

CONTENTS

	Page
Acknowledgements and Author's declaration	v
PART 1: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 <i>A personal identification of the 'problem' of neutrality</i>	1
1.2 <i>Focus and range of inquiry</i>	3
1.3 <i>Outline argument</i>	4
1.4 <i>Thesis structure and content</i>	6
1.5 <i>A note about 'subjectivity'</i>	11
PART 2: EXPLORATION OF THE 'PROBLEM' OF NEUTRALITY	13
2.1 Introduction	13
2.2 Human Resource Management, mediation and the 'individualisation' of the workplace	13
2.2.1 <i>The growth of mediation in the workplace</i>	13
2.2.2 <i>The individualisation of the employee</i>	16
2.2.3 <i>The HRM policy environment</i>	17
2.3 Mediation and neutrality	24
2.3.1 <i>Uncovering historical roots of mediation: From the Quakers to UK employment legislation</i>	24
2.3.2 <i>The Promise of Mediation: An idealised concept of 'power' devolved to individual parties</i>	28
2.3.3 <i>Simplified generic mediation processes</i>	33
2.3.4 <i>Theories about mediation practice: Establishing the spectrum of influence</i>	36
2.3.5 <i>Evidence of mediator influence: The problem of achieving settlement</i>	44
2.3.6 <i>Transformative mediation: Saviour of facilitative mediation?</i>	48
2.3.7 <i>A poststructuralist interpretation of mediation?</i>	57
2.3.8 <i>Narrative mediation</i>	59
2.3.9 <i>Explorative Mediation: A counterfactual sketch</i>	65
2.4 Conflict transformation and broadening responsibility for conflict	68
2.5 A beacon of dialogue?	73
2.6 Interim Conclusion: Revising the 'problem of neutrality'	82

	Page
PART 3: SEARCHING FOR A FRAMEWORK OF EXPLANATION	85
3.1 Introduction	85
3.2 Workplace mediation through a critical lens	85
3.2.1 <i>Acknowledging power</i>	85
3.2.2 <i>The Marxist position</i>	88
3.2.3 <i>The “orthodox Labour Process Theory” position</i>	88
3.2.4 <i>The mainstream management position</i>	89
3.2.5 <i>Orthodoxy versus post-structuralism</i>	90
3.2.6 <i>A critique of dualism</i>	93
3.3 An ontological frame for explanation	96
3.3.1 <i>Ethical mediation: A brief note</i>	96
3.3.2 <i>Research question</i>	97
3.3.3 <i>Tools for analysing research data</i>	98
3.3.4 <i>Ontological basis for this inquiry</i>	98
3.3.5 <i>The presence of ‘lack’</i>	102
3.3.6 <i>A critique of Lacanian post-Marxism</i>	103
3.3.7 <i>Constraints upon mediator behaviours: ‘Real’ or ‘discursive’?</i>	107
3.3.8 <i>An ontologically inspired explorative style of mediation: A second counterfactual sketch</i>	111
3.3.9 <i>Zizek on the possibility of puncturing fantasy</i>	113
3.3.10 <i>Questioning mediator subject positions</i>	116
3.4 Recap of Part 3	122
PART 4: METHODOLOGY	123
4.1 <i>Introduction</i>	123
4.2 <i>A qualification of my research ontology</i>	125
4.3 <i>Research design</i>	129
4.4 <i>Research epistemology</i>	132
4.5 <i>Critique of role-play data</i>	136
4.6 <i>Selecting a sample and the plausibility of explanation</i>	140
4.7 <i>Approach to analysis of the role-play data</i>	141
4.8 <i>Research ethics</i>	144
PART 5: INTERPRETATION OF ROLE-PLAY AND FOLLOW UP DISCUSSION	145
5.1 Role-play commentary, analysis and interpretation	145
5.1.1 <i>Introductory note on the mediation context</i>	145

	Page
5.1.2 <i>Transcribing key</i>	145
5.1.3 <i>Extracts with commentary and analysis in chronological order from the morning, 'facilitative' role-play</i>	146
5.1.4 <i>Summary interpretation of the facilitative mediation role-play</i>	204
5.1.5 <i>Extracts with commentary and analysis in chronological order from the afternoon, 'transformative' role play</i>	209
5.1.6 <i>Summary interpretation of the transformative mediation role-play and comparison with the facilitative mediation role-play</i>	254
5.2 A selective record and interpretation of the follow up discussion with the role-play participants	258
5.2.1 <i>Introduction</i>	258
5.2.2 <i>Setting the scene</i>	258
Discussion	
Interpretation	
5.2.3 <i>Mediation as a sequence of interlocking objectives</i>	260
Discussion	
Interpretation	
5.2.4 <i>Whether interventions 'open up' or 'close down' conflict, commercial pressures and what is mediation for?</i>	264
Discussion	
Interpretation	
5.2.5 <i>Challenging and defending 'problem solving', time constraints, sticking plaster, success rates and widgets</i>	267
Discussion	
Interpretation	
PART 6: CONCLUSION	283
6.1 <i>Critiquing the facilitative and transformative mediators' approaches and philosophies</i>	283
6.2 <i>Mediation: An heterogeneous yet tendentious practice</i>	285
6.3 <i>The speculative use of fantasy in explaining directive tendencies</i>	286
6.4 <i>Using 'fantasy' to describe and deploy counterfactual, explorative mediation</i>	289
6.5 <i>An infinite distance to the horizon of dialogue</i>	292

	Page
PART 7: EPILOGUE	295
7.1 <i>An experiential account of mediation</i>	295
7.2 <i>A final brief sketch of an explorative mediator's disposition</i>	300
Bibliography	305
Appendix 1: A record of my search for research participants	321
Appendix 2: Invitations to research participants	323
Appendix 3: Some possible lines of future research	325

Acknowledgements

The purpose of this study is to consider and critique aspects of how mainstream workplace mediators might influence the parties to a conflict and to develop an alternative approach based upon this critique. I would like to thank my supervisors for their support and encouragement throughout this period of learning. Thank you in particular to those who worked on the role-play exercise; the 'actors', Paul and Christine and the participant mediators, who must remain anonymous. Special thanks go to Yasmin who both encouraged me and happily supported me in my absence from other family and work activity during this five years of part time study.

Author's declaration

I confirm that the following thesis is my own work, although it rests upon collaborative support and discussions with the participant mediators and other colleagues and, of course, the marvellous literature that I have drawn upon.