

1 **A sharp incisor tool for predator house mice back to the wild**

2 SABRINA RENAUD ^{1*}, CLAIRE DELEPINE ¹, RONAN LEDEVIN ², BENOIT PISANU ³, JEAN-PIERRE QUERE ⁴,
3 EMILIE A. HARDOUIN ⁵

4

5

6 ¹ Laboratoire de Biométrie et Biologie Evolutive, UMR5558 CNRS Université Lyon 1, Campus de la
7 Doua, 69100 Villeurbanne, France

8 ² UMR5199 PACEA, Université de Bordeaux, Allée Geoffroy Saint Hilaire (Bat. B8), 33615 PESSAC,
9 France

10 ³ Centre d'Ecologie et des Sciences de la Conservation, UMR 7204, Sorbonne Universités, Muséum
11 National d'Histoire Naturelle, CNRS, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, 61 rue Buffon, F-75005 Paris,
12 France

13 ⁴ Centre de Biologie et Gestion des Populations (INRA/IRD/Cirad/Montpellier SupAgro), Campus
14 international de Baillarguet, CS 30016, F-34988, Montferrier-sur-Lez Cedex, France

15 ⁵ Department of Life and Environmental Sciences, Faculty of Sciences and Technology, Bournemouth
16 University, Christchurch House, Talbot Campus, Poole, Dorset, BH12 5BB, UK

17

18 Running title: Incisor shape of predator house mice

19

20 * Corresponding author:

21 Sabrina Renaud

22 E-mail: Sabrina.Renaud@univ-lyon1.fr

23

24

25 **Abstract**

26 The house mouse (*Mus musculus domesticus*), as a successful invasive species worldwide, has to
27 forage a variety of resources. Sub-Antarctic mice display among the most notable diet shift from the
28 usual omnivorous-granivorous diet, relying on a larger proportion of terrestrial animal prey. In
29 agreement, a recent study of their mandible morphology evidenced an evolution of their mandible
30 shape to optimize incisor biting, and hence seize preys. Here, the incisors themselves are the focus of
31 a morphometric analysis combined with a 3D study of their internal structure, aiming at a
32 comparison between a Sub-Antarctic population (Guillou island, Kerguelen archipelago) with a range
33 of Western European continental, commensal mice. The predatory foraging behavior of Guillou mice
34 was indeed associated with a sharper bevel of the lower incisor, which appears as an efficient
35 morphology for piercing prey. The incisor of these mice also display a reduced pulp cavity, suggesting
36 slower eruption counter-balancing a reduced abrasion on such soft food material. The dynamics of
37 the ever-growing incisor may thus allow adaptive incisor sculpting and participate to the success of
38 mice in foraging diverse resources.

39

40 **Keywords**

41 geometric morphometrics, *Mus musculus domesticus*, adaptation, biting, functional morphology

42

43

44 Introduction

45 Adaptations to successfully gather and process food are crucial for insuring survival and resources for
46 any function of an organism. They can involve many facets including digestive tract and behavior, and
47 in mammals, they further rely on a sophisticated dentition. Premolars and molars have evolved
48 complex morphologies for matching functional requirements related to various diets, and are
49 therefore the focus of many studies including morphofunctional and developmental aspects [e.g.
50 (Evans *et al.*, 2007; Jernvall *et al.*, 1996; Popowics & Fortelius, 1997)]. Incisors, in contrast, deserved
51 little interest, possibly due to their simple geometry, despite the fact that they often represent the
52 first tool to be in contact with food particles. In rodents and lagomorphs, incisors are ever-growing,
53 with a high growth rate that counterbalances continuous wear. Ever-growing incisors are the most
54 striking characteristic of the rodent order and they were probably a key component of the extensive
55 evolutionary radiation of rodents (Fabre *et al.*, 2012; Stepan & Schenk, 2017), associated with the
56 versatility of their feeding habits (Landry, 1970; Martin *et al.*, 2016). How the dynamics of eruption
57 varies through the animal's life, and in response to diet, has been investigated (Harari *et al.*, 2005;
58 Klevezal & Shchepotkin, 2012; Taylor & Butcher, 1951). Differences in terms of relative curvature,
59 coverage by the enamel and cross-sectional profile have been demonstrated between species of
60 murine rodents, with a possible link with habitats (Millien-Parra, 2000). Adaptations in terms of
61 curvature of the incisors have been further reported in chisel tooth digging rodents, in which
62 functional loads on the skull and incisors are extremely high (Samuels & Van Valkenburgh, 2009) and
63 in carnivorous rodents, in which low incisor curvature may improve the function of stabbing preys
64 (Fabre *et al.*, 2017; Rowe *et al.*, 2016). The geometry of the incisor's bevel, which constitutes its
65 cutting edge, may be of functional relevance for facing different diets but its role has never been
66 addressed directly in wild populations, especially **at the intraspecific level**. However, the incisor ever-
67 growing dynamics that can be modulated through the intensity of wear (Meredith *et al.*, 2015; Müller
68 *et al.*, 2014; Taylor & Butcher, 1951) may allow a rapid adjustment to varying food requirements.

69 Being commensal, the house mouse (*Mus musculus domesticus* Schwarz and Schwarz 1943) followed
70 the movement of people around the world, making it a highly successful global invader (Lowe *et al.*,
71 2000). It colonized even remote and inhospitable environments, such as Sub-Antarctic islands. On
72 these remote islands, the mice face conditions widely departing from their usual commensal habits.
73 Mice shifted their diet from their usual omnivorous-granivorous diet to a larger proportion of
74 terrestrial animal prey, mostly above ground and litter macroinvertebrates (Le Roux *et al.*, 2002; van
75 Aarde & Jackson, 2007). Such diet shifts triggered convergent evolution of mandible geometry in
76 various populations of Sub-Antarctic mice, all displaying an increased biomechanical functional
77 performance for incisor biting, constituting an adaptation to catch prey more efficiently (Renaud *et*

78 *al.*, 2018). If the incisor itself displayed adaptive change to increase prey catching was not
79 investigated.

