• Everard, M., Pinder, A.C., Raghavan, R. and Kataria, G. (2019). Viewpoint: Are well-intended Buddhist practices an under-appreciated threat to global aquatic biodiversity? *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems*, pp.1–6. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/aqc.2997</u>.

¹ Viewpoint:

2 Are well-intended Buddhist practices an under-

appreciated threat to global aquatic biodiversity?

4 5

Mark Everard¹ | Adrian C Pinder^{2,3} | Rajeev Raghavan^{2,4} | Gaurav Kataria⁵

- 6
- ¹University of the West of England (UWE), Coldharbour Lane, Frenchay Campus,
 Bristol BS16 1QY, UK
- ⁹ ²Faculty of Science and Technology, Bournemouth University, Fern Barrow, Poole,
- 10 Dorset, BH12 5BB, UK.
- ¹¹ ³Mahseer Trust, c/o The Freshwater Biological Association, East Stoke River
- 12 Laboratory, Wareham, Dorset, BH20 6BB, UK
- ¹³ ⁴Department of Fisheries Resource Management, Kerala University of Fisheries and 14 Ocean Studies (KUFOS), Kochi 682 506, India.
- ¹⁵ ⁵AE Travel PvT Ltd, #47, 3rd Floor, Bharat Nagar, New Friends Colony, New Delhi, India
- 16
- 17 Correspondence: Mark Everard, University of the West of England (UWE), Coldharbour
- Lane, Frenchay Campus, Bristol BS16 1QY, UK (Telephone: +44-7747-120019; Email:
- 19 mark.everard@uwe.ac.uk)
- 20

21 Abstract

- 1. The inherently pro-conservation and humane Buddhist practice of 'live release',
- entailing release into the wild of creatures destined for slaughter, poses potentially
 significant conservation consequences if inappropriate, invasive species are procured
 for release.
- 26 2. We collate evidence, citing one legal case and other examples, about the risks of live 27 release of potentially invasive aquatic species that may result in serious, possibly
- irreversible conservation threats to aquatic biodiversity and natural ecosystems with
- 29 ensuing adverse ecological and human consequences.
- 30 **3.** It is essential that practitioners are aware of these risks if their actions are not to work 31 diametrically against the pro-conservation and humane intents of the practice.
- 32 4. Ensuring that live release occurs safely necessitates awareness-raising and
- 33 guidance informed by science to ensure that good intentions do not result in
- 34 perverse, environmentally destructive outcomes.

- Everard, M., Pinder, A.C., Raghavan, R. and Kataria, G. (2019). Viewpoint: Are well-intended Buddhist practices an under-appreciated threat to global aquatic biodiversity? *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems*, pp.1–6. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/aqc.2997</u>.
- 5. We propose four simple principles to achieve this, for dissemination to the global
- ³⁶ adherents of these otherwise entirely laudable practices.
- 37

38 Keywords

³⁹ Live release; mercy release; invasive species; humane; conservation; fish

40

41 **1. Introduction**

Biotic homogenisation – declining biological diversity resulting from environmental 42 changes favouring a subset of species - is a pervasive global problem (McKinney & 43 Lockwood, 1999), reaching substantial levels in some regions of the Palearctic and 44 Nearctic realms (Villéger, Blanchet, Beauchard, Oberdorff, & Brosse, 2011). Scott & 45 Helfman (2001) observed that fish species are prone to biotic homogenisation due to 46 the pressures of habitat destruction, favouring a few tolerant species, as well as 47 purposeful introductions that may also lead to extinctions of native species. Across 48 other taxonomic groups, potentially invasive species introduced beyond their native 49 ranges are a significant factor driving environmental change, extinctions of formerly 50 locally representative species increasing the tendency towards genetic, taxonomic or 51 functional similarity between locations with broader consequences for ecological and 52 evolutionary processes (Olden, Poff, Douglas, Douglas, & Fausch, 2004). Liu, Comte, 53 & Olden (2017) provide a review of life history traits of the world's freshwater fishes as 54 predictors of invasion and extinction risk to support management decisions without 55 needing to refer to individual species ecology to support decisions. 56 57 The Buddhist practice of 'live release', also known by many alternative names including 'fang sheng', 'mercy release' and 'prayer animal release', entails the release into the 58 wild of captive animals and particularly those destined for slaughter. The practice is 59 founded on the good intention of protection of living organisms. However, it also 60 represents a potential pathway for introduction of non-native and potentially invasive 61

species, which may have perverse outcomes for the conservation of ecosystems into

63 which they are released. The primary aim of this paper is to provide initial evidence 64 raising awareness of a potential emerging yet poorly researched threat to aquatic

conservation. This aim is approached from an ecological perspective, without being

66 critical of the human value dimensions that underpin these otherwise laudable actions.

