

1 Chapter 9

3
5 DEVELOPING STUDENT
7 ENGAGEMENT IN CHINA THROUGH
9 COLLABORATIVE ACTION RESEARCH

11 **Michael O'Regan**

Institute for Tourism Studies, China

13 **Jaeyeon Choe**

15 Institute for Tourism Studies, China

17
19 **Abstract:** As its market and society open up, China has transformed
21 itself from a closed agrarian socialist economy to an urban state and
23 an economic force. This has released accumulated tourism demand,
25 led to the development of a diversified industry, and the spread of
27 university and vocational courses in this field. However, the industry
29 faces challenges to recruit and retain staff, with tourism education
in higher education blamed for the shortfall in numbers and quality
of candidates with suitable purpose, knowledge, and passion to
serve. This chapter provides a background to the development of
and problems facing tourism education in China, and suggests how
to support student engagement and hence the future workforce.

31 **Keywords:** Student engagement; China; education; collaborative
33 action research

35

37

39

1 INTRODUCTION

3 Since the opening up of China by Deng Xiaoping, reformist leader of the
4 People's Republic of China from 1978 to 1992, tourism has become a pillar
5 industry. Using a range of policy measures relating to conservation and
6 environmental protection, infrastructure and tourism facilities, manage-
7 ment, operation, entrepreneurship, marketing and promotion, policy, plan-
8 ning, administration, education, training, human resources (Xiao, 2000),
9 domestic tourism was worth US\$426.4 billion in 2013, up 15.7% from
10 2012. The number of domestic tourists increased to 3.3 billion, a growth of
11 10.3% over the previous year. In addition, the United Nations World
12 Tourism Organization noted that China was the third most popular desti-
13 nation in the world in 2012, with a total of 58 million arrivals. By 2020,
14 according to the United Nations World Tourism Organization, China will
15 become the largest tourist-receiving country in the world and among the
16 largest for overseas travel (UNWTO, 2013). As occurred in the United
17 Kingdom (Dale & Robinson, 2001), the growth in tourism demand has
18 been mirrored by a growth of education in this field, which was spurred by
19 a 1999 decision to accelerate the pace of expansion in the tertiary education
20 sector (Altbach, 2007; Bai, 2006; Gu, Kavanaugh, & Cong, 2007).

AU:2

21 The number of tourism higher education programs in China has
22 increased rapidly due to the fast growth and maturation of the industry
23 and facilitating policies, with tourism education, in theory, making a valu-
24 able contribution to the industry and the country's sustainable develop-
25 ment (Du, 2003). While the increase in higher education programs should
26 in theory meet the supply of job openings in areas such as research, con-
27 sulting, management, and frontline jobs (Jiang & Tribe, 2009), the emer-
28 gence of tourism education, training opportunities, and tourism jobs has
29 not been accompanied by tourism students seeking jobs in the industry
30 (Jiang & Tribe, 2009; Lam & Xiao, 2000; Zhang & Wu, 2004). While pre-
31 vious studies have focused on Chinese tourism higher education curricula,
32 comparisons of this and those of other countries, and on student attitudes
33 toward the industry (Jiang & Tribe, 2009; Wang, Huyton, Gao, & Ayres,
34 2010), this study evolved as the study explored the introduction of a new
35 English-language curriculum at a large university in North Eastern China
36 as perceived by instructors, staff, and students.

37 Two initial focus groups with tourism students and a focus group with
38 teaching staff revealed that there was widespread disinterest in tourism
39 both as a subject and career with a decline in students' engagement as they
40 progressed through school (Jacobs, 2002). As the authors resumed normal

1 teaching duties, these concerns became amplified, and low levels of engage-
2 ment were noted. This led to a broader faculty-led discussion after an
3 alumni office report indicated that despite an employment rate of 97%
4 among 2012 graduates, only 3% had jobs connected with the industry.

5 During the focus group with staff, they believed that complying with
6 international teaching and learning standards was more important than
7 complying with the needs of the industry. While the staff felt they had no
8 influence on student engagement, disengagement was not an issue as long
9 as it did not lead to behavioral problems, poor academic performance, or
10 dropping out. As lack of engagement is an important precursor to students
11 not seeking jobs in the industry, the authors felt the need to explore the
12 nature of the problem and improve the situation. While “reforming” teach-
13 ing and learning to engage students is difficult to define and quantify, the
14 institution provided them with the opportunity for a collaborative interac-
15 tive inquiry that also facilitated reflection and dialogue about the authors’
16 own practices.

