

## South West Region

## SOMERSET

**Mendip**

2/908 (C.40.F030)

ST 50053903

**31 HIGH STREET & 41 ARCHERS WAY, GLASTONBURY***An Archaeological Investigation at 31 High Street and 41 Archers Way, Glastonbury*

Hollinrake, C &amp; Hollinrake, N Glastonbury : C &amp; N Hollinrake, 2002, 49pp, figs, tabs

*Work undertaken by:* C & N Hollinrake

The evaluation recovered evidence for Roman frequentation of the area, 12th and 13th century occupation and property boundaries from the 13th century onwards. The evaluation concluded that the eastern part of the High Street was probably divided into tenements during the 13th century. [Au(abr)]

*Archaeological periods represented:* MD, RO

2/909 (C.40.F036)

ST 49663892

**ABBOTS COURT, GLASTONBURY***An Archaeological Evaluation of Land at Abbots Court, Benedict Street, Glastonbury*

Leach, P Pilton : Peter Leach Archaeological Consultant, 2002, 9pp, figs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* Peter Leach Archaeological Consultant

A series of deposits from the 18th-20th century were recorded. However, no remains of archaeological significance were recovered. [Au(abr)]

2/910 (C.40.F002)

ST 70355085

**BABINGTON HOUSE, KILMERSDON***Babington House, Kilmersdon, Somerset. An Archaeological Evaluation*

Bath Archaeological Trust Bath : Bath Archaeological Trust, 2002, 37pp, figs, tabs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* Bath Archaeological Trust

Very few archaeological deposits were found, except for a post-medieval sequence in test-pit 1, and a quantity of pottery and tile. However, it was thought that this may have pointed to Roman and medieval settlement, and as a result it was suggested that further work should be monitored. [Au(adp)]

*Archaeological periods represented:* MD, PM, RO

2/911 (C.40.F021)

ST 59074442

**CHURCH OF ST MARY THE VIRGIN, CROSCOMBE***Croscombe, Church of St Mary the Virgin. An Archaeological Evaluation*

Broomhead, R A Croscombe : R A Broomhead, 2002, 8pp, colour pls, figs, tabs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* R A Broomhead

The evaluation revealed a number of unmarked graves, as well as in-situ human remains. The depth of the remains necessitated a new design for the proposed extension to the church. A subsequent watching brief revealed no archaeological features. [Au(abr)]

*Archaeological periods represented:* UD

*South West Region*

2/912 (C.40.F016)

ST 71553943

**HIGH WINDS, UPTON NOBLE**

*High Winds, Upton Noble, Somerset. Archaeological Evaluation*

Corney, M Warminster : ASI Heritage Consultants, 2002, 11pp, figs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* ASI Heritage Consultants

No archaeological remains were observed. [Au(abr)]

2/913 (C.40.F020)

ST 77624784

**KNOLL HOUSE, BATH STREET, FROME**

*A Proposed Residential Development on Land Adjacent to Knoll House, Bath Street, Frome, Somerset*

Valentin, J & Adam, N Hindon : AC archaeology, 2002, 9pp, figs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* AC archaeology

The evaluation identified a single probable drainage ditch of later medieval date, as well as a probable modern retaining wall. It was thought unlikely that evidence for more extensive settlement would be present. [Au(abr)]

*Archaeological periods represented:* MD, MO

2/914 (C.40.F131)

ST 43454228

**LAND AT WESTHAY**

*Land at Westhay, Near Glastonbury, Somerset. Archaeological Evaluation*

Colls, K Cirencester : Cotswold Archaeological Trust, 2002, 12pp, figs, tabs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* Cotswold Archaeological Trust

Two trenches were excavated across the development area in advance of new housing. The only archaeological remains to be located comprised one gully containing a single sherd of post-medieval pottery. [Au(abr)]

*Archaeological periods represented:* PM

2/915 (C.40.F130)

ST 43474225

**LAND AT WESTHAY II**

*Land at Westhay II, Near Glastonbury, Somerset. Archaeological Evaluation*

Colls, K Cirencester : Cotswold Archaeological Trust, 2002, 13pp, figs, tabs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* Cotswold Archaeological Trust

Five evaluation trenches were excavated across the area. Post medieval features including gullies, a post hole and a ditch were recorded. Apart from these, no archaeological remains were noted. [Au(abr)]