67

68 2. Causes and conservation impacts of alien freshwater fish introductions

Riccardi & Rasmussen (1998) recognise eleven factors predisposing aquatic organisms to becoming invasive (see Table 1). Assessment of the suitability of fish species for aquaculture tends to address factors such as growth rate and hardiness (for example Ali *et al.*, 2016), generally omitting consideration of native provenance or potential for invasion of the regions in which the fish are produced. Aquaculture is consequently widely observed to be a source of alien invasive species posing conservation threats to invaded ecosystems, with freshwater fish homogenisation driven by a few widespread

- Everard, M., Pinder, A.C., Raghavan, R. and Kataria, G. (2019). Viewpoint: Are well-intended Buddhist practices an under-appreciated threat to global aquatic biodiversity? *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems*, pp.1–6. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/aqc.2997</u>.
- non-native species globally (Toussaint, Beauchard, Oberdorff, Brosse, & Villéger,

2016). Numerous examples range from temperate system non-native salmonid

- invasions associated with declines of native fishes (Arismendi et al., 2009) to
- videspread tropical invasions by Nile tilapia, Oreochromis niloticus (Linnaeus 1758)
- 80 (Schofield, Peterson, Lowe, Brown-Peterson, & Slack, 2011). Table 1 records the high
- so coherence between species suitability for aquaculture and predisposition to become
- invasive. Vilà & Hulme (2017) address multiple direct and indirect consequences of
- biological invasions on ecosystem services, including those of farmed fishes. The
- ornamental fish trade is also a significant vector for invasive fishes (for example Costa-
- Pierce, 2003; Gozlan, Britton, Cowx, & Copp, 2010; Raghavan, Prasad, Anvar-Ali, &
- Pereira, 2008). So too is fish stocking, both legal and illegal, in support of recreational
- angling (Davis, & Darling, 2017), as well as accident releases such as through bait
- releases, aquaculture escapes or ballast water transport (Lintermans, 2004; Gupta, &
- 89 Everard, 2017). Notwithstanding individual species life history traits favouring population
- 90 establishment, propagule pressure (i.e. the combination of numbers of introduced
- individuals, the number of introductions and temporal introduction rate) has also been
- 92 demonstrated to be crucially important and a potentially overriding factor in determining 93 invasion success and impact (Simborloff, 2000)
- invasion success and impact (Simberloff, 2009).

94	Table 1: Attributes of aquatic organisms predisposed to become invasive and also
95	suitability for aquaculture

Attributes of aquatic organisms predisposed to become invasive (Riccardi & Rasmussen, 1998)	Suitability for aquaculture with suggested reason	Suitability for aquarist use with suggested reason
1. Abundant and widely distributed in their original range		
2. Wide environmental tolerance	Hardy in crowded rearing conditions	Hardy in crowded aquarist conditions
3. High genetic variability		
4. Short generation time	Highly fecund with short generation time for rapid production	Easy to breed for ornamental fish trade
5. Rapid growth	Grows rapidly suiting production in aquaculture conditions	Rapid growth for ornamental fish trade
6. Early sexual maturity	Highly fecund with short generation time for rapid production	Rapid growth to maturity and breeding for ornamental fish trade
7. High reproductive capacity	Highly fecund with short generation time for rapid production	Fecund, for rapid production and profitability in aquarist trade
8. Broad diet (opportunistic feeding)	Acceptance of diverse diets in rearing conditions	Acceptance of diverse diets in aquarist conditions
9. Gregariousness	Tolerant of crowded rearing conditions	Tolerant of crowded fish- keeping conditions

• Everard, M., Pinder, A.C., Raghavan, R. and Kataria, G. (2019). Viewpoint: Are well-intended Buddhist practices an under-appreciated threat to global aquatic biodiversity? *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems*, pp.1–6. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/aqc.2997</u>.

10. Possessing natural mechanisms of rapid dispersal		
11. Commensal with human activity (e.g. transport in ship ballast water, or trade of ornamental species for aquarists)	Suited to aquaculture with brood stock readily transported	Suited to aquarist conditions with ready transport for trade

96

97

98 **3. The Buddhist practice of 'live release'**

99 The Buddhist practice of 'live release' is founded on good intentions relating to the 100 protection of living organisms. However, perverse outcomes may ensue if uninformed 101 releases of potentially invasive organisms impact native biodiversity.