17 The study engaged in data-driven collaborative action research to under-
18 stand the drop in engagement amongst students in years two, three, and
19 four and take action to improve behavioral and cognitive engagement.
20 While many studies have described the situational, political, cultural, and
21 social issues that have created concerns about tourism education in China,
22 this study is focused on action research engaged by colleagues who shared
23 an interest in a common problem and sought practical outcomes (Wallace,
24 1991). Using collaborative action research as a scheme of study to address
25 the major problems of student engagement with tourism as a subject, the
26 objective of this project is to study the effectiveness and advantage of lec-
27 turers introducing new teaching and learning tools at a higher education
28 level in China, and their impact upon student engagement.

29

31 TOURISM HIGHER EDUCATION IN CHINA

33 Travel and tourism in China generated 22,756,500 jobs directly (3% of
34 total employment) in 2012, with the total wider contribution to employ-
35 ment (including wider effects from investment, the supply chain, and
36 induced income impacts) worth 63,779,000 jobs in 2012 (8.3% of total
37 employment). By 2023, travel and tourism is forecast to support 89,550,000
38 jobs or 11.1% of total employment (World Travel and Tourism Council,
39 2013). Given the scale of these numbers and reported shortages of trained
40 tourism professionals, the industry has been confronting the problem of

1 attracting, training, and retaining skilled employees (Penfold, Liu, &
2 Ladkin, 2012). This may seem paradoxical given the number of higher level
3 institutes that offer tourism programs in China. From well-established
4 institutes such as the Tourism College of Zhejiang, Tourism Institute of
5 Beijing Union University, and Shanghai Institute of Tourism to new insti-
6 tutes such as the Guilin Institute of Tourism, the growth of tourism has
7 been reflected in the growth of tourism schools and colleges.

8 While there were only 27 universities and colleges offering hospitality
9 and tourism programs in 1986, the number had increased to 1,097 in 2012.
10 In addition, the number of secondary vocational schools with tourism pro-
11 grams, which stood at 252 in 1993, increased to 1,139 by 2012. Taken
12 together, the 2,236 universities, colleges, and vocational training institutes
13 have 107.34 million enrolled students, a considerable increase from 936
14 institutions and 221,504 students in 1996 (China National Tourism
15 Administration, 2013).

16 In theory, these numbers show that the development of tourism educa-
17 tion is keeping pace with industry growth (Lam & Xiao, 2000), with Du
18 (2003, p. 105) noting that “higher tourism education has become one of the
19 fastest growing sectors in China’s higher education, with every province,
20 autonomous region, and municipality with its own tourism institutions.”
21 However, volume is not the same as quality, with Du (2003, p. 106) arguing
22 this expansion has often come from “poorly ordered, low-standard and
23 crude scale expansion, and is inefficient in terms of economy of scale.”
24 While the challenges facing China’s government, tourism businesses, and
25 the education sector have been recognized, few steps have been taken to
26 address them. If not addressed and overcome, consumers, students, and the
27 sustainable development of the industry will be impacted (Jiang & Tribe,
28 2009; Min, 2004; Wu, 2013). The impact will fall heavily on the educational
29 system, with businesses blaming tourism education.

30 Zhang and Wu (2004) argue that the current state of tourism education
31 is not meeting the industry’s expectations, while Lam and Xiao (2000,
32 p. 291) argue businesses believe “the poor quality of service providers in
33 China is due to lack of visionary education and training plans provided by
34 the government.” However, studies have shown the complexity of the issue,
35 with researchers noting poor service ethic and skills, substandard institutes
36 and vocational training schools, poor teaching materials, lack of quality
37 teaching staff, the one-child policy, overemphasis on rote memorization, a
38 top-down instructional style, over expansion, over reliance on exams to
39 evaluate progress, corruption, poor language skills, political indoctrination,
40 a lack of entrepreneurial creativity, poor salaries, and resource limitations

1 (Cheuk, 2005; Du, 2003; Min, 1994, 1999, 2002, 2004; Zhang & Fan, 2006).
2 While many of these issues are common in other disciplines, the most fre-
3 quently mentioned problems in tourism education mentioned by industry
4 representatives and teachers are the outdated curricula, poor quality staff,
5 and students.