*Archaeological periods represented:* PM

## South West Region

2/916 (C.40.F032)

ST 47503650

**PROJECT WESTWAY, STREET*****Project Westway, Street, Somerset. Archaeological Evaluation***

Cotswold Archaeology Cirencester : Cotswold Archaeological Trust, 2002, 23pp, figs, tabs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* Cotswold Archaeological Trust

A small number of archaeological features were encountered, including boundary ditches and a cobbled surface. The majority of these features were found to be the result of post-medieval and modern agricultural activity. A pit and a post hole were also found, although no dating evidence was recovered from these features. [Au(abr)]

*Archaeological periods represented:* MO, PM, UD

2/917 (C.40.F035)

ST 49703913

**SEDGEMOOR WAY, GLASTONBURY*****Sedgemoor Way, Glastonbury. An Archaeological Evaluation***

Broomhead, R A Croscombe : R A Broomhead, 2002, 10pp, colour pls, figs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* R A Broomhead

The evaluation was carried out on land that was previously occupied by a gasworks. The size and extent of this previous development was thought to mitigate against the survival of any pre-19th century archaeology. Although the structures were thought to be of interest with regard to the industrial archaeology of the area. The degree of contamination on the site precluded the value of any further work. [Au(abr)]

2/918 (C.40.F023)

ST 62004310

**SHEPTON MALLET SHOWGROUND*****An Archaeological Evaluation of Land at Cannards Grave Road, Shepton Mallet***

Leach, P Pilton : Peter Leach Archaeological Consultant, 2002, 7pp, figs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* Peter Leach Archaeological Consultant

No archaeological remains were present at the site. [Au(abr)]

2/919 (C.40.F031)

ST 52504240

**SOUTHERN LAND, FIELD FARM, SHEPTON MALLET*****An Archaeological Evaluation of Land to the South of Field Farm, Shepton Mallet, Somerset***

Leach, P Pilton : Peter Leach Archaeological Consultant, 2002, 19pp, figs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* Peter Leach Archaeological Consultant

The evaluation was designed to investigate anomalies identified through an earlier geophysical survey. Though some of these anomalies were shown to be anthropogenic and prehistoric in origin, most of the site was undisturbed limestone bedrock. Further investigation was recommended. [Au(abr)]

*Archaeological periods represented:* PM, PR

## South West Region

2/920 (C.40.F034)

ST 61594373

**THE ANGLO TRADING ESTATE, SHEPTON MALLET*****An Archaeological Evaluation for a Proposed Development at the Anglo Trading Estate, Shepton Mallet, Somerset***

Cottrell, T &amp; Robinson, S Hindon : AC archaeology, 2002, 19pp, figs, tabs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* AC archaeology

The evaluation was carried out in advance of a new development. Five Roman kilns had been found on the site since 1864 on the site of the brewery, itself a Grade II Listed Building. Trenches at the site recorded 19th and 20th century disturbance, including quarry pits associated with the building of the brewery. The site had been extensively levelled, which may account for the lack of kiln features. Finds of Roman pottery were abundant. [Au(abr)]

*Archaeological periods represented:* PM, RO

2/921 (C.40.F017)

ST 78304880

**THE CHEESE SHOWFIELD GROUND, FROME*****The Cheese Showfield Ground, Frome. Results of Archaeological Trench Evaluation***

Adam, N Hindon : AC archaeology, 2002, 12pp, fig, tabs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* AC archaeology

The evaluation concentrated on potential archaeological features identified in an earlier geophysical survey. A series of post-medieval field drains, a modern rubbish pit and two identified features were recovered. Overall, the site's potential was low. [Au(abr)]

*Archaeological periods represented:* MO, PM, UD**Sedgemoor**

2/922 (C.40.F024)

ST 29703720

**CASTLE MOAT, BRIDGWATER*****An Archaeological Evaluation at Castle Moat, Bridgewater, Somerset***

Leach, P Pilton : Peter Leach Archaeological Consultant, 2002, 11pp, figs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* Peter Leach Archaeological Consultant

Ground water levels restricted the evaluation to those of 19th-20th century origin. These deposits sealed the western arm of Bridgewater Castle, built in the 13th century. It was thought unlikely that this development would impact upon archaeological remains. [Au(abr)]

2/923 (C.40.F003)