The release of captive animals for religious purposes has historically been a traditional 102 practice in many religions of Asian origin, including both Buddhism and Taoism, and is 103 especially prevalent in the Buddhist doctrine (Agoramoorthy & Hsu, 2007). Live 104 release, also known as 'mercy release' or Tsethar in the Tibetan tradition, is the 105 Buddhist practice of saving the lives of beings destined for slaughter and is part of all 106 schools of Buddhism: Theravada, Mahayana and Vajrayana. By buying and releasing 107 animals destined to be killed, live release puts the ideal of compassion into practical 108 action, in part as compensation for the inevitable collateral killing of organisms as 109 humans walk, breathe and conduct their lives. Whilst live release may be initiated 110 spontaneously to save an endangered life, it can also be planned in the form of 111 purchasing animals directly from slaughterhouses, fishermen or other sources, 112 frequently planned around auspicious days in the Buddhist calendar to amplify the merit 113 of the act. The Humane Society International (2012), in a report from a conference co-114 hosted with The American Buddhist Confederation, record that problems stem from the 115 fact that "...mercy release has become an industry built on the capture and supply of 116 wild animals, for whom there are devastating consequences of injury, illness or death". 117 118 The ancient origins of this practice may have meant that animals were released into their native environments. However, live release of animals in an increasingly 119 120 internationalised society has the potential to generate negative environmental impacts. For example, some animals are captured for the explicit purpose of being released, or 121 are released into environments where they are unable to survive (Humane Society 122 International, 2009). A gross example is the bird market in Mong Kok, Hong Kong, a 123 major tourist attraction, where captive-bred budgerigars (Melopsittacus undulatus), Java 124 sparrows (Lonchura oryzivora) and various finch species are made available for 125 purchase by the pious for freeing under 'fang sheng' ("giving life") rituals that tend to 126 result in the early deaths of organisms not adapted to wild or local conditions (Wordie, 127 128 2017). However, a more problematic potential outcome is that live release provides an as yet unquantified pathway for introduction of invasive species into non-native 129 environments, with the potentially perverse outcome of substantial ecological harm 130 including the progressive loss of local biodiversity (Shiu & Stokes, 2008). 131

Despite the best of intentions, some examples of live releases have been associated
 with conservation concerns and sometimes legal consequences (Severinghaus & Chi,

• Everard, M., Pinder, A.C., Raghavan, R. and Kataria, G. (2019). Viewpoint: Are well-intended Buddhist practices an under-appreciated threat to global aquatic biodiversity? *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems*, pp.1–6. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/aqc.2997</u>.

1999; Agoramoorthy & Hsu, 2007; Liu, McGarrity, & Li, 2012). As one example, Tsethar 134 practices are arising as a significant concern in Bhutan, an exceptional region for 135 freshwater fish biodiversity, where African Catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*) are imported live 136 from Bangladesh via Kolkata and sold for release by religiously inclined Bhutanese 137 people (Gurung, 2012). Whilst Clarias gariepinus is itself of Least Concern on the IUCN 138 Red List (Freyhof, FishBase team RMCA, & Geelhand, 2016), it is also listed as having 139 a wide tropical distribution beyond its native range where it has been listed as a 140 'Potential Pest' (Froese, & Pauly, 2018) and has been associated with significant 141 ecosystem disruption (for example Cambray, 2003; Weyl, Dagall, Ellender, & Vitule, 142 2016). If awareness and education about the ecological consequences of such 143 practices is not provided to local communities, this may serve as a major avenue for the 144 introduction of alien species into the freshwaters of Bhutan (Gurung, Dorji, Tshering, & 145 Wangyal, 2013). In the Yunnan province of China, Jiang, Qin, Wang, Zhao, Shu, et al. 146 (2016) concluded that the introduction since 2009 of two species of non-native 147 weatherfishes (Misgurnus anguillicaudatus and Paramisgurnus dabryanus) through the 148 practice of 'prayer animal release' and their subsequent increasing populations was 149 putting at risk the threatened native freshwater fish *Ptychobarbus chungtienensis* in 150 Shangri-La region. In considering 'Deliberate release for cultural reasons', constituting 151 one of twelve pathways of human-assisted dispersal of freshwater fishes in Australia, 152 Lintermans (2004) noted that the 2001 Census recorded that 1.9% of the Australian 153 population were Buddhists and reported anecdotal evidence suggesting that purchase 154 and release of aquarium species for live release was not uncommon albeit entirely 155 unquantified 156