80 The small Guillou Island (1.45 km²) is one of the Sub-Antarctic islands where house mice built
81 successful feral populations by relying on a large component of invertebrates in their diet (Le Roux *et al.*, 2002). It is part of the Sub-Antarctic Kerguelen Archipelago, situated in the Indian Ocean about
82 4000 km away from the African and Australian coasts. Mice were introduced on the archipelago
83 during the 19th century (Kidder, 1876). Functional response to the diet shift had thus to evolve in less
84 than two centuries. This evolution occurred in isolation, even from the rest of the archipelago, since
85 Guillou mice all display the same mitochondrial haplotype, and evidence a strong differentiation
86 from mice from other Kerguelen islands (Hardouin *et al.*, 2010). Furthermore, the island experienced
87 in the last two decades a cortege of human-driven modifications: rabbit (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*)
88 eradication by poisoning in 1994 (Chapuis *et al.*, 2001); regression of the native vegetation cover
89 against invasive plants (Chapuis *et al.*, 2004) and increasing summer drought (Lebouvier *et al.*, 2011)
90 decreasing earthworms availability in the litter, both as a consequence of climate change; regression
91 of most native insects because of the spread of an invasive carabid predator (*Merizodus soledadenus*)
92 over the last 15 years (Laparie *et al.*, 2010). All may have changed access and composition of the
93 resources available to mice, as exemplified by a change in mandible shape and an increase in its
94 mineralization from 1993 to 2009, suggesting a higher investment in this trait and/or increased food
95 quality (Renaud, Gomes Rodrigues, *et al.*, 2015).
96

97 **In the present study, the incisor morphology in the house mouse population inhabiting the Guillou**
98 **Island was investigated.** First, the shape of the erupted part of the upper and lower incisors was
99 quantified using 2D geometric morphometrics. Guillou mice were compared to several populations
100 of continental Europe, documenting the usual commensal habitat where mice display an
101 omnivorous-granivorous diet. Four sampling years on Guillou, from 1993 to 2009, allowed
102 documenting the morphology of Guillou mice and its change through time. The objective was to
103 assess if incisor morphology differed between Guillou and continental mice, in relation with the more
104 predatory behavior of the former; and if it varied through years in Guillou, in response to the cohort
105 of human-driven environmental changes. In case of an adaptive response, sharper incisor tips were
106 expected in the predatory Guillou mice. On a subset of mice, 3D imaging of the incisors further
107 allowed to identify changes in the internal structure of the tooth, providing an insight into the
108 developmental processes involved in the incisor shape variations.

109

110 **Material and Methods**

111 *Material*

112 The sampling for Guillou Island included mice trapped on four years covering a 16 years' interval
113 (1993, 2001, 2008 and 2009) (Chapuis *et al.*, 2001; Renaud, Gomes Rodrigues, *et al.*, 2015). Four
114 Western European populations were used for comparison: Cologne-Bonn (Germany), Gardouch
115 (South-Western France), Tournich (Brittany, France) (Renaud *et al.*, 2017) and Balan (nearby Lyon,
116 France) (Supp. Fig. 1, Supp. Table 1).

117 Almost all mice considered were sub-adults and adults, the criteria being the full eruption of the third
118 molars that occurs at weaning; for some mice in Balan, the eruption was ongoing. Sexual dimorphism
119 was not evidenced in continental nor in Guillou populations (Renaud *et al.*, 2013; Renaud *et al.*,
120 2017). Furthermore, sexual dimorphism in incisor size has been shown to be very limited in other
121 species of rodents (Millien-Parra, 2000). Hence, males and females were pooled for further analyses.
122 For all mice except those from Cologne-Bonn, body weight data were available. Mandible area
123 provided a further proxy of body size available for all populations (Renaud, Gomes Rodrigues, *et al.*,
124 2015; Renaud *et al.*, 2017).

125

126 *Morphometric analysis of the incisors*

127 The shape of the lower incisor was quantified based on 2D pictures of the mandible in labial view,
128 using a set of four landmarks and 8 sliding semi-landmarks (Fig. 1A) describing the erupted part of
129 the tooth. The upper incisor was quantified based on 2D pictures of the skull in lateral view, using a
130 set of three landmarks and 16 semi-landmarks. A total of 267 lower incisors and 209 upper incisors
131 were included in the final data set. For estimating measurement error, the population from Cologne-
132 Bonn was measured twice at several weeks of interval by the same operator (CD).

133 The configurations of landmarks and semi-landmarks were superimposed using a generalized
134 Procrustes analysis (GPA) standardizing size, position and orientation while retaining the geometric
135 relationships between specimens (Rohlf & Slice, 1990). During the superimposition, semi-landmarks
136 were allowed sliding along their tangent vectors until their positions minimize the shape difference
137 between specimens, the criterion being the bending energy. A Principal Component Analysis (PCA)
138 on the variance-covariance matrix of the aligned coordinates was used to summarize the shape
139 variance. Shape differences between **groups** (localities, and **for Guillou the different years of**
140 **trapping**) were tested using a permanova (non-parametric multivariate analysis of variance based on
141 9999 permutations) and associated pairwise post-hoc tests, using the PC axes explaining more than
142 5% of total variance. The pattern of differentiation between groups was further investigated using

143 Canonical Variate Analyses (CVA) on the aligned coordinates. This method aims at separating groups
144 by looking for linear combinations of variables that maximize the between-group to within-group
145 variance ratio. By standardizing within-group variance, it is efficient for evidencing relationships
146 between groups even in the case of important anisotropic within-group variance, as may be the case
147 when within-group allometry is important (Renaud, Dufour, *et al.*, 2015).

148 Differences in incisor size, estimated by the centroid size (CS: square root of the sum of squared
149 distances from the landmarks and semi-landmarks to the centroid), and its relationship with body
150 weight (BW) and mandible area were investigated using linear models. The continental vs Guillo
151 origin of the mice was included as a factor in some models and the associated size and shape
152 differences were assessed using t-tests. Allometric shape variations were assessed using linear
153 models between size and the first axis of the PCA, and in a multivariate way, using Procrustes ANOVA
154 comparing size and the aligned coordinates (tests based on 9999 permutations). A visualization of
155 the allometric pattern was provided using the regression score (Adams & Otarola-Castillo, 2013).

156 For assessing measurement error, separate Procrustes superimpositions focused on datasets
157 including only the two sessions of measurements of the Cologne-Bonn (CB) population were
158 performed. The difference between the two sessions were assessed using t-tests for centroid size,
159 and Procrustes ANOVA for the aligned coordinates.

160 Procrustes superimposition, PCA on the aligned coordinates and Procrustes ANOVA were performed
161 using the R package geomorph (Adams & Otarola-Castillo, 2013). Canonical Variate Analyses were
162 computed using the package Morpho (Schlager, 2017). Permanova were performed using Past 3
163 (Hammer *et al.*, 2001).

164

165 *3D incisor structure*

166 A subset of Guillo (4 from 1993 and 4 from 2009) and continental mice (4 from Cologne-Bonn, CB)
167 were CT-scanned at a cubic voxel resolution of 18 μm using a RX-Skyscan 1076 device at the Platform
168 Montpellier RIO Imaging. The protocol used during the scanning and the reconstruction of the
169 radiographic data (software NRecon v1.6.6.0) was identical for all specimens, allowing direct
170 comparisons of the data (Renaud, Gomes Rodrigues, *et al.*, 2015). Reconstructed data consist of a
171 stack of cross-sectional greyscale images, the grey value (GV) in each pixel being associated to a
172 density value. The higher the grey level, the higher is the density in the concerned pixel. Based on
173 these scans, the structure of the lower incisors was investigated.