SP 32304150

**CULVERHAY DAIRY, WOOLAVINGTON ROAD, PURITON*****Culverhay Dairy, Woolavington Farm, Puriton, Somerset***

Williams, J Cirencester : Cotswold Archaeological Trust, 2002, 18pp, figs, tabs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* Cotswold Archaeological Trust

Medieval activity in the form of perpendicular gullies and ditches was revealed throughout the northern extent of the site. Two earthworks, interpreted as post-medieval platforms, were identified along the eastern boundary. [Au]

*South West Region*

*Archaeological periods represented: MD, PM*

2/924 (C.40.F001)

ST 25403950

**FORMER IRVINES GARAGE, 37 HIGH STREET, CANNINGTON**

***Former Irvine's Garage, 37 High Street, Cannington***

Armour-Chelu, R Bath : Bath Archaeological Trust, 2002, 16pp, pls, figs, tabs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* Bath Archaeological Trust

The evaluation revealed no archaeological features except a former garden soil and a pit associated with the former filling station site. [Au(abr)]

*Archaeological periods represented: MO, PM*

2/925 (C.40.F025)

ST 41253630

**LAND AT COATES FARM, GREINTON**

***Coates Farm, Greinton, Somerset. Archaeological Evaluation***

Young, D E Y Bridtol : Avon Archaeological Unit, 2002, 42pp, colour pls, figs, tabs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* Avon Archaeological Unit

Several negative Roman features, including intercutting gullies and a boundary ditch, were encountered. Part of two articulated dog skeletons were recovered from the ditch, as well as pottery dating it to the 2nd-3rd centuries at the earliest. A moderate finds assemblage was recovered, comprising mainly pottery and animal bone. Part of a medieval ditch was also noted. [Au(adp)]

*Archaeological periods represented: MD, RO*

2/926 (C.40.F018)

ST 32275239

ST 32275279

**MIDDLE STREET LANE, BRENT KNOLL**

***Middle Street Lane, Brent Knoll. An Archaeological Evaluation***

Broomhead, R A Croscombe : R A Broomhead, 2002, 11pp, colour pls, figs, tabs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* R A Broomhead

Despite the presence of substantial adjacent archaeology, the area to be used for woodland plantation was deemed to be archaeologically sterile. [Au(abr)]

2/927 (C.40.F004)

ST 33305111

**ORCHARD HOUSE RESIDENTIAL HOME, BRENT KNOLL**

***Orchard House Residential Home, 132 Brent Street, Brent Knoll, Somerset. Archaeological Evaluation***

Evans, D Cirencester : Cotswold Archaeological Trust, 2002, 11pp, figs, tabs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* Cotswold Archaeological Trust

Below the modern structural remains of the building, a medieval and a post-medieval post hole were unearthed. No other remains were recovered. [Au(abr)]

*Archaeological periods represented: MD, PM*

## South West Region

2/928 (C.40.F033)

ST 34485182

**ROSSHOLME SCHOOL, EAST BRENT***Rossholme School, East Brent. An Archaeological Evaluation*

Broomhead, R A    Croscombe : R A Broomhead, 2002, 18pp, colour pls, figs, tabs

*Work undertaken by:* R A Broomhead

A desk-based assessment had revealed that Iron Age, Roman and medieval finds had been found in the area, although not at the site itself. The evaluation discovered the presence of several Iron Age ditches and gullies underlying parts of the proposed development, with hints of nearby occupation and indications of continued use into the Roman period. Medieval activity was also observed, and was noted to be responsible for the destruction of some of the earlier archaeology. [Au(abr)]

*Archaeological periods represented:* IA, MD, RO**South Somerset**

2/929 (C.40.F008)

ST 52751595

**ALVINGTON FARM, YEOVIL***Alvington Farm, Yeovil, Somerset*

Adam, N &amp; Valentin, J    Hindon : AC archaeology, 2002, 8pp, figs, tabs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* AC archaeology

Trench 1, in the western portion, revealed deep redeposited soils, which sealed post-medieval or later features. Very little was revealed in Trench 2. No finds were recorded. [Au(adp)]

*Archaeological periods represented:* PM

2/930 (C.40.F009)

ST 70802290

**BLACKMORE VALE NURSERIES, TEMPLECOMBE***An Archaeological Evaluation at Blackmore Vale Nurseries, Templecombe, Somerset*

Laidlaw, M    Hindon : AC archaeology, 2002, 5pp, figs, tabs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* AC archaeology