7

9 *Curriculum Issues, Staffing and Student Issues*

10 Poorly designed and outdated curricula that create a poor educational
11 experience for students is a recognized issue (Lam & Zhao, 2000; Penfold & **AU:3**
12 Ladkin, 2010; Zhang & Wu, 2004). Du (2003, p. 107) argues that poor pro-
13 gram setups have produced students with “a narrow scope of knowledge,
14 irrational knowledge structure, incapability of comprehensive analysis and
15 coordination as well as poor adaptability to tourism-concerned occupa-
16 tions.” Institutions do not work closely with the industry, creating mis-
17 matches in the labor market as curricula have not adapted to market
18 conditions. Zhang and Fan (2005) point out that the relationship between
19 universities and industry is still far from close, consistent, or regular, with
20 Du noting that some of the programs and their educational objectives are
21 “established at random, and curricular designs are kept apart from practi-
22 cal needs” (2003, p. 106).

23 Businesses also argue that there is a lack of quality staff, with the
24 demand for tourism instructors in higher education outstripping the supply
25 of qualified professors and instructors (Gu et al., 2007). Lack of qualifica-
26 tions (and no assistance to gain higher ones), subject specialism, training,
27 practical experience, and language development are also noted. Zhang and
28 Fan (2005) note that professors of tourism programs are often poorly
29 informed about current issues and most of their research achievements
30 remain only academic. Wang (2010, p. 429) notes that “the problems that
31 prevail in tourism education, such as out-of-date content and old-fashioned
32 and didactic teaching methods, demonstrate that most tourism educators’
33 levels of expertise need to be raised.” Given the emphasis on staff as an
34 issue, businesses argue that it is crucial to establish a mechanism of teacher
35 cultivation and development that meets the demand for talent.

36 Most criticism from industry and teachers is that students themselves
37 are not fulfilling industry expectations and needs (Gu et al., 2007; Penfold
38 et al., 2012; Zhang & Wu, 2004). It is argued that they lack practical experi-
39 ence, suffer from lack of familiarity with service skills, have a negative atti-
tude toward service roles, lack strategic direction, have low job loyalty, and

1 lack clear career goals. However, the main issue for businesses is that “uni-
2 versity graduates were unwilling to enter the industry and that there was a
3 gap between what was taught and the realities of the industry itself”
4 (Zhang & Wu, 2004, p. 424). The issues are complex, given Chinese culture,
5 values, and the educational system in China (Zhang, Lu, Hu, & Adler,
6 2010). Jiang and Tribe (2009) found barriers to entry such as personal rea-
7 sons, nature of tourism jobs, human factors, educational factors, and man-
8 agement factors. Wu (2013) found similar barriers, noting how personal
9 pursuits, family and social pressures, educational factors, the nature of
10 tourism jobs, and the benefits of working in the government-created bar-
11 riers when students decided on a post-tourism degree career.

12 It is within these contexts that the authors collaboratively sought to
13 understand student disengagement, and implement research-based instruc-
14 tional practices to improve student engagement with tourism as a subject
15 and career. Since research should not focus on controlled experiments
16 removed from real conditions (Glickman, Gordon, & Ross-Gordon, 2013),
17 an empirical study using a form of action research was utilized, providing
18 an empirical study of researcher-practitioner engagement that is still quite
19 novel in China. The action research process is dealt with in more detail in
20 the following section.

21

23 *Study Methods*

25 While based at a large public university in North Eastern China with a sig-
26 nificant tie-up to a university in the United Kingdom and with a favorable
27 environment for teacher autonomy (Reeve, Jang, Carrell, Jeo, & Barch,
28 2004), the authors initiated an ethnographic study involving students and
29 lecturers to investigate the introduction of a new English-language curricu-
30 lum. However, without the researchers’ direction during focus group inter-
31 views with students, negative attitudes to tourism as a subject were
32 repeatedly made.

