structures (2.1.5);
Northumberland Bottom	12-14C	Kiln;	Domestic industrial activity (3.1.1)
Northumberland Bottom	12-14C	Boundary ditch;	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
Netherne on the hill	12-14C	Unid ditch;; Unid gully; Pit; Posthole of Post-built building; Floor	Unknown structures (2.1.5);
Netherne on the hill	12-14C	Land boundary ditch	Land division boundary (3.3.1);
Cliffs End Farm	12-14C	Tree throw hole	Woodland clearance (1.2.5)
Cliffs End Farm	12-14C	Pit;	Domestic waste disposal (2.1.4);

Appendix 9

Local Past Landscape Use Patterns Model from the Case Study

Appendix 9: Local Past Landscape Use Patterns Model from the Case Study

Site	Paleo	Meso	Neo	BA	IA	R	Sax	Med	16th C	17th C	18th C	19th C	present
Roxton						Si No ac		Agric. transl					Agric.
High Barns				Watc Waste	Def LDB Funerary.	No ac		Agric.					
N of Gt Barford							Single farmstd	fldsys					
Birch field					Sing fldsys	Def Funer LDB transl		fldsys transl					Agric.
NW Gt Barford								Sing indust LDB					
NW of Brewers				Wood	waste fldsys unkstr			Agric.					Agric.
N of Brewers					waste fldsys unkstr	Sing		Agri					

Site	Paleo	Meso	Neo	BA	IA	R	Sax	Med	16th C	17th C	18th C	19th C	present
Marsh Leys		Activity	Activity.	Unkstru	Single farmstd; transl; min	LDB		Agric					Agric
Little Marlow				Waste; Ritual; LDB								Agriculture	
Brough ton				Unk; Funera	Sing	Fld bounds					Agriculture		
Tring Hill					Sing farmst		Funera	Agric					
LIW				Single farmstead	F; transl; min	Unk; Waste							
Wood lands				Single farmstead; Ritual.	Quarry						Agriculture		
Monks 1					Single farmstead	min; Fun							

Site	Paleo	Meso	Neo	BA	IA	R	Sax	Med	16th C	17th C	18th C	19th C	present
Monks 2		Activi			Singfarm Funerary	transl fldsys							
Monks 3		Settle			Unkn Funer	Singfar							
Mill Farm			Funerary and ritual		Def Stock e			Field system					
St Aust				Unk LDB Ritual	Ag str fldsys	dwd LDB Unk		fldsys			Quarrying		
Prospect Park				Isdom funrit fldsys	Waste	Single Fun						Quarr Agriculture	
Town Mead				Ritual	waste transl Standing water	fldsys		fldsys			Moor	Agriculture	

Site	Paleo	Meso	Neo	BA	IA	R	Sax	Med	16th C	17th C	18th C	19th C	present
Sipson			Ritual Waste	Funerary Agric	Single farmstd	min fldsys	Waste Derelict	fldsys	Agriculture				
Leaves den					Unk structs min LDB	ind water		Quarry					
Tanholt				Isol dom struct LDB		road Field system							
Ibis			Isolated dom LDB		Sing f								
Park Lane				LDB		Funerary Wast	LDB	Was					
Progress Way			Settle Waste Woodla	LDB									
Wals school								Single clear Field					

Site	Paleo	Meso	Neo	BA	IA	R	Sax	Med	16th C	17th C	18th C	19th C	present
Innova				Unkst LDB Waste Wood			Waste						
Queen Mary Hosptl	River			Activit Waste									
Kennel Farm				Isol dom struct Fune	agri	Waste							
Harvest				Single farmstead Funerary Industry									
River dene				Waste			Single						
N Bin fields				LDB	Single farmstead rut			LDB transl Agriculture					
Chine ham lane			Singe farmstead										

Site	Paleo	Meso	Neo	BA	IA	R	Sax	Med	16th C	17th C	18th C	19th C	present
Bunce field				Isol dom struct		Fldsy		Agriculture	Quarrvi				Agric
West wood Cross				Single farmstd Trans Field system				Quarrvi				Quarrvi	
Parson age					Unk	Colluv	Mill race	High Moat			Agriculture		
Cuxton				Funerar	sfm	Agr	Funer						
Cobham				Single farmstead fldsys				Agric			Agriculture		Leisur
Blind Lane				Activi Single farmstead Field system		Unk Indust		Agric					
Mersham							sfm Wast Indust LDB	Unk Wast					

Site	Paleo	Meso	Neo	BA	IA	R	Sax	Med	16th C	17th C	18th C	19th C	present
Brisley 1					funerary Transport LDB	Isol		Transpo LDB					
Brisley 2						Funer							
Brisley 3				Single farmstead	water	Funer							
Brisley 4				Transpo Waste Fldsys	LDB Trans	Sett Fune		Agric					
Brisley 6				Waste Transport Field system									
Brisley 7					sinfm Trans flsys			Agric					
Thanet 7					sinfm Isol flsys								