174 First, the scans were reoriented using Avizo in order to get a cross-section of the lower incisor
175 between the basis of the bevel and the mandibular bone (Fig. 1B). These slices were transformed in
176 8-bits grey levels (GV ranging from 1 to 256) and analyzed using the image analyzing software
177 Optimas 6.5. Area of the cross-section and its mean and minimal grey values were estimated for each
178 incisor. Differences between continental and Guillole mice were tested using t-tests.

179 Regarding 3D volumes, isosurfaces were constructed based on several thresholds in order to
180 delineate the mandible itself (right hemi-mandible including bone and teeth), the dentine and the
181 enamel of each lower incisor. The mandible was reconstructed by including all material with a grey
182 value > 9000 . The dentine surface of the lower incisor was estimated by including all material with
183 $20000 < GV < 40000$; connections with the mandibular bone were manually removed. The enamel
184 surface was estimated by including all material with $GV > 40000$.

185 For each object (mandible, dentine and enamel of the lower incisor), the volume and mean GV was
186 calculated. Based on a visualization of the mandible, dentine and enamel in lingual view, a set of five
187 landmarks was used to assess geometric differences of the internal structure related to the
188 mandibular bone (Fig. 1B). The longitudinal structure of the mandible was described by the tip of the
189 incisor, the anteriormost point of the mandibular bone along the incisor, and the posterior extremity
190 of the condyle. The anterior tip of the pulp cavity and the posterior basis of the enamel layer
191 described internal structures of the mandible. The configurations of landmarks were superimposed
192 using a Procrustes procedure, providing aligned coordinates that were analyzed using a PCA.
193 Geometric differences between the three groups (CB, Guillole 1993 and Guillole 2009) were assessed
194 using a permanova on the PC axes explaining more than 5% of variance.

195 The Procrustes superimposition and PCA were performed using geomorph, and the permanova was
196 done using Past 3.

197

198 **Results**

199 *Measurement error*

200 The two repeated measurements for the Cologne-Bonn population were not different for lower
201 incisor ($P = 0.4571$) nor for upper incisor shape ($P = 0.9997$). Differences in incisor size between the
202 two measurement sessions were not significant either (lower incisor: $P = 0.535$; upper incisor: $P =$
203 0.925).

204

205 *Lower incisor 2D size and shape*

206 The configuration of landmarks and sliding semi-landmarks on the incisors describes only the visible
 207 part of the tooth erupted outside the bone. For the lower incisors, the centroid size of this
 208 configuration was highly correlated with the size of the mandible bearing it (CS ~ Mandible Area: $R^2 =$
 209 0.327 , $P < 2.2e-16$) and even more tightly related with the **body size** (CS ~ Body Weight: $R^2 = 0.455$, P
 210 $< 2.2e-16$). Guillole mice tended to display slightly larger erupted lower incisors than continental
 211 relatives (t-test: $P = 0.0004$), especially for small-size animals (Fig. 2A). The difference between the
 212 two groups (here, continent vs Guillole) was however reduced compared with the size-related
 213 variation; the slopes of the relationship with body weight appeared to be slightly different between
 214 the two groups (CS ~ BW * group: BW = 45.5% of the total variance, $P < 2.20E-16$; group = 5.1%, $P =$
 215 $6.44E-07$; interaction = 2.2%, $P = 0.0008$).

216 Allometry appeared as a major factor driving incisor shape variation. Incisor size was correlated with
 217 the first axis of the PCA on the aligned coordinates (PC1, 53.7% of total variance ~ CS: $R^2 = 0.414$; $P <$
 218 $2.2e-16$; data not shown). Investigating allometric variations on the aligned coordinates confirmed
 219 the importance of size-related shape variation. The difference between Guillole and the continent
 220 was however significant and the allometric slope was different between the two groups, but this
 221 difference was of reduced importance compared to the overall allometric trend (Fig. 2B) (Procrustes
 222 ANOVA: shape ~ CS * group: CS = 23.3% of the total variance, $P = 0.0001$; group = 6.2%, $P = 0.0001$;
 223 interaction = 1.2%, $P = 0.001$). With increasing incisor size (and hence increasing age of the animals),
 224 the bevel tended to become longer relative to the erupted part of the incisor (Fig. 2C).

225 Despite this important source of within-group variation, continental populations tended to be
 226 opposed to Guillole samples along the first axis (CVA1 = 52.0%) of a CVA on the aligned coordinates
 227 (Fig. 2D), whereas the second axis (CVA2 = 17.7%) corresponded to variation among continental
 228 samples. Guillole incisors, compared to continental ones, displayed a bevel of more or less the same
 229 length, but with a sharper profile, especially at the tip (Fig. 2E; Supp. Fig. 2). **The differences between**
 230 **groups (localities, and for Guillole the different years of trapping) was a significant (permanova on**
 231 **PC1 = 53.8%, PC2 = 28.4%, and PC3= 10.7%: $P = 0.0001$).** Associated pairwise tests showed that
 232 continental populations were overall well differentiated from Guillole samples (Table 1). In contrast,
 233 the different years in Guillole were not or only weakly differentiated; the same pattern occurred
 234 among continental localities (Table 1).

235

236 *Upper incisor 2D size and shape*

237 Contrary to the lower incisors for which incisor growth seemed more or less constant with increasing
 238 body size, the increase in size of the upper incisors tended to progressively reach a plateau (Fig. 3A).
 239 Tests were thus performed on log transformed size variables. As for the lower incisor, the centroid
 240 size of the upper incisor was highly correlated with the size of the mandible ($\log(\text{CS}) \sim \log(\text{Mandible}$
 241 $\text{Area})$: $R^2 = 0.0505$, $P < 2.2e-16$) and with **body size** ($\log(\text{CS}) \sim \log(\text{Body Weight})$): $R^2 = 0.542$, $P < 2.2e-$
 242 16). The size of the upper incisor was highly correlated with the size of its lower counterpart ($R^2 =$
 243 0.361 , $P < 2.2e-16$) but increased twice less fast (slope of upper incisor CS \sim lower incisor CS: 0.458
 244 ± 0.042).

245 Guillole and continental mice displayed upper incisors of similar size (t-test: $P = 0.1477$). **This was**
 246 **confirmed** in a model including body weight and group as explanatory variables ($\log(\text{CS}) \sim \log(\text{BW}) +$
 247 group : $\log(\text{BW}) = 46.9\%$ of the total variance, $P < 2.20E-16$; $\text{group} = 0.3\%$, $P = 0.4220$).