33 The three focus group results evolved into a discussion at a faculty meet-
34 ing about the barriers to student engagement (Christenson, Reschly, &
35 Wylie, 2012). Engagement is “the student’s psychological investment in and
36 effort directed toward learning, understanding, or mastering the knowl-
37 edge, skills, or crafts that academic work is intended to promote”
38 (Newmann, 1992, p. 12). Engagement is linked to attendance, academic
39 performance, effort, persistence, self-regulation, and learning, and is asso-
40 ciated positively with desired academic, social, and emotional learning

1 outcomes (Klem & Connell, 2004). While engagement can be narrowly
2 defined through its behavioral, emotional, and cognitive elements, one uses
3 engagement more broadly to refer to energized, directed, and observable
4 actual interactions with tourism as a broad subject and career.

5 Collaborative action research was adopted as a methodology to examine
6 the educational practices and their accompanying effects (Calhoun, 1993).
7 Action research, aligned with the interpretive paradigm, is predicated on a
8 belief that there is not one reality “out there,” but social worlds which
9 emerge as a social process, constructed by those who inhabit it, including
10 the researchers themselves, are potential variables in the enquiry. An
11 important area not only in education and educational research, Noffke
12 (1997, p. 306) likens action research to a “large family” in which “beliefs
13 and relationships vary greatly.” It allows educators to collaboratively
14 examine a particular problem while learning about and improving their
15 own practices as well as disseminating that knowledge with other educators
16 (McNiff, 2003; McNiff, Lomax, & Whitehead, 2003; Noffke & Somekh,
17 2009). By studying a particular problem to learn about their own practices,
18 practitioners of action research can develop a deeper understanding about
19 what is needed in a school with the aim of improvement for both education
20 and industry (McNiff et al., 2003).

21

23 *Action Research Steps*

25 Practitioners use different steps or processes to conduct action research
26 (Kemmis & McTaggart, 1990; Sagor, 1992). Calhoun (1994) defined action
27 research as having five steps: selecting the area of focus, collecting data,
28 organizing data, analyzing and interpreting data, and taking action. Sagor
29 (1992) outlines seven steps, which include selecting a focus, clarifying the-
30 ories, identifying research questions, collecting data, analyzing data, report-
31 ing results, and taking informed action, all of which should become an
32 endless cycle.

33 Based on two initial focus groups with five students each relating to cur-
34 riculum development, one faculty focus group, faculty conversations, and
35 classroom engagement, the initial steps led the authors to explore problems
36 with students’ engagement. Further investigations indicated that graduates
37 often changed their major to accounting, finance, or other business subjects
38 when applying for postgraduate courses, while those who entered the work-
39 force entered careers outside tourism. Sustained interactions with second,
40 third, and fourth year students were held over six months, with the purpose

1 of identifying initial issues, beliefs, values, feelings, concerns, and thoughts
2 so that focused questions could be asked. After this initial engagement, one
3 hundred self-administered questionnaires were distributed to third and
4 fourth year classes, with an 86% completion rate. The questions related to
5 their motivations in joining the degree program, their opinions on tourism
6 as a subject and tourism as a future career choice. After each new element
7 introduced in the class (i.e., guest lectures), feedback forms were distributed,
8 while 10 students at the end of their induced placement were interviewed.
9 At the end of the semester an additional focus group, with 10
10 students was held.

11 The study found that students lacked technical skills and workplace
12 experience, were insufficiently informed of the nature of the industry, and
13 were unable to develop interests and realistic expectations. The curriculum,
14 which was modern, focused on classroom instruction and exam results,
15 while ignoring practical skill development and real-life experiences (Zhou,
16 1991). According to students in the two initial focus groups, this stifled
17 creativity, innovation, passion, and purpose; and initiated a search for
18 careers outside tourism from second year students onwards. Students also
19 noted that parents were happy with students after searched for alternative
20 careers. According to some of those focus group student participants, their
21 parents believed that entry level jobs were lesser positions considering their
22 status as graduates of a prestigious university. Students also expressed the
23 belief that tourism education did not provide useful knowledge, in comparison
24 to an accounting qualification. For example, one student said, “We
25 don’t learn professional and practical skills throughout the tourism program.
26 I mean, the tourism management program is not as useful as technological
27 skills like accounting.” Chinese students value job “stability” (Wan,
28 Wong, & Kong, 2014), with Jaw, Ling, Wang, and Chang (2007) indicating
29 how job stability relates to financial stability in China. Students were concerned
30 that tourism jobs were for high school or vocational graduates
31 rather than for university graduates.