Site	Paleo	Meso	Neo	BA	IA	R	Sax	Med	16th C	17th C	18th C	19th C	present
Thanet 8				Isol dom struct Transport									
Kings north				LDB Transpo Funerary	Sing fmstd	No acti	Unk str LDB Trans Waste						
Saltwood					Single farmstead Trans min	Industrial Funer	LDB						
WHS				Single fmstd Funerary	Industri	LDB							Agric
Pilgrims Way				LDB unkstructs Funerary	Waste			Trans Agric					
Boarley Farm					Unk struct Ritual Waste LDB								Agric

Site	Paleo	Meso	Neo	BA	IA	R	Sax	Med	16th C	17th C	18th C	19th C	present
Little Stock				Fldsy	Sing fm Trans Funer	Fldsy		Sing Quarr Fldsy					
Sandway		Activity Waste		Fldsy									
Holm Hill A				Field system		Funer							
Holm Hill B				Field system		Trans							
Chapel Mill				Ritual	Waste Funer flsys								
Shrub soles				Sfarmst Fldsy Wood Funer	Was		Sfarmst Industri	agric					

Site	Paleo	Meso	Neo	BA	IA	R	Sax	Med	16th C	17th C	18th C	19th C	present
Boys Hall					Funerary Unk LDB			Waste LDB					
Hurst Wood				Fldsys Single farmstead		Waste							
Beech brook				Funer	Sing Fldsys	Was LD							Agric
Nthmbld Bottom				Waste Funerary a LDB	Single farmstead LDB ind Transport			LDB ind Unk					
Copdock							Single LDB						
Wattishm				Activi Isdom		Agric		Waste ind Transp LD					Agric
Wortham						ind Unk ldb	Was						

Site	Paleo	Meso	Neo	BA	IA	R	Sax	Med	16th C	17th C	18th C	19th C	present
Palgrave					LDB								
Oakley					Single farm	Tr In D E	Tra						
Hurst park			Waste Wood	Sfarmst Funerar LDB		Funer	Sfar LDB	Agricultural					
Home Farm 7				Isdom Funerar Fldsys		W							Quarry
Home Farm 6b			Isol dom struct Funerary Waste	Watc									
The Close			Activity	S fm LDB Agric	Indust	Unk Waste LDB Wood		Trans LDB					

Site	Paleo	Meso	Neo	BA	IA	R	Sax	Med	16th C	17th C	18th C	19th C	present
Marconi				Is dom Ritual Watc		Fld							
Chapel Way					Single far	Was Rit Fld		Waste LDB Agric					
Netherne		Waste	LDB					Unk LDB					
Battle bridge		Activity			Single far LDB		Waste						
Warren Farm				Waste	LDB Unk			LDB					
Home Farm 5and 6a			LDB Waste	Watc Is dom Funer		L							

Site	Paleo	Meso	Neo	BA	IA	R	Sax	Med	16th C	17th C	18th C	19th C	present
Home farm 4			Unknown struct Waste Funerary LDB										
Home Farm 1				Funer Unk Str	Waste								
HRI Littlhmptn				Funer Unk Str	Waste Fld bounds	Tr Sing Industry min	Bre ak in				Agricultural		
Loxwood								Unk Str Waste Industry					
A24 Ash				Unk Str Waste			Unk LDB	min D is					
Rixons					Isol dom str Stock Funer clear	w						Agric	

Site	Paleo	Meso	Neo	BA	IA	R	Sax	Med	16th C	17th C	18th C	19th C	present
West Waste		Settle Waste		IsoDom			Moor					Agric	
Cliffs End Farm				Transp LDB FunRit	Agric	Quarry	Fun	Wood Waste					
WEE					Single farmstd Funerary ind Transp Agric		Watc	LDB Agric					
West Mall E2					Single Funer		Single LD	Waste					

Site	Paleo	Meso	Neo	BA	IA	R	Sax	Med	16th C	17th C	18th C	19th C	present
West Mall A				Waste	Sing fldsys								
Andover Area 6				Funer	Unk		Waste						Agric.
Stickfast				Waste disposal									
Snark hurst			Settle Waste		Sing fmstd Waste Industry			Waste					
West Mall B1								Unk Industl Waste fldsys					
West Mall C						Transport							
Duncroft D				Activ		Activ	Sing LDB	Unk					

Site	Paleo	Meso	Neo	BA	IA	R	Sax	Med	16th C	17th C	18th C	19th C	present
Duncroft C				Funer	Waste	Sing frm		Unk					
				LDB									
Church Road					fldsys								
Duncroft b						Unk structs							
						Waste disposal							