248 **Allometry was not a major factor driving upper** incisor shape variation. Incisor size was not correlated
 249 with the first axis of the PCA on the aligned coordinates (PC1, 60.1% of total variance $\sim \log(\text{CS})$: $R^2 =$
 250 0.0160 ; $P = 0.0676$; data not shown). Investigating allometric variations on the aligned coordinates
 251 however showed some size-related incisor shape variation, but it **was not so marked as the**
 252 **difference between continental and Guillole incisors** (Procrustes ANOVA: $\text{shape} \sim \log(\text{CS}) * \text{group}$:
 253 $\log(\text{CS}) = 3.5\%$ of the total variance, $P = 0.0378$; $\text{group} = 10.2\%$, $P = 0.0002$; $\text{interaction} = 2.7\%$, $P =$
 254 0.0479). With increasing incisor size, the bevel tended to get indented by a small notch (Fig. 3B).

255 Continental populations tended to be opposed to Guillole samples along the first axis of a CVA on the
 256 aligned coordinates (CVA1 = 45.8%), but the pattern was less clear than for the lower incisor. Only
 257 the oldest samples in Guillole (1993 and to a lesser extent 2001) markedly diverged along PC1
 258 (Fig. 3C). The second axis (CVA2 = 22.1%) corresponded to variation among continental samples.
 259 Guillole incisors, compared to continental ones, displayed a bevel indented by a pronounced notch
 260 (Fig. 3D; Supp. Fig. 2).

261 **Shape differences between groups (localities, and different years in Guillole) were significant**
 262 **(permanova on PC1 = 60.1%, PC2 = 16.0%, PC3 = 11.3%, and PC4 = 6.5%: $P = 0.0001$), but associated**
 263 **pairwise tests showed only few highly significant differences (Table 1).** The sample from Guillole 1993
 264 was the only one consistently different from all continental populations.

265

266 *Internal structure of the lower incisors*

267 **Considering cross-sections of the incisors at the basis of the bevel** (Fig. 1B), continental and Guillole
 268 incisors did not differ **in their** mean grey value (GV) ($P = 0.2041$) and **thus** in their average density.

269 Similarly, there were not statistically significant differences in maximal GV ($P = 0.4068$). Continental
270 and Guillou incisors differed, however, in the minimal GV observed in this cross-section ($P = 0.0261$)
271 (Fig. 4A). This difference is due to the occurrence in continental incisors of a dark area at the center
272 of the cross-section (Fig. 4A, Supp. Fig. 3), corresponding to the pulp cavity which thus extends
273 beyond the contact with the mandibular bone into the erupted part of the incisor.

274 When considering the whole 3D structure, continental and Guillou mice did not differ in the volume
275 of dentine relative to the mandible volume (t-test: $P = 0.0704$) nor in the mean GV of the dentine ($P =$
276 0.2517). The same was true for the enamel (relative volume: $P = 0.1768$; mean GV: $P = 0.3659$). This
277 suggests that continental and Guillou mice did not differ substantially in the material properties of
278 the dentine and enamel.

279 In contrast, the geometry of the dentine and enamel was quite different between continental and
280 Guillou mice (Fig. 4B). Cologne-Bonn and Guillou mice strongly differed along the first axis of the
281 corresponding PCA, explaining more than 80% of the variance (Fig. 4C). The apex of the pulp cavity
282 was located differently in the different groups: close to the tip of the incisors in continental mice, and
283 much more posteriorly in Guillou mice. Differences between groups were significant (permanova on
284 $PC1 = 81.2\%$ and $PC2 = 15.6\%$: $P = 0.0019$). Pairwise tests showed no difference between years in
285 Guillou ($P = 0.2617$) but significant differences between Cologne-Bonn and the two years in Guillou
286 (CB vs G1993: $P = 0.0284$; CB vs G2009: $P = 0.0305$).

287

288 Discussion

289 *A functionally-relevant difference in lower incisor shape between omnivorous and predator house*
290 *mice*

291 So far rodent incisors have not received much attention, possibly because of their simple shape. Yet,
292 incisors assure initial food processing and acquisition; as such, they evolved in response to various
293 functions such as digging, cutting up food, piercing and capturing preys (Martin *et al.*, 2016).

294 Compared to omnivorous-granivorous rodents, genera adapted to carnivory tend to display thin,
295 narrow incisors with a straighter curvature improving their functioning for piercing preys (Fabre *et*
296 *al.*, 2017; Martin *et al.*, 2016; Rowe *et al.*, 2016). Compared to these cases of advanced specialization,
297 incisors of Guillou mice were only moderately modified. Only lower incisors were consistently
298 different from their continental commensal relatives, but they did not differ in incisor depth or
299 curvature. The geometric differences rather involved the bevel angle, sharper in Guillou mice, and
300 hence of adaptive value to stab preys. This limited amount of morphological differentiation may be

301 due to the different evolutionary scales considered: **Mice were introduced on the Kerguelen**
 302 **archipelago ~150 years ago. Compared to interspecific or even intergeneric evolution, this is a short**
 303 **time span** to adapt to local conditions, and **even the carnivorous trend of Guillou mice**, displaying an
 304 increased component of invertebrates and especially earthworms (Le Roux *et al.*, 2002) **is less**
 305 extreme than specialist carnivorous genera (Fabre *et al.*, 2017; Rowe *et al.*, 2016).

306

307 *Contrasted response of the upper and lower incisors to diet shift*

308 The upper incisors of Guillou mice did not display a sharper bevel, as their lower counterpart. Rather,
 309 they were characterized by a more pronounced notch in the bevel than continental commensal
 310 relatives. This difference, however, was only significant for the oldest sample in Guillou, trapped in
 311 1993. **This contrasts with the consistent differentiation of the lower incisors between Guillou and**
 312 **continental mice.**

313 The differential response of the lower and upper incisors to the dietary shift is probably related to
 314 their different role during occlusion. While gnawing, the rodent anchor its head with the upper
 315 incisors while the lowers work as chisels (Ness, 1956), which come into occlusion just behind the
 316 upper incisors (Ness, 1956). If food particles do not fully prevent tooth-tooth contact (attrition), the
 317 enamel of the lower incisor could thus get in contact with the dentine of the upper incisor, sculpting
 318 a notch into it. **During this movement, the lower incisor acts as** the active pestle in a sort of “pestle-
 319 and-mortar” system (Müller *et al.*, 2014), **submitting it** to higher functional demand **than the upper**
 320 **incisor. This differential role during occlusion could explain the** clearer adaptive response to a diet
 321 **shift of the lower incisors compared to the upper ones.** In contrast, the notch in the upper incisor
 322 bevel would be a passive consequence of food comminution **on the “mortar” of the system**, more
 323 marked if attrition is stronger. Based on tooth microwear analyses, mice before rabbit eradication in
 324 1994 displayed a signature of animal-dominated feeders, switching thereafter towards a more
 325 generalist diet (Renaud, Gomes Rodrigues, *et al.*, 2015) despite the persistence of animal preys in
 326 their diet (Le Roux *et al.*, 2002). Invertebrates, and especially earthworms whose availability
 327 decreased over the years in Guillou because of increasing summer drought (Lebouvier *et al.*, 2011),
 328 offer little resistance to occlusion, leading to increased tooth-tooth contact while seizing prey. The
 329 marked notch in the upper incisor of the oldest Guillou sample, in 1993 and hence before rabbit
 330 eradication, probably testify of the abundance of such soft preys in the diet of the mice at that point.