33

Actions to Develop Engagement

35

36 While many factors contribute to a student’s level of engagement; teachers
37 have little control over many of these factors (Lumsden, 1994; Thaliah &
38 Hashim, 2008). However, during the spring and summer of 2013, the
39 authors, who were employed in the same faculty, trialed a number of teaching
40 and learning techniques inside and outside the classroom environment.

1 These practices were identified by them after research and discussion with
2 students and faculty. The objective was to contribute to students' interest
3 and level of engagement in learning about tourism as a subject and career.
4 The authors sought to imagine, implement, and evaluate research-based
5 instructional practices on a trial basis by bringing in guest speakers from
6 the industry, taking students on tours to international hotels, and organiz-
7 ing summer placements for students. These were voluntary activities and
8 were not assessed via graded assignments or credits.

9 The first guest speaker represented an international five-star hotel at a
10 managerial level. In standard Chinese Mandarin, she shared her difficulties
11 and tough times until she entered a managerial program at the hotel. The
12 second guest speaker was a general manager of a five-star hotel. Born and
13 educated in a western country, he had worked throughout the world before
14 coming to China. The third speaker became a human resource department
15 manager after taking a tourism degree in China. She started working as a
16 waitress at a hotel upon graduation, even though many of her colleagues
17 were high school or vocational school graduates. She worked hard until
18 promoted to a managerial position in a department of an international
19 hotel. The fourth guest speaker worked at a five-star hotel for two years at
20 an entry level position, before promotion to the communication/marketing
21 manager position.

22 Students were provided with feedback forms after each speaker, and
23 were invited to an open focus group session. Both through the feedback
24 forms and the focus group, students argued that they did not want to go
25 through tough times before promotion and were not prepared to take an
26 unskilled entry level position upon graduation. They were alarmed by how
27 much time and effort they would need to spend in achieving promotion to
28 a managerial position. While impressed by hard work, resilience, tough-
29 ness, and perseverance (吃苦 or a willingness to "eat bitterness"), they were
30 not motivated to follow a similar path. However, the students were
31 engaged by the second speakers' "life" experiences and high position.
32 Many of the students requested internships immediately after the lecture.
33 The students also noted the fourth speaker's quick managerial journey, and
34 her focus on the need for language skills in her career development.

35 The authors took a group of volunteer students to an international five-
36 star hotel for a tour and provided opportunities for students to interact
37 with hotel managers and staff. Students appreciated the opportunity, and
38 asked to take up placements there over the summer vacation. The institute
39 at that time had no policy or documentation regarding placements.
40 Placement provision in semester seven depended on students' and

1 companies' needs with no staff supervision or site inspections. The students
2 did not keep internship journals and often limited their internships to 2–3
3 weeks in an unrelated industry connected to a family member or used
4 “practical” experiences as a substitute (such as a entrepreneurship
5 competition).

6 Ten third-year students, after a competitive interview, were chosen and
7 worked for seven weeks over the summer break in 2013. The content of the
8 placement was designed by the industry partner in conjunction with the
9 authors, and mentored by them, to support positive learning. As a bridge
10 between theory and practice, placements can complement theoretical
11 knowledge (Ge & Wu, 2005). Velde and Cooper (2000) noted how the stu-
12 dents grasped “hands on” experience as a “head start” to their career when
13 provided the opportunities. The 10 students were interviewed post-
14 placement and all noted that they learned a lot and were eager to gain
15 more practical experiences to survive in the “real world.” Based upon the
16 authors' classroom observations, these students were more engaged in class
17 and in classroom discussions.