No archaeological remains were recovered. [Au(adp)]

2/931 (C.40.F013)

ST 67781870

**CROSS HOUSE, MILBORNE PORT***An Archaeological Evaluation at Cross House, Milborne Port, Somerset*

Adam, N J    Hindon : AC archaeology, 2002, 13pp, figs, tabs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* AC archaeology

The trenches discovered deep and undisturbed soil profiles across much of the site. The investigation revealed a concentration of medieval activity in the southern portion of the site, including the remains of a robbed-out wall, and a substantial boundary ditch, both dated to the 12th-13th centuries. Post-medieval pits, a culvert and some modern demolition rubble were also uncovered on the site. [Au(abr)]

*South West Region*

*Archaeological periods represented:* MD, PM

2/932 (C.40.F037)

ST 40022489

**EDGEFIELD, DRAYTON**

***Edgefield, Drayton, Somerset. Archaeological Evaluation***

Graham, A & Tatler, S : Alan Graham and Steven Tatler, 2002, 4pp, figs, tabs

*Work undertaken by:* Alan Graham and Steven Tatler

The evaluation was carried out in advance of an extension to residential property that lay within the Scheduled Ancient Monument of Drayton Roman Villa. Despite this proximity, no archaeological remains were recovered. [Au(abr)]

2/933 (C.40.F028)

ST 56301630

**FORMER WEXEN WORKS, PRESTON ROAD, YEOVIL**

***Archaeological Evaluation of the Former Wexen Industrial Site, Preston Road, Yeovil, Somerset***

Exeter Archaeology Exeter : Exeter Archaeology, 2002, 6pp, figs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* Exeter Archaeology

The site had been subjected to truncation, with few features exposed. These features all dated to the 18th-19th century or later, and did not indicate any clear historical use for the site. No medieval or earlier material was noted, except for a single residual prehistoric flint. [Au(abr)]

*Archaeological periods represented:* PM, PR

2/934 (C.40.F011)

ST 31980860

**HIGH STREET, CHARD**

***A Proposed Development of Land at the Former Health Centre Site, High Street, Chard, Somerset***

Robinson, S & Valentin, J Hindon : AC archaeology, 2002, 13pp, figs, tabs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* AC archaeology

Archaeological activity on this site seemed limited. The most significant feature comprised a linear feature containing quantities of Romano-British pottery. Medieval and post-medieval pits and post-medieval linear features were identified. Based on the evidence, any significant remains would lay under an area proposed as a garden. No evidence for an early building fronting the High Street was identified. [Au(adp)]

*Archaeological periods represented:* MD, PM, RO

2/935 (C.40.F010)

ST 71052235

**LAND AT EAST STREET, TEMPLECOMBE**

***Results of an Archaeological Field Evaluation of Land at East Street, Templecombe, Somerset***

Adam, N Hindon : AC archaeology, 2002, 7pp, figs, tabs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* AC archaeology

The evaluation revealed a single north-west-south-east aligned linear feature in the south-east portion of the site. Sherds of Early to Middle Iron Age pottery were present in this feature. This was interpreted to be the remains of a field boundary ditch. [Au(adp)]

*South West Region*

*Archaeological periods represented: IA*

2/936 (C.40.F005)

ST 56301618

**LAND AT PENFIELD GOSPEL HALL, PENFIELD, YEOVIL**

*An Archaeological Evaluation on Land at Penfield Gospel Hall, Penfield, Yeovil, Somerset*

Robinson, S Hindon : AC archaeology, 2002, 7pp, figs, tabs, refs

*Work undertaken by: AC archaeology*

Artefacts of post-medieval and modern date were recovered. It was possible that earlier deposits may have survived at a deeper level, however groundworks associated with the development were not expected to exceed 1 metre in depth, and therefore would not affect these deposits. [Au(adp)]

*Archaeological periods represented: MD, PM*

2/937 (C.40.F015)

ST 31980860

**LAND AT THE FORMER HEALTH CENTRE SITE, CHARD**

*A Proposed Development of Land at the Former Health Centre Site, Chard, Somerset*

Robinson, S & Valentin, J Hindon : AC archaeology, 2002, 13pp, figs, tabs, refs

*Work undertaken by: AC archaeology*

A linear feature containing Roman pottery, medieval and post-medieval pits and medieval features were recorded. These were interpreted as three phases of activity. Based on the evidence recovered, a Roman settlement site was postulated for the unexcavated north east corner of the site, which was under gardens. [Au(abr)]