Unregulated mercy releases have also resulted in the red-eared slider turtles 157 (Trachemys scripta elegans) native to central America, but which are widely invasive 158 (van Dijk, Harding, & Hammerson, 2011) vet readily procured from pet shops in the US, 159 dominating and outcompeting native terrapin species in New York's Central Park 160 (Selleck, 2015). Indicative of the potential scale of the problem, mindful of the large 161 number of ceremonial animal release events occurring globally in accordance with the 162 traditions of Buddhism and other Asian religions, Liu, McGarrity, Bai, Ke, & Li (2013) 163 evaluated the release of two highly invasive species (American bullfrogs Lithobates 164 catesbeianus and red-eared slider turtles Trachemys scripta elegans) by 123 Buddhist 165 temples surveyed across four provinces in China correlated with intensive field surveys 166 of release sites, finding that both bullfrogs and sliders were present at the majority of 167 sites where release of these species was reported. Given the large numbers of such 168 temples in this region and the pervasion of religious observants across the world, the 169 scale at which live release could potentially be happening is substantial. Gong, Chow, 170 Fong, & Shi (2009) record that China is the largest consumer of turtles in the world 171 serving markets for two main types of local and international trade: for food and 172 traditional Chinese medicine; and for release by Buddhists. Liu et al. (2012) tabulate 173 evidence from a search of literature and news reports for the global occurrence of 174 religious wildlife release, though the literature on aquatic species and particularly their 175 impacts are largely addressed in this summary highlighting the scale of the knowledge 176 177 gap.

• Everard, M., Pinder, A.C., Raghavan, R. and Kataria, G. (2019). Viewpoint: Are well-intended Buddhist practices an under-appreciated threat to global aquatic biodiversity? *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems*, pp.1–6. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/aqc.2997</u>.

178 West (1997) reports that a small congregation of seven Buddhist adherents led by a

monk procured 2,500 goldfish from a storefront temple in New York's Chinatown and

transported them for ritual release in Westons Mill Pond, a reservoir for the city of New

Brunswick as an act of compassion but which was perceived by scientists and wildlife

experts as introducing competition to and potentially outbreeding native species of

perch, sunfish, catfish and of aquatic fauna. The same report recorded conservation

concerns likely to arise from freeing caged birds that are more likely to die than thrive in their new environments, and that release by Buddhists of turtles into ponds in Brooklyn's

- their new environments, and that release by Buddhists of turtles into ponds in Broo
 Prospect Park and Central Park also had the potential to perturb local aguatic
- ecosystems directly and through the introduction of diseases as a well as genetic
- dilution. As a general principle, relevant to some instances of live release but also wider
- conservation matters, introductions of even conspecific species may perturb
- ecosystems posing a threat to conservation though genetic homogenisation including
- the introduction of non-native genes and the loss of local adaption (Champagnon,
- 192 Elmberg, Guillemain, Gauthier-Clerc, & Lebreton, 2012).

193 Fish invasions are known to have significant knock-on effects on the conservation of

194 freshwater ecosystems, their functions and associated biota. Whilst not inferring that it

195 was consequent from live release, radical degradation of both aquatic and avian

biodiversity has followed the introduction of alien common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) to

- 197 Medina and Zoñar Lakes in South Western Spain. Driven by the destruction of
- submerged macrophyte beds via mechanical disturbance and elevated turbidity, the invasion of *C. carpio* and other non-native fishes throughout the fresh waters of the
- invasion of *C. carpio* and other non-native fishes throughout the fresh waters of the Mediterranean region is now recognised as a major threat to water birds, including

globally threatened taxa such as white-headed duck (*Oxyura leucocephala*), listed as

- Endangered on the IUCN Red List (BirdLife International, 2017) (Maceda-Veiga, López,
- & Green, 2017). Similarly, tilapia, *Oreochromis* spp. and *C. gariepinus* have invaded

and now totally dominate Jal Mahal, the water palace lake in Jaipur (Rajasthan state,

India), with knock-on consequences for avian biodiversity, further extending the

negative socioeconomic implications for bird-watching based ecotourism (H. Vardhan,

- 207 pers. com. & author observations). (Invasion of Jal Mahal by *Clarias gariepinus* and
- 208 Oreochromis spp. has yet to feature in the peer-reviewed literature but is well-known
- locally, observed by the authors and other local biologists, and there are many
- 210 YouTube.com clips of the two species in vast numbers and also sometimes turning up
- dead as the lake goes anoxic.)

There is limited case law at present relating to the potential ramifications of live release. However, in the UK, two Buddhists performing a live release ritual were convicted, fined

and ordered to pay compensation in September 2017 of offences under the Wildlife and

215 Countryside Act 1981 for releasing non-native lobsters into the sea, potentially causing

- ²¹⁶ "untold damage" to marine life (Sherwood, 2017).
- 217

4. Conclusions and recommendation

- At present, there appears to be little awareness about potential perverse, unintended
- outcomes from live release practices for aquatic and other wildlife, a lack of
- quantification of conservation impacts, and consequently no effective, proactive

- Everard, M., Pinder, A.C., Raghavan, R. and Kataria, G. (2019). Viewpoint: Are well-intended Buddhist practices an under-appreciated threat to global aquatic biodiversity? *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems*, pp.1–6. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/aqc.2997</u>.
- interventions to avert them. Nor is there a great deal of scientific study to back up

management advice. Table 2 documents the outcomes of searches on the University of

the West of England's online library resources (dated 20th April 2018) using the filter of