331

332 *Incisor response within a complex masticatory apparatus*

333 In rodents, incisors and molars cannot come in occlusion at the same time (Cox & Jeffery, 2011) and
334 they are involved in different functions, biting at the incisors and chewing at the molars. The jaw is
335 moved by different masticatory muscles, the temporal and masseter muscles being mainly involved
336 during incision and chewing respectively. Depending on the diet, jaw shape is thus submitted to
337 different adaptive pressures to optimize incisor or molar biting. Guillole mice, and more generally
338 Sub-Antarctic mice which all display a shift towards a more predatory behaviour (Copson, 1986;
339 Smith *et al.*, 2002), have been shown to display biomechanical adaptation of jaw morphology to
340 optimize incisor biting (Renaud, Gomes Rodrigues, *et al.*, 2015; Renaud *et al.*, 2018). The sharp lower
341 incisor bevel evidenced by the present study is well adapted to piercing and capturing prey, thus
342 reflecting an adaptation of the incisor tool itself, and not only of the mandible moving it into
343 occlusion. Sharp blade tips are indeed advantageous to faunivorous mammals because they are
344 efficient to produce an initial tear in the tough foodstuff, such as insect cuticle (Popowics & Fortelius,
345 1997). The concerted changes of the incisor and jaw hence provides evidences of an integrated
346 adaptation of the masticatory apparatus in response to the diet shift towards a predatory behaviour.

347 Jaw shape was also shown to respond to the environmental changes over years in Guillole, with the
348 pronounced difference between Guillole and continental mice fading out through years (Renaud,
349 Gomes Rodrigues, *et al.*, 2015; Renaud *et al.*, 2013). The jaw shape change through years was
350 interpreted as **result of** an improved investment in the mineralization of the mandibular bone,
351 **derived from the intake of better quality food**. The signature observed on the upper incisor is very
352 similar and is likely due to the same resource adjustment despite retaining an overall predatory
353 behaviour.

354

355 *Incisor shape change through growth and interaction with response to diet*

356 The size of the incisor increases throughout animal's life (Harari *et al.*, 2005) but incisor growth
357 decelerate with age, due to a decrease in the width of the daily increments (Klevezal & Shchepotkin,
358 2012). In the present study, a deceleration of growth was obvious for the upper incisor but not for
359 the lower incisor. This suggests that wear related to occlusion and attrition was not enough to
360 perfectly counterbalance a growth occurring twice as fast as for the upper incisors. Allometric
361 change, as the response to diet, was discrete in the upper incisor, and both involved the sculpting of
362 a notch into the bevel. Being related to attrition during food comminution, this notch seems to
363 increase with age but more markedly in mice relying on soft-food items. Regarding the lower incisor,
364 both allometry and predator diet were associated with a sharper bevel. This shows that the
365 sharpening of the bevel occurs while functioning during the animal's life, providing mice with a

366 sharper tool with increasing age. Active attritional behavior may be at least partly responsible for this
367 tapering of the cutting edge of the lower incisor. The more pronounced allometric changes in
368 continental than Guillole mice may be related to **two not mutually exclusive factors: the higher**
369 **prevalence of young individuals** in the continental sample, and/or **the existence of a higher variability**
370 **in diet consistency along the life of** continental mice.

371

372 *Incisor continuous growth allowing for a dynamic sculpting as a response to diet*

373 Incisor growth can be considerably modulated by the use of the incisor itself. The absence of
374 occlusion has been shown to double their rate of eruption in rats. In contrast, soft food causing little
375 abrasion slowed down eruption rate by as much as 35% (Burn-Murdoch, 1993; Taylor & Butcher,
376 1951). Active attrition behavior probably participates to this modulation, contributing to maintain
377 the incisor to an adequate length for occlusion (Taylor & Butcher, 1951). The process of adjusting
378 eruption rate to a diet change occurs within few days (Ness, 1956; Taylor & Butcher, 1951).
379 Furthermore, difference in diet consistency were reported to cause differences in the bevel angle
380 that mimic those observed between continental mice, mostly relying on grains, and Guillole mice,
381 largely relying on invertebrate prey. Hard food particles fracture the cutting edge of the incisor, that
382 is sharpened again by attrition of the incisors against each other, but this leads to a greater bevel
383 angle than in unbroken teeth (Taylor & Butcher, 1951). Such pronounced abrasion requires increased
384 eruption so that the two processes counterbalance for an efficient occlusion.

385 Continuous growth of the incisors is achieved by a population of stem cells located at the cervical
386 end of the incisor (Sharpe, 2016). From these stem cell population, ameloblasts differentiate that
387 generate enamel in a centripetal direction towards the dentine; whereas odontoblasts, located at the
388 external border of the pulp cavity, generate the dentine in a centrifugal direction towards the
389 enamel. Stem cells are even present at the apex of the pulp cavity, being able to produce restorative
390 dentine in case of exposure of the pulp due to abrasion (Pang *et al.*, 2016). Increased eruption rate,
391 however, is not associated with an increased deposition of dentine and enamel, nor with a
392 displacement of the base of the incisor (Ness, 1956). As a consequence of a decreased quantity of
393 dentine and enamel material per unit of erupted tooth, tooth walls are thinner and the pulp cavity is
394 wider in rabbits experiencing accelerated incisor growth (Ness, 1956). Such a change in the dynamics
395 of eruption was traced here by the differences in internal structure of the lower incisors between
396 continental and Guillole mice. Continental mice, for which incisor eruption rate should be higher to
397 match a higher abrasion, displayed a pulp cavity going much further anteriorly than in Guillole mice.
398 In contrast, the pulp cavity hardly reached beyond the zone of molar insertion in Guillole mice. Even

399 the place where mineralized enamel could be detected tended to be located more posteriorly than in
400 continental mice. This can be interpreted as the consequence of more time for depositing enamel
401 and dentine material in the slower growing Guillou incisors. All changes observed between
402 continental and Guillou incisors are thus compatible with a purely plastic response allowed by the
403 modulation of incisor continuous growth. More profound geometric changes, involving incisor depth
404 and curvature were not observed in Guillou mice. The incisor enamel of rodents is usually iron-
405 enriched, leading to a harder enamel allowing to sustain important wear. This enamel-hardening is
406 lost in some specialized carnivorous rodents (Rowe *et al.*, 2016). There is no evidence for such a
407 trend in Guillou mice, since mean enamel density appeared to be similar than in continental mice.
408 Changes in such traits would involve the selection of heritable characters, requiring a longer time to
409 evolve in order to lead to specialized phenotypes as those observed in carnivorous taxa (Fabre *et al.*,
410 2017; Rowe *et al.*, 2016). The incisors of Guillou mice may thus exemplify the adaptive potential of
411 plastic changes to face environmental challenges at an ecological time-scale (Ghalambor *et al.*, 2007).