19

Findings and Reflections

21

22 In follow up research to evaluate the practices and generate new questions,
23 the authors gathered 86 self-administered surveys from students, which
24 included open-ended questions about motivations in joining the course and
25 future feelings about a career in tourism. The survey tool was only used as
26 a part of the ethnographic study including participant-observation and
27 interviews, not as a primary data source. The surveys were distributed in
28 class in June, 2013. When asked why they joined the tourism program, the
29 majority (61.1%) said they chose the tourism program simply “because of
30 my score for the university entrance exam,” with many students feeling the
31 course provided an access to a degree from a good university rather than as
32 a means to an end. Fifty percent of the students agreed that the guest lec-
33 tures were helpful (“Agree”: 50%; “Neutral”: 37.2%), while students hoped
34 to have more foreign teachers in the tourism program because they felt for-
35 eign teachers are open-minded and use more dynamic teaching methods.

36 Given that the foreign teachers were mostly trained in Western Europe
37 and America, they had preservice (pedagogical) training while at university
38 (Fan, 2007), which can enable them to continually investigate their own
39 teaching and assessment practices as well as their students learning.

1 Students associated positive values to foreign teachers not trained in an
2 authoritarian and didactic manner (Ho, 2001; Zhu & Liu, 2004). After ana-
3 lyzing the comments in the questionnaire, the comments primarily
4 addressed three areas, correlated with the final student focus group.

5 One, practice opportunities: “We need more guest lectures, internship
6 opportunities, and more foreign teachers”; “tourism industry has many
7 opportunities and there are a lot of different ways to solve the tourism prob-
8 lems, not like the eternal correct answer in quotes” “provide us an oppor-
9 tunity for working at least for a short-term and learn more reality of
10 tourism industry. We can contact with the real working environment and
11 to communicate with the managers who are working in tourism industry”;
12 “teach us more about practice and let us know what we can do in the
13 future”; “I want more opportunities to contact with the industry. It will be
14 good to have guest speakers from industry in classes or we can visit hotels
15 or other sites”; and “I hope we can gain practical experiences in daily work-
16 ing in hotels or tourism-related companies. I think it is the limitation of
17 Chinese education.”

18 Two, international instructors: “They never look down upon any stu-
19 dents who might be considered as ‘bad’ before. Also, I saw the world
20 through them, in the past, I feel we are isolated from the outside world.
21 However, it is through them that I know what the USA, European coun-
22 tries are like. Because of them, I am more determined to go abroad to
23 experience it by myself.” Three, societal recognition: “My mom told me if
24 you are working for a hotel you are wasting your time”; “my mom always
25 tells me to change my major to business”; and “many people say this major
26 is not good.”

27 Before arriving in China, the authors were led to believe that students
28 were primarily passive learners. After becoming teacher-researchers (rather
29 than expert educational researchers) by focusing on their own teaching
30 practice and accepting the students’ point of view, they changed themselves.
31 This study is in agreement with over 90 action research projects that have
32 disproved the common assertions that Asian students prefer passive learn-
33 ing and resist teaching innovations (Kember, 2000). In sharing their find-
34 ings with faculty, the authors also challenged staff perceptions of students,
35 the role of active learning, interesting teaching practices, and the need for
36 realistic and structured placement opportunities that involved working with
37 industry partners, theoretical knowledge, and mentors. The authors argued
38 for changes in the relationship with industry partners, longer and earlier
39 industry placement experiences, student tours, and industry guest speakers.

1 *Recommendations*

3 China's labor force is predicted to peak at 751 million in 2015, with fewer,
4 young workers replacing those retiring (Lafraniere, 2011). The need to
5 recruit and retain quality tourism staff is already and will continue to be a
6 central issue, requiring teaching reform as well as industry reform and soci-
7 etal change. While higher tourism education in China plays an important
8 role in supporting tourism development, institutions are often only pres-
9 sured to ensure the continuous supply of human resources to meet industry
10 demand (Lam & Xiao, 2000). Driven by the authors' concerns about stu-
11 dent disengagement, and concerns over their own practices, this paper
12 found that action research allowed both them and students to be more con-
13 fident and knowledgeable. "Informed reflection" (Furlong & Salisbury,
14 2005, p. 61) impacted teaching practices, colleagues, faculty, and students;
15 and helping the authors "in their ability to promote student learning, to
16 become more proactive in dealing with difficult situations that arise in their
17 teaching, and to acquire habits and skills of inquiry that are used beyond
18 the research experience to analyze their teaching" (Zeichner, 2003, p. 318).
19 Given action research offers teachers "the belief that we may develop our
20 understandings while at the same time bringing about change in concrete
21 situations" (Carson, 1990, p. 167), it changed the author's perspectives of
22 themselves as teachers and their students.