- ²²⁵ 'Scholarly and peer reviewed' sources. Although many pertain to the intent of doing no
- harm, only a small number of sources relate directly to the problem of unintended alien
- 227 species invasions affecting aquatic conservation.
- Table 2: Searches of the online libraries for 'scholarly and peer reviewed' documents
- relevant to alien species invasions form live release affecting aquatic nature
- 230 conservation

Search terms	Number of hits	Number of relevant hits
(live release) AND (buddhist) AND (invasion)	657	3, assessed from top 100 beyond which relevance declined substantially (Agoramoorthy & Hsu, 2007; Shiu & Stokes, 2008; Gong, Chow, Fong, & Shi, 2009; Liu, McGarrity, Bai, Ke, & Li, 2013)
(live release) AND (buddhist) AND (fish)	680	4, assessed from top 100 beyond which relevance declined substantially (Agoramoorthy & Hsu, 2007; Shiu & Stokes, 2008; Gong, Chow, Fong, & Shi, 2009; Liu, McGarrity, Bai, Ke, & Li, 2013)
(live release) AND (buddhist) AND (conservation)	346	5, assessed from top 100 beyond which relevance declined substantially (West, 1997; Agoramoorthy & Hsu, 2007; Shiu & Stokes, 2008; Gong, Chow, Fong, & Shi, 2009; Liu, McGarrity, Bai, Ke, & Li, 2013)

231

It is not the intent of the authors to denigrate or deter any pro-conservation or pro-232 environmental intent. The authors have not received any external funding or influence 233 to research and publish this paper, simply acting on their own volition and concern to 234 raise the profile of an emergent and material concern in support of improving the safety 235 and the intended outcome of the practice of live release. However, this analysis of 236 potential and still largely unguantified risks of perverse outcomes for nature 237 conservation and dependent human livelihood needs arising from a traditional practice 238 is highlighted as an issue requiring more research and precautionary action. In 239 particular, we invoke the Precautionary Principle, a strategy to cope with possible risks 240 from human activities that may lead to morally unacceptable harm that is scientifically 241 plausible but uncertain (EC, 2000). The Humane Society International (2012), in 242 collaboration with The American Buddhist Confederation, announced an intention to 243 "...support animal welfare instead of the ritual of releasing animals, such as birds, fish 244 and turtles, into the wild", a useful contribution to modernisation of the inherently 245 virtuous intent to Buddhist practices but falling short of addressing conservation risks 246 and particularly across the wider world. 247

The Theravada, Mahayana and Vajrayana schools of Buddhism are common in Tibet,
Nepal, Mongolia, Inner Mongolia, Tibet, China, Myanmar, Laos, Thailand, Cambodia,
Vietnam, Korea, Japan and Sri Lanka, also spreading into adjacent nations and more

remotely in pockets. Consequently, although published evidence is lacking, it can be

- Everard, M., Pinder, A.C., Raghavan, R. and Kataria, G. (2019). Viewpoint: Are well-intended Buddhist practices an under-appreciated threat to global aquatic biodiversity? *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems*, pp.1–6. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/aqc.2997</u>.
- assumed that ecological risks associated with uninformed live releases are potentially
- 253 globally pervasive. Further research is needed to establish the level of risk, and so to 254 inform the most appropriate responses.

Liu et al. (2013) found that ecological knowledge of invasive species reduced the 255 probability of release at the Chinese temples they were studying, but that conversely 256 market availability increased the probability of release. Targeted public education about 257 invasive species could therefore be an effective strategy for preventing religious release 258 259 of invasive species on a global scale. Drawing from the eleven attributes of aquatic organisms predisposed to become invasive (Riccardi & Rasmussen, 1998), we 260 therefore recommend that Buddhist adherents undertaking the traditional practice of live 261 262 release should observe the precautionary considerations in Table 3. This form of precautionary approach is already inherently included in some national legislation 263 relating to import of alien fishes, for example under the UK's Import of Live Fish 264 (England and Wales) Act 1980 (HM Government, 1980). ILFA (as the Act is known) 265 specifically schedules a number of known problematic invasive fish species, but also 266 applies more generally to all fish species that have the potential to escape and form 267

self-perpetuating populations.

269 Table 3: Precautionary principles for ecologically safe Buddhist 'live release'

Precautionary principles for ecologically safe 'live release' include that aquatic species should be:

- Native to the geographical range in which they are to be released;
- Of local genetic provenance, so as to avoid dilution of locally adapted strains;
- Released only in numbers that will not dominate the ecosystems into which they are placed; and
- Unlikely to change ecosystem balance, for example by significantly increasing predation or sediment mobilisation.