*Archaeological periods represented: MD, PM, RO*

2/938 (C.40.F029)

ST 31990862

**LAND OFF COMBE STREET, CHARD**

*Land off Combe Street, Chard, Somerset. Archaeological Evaluation*

Corney, M Warminster : ASI Heritage Consultants, 2002, 12pp, figs, tabs, refs

*Work undertaken by: ASI Heritage Consultants*

The single machine-cut trench revealed a sequence of modern deposits overlying a number of features of medieval and post-medieval date. These included burghage plot boundary ditches from the medieval period, and a post-medieval ceramic assemblage. No medieval artefacts were recovered. It was concluded that the development would not have a detrimental impact. [Au(abr)]

*Archaeological periods represented: MD, PM*

2/939 (C.40.F027)

ST 55821381

**LAND TO THE REAR OF CHURCH COTTAGE, BARWICK**

*Land to the Rear of Church Cottage, Church Lane, Barwick, Somerset*

Valentin, J Hindon : AC archaeology, 2002, 6pp, figs, tabs, refs

*Work undertaken by: AC archaeology*



**South West Region**

The site lay adjacent to the churchyard of St. Mary Magdalene. One trench revealed a considerable depth of alluvial and peat deposits, relating to a stream at the end of the garden. Apart from this, no features of interest were encountered. [Au(abr)]

2/940 (C.40.F007)

ST 55821381

**LAND TO THE REAR OF CHURCH COTTAGE, CHURCH LANE, BARWICK*****Land to the Rear of Church Cottage, Church Lane, Barwick***

Valentin, J Hindon : AC archaeology, 2002, 6pp, figs, tabs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* AC archaeology

No evidence for pre-modern activity was identified. [Au(abr)]

2/941 (C.40.F026)

ST 51202220

**NEW SPITTLES FARM, ILCHESTER*****Archaeological Intervention at New Spittles Farm, Ilchester***

Membery, S : Steven Membery, 2002, 1p, figs

*Work undertaken by:* Steven Membery

Intervention was carried out in advance of topsoil stripping to form a balancing pond. No archaeological features were recovered. [Au(abr)]

**Taunton Deane**

2/942 (C.40.F019)

ST 24152602

**CROWN INDUSTRIAL UNITS, TAUNTON*****Crown Industrial Units, Taunton. An Archaeological Evaluation on Behalf of King Sturge***

Broomhead, R A Croscombe : R A Broomhead, 2002, 8pp, pls, figs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* R A Broomhead

The evaluation found the site to be archaeologically sterile. [Au(abr)]

2/943 (C.40.F022)

ST 31802510

**LAND AT TOWN FARM, NORTH CURRY*****Land at Town Farm, North Curry, Somerset. Archaeological Evaluation***

Tatler, S &amp; Graham, A Dorchester : Terrain Archaeology, 2002, 11pp, colour pls, figs, tabs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* Terrain Archaeology

Field boundaries were located in the trenches, probably of those fields that had been amalgamated into the larger field in which the site was located. A probable medieval ditch was noted, and was thought to be a property boundary. Much of the site had been disturbed by the burial of stock associated with the farm. [Au(abr)]

*Archaeological periods represented:* MD, UD

*South West Region*

2/944 (C.40.F038)

ST 33902790

**LOWER TONE DEFENCE SCHEME, STANMOOR BANK**

*Lower Tone Defence Scheme, Stanmoor Bank, Somerset. Archaeological Evaluation*

Stoten, G Cirencester : Cotswold Archaeological Trust, 2002, 11pp, figs, tabs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* Cotswold Archaeological Trust

The evaluation was carried out to investigate the structure after remedial work undertaken on the flood defences. No evidence of an earlier bank structure was located within the evaluation trench. A possible quarry ditch for an earlier bank was located. No dateable material was recovered. [Au(abr)]

2/945 (C.40.F014)

ST 17882672

**WICK LANE, NORTON FITZWARREN**

*Trial Excavation at a site off Wick Lane, Norton Fitzwarren, Somerset*

Young, A C Bristol : Avon Archaeological Unit, 2002, 15pp, colour pls, figs, tabs, refs

*Work undertaken by:* Avon Archaeological Unit

No archaeological finds, features or deposits were recovered. [Au(abr)]