412

413 *Conclusion*

414 Consistent differences in the lower incisor bevel have been shown between continental mice,
415 generally relying on hard food stuffs such as grain, and Guillou mice, that switched their diet towards
416 an increased predatory component. According to functional expectations, the bevel of predatory
417 mice displays a sharper cutting edge allowing for an improved perforation of prey. This bevel shape
418 characteristic of Guillou mice may be largely, if not entirely, the product of a plastic modulation of
419 incisor growth, with a balance between active attritional behavior tapering the cutting edge of the
420 lower incisor, and decreased eruption rate modulated to match decreased abrasion. Such a role of
421 plasticity in tooth geometry and dynamics is largely underestimated, because teeth are usually not
422 prone to plastic variations. Being ever-growing, rodent incisors can however vary in response to
423 environmental differences within the time span of an animal's life, and even over few days. The
424 dynamic sharpening of the bevel would thus constitute another case of tooth sculpting, described so
425 far for particular molar morphology able to perform equally well when unworn and worn, and thus
426 adapted for safeguarding against dental senescence (Pampush *et al.*, 2016).

427 Investigating the internal structure of the incisor showed that such change in eruption dynamics lead
428 to profound differences in the pulp cavity and even on the place where enamel and dentine
429 mineralized. Because increased eruption rate is not associated with increased rate of enamel and
430 dentine deposition, the whole structure of mineralization is changed, being shifted towards the tip of
431 the incisor. Such internal signature enables to make a link between evolutionary and behavioral

432 aspects and developmental studies on the mouse incisor (Pang *et al.*, 2016; Sharpe, 2016) and may
 433 open the way to investigate eco-evo-devo dynamics of incisor adaptation based on material available
 434 in collections.

435

436 *Acknowledgements*

437 We thank Renaud Lebrun (ISEM, Montpellier) for his contribution in managing CT scans, produced
 438 within the technical facilities of the MRI platform and of the labEx CeMEB. We also warmly thank the
 439 horse stable Les Peupliers (Balan) for their authorization and support during the trapping, and Jean-
 440 Louis Chapuis for his initiation and long-lasting participation to the IPEV program on Guillou. The
 441 manuscript benefited from stimulating discussions within the GDR 'Phenotypic Plasticity' and from
 442 constructive comments from two anonymous reviewers. This study was supported by the French
 443 Polar Institute (IPEV programme 136) and by the CNRS (Zone Atelier de Recherches sur
 444 l'Environnement Antarctique et Subantarctique).

445

446 **References**

- 447 Adams, C. D., & Otarola-Castillo, E. (2013). Geomorph: An r package for the collection and analysis of
 448 geometric morphometric shape data. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 4, 393-399.
- 449 Burn-Murdoch, R. A. (1993). The effect of the consistency of the diet on eruption rates and lengths of
 450 incisor teeth in rats. *Archives of Oral Biology*, 38, 699-706.
- 451 Chapuis, J.-L., Frenot, Y., & Lebouvier, M. (2004). Recovery of native plant communities after
 452 eradication of rabbits from the subantarctic kerguelen islands, and influence of climate
 453 change. *Biological Conservation*, 117, 167-179.
- 454 Chapuis, J.-L., Le Roux, V., Asseline, J., & Kerleau, F. (2001). Eradication of rabbits (*oryctolagus*
 455 *cuniculus*) by poisoning on three islands of the subantarctic kerguelen archipelago. *Wildlife*
 456 *Research*, 28, 323-331.
- 457 Copson, G. R. (1986). The diet of the introduced rodents *mus musculus* l. And *rattus rattus* l. On sub-
 458 antarctic macquarie island. *Australia Wildlife Research*, 13, 441-445.
- 459 Cox, P. G., & Jeffery, N. (2011). Reviewing the morphology of the jaw-closing musculature in squirrels,
 460 rats, and guinea pigs with contrast-enhanced microct. *The Anatomical Record*, 294, 915-928.
- 461 Evans, A. R., Wilson, G. P., Fortelius, M., & Jernvall, J. (2007). High-level similarity of dentitions in
 462 carnivorans and rodents. *Nature*, 445, 78-81.
- 463 Fabre, P.-H., Hautier, L., Dimitrov, D., & Douzery, E. J. P. (2012). A glimpse on the pattern of rodent
 464 diversification: A phylogenetic approach. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, 12, 88.
- 465 Fabre, P.-H., Herrel, A., Fitriana, Y., Meslin, L., & Hautier, L. (2017). Masticatory muscle architecture in
 466 a water-rat from australasian (murinae, *hydromys*) and its implication for the evolution of
 467 carnivory in rodents. *Journal of Anatomy*, 231, 380-397.
- 468 Ghalambor, C. K., McKay, J. M., Carroll, S. P., & Reznick, D. N. (2007). Adaptive versus non-adaptive
 469 phenotypic plasticity and the potential for contemporary adaptation in new environments.
 470 *Functional Ecology*, 21, 394-407.
- 471 Hammer, Ø., Harper, D. A. T., & Ryan, P. D. (2001). Past: Paleontological statistics software package
 472 for education and data analysis. *Palaeontological Electronica*, 4, 1-9.