270

Chong (2012) calls upon conservationists to recognise the powerful role of religion in 271 Burmese society and to engage its potential in support of sustainable development. 272 Gong, Hamer, Meng, Meng, Feng, & Xue (2012) recognise that Buddhist leaders can 273 274 play significant roles in environmental protection in Myanmar and potentially other Asian countries, whilst also acknowledging that this may be hampered by lack of ecological 275 understanding citing particularly uninformed practice of 'prayer animal release' and the 276 277 captive animal trade associated with it. The aim of the paper is to assist conservation and religious organisations and other institutions with influence on live release 278 279 practitioners and communities to raise awareness and offer practical guidance about the holistic animal welfare issues associated with fang sheng. We recognize the need to 280 assist Buddhist practitioners and their advisers about what constitutes a non-native 281

• Everard, M., Pinder, A.C., Raghavan, R. and Kataria, G. (2019). Viewpoint: Are well-intended Buddhist practices an under-appreciated threat to global aquatic biodiversity? *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems*, pp.1–6. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/aqc.2997</u>.

intentionally or accidentally – outside of its natural past or present distribution", adapted

from a definition provided by IUCN (2018). Science-based professional societies,

conservation organisations and NGO networks may also have roles to play in helping

disseminate key messages, as the Humane Society International has already

demonstrated in its collaboration with The American Buddhist Confederation.

As a significant, as yet unquantified, number of releases of aquatic organisms occur in developing countries where data about biological baselines as well as widespread knowledge of risks to ecology and ecosystem services is lacking, these risks will generally be proportionately under-recognised. In the longer term, further research linked to local capacity building with associated education can shape a more precautionary approach by local communities. However, a more direct route for uptake of these precautionary principles in the interim is their onward communication by

influential people and institutions in the global Buddhist community to ensure that

practical outcomes are consistent with the pro-conservation and humane intent of live

release, averting perverse unintended negative consequences for nature conservation

and human livelihoods.

299

300 **5. References**

Agoramoorthy, G., & Hsu, M. (2007). Ritual releasing of wild animals threatens island ecology. *Human Ecology* 35: 251–254.

Ali, H., Haque, M.M., Murshed-e-Jahan, K., Rahi, M.K L., Ali, M.M. Al-Masud, M., &

³⁰⁴ Faruque, G. (2016). Suitability of different fish species for cultivation in integrated

floating cage aquageoponics system (IFCAS) in Bangladesh. Aquaculture Reports 4:
 93-100.

Arismendi, I., Soto, D., Penaluna, B., Jara, C., Leal, C., & León-Muñoz, J. (2009).
 Aquaculture, non-native salmonid invasions and associated declines of native fishes in
 Northern Patagonian lakes. *Freshwater Biology* 54: 1135-1147.

BirdLife International. (2017). *Oxyura leucocephala*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened

311 Species 2017: e.T22679814A119403602. (<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2017-</u>

312 <u>3.RLTS.T22679814A119403602.en</u>, accessed 23rd July 2018.)

Cambray, J.J. (2003). The need for research and monitoring on the impacts of

translocated sharptooth catfish, Clarias gariepinus, in South Africa. African Journal of

315 Aquatic Science 28:191-195.

Champagnon, J., Elmberg, J., Guillemain, M., Gauthier-Clerc, M., & Lebreton, J-D.

(2012). Conspecifics can be aliens too: A review of effects of restocking practices in
 vertebrates. *Journal for Nature Conservation* 20: 231-241.

Chong, K.Y. (2012). Religiously Protecting Myanmar's Environment. *Science* 337: 1604-1605.

Costa-Pierce, B.A. (2003). Rapid evolution of an established feral tilapia (*Oreochromis*