23 This study highlights the need for teachers to engage in the processes of
24 action research to critically influence the future of teaching and learning.
25 Oja and Pine (1989) argue doing so, would enable teachers to become more
26 critical and reflective about their own practices, with Beaty, France, and
27 Gardiner (1997, pp. 84–85) advocating it as "an experiential learning cycle
28 that fuses research, development and evaluation into a dynamic process."
29 While Bai (2009) noted that action research was introduced to China in the
30 1980s, there is little evidence to suggest there is widespread support
31 amongst institutions to implement an action research approach to teacher
32 training (Bai, 2009; McNiff, 1993). As this study took place in a transna-
33 tional institution (Helms, 2008), it provided the space as well as the finan-
34 cial, physical, and human resources. Abrahamsen (2012) notes that it is
35 private entities, experimental middle schools or colleges with ties to foreign
36 universities in China, do the heavy lifting of educational reform. Many
37 other third level institutions under various ministerial, provincial, and state
38 controls do not have the regulatory, cultural, and logistical leeway to
39 change the curriculum or even commit to researching students' experiences
and evaluating teaching practices.

1 Cain and Milovic (2010) believe action research has tended to flourish
3 mainly in cultures where flexibility and constructivism are embedded. The
5 latest educational reforms outlined in a plan called the “State Guidelines
7 for Medium-to-Long-Term Education Reform and Development Plan”
9 (2010–2020) may help in providing that flexibility and autonomy as well as
11 the need for educational research methods training in preservice teacher
13 education. Brown (2002) argues that teachers can no longer afford to
ignore their role in leading society through the challenges of present and
future educational trends. As action research can be imported (Cain &
Milovic, 2010), foreign faculty members in China, at least in the short
term, will play an important role given the “Thousand Foreign Experts
program” launched in 2011 expected to attract up to 1,000 foreign aca-
demics and entrepreneurs (Gooch, 2012).

The educational authorities, tourism administrations, higher educational
institutions, and the industry need to work together to create favorable
conditions for education development in this field. Curriculum design and
mapping should ensure learning outcomes are aligned with teaching and
assessment strategies. Program reviews and revision processes in collabora-
tion with employers, students, and administrative staff should ensure a
student-centered orientation that develops the skills and knowledge
demanded by students and the attributes demanded by industry. Given
that many “programs and curricula were developed from the educational
backgrounds of educators and the previous origin of educational institu-
tions” (Shen, 1998, p. 32), educator-centered practice has restrained the
sustainable development of tourism education in China (Xiao, 2000). For
institutions, it means encouraging practitioners to “participate more widely
in consultative meetings on the future development of tourism education”
(Dale & Robinson, 2001, p. 34) and design more innovative teaching
strategies.

Rather than allowing the needs of the economy to define their relation-
ship with higher education, industry needs to be encouraged to provide
guest speakers, academic exchanges, and communication. It includes train-
ing teachers with industry placements, shadowing, and secondment to tour-
ism businesses. The industry must also engage with society and various
elements of the public about its scale, size, and scope. Few tourism associa-
tions or businesses are willing to promote a tourism career as a means for
creative expression and career development. This requires tourism pro-
grams and industry to concentrate on skill and career development with
more emphasis on practical training that does not exploit or manipulate
students; with the issue of poor placement conditions an on-going concern.

1 Appealing placements and internship positions are effective recruitment
2 strategies and could address “both the concerns of young people and of
3 their parents” (Wong & Liu, 2010, p. 98). Action research should not be
4 tied to concrete “outcomes” or “targets” as demanded by industry—an
5 intervention that could potentially constrain learning and distort the
6 research process (McNiff & Whitehead, 2010). Finally, both university and
7 administrators need to increase society’s understanding of the industry and
8 build positive perceptions to mitigate parental disinclination to support
9 their child’s pursuit of a tourism career (Wong & Liu, 2010).