- 473 Harari, D., Hermolin, G., & Harari, O. (2005). The effect of age on morphology and eruption of the
474 lower incisors in mature rats. *Archives of Oral Biology*, 50, 953-958.
- 475 Hardouin, E., Chapuis, J.-L., Stevens, M. I., van Vuuren, J. B., Quillfeldt, P., Scavetta, R. J., et al. (2010).
476 House mouse colonization patterns on the sub-antarctic kerguelen archipelago suggest
477 singular primary invasions and resilience against re-invasion. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, 10,
478 325.
- 479 Jernvall, J., Hunter, J. P., & Fortelius, M. (1996). Molar tooth diversity, disparity, and ecology in
480 cenozoic ungulate radiations. *Science*, 274, 1489-1492.
- 481 Kidder, J. H. (1876). The natural history of the kerguelen island. *The American Naturalist*, 10, 481-484.
- 482 Klevezal, G. A., & Shchepotkin, D. V. (2012). Incisor growth rate in rodents and the record of the
483 entire annual cycle in the incisors of *marmota baibacina centralis*. *Biology Bulletin*, 39, 684-
484 691.
- 485 Landry, S. O. (1970). The rodents as omnivores. *The Quaterly Review of Biology*, 45, 351-372.
- 486 Laparie, M., Lebouvier, M., Lalouette, L., & Renault, D. (2010). Variations of morphometric traits in
487 populations of an invasive carabid predator (*merizodus soledadinus*) within a sub-antarctic
488 island. *Biological Invasions*, 12, 3405-3417.
- 489 Le Roux, V., Chapuis, J.-L., Frenot, Y., & Vernon, P. (2002). Diet of the house mouse (*mus musculus*)
490 on guillou island, kerguelen archipelago, subantarctic. *Polar Biology*, 25, 49-57.
- 491 Lebouvier, M., Laparie, M., Hullé, M., Marais, A., Cozic, Y., Lalouette, L., et al. (2011). The significance
492 of the sub-antarctic kerguelen islands for the assessment of the vulnerability of native
493 communities to climate change, alien insect invasions and plant viruses. *Biological Invasions*,
494 13, 1195-1208.
- 495 Lowe, S., Browne, M., Boudjelas, S., & De Poorter, M. 2000. 100 of the world's worst invasive alien
496 species. A selection from the global invasive species database. pp. 1-12): The Invasive Species
497 Specialist Group (ISSG) a specialist group of the Species Survival Commission (SSC) of the
498 World Conservation Union (IUCN).
- 499 Martin, S. A., Alhajeri, B. H., & Stepan, S. J. (2016). Dietary adaptations in the teeth of murine
500 rodents (muridae): A test of biomechanical predictions. *Biological Journal of the Linnean
501 Society*, 119, 766-784.
- 502 Meredith, A. L., Prebble, J. L., & Shaw, D. J. (2015). Impact of diet on incisor growth and attrition and
503 the development of dental disease in pet rabbits. *Journal of Small Animal Practice*, 56, 377-
504 382.
- 505 Millien-Parra, V. (2000). Species differentiation among muroid rodents on the basis of their lower
506 incisor size and shape: Ecological and taxonomical implications. *Mammalia*, 64, 221-239.
- 507 Müller, J., Clauss, M., Codron, D., Schulz, E., Hummel, J., Fortelius, M., et al. (2014). Growth and wear
508 of incisor and cheek teeth in domestic rabbits (*oryctogalus cuniculus*) fed diets of different
509 abrasiveness. *Journal of Experimental Zoology, Part A*, 321A, 283-298.
- 510 Ness, A. R. (1956). The response of the rabbit mandibular incisor to experimental shortening and to
511 the prevention of its eruption. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, Biological Sciences
512 (serie B)*, 146, 129-154.
- 513 Pampush, J. D., Spradley, J. P., Morse, P. E., Harrington, A. R., Allen, K. L., Boyer, D. M., et al. (2016).
514 Wear and its effects on dental topography measures in howling monkeys (*alouatta palliata*).
- 515 Pang, Y. W. Y., Feng, J., Daltoe, F., Fatscher, R., Gentleman, E., Gentleman, M. M., et al. (2016).
516 Perivascular stem cells at the tip of mouse incisors regulate tissue regeneration. *Journal of
517 Bone and Mineral Research*, 31, 514-523.
- 518 Popowics, T. E., & Fortelius, M. (1997). On the cutting edge: Tooth blade sharpness in herbivorous
519 and faunivorous mammals. *Annales Zoologici Fennici*, 34, 73-88.
- 520 Renaud, S., Dufour, A.-B., Hardouin, E. A., Ledevin, R., & Auffray, J.-C. (2015). Once upon multivariate
521 analyses: When they tell several stories about biological evolution. *PLoS ONE*, 10, e0132801.
- 522 Renaud, S., Gomes Rodrigues, H., Ledevin, R., Pisanu, B., Chapuis, J.-L., & Hardouin, E. A. (2015). Fast
523 morphological response of house mice to anthropogenic disturbances on a sub-antarctic
524 island. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 114, 513-526.

- 525 Renaud, S., Hardouin, E. A., Pisanu, B., & Chapuis, J.-L. (2013). Invasive house mice facing a changing
526 environment on the sub-antarctic guillou island (kerguelen archipelago). *Journal of*
527 *Evolutionary Biology*, 26, 612-624.
- 528 Renaud, S., Hardouin, E. A., Quéré, J.-P., & Chevret, P. (2017). Morphometric variations at an
529 ecological scale: Seasonal and local variations in feral and commensal house mice.
530 *Mammalian Biology*, 87, 1-12.
- 531 Renaud, S., Ledevin, R., Pisanu, B., Chapuis, J.-L., Quillfeldt, P., & Hardouin, E. A. (2018). Divergent in
532 shape and convergent in function: Adaptive evolution of the mandible in sub-antarctic mice.
533 *Evolution*, 72, 878-892.
- 534 Rohlf, F. J., & Slice, D. (1990). Extensions of the procrustes method for the optimal superimposition of
535 landmarks. *Systematic Zoology*, 39, 40-59.
- 536 Rowe, K. C., Achmadi, A. S., & Esselstyn, J. A. (2016). Repeated evolution of carnivory among indo-
537 australian rodents. *Evolution*, 70, 653-665.
- 538 Samuels, J. X., & Van Valkenburgh, B. (2009). Craniodental adaptations for digging in extinct
539 burrowing beavers. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology*, 29, 254-268.
- 540 Schlager, S. 2017. Morpho and rvcg - shape analysis in {r}. In G. Zheng, S. Li & G. Székely (Eds)
541 *Statistical shape and deformation analysis*. pp. 217-256): Academic Press.
- 542 Sharpe, P. T. (2016). Dental mesenchymal stem cells. *Development*, 143, 2273-2280.
- 543 Smith, V. R., Avenant, N. L., & Chown, S. L. (2002). The diet and impact of house mice on a sub-
544 antarctic island. *Polar Biology*, 25, 703-715.
- 545 Stepan, S. J., & Schenk, J. J. (2017). Muroid rodent phylogenetics: 900-species tree reveals
546 increasing diversification rates. *PLoS ONE*, 12, e0183070.
- 547 Taylor, A. C., & Butcher, E. O. (1951). The regulation of eruption rate in the incisor teeth of the white
548 rat. *Journal of Experimental Zoology*, 117, 165-188.
- 549 van Aarde, R. J., & Jackson, T. P. (2007). Food, reproduction and survival in mice on sub-antarctic
550 marion island. *Polar Biology*, 30, 503-511.
- 551
- 552
- 553
- 554