- Everard, M., Pinder, A.C., Raghavan, R. and Kataria, G. (2019). Viewpoint: Are well-intended Buddhist practices an under-appreciated threat to global aquatic biodiversity? *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems*, pp.1–6. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/aqc.2997</u>.
- 322 spp.): the need to incorporate invasion science into regulatory structures. *Marine*
- Bioinvasions: Patterns, Processes and Perspectives 5: 71-84.
- Davis, A.J.S., & Darling, J.A. (2017). Recreational freshwater fishing drives non-native aquatic species richness patterns at a continental scale. *Diversity and Distributions* 23: 692-702.
- 327 EC. (2000). Communication from the Commission on the precautionary principle,
- 328 2.2.2000, COM(2000) 1 final. Commission of the European Communities, Brussels.
- (<u>http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=COM:2000:0001:FIN:EN:PDF</u>,
 accessed 20th April 2018.)
- 331 Freyhof, J., FishBase team RMCA, & Geelhand, D. (2016). *Clarias gariepinus*. The
- IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2016: e.T166023A84198891.
- (http://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2016-3.RLTS.T166023A84198891.en, accessed
 23rd July 2018.)
- Froese, R., & Pauly, D. (2018). *Clarias gariepinus (Burchell, 1822) North African catfish.*
- [online.] (<u>http://www.fishbase.org/summary/Clarias-gariepinus.html</u>, accessed 20th April
 2018.)
- Gong, B., Hamer, R., Meng, X., Meng, Q., Feng, J., & Xue, D. (2012). Limits to Religious Conservation Efforts. *Science* 338: pp.740.
- Gong, S-P., Chow, A.T., Fong, J.J., & Shi, H.T. (2009). The chelonian trade in the
- largest pet market in China: scale, scope and impact on turtle conservation. *Oryx* 43:
 213-216.
- Gozlan, R. E., Britton, J. R., Cowx, I., & Copp, G. H. (2010). Current knowledge on nonnative freshwater fish introductions. *Journal of Fish Biology* 76: 751-786.
- Gupta, N., & Everard, M. (2017). Non-native fishes in the Indian Himalaya: an emerging
- concern for freshwater scientists. *International Journal of River Basin Management*,
 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/15715124.2017.1411929.
- Gurung, D.B. (2012). Introduction of African Catfish, *Clarias gariepinus* in Bhutan. *MIN*,
- 349 The Newsletter of the IUCN-SSC/WI Freshwater Fish Specialist Group South Asia &
- 350 *the Freshwater Fish Conservation Network of South Asia* 1: 26.
- Gurung, D.B., Dorji, S., Tshering, U., & Wangyal, J.T. (2013). An annotated checklist of fishes from Bhutan. *Journal of Threatened Taxa* 5: 4880–4886.
- HM Government. (1980). *Import of Live Fish (England and Wales) Act 1980*. HM
 Government, London.
- (https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1980/27/pdfs/ukpga_19800027_en.pdf, accessed
 11th July 2018.)
- 357 Humane Society International. (2009). *Mercy release: kind intentions, cruel*
- 358 consequences. Humane Society International, Washington.
- 359 (<u>http://www.hsi.org/assets/pdfs/mercyrelease_flyer_english.pdf</u>, accessed 20th April
- 360 2018.)

- Everard, M., Pinder, A.C., Raghavan, R. and Kataria, G. (2019). Viewpoint: Are well-intended Buddhist practices an under-appreciated threat to global aquatic biodiversity? *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems*, pp.1–6. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/aqc.2997</u>.
- Humane Society International. (2012). HSI and The American Buddhist Confederation
- 362 Discourage Harmful Animal Release Rituals. Humane Society International,
- 363 Washington.
- 364 (http://www.hsi.org/news/press_releases/2012/05/mercy_release_2012_statement_051
- 365 <u>612.html?referrer=https://www.google.com/</u>, accessed 11th July 2018.
- ³⁶⁶ IUCN Red List. (2018). *Invasive species*. IUCN, Gland. [Online.]
- (<u>https://www.iucn.org/theme/species/our-work/invasive-species</u>, accessed 20th April
 2018.)
- Jiang, W-S., Qin, T., Wang, W-Y., Zhao, Y-P., Shu, S-S., Song, W-H., Chen, X-Y., and
- Yang, J-X. (2016). What is the destiny of a threatened fish, *Ptychobarbus*
- *chungtienensis*, now that non-native weatherfishes have been introduced into Bita Lake,
- 372 Shangri-La? *Zoological Research* 37: 275-280.
- Lintermans, M. (2004). Human-assisted dispersal of freshwater in Australia. *New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* 38: 481-501.
- Liu, C., Comte, L., & Olden, J.D. (2017). Heads you win, tails you lose: Life-history traits
- predict invasion and extinction risk of the world's freshwater fishes. Aquatic
- 377 Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems 27: 773-779.
- Liu, X., McGarrity, M.E., & Li, Y. (2012). The influence of traditional Buddhist wildlife release on biological invasions. *Conservation Letters* 5: 107-114.
- Liu, X., McGarrity, M.E., Bai, C., Ke, Z., & Li, Y. (2013). Ecological knowledge reduces religious release of invasive species. *Ecosphere* 4: 1-12.
- Maceda-Veiga, A., López, R., & Green, A.J. (2017). Dramatic impact of alien carp
- 383 Cyprinus carpio on globally threatened diving ducks and other waterbirds in
- Mediterranean shallow lakes. *Biological Conservation* 212: 74-85.
- McKinney, M.L., & Lockwood, J.L. (1999). Biotic homogenization: a few winners
- replacing many losers in the next mass extinction. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 14:
 450-453.
- Olden, J.D., Poff, N.L., Douglas, M.R., Douglas, M.E., & Fausch, K.D. (2004).
- Ecological and evolutionary consequences of biotic homogenization. *Trends in Ecology* & *Evolution* 19: 18-24.
- Raghavan, R., Prasad, G., Anvar-Ali, P.H., & Pereira, B. (2008). Exotic fish species in a biodiversity hotspot: observations from River Chalakudy part of Western Ghats, Kerala,
- India. *Biological Invasions* 10: 37-40.
- Riccardi, A., & Rasmussen, J.B. (1998). Predicting the identity and impact of future
- ³⁹⁵ biological invaders: a priority for aquatic resource management. *Canadian Journal of* ³⁹⁶ *Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 55: 1759-1765.
- Schofield, P.J., Peterson, M.S., Lowe, M.R., Brown-Peterson, N.J., & Slack, W.T.
- 398 (2011). Survival, growth and reproduction of non-indigenous Nile tilapia, Oreochromis
- *niloticus* (Linnaeus 1758). I. Physiological capabilities in various temperatures and