11

13 CONCLUSION

15 Despite the large number of graduating students, tourism education in
16 China has not yet entered a golden era, with institutes merely addressing
17 the industry’s need for more workers, without intervening critically and
18 creatively in tourism education. While Chinese policy changes and infra-
19 structural investment will create the world’s greatest inbound and domestic
20 tourist markets, educational reform has by and large not taken place, with
21 the authors noting the decline in student engagement with tourism as a sub-
22 ject and career at a large tourism program in North Eastern China. While
23 traditional research methods (quantitative data analyzed by statistical
24 methods) have been used in educational research, action research involves
25 instructors, administrators, supervisors, other staff members, students, and
26 often parents thinking together.

27 While not concerned with generalizability or seeking the ultimate truths
28 behind teaching, the researchers, in their concern over engagement, found
29 that students within their program expressed their belief that field trips,
30 guest speakers, and internship opportunities not only helped generate a
31 more realistic picture of contemporary tourism, but also motivated them to
32 reflect and explore the generally held assumptions and stereotypes about a
33 career in tourism. The authors, in their evaluation of their informed action
34 or “action planning,” found that the barriers to a career can be broken
35 down. While specific to a tourism program in China, this study offers some
36 findings concerning the Chinese social, economic, and political transition.
37 Without curriculum and school reform by local government officials,
38 administrators, instructors, and others, students will continue to fail to
39 enter the industry, which in the medium to long term will put the sustain-
able development of the industry at risk.

1 To move forward, systematic changes are recommended, including facili-
tating the supply of qualified professors and instructors, and encouraging
3 those who study and teach tourism abroad to return with the creativity and
innovation that HE in China requires; fostering world-class tourism facul-
5 ties and institutes, with a broader focus on research as well as strengthening
technical and practical capacities (these faculties need to encourage and
7 facilitate more doctoral degrees related to tourism and experiment with
seminars, extracurricular activities, workshops, and electives in growing
9 niches); reducing pressure on university administrators to seek high enroll-
ments to maximize profit and facilitating students' entrepreneurial creativ-
11 ity and practical experience by working with the industry' increasing pay
for professors and facilitating preservice (pedagogical) training: facilitating
13 the internationalization of tourism education and allowing for a higher
degree of university autonomy in academic freedom, admissions, and
15 degree awarding powers; improving the attractiveness of vocational tour-
ism education as well as university degrees; and promoting tourism as a
17 knowledge and technology intensive sector, with long-term career
prospects.

19

21

23

25

27

29

31

33

35

37

39

AUTHOR QUERY FORM

	<p>Book: TSSS-V021-3611097</p> <p>Chapter: CH009</p>	<p>Please e-mail or fax your responses and any corrections to:</p> <p>E-mail:</p> <p>Fax:</p>
---	--	--

Dear Author,

During the preparation of your manuscript for typesetting, some questions may have arisen. These are listed below. Please check your typeset proof carefully and mark any corrections in the margin of the proof or compile them as a separate list.

Disk use

Sometimes we are unable to process the electronic file of your article and/or artwork. If this is the case, we have proceeded by:

- Scanning (parts of) your article
 Rekeying (parts of) your article
 Scanning the artwork

Bibliography

If discrepancies were noted between the literature list and the text references, the following may apply:

- The references listed below were noted in the text but appear to be missing from your literature list. Please complete the list or remove the references from the text.**
- UNCITED REFERENCES: This section comprises references that occur in the reference list but not in the body of the text. Please position each reference in the text or delete it. Any reference not dealt with will be retained in this section.***

Queries and/or remarks

Location in Article	Query / remark	Response
AU:1	As per style, the right running head should be of 65 characters or lesser. Please check the suggested right running head for correctness.	
AU:2	The following text citations "Altbackh, 2007; Reeve, Jang, Carrell, Jeon, & Barch, 2004; LaFraniere, 2011; Wan, Wong, & Kong, 2013" have been changed as	

	<p>“Altbach, 2007; Reeve, Jang, Carrell, Jao, & Barch, 2004; Lafraniere, 2011; Wan, Wong, & Kong, 2014” respectively. Please confirm.</p>	
AU:3	<p>The following references are cited but not present in the reference list: “Lam & Zhao 2000; Penfold & Ladkin, 2010; McNiff, 2003.” Please provide complete reference details.</p>	
AU:4	<p>Please check the usage of “吃苦” in the sentence “While impressed by hard work...”.</p>	
AU:5	<p>Please provide the expansion of "HE".</p>	