- Everard, M., Pinder, A.C., Raghavan, R. and Kataria, G. (2019). Viewpoint: Are well-intended Buddhist practices an under-appreciated threat to global aquatic biodiversity? *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems*, pp.1–6. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/aqc.2997</u>.
- salinities. *Marine and Freshwater Research* 62: 439-449.
- 401 Scott, M.C., & Helfman, G.S. (2001). Native invasions, homogenization, and the 402 mismeasure of integrity of fish assemblages. Fisheries 26: 6-15.
- 403 Selleck, V.M. (2015). Mercy release: the compromise between Buddhism and 404 conservation. *Elephant Journal*, 25th November 2015.
- 405 (<u>https://www.elephantjournal.com/2015/11/the-compromise-not-conflict-between-</u>
- 406 <u>buddhism-conservation/</u>, accessed 20th April 2018.)
- Severinghaus, L.L., & Chi, L. (1999). Prayer animal release in Taiwan. *Biological Conservation* 89: 301–304.
- 409 Sherwood, H. (2017). Why Buddhist 'fangsheng' mercy release rituals can be more
- 410 cruel than kind. *The Guardian*, Monday 25 September 2017.
- 411 (https://www.theguardian.com/world/shortcuts/2017/sep/25/buddhist-fangsheng-mercy-
- 412 <u>release-cruel-wild-animals</u>, accessed 20th April 2018.)
- 413 Shiu, H., & Stokes, L. (2008). Buddhist animal release practices: historic,
- environmental, public health and economic concerns. *Contemporary Buddhism* 9: 181-196.
- 416 Simberloff, D. (2009). The role of propagule pressure in biological invasions. *Annual* 417 *Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* 40: 81-102.
- Toussaint, A., Beauchard, O., Oberdorff, T., Brosse, S., & Villéger, S. (2016). Worldwide
- freshwater fish homogenization is driven by a few widespread non-native species.
 Biological Invasions 18: 1295–1304.
- van Dijk, P.P., Harding, J., & Hammerson, G.A. (2011). *Trachemys scripta (errata*
- 422 version published in 2016). The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2011:
- 423 e.T22028A97429935. (http://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2011-
- 424 <u>1.RLTS.T22028A9347395.en</u>, accessed 23rd July 2018.)
- Vilà, M., & Hulme, P.E. (Eds) (2017). *Impact of biological invasions on ecosystem* services (invading nature – Springer Series in Invasion Ecology). Springer: London.
- Villéger, S., Blanchet, S., Beauchard, O., Oberdorff, T., & Brosse, S. (2011).
- Homogenization patterns of the world's freshwater fish faunas. *PNAS* 108: 18003-18008.
- https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1107614108http://www.pnas.org/content/108/44/18003/tab
 <u>-article-info</u>, accessed 20th April 2018.)
- 432 Wordie, J. (2017). Why Buddhist ritual of 'saving lives' is a death sentence for animals.
- 433 Post Magazine, 20th January 2017. (<u>http://www.scmp.com/magazines/post-</u>
- 434 <u>magazine/short-reads/article/2063558/why-buddhist-ritual-saving-lives-death-sentence</u>,
- 435 accessed 20th April 2018.)
- 436 West, D. (1997). Buddhists Release Animals, Dismaying Wildlife Experts. *New York*
- 437 Times, 11th January 1997. (<u>https://www.nytimes.com/1997/01/11/nyregion/buddhists-</u>
- 438 <u>release-animals-dismaying-wildlife-experts.html</u>, accessed 20th April 2018.)

- Everard, M., Pinder, A.C., Raghavan, R. and Kataria, G. (2019). Viewpoint: Are well-intended Buddhist practices an under-appreciated threat to global aquatic biodiversity? *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems*, pp.1–6. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/aqc.2997</u>.
- 439 Weyl, O.L.F., Dagall, V.S., Ellender, B.R., & Vitule, J.R.S. (2016). A review of Clarias
- gariepinus invasions in Brazil and South Africa. *Journal of Fish Biology* 89: 386–402.

441