555 **Table**

Lower incisor	Locality	Group	N	Balan	CB	Gardouch	Tourch	G1993	G2001	G2008	G2009
	Balan	Continent	14	-							
	CB	Continent	14	<i>0.0083</i>	-						
	Gardouch	Continent	68	0.0277	0.0261	-					
	Tourch	Continent	88	0.1111	<i>0.0020</i>	0.0190	-				
	G1993	Guillou	22	0.0002	0.0804	0.0002	0.0001	-			
	G2001	Guillou	19	0.0007	0.0001	0.0002	0.0004	0.0001	-		
	G2008	Guillou	20	0.0005	0.0001	0.0001	0.0009	0.0001	0.7118	-	
	G2009	Guillou	22	<i>0.0065</i>	0.0007	<i>0.0026</i>	0.0006	0.0186	0.0105	0.0427	-
Upper incisor				Balan	CB	Gardouch	Tourch	G1993	G2001	G2008	G2009
	Balan	Continent	9	-							
	CB	Continent	14	0.0389	-						
	Gardouch	Continent	59	0.0008	0.3080	-					
	Tourch	Continent	59	0.0847	0.0645	0.0001	-				
	G1993	Guillou	16	<i>0.0026</i>	0.0010	0.0001	0.0001	-			
	G2001	Guillou	16	0.0181	0.0618	0.0174	<i>0.0014</i>	0.0801	-		
	G2008	Guillou	16	<i>0.0021</i>	0.0932	0.0897	0.0001	0.0113	0.2503	-	
	G2009	Guillou	20	<i>0.0033</i>	0.4857	0.0515	0.0108	<i>0.0011</i>	0.0200	0.0323	

556

557 Table 1. Incisor shape differentiation based on non-parametric permanova. Upper panel: lower
558 incisor; shape variables: first three PC axes. Lower panel: upper incisor; shape variables: first four PC
559 axes. N: sample size. Probabilities of pairwise permanova are provided, based on 9999 permutations.
560 In italics: $P < 0.01$; in bold $P < 0.001$.

561

562 **Figure captions**

563

564 **Figure 1.** Data set for the quantification of the incisors shape and internal structure. (A) Example of
565 right upper and lower incisor on the mouse head, with the set of 2D landmarks and semi-landmarks
566 used for the 2D shape analysis in the yellow inserts. (B) Lingual profile of a right hemi-mandible,
567 based on μ CT-scans. The surface of the mandible including teeth, of the dentine and of the enamel
568 were segmented using constant grey value (GV) thresholds. The transparency allows visualizing the
569 location of the pulp cavity and of the enamel layer. Arrowheads point to the five landmarks used to
570 describe the internal structure of the incisor relative to the mandible: tip of the incisor, anteriormost
571 point of the bone along the incisor, posterior extremity of the condyle (in grey); apex of the pulp
572 cavity (in purple) and basis of the enamel layer (in blue).

573

574 **Figure 2.** Lower incisor size and shape variation. A. Incisor centroid size vs. body weight. B. Allometric
 575 incisor shape variation, depicted as the variation of the allometric regression score vs. incisor
 576 centroid size. Dotted lines correspond to the linear trends in the two groups (continent and Guillou).
 577 C. Allometric shape difference between the smallest and the largest incisor (no magnification). D.
 578 Differentiation in incisor shape between the different mouse populations, along the first two axes of
 579 a Canonical Variate Analysis on the aligned coordinates. Guillou populations: light green, G1993;
 580 green, G2001; light blue, G2008; dark blue: G2009. Continental populations: red: Balan; pink: Tournich;
 581 violet: Gardouch; yellow: CB. E. Mean shape difference between continental and Guillou incisors
 582 (magnification: x2).

583

584 **Figure 3.** Upper incisor size and shape variation. A. Incisor centroid size vs. body weight. B. Allometric
 585 shape difference between the smallest and the largest incisor (no magnification). C. Differentiation in
 586 incisor shape between the different mouse populations, along the first two axes of a Canonical
 587 Variate Analysis on the aligned coordinates. Guillou populations: light green, G1993; green, G2001;
 588 light blue, G2008; dark blue: G2009. Continental populations: red: Balan; pink: Tournich; violet:
 589 Gardouch; yellow: CB. D. Mean shape difference between continental and Guillou incisors
 590 (magnification: x2).

591

592

593 **Figure 4.** Internal structure of the lower incisor in a subset of continental (brown dots) and Guillou
 594 mice (green and blue dots), based on μ CT-scan data. A. Minimal grey value of a cross-section of the
 595 lower incisor between the bevel and the insertion in the mandibular bone. B. Example of a
 596 continental and a Guillou mandible, with mandible, dentine and enamel segmented with constant
 597 grey value thresholds. Arrowheads point to the five landmarks describing the internal structure of
 598 the incisor relative to the mandible. Grey arrowheads: tip of the incisor, anteriormost point of the
 599 bone along the incisor and coronoid posterior extremity. Purple arrowhead: apex of the pulp cavity;
 600 blue arrowhead: enamel basis. The pulp cavity extends much more anteriorly in continental mice. C.
 601 Plot of the PCA based on the aligned coordinates of the five landmarks. Brown: Cologne-Bonn (CB), in
 602 blue: Guillou 1993 and in darkblue: Guillou 2009. D. Visualization of the deformation along PC1.
 603 Right: configuration at the minimum value along PC1; left, configuration at the maximum value along
 604 PC1.

605

606

Area	Country	Locality	Code	N _{LowInc}	N _{UppInc}	N _{3D}	Collection
Continent	Germany	Cologne-Bonn	CB	14	14	4	MPI Plön, stored at the LBBE
	France	Balan	Balan	14	9		LBBE
		Gardouch	Gardouch	68	59		CBGP
		Tourch	Tourch	88	59		CBGP
Kerguelen		Guillou 1993	G1993	22	16	4	LBBE
		Guillou 2001	G2001	19	16		LBBE
		Guillou 2008	G2008	20	16		LBBE
		Guillou 2009	G 2009	22	20	4	LBBE

607 Supplementary Table 1. Area, country, locality and code (abbreviation) for the different sampled
608 populations. N_{LowInc}: number of lower incisors measured in 2D. N_{UppInc}: number of upper incisors
609 measured in 2D. N_{3D}: number of lower incisors included in the 3D analysis. Collection: source and
610 place of locations of the skulls. MPI Plön: Max Plank Institut of Evolutionary Biology, Plön, Germany;
611 CBGP: Centre de Biologie et Gestion des Populations, Baillarguet, France; LBBE: Laboratoire de
612 Biométrie et Biologie Evolutive, France.

613

614

615 **Supplementary material captions**

616 Supplementary Table 1. Area, country, locality and code (abbreviation) for the different sampled
617 populations. NLowInc: number of lower incisors measured in 2D. NUppInc: number of upper incisors
618 measured in 2D. N3D: number of lower incisors included in the 3D analysis. Collection: source and
619 place of locations of the skulls. MPI Plön: Max Plank Institut of Evolutionary Biology, Plön, Germany;
620 CBGP: Centre de Biologie et Gestion des Populations, Baillarguet, France; LBBE: Laboratoire de
621 Biométrie et Biologie Evolutive, France.

622

623 **Supplementary Figure 1.** Map of the localities considered in this study.

624 **Supplementary Figure 2.** Consensus shape of the upper and lower incisors for continental and
625 Guillole mice.

626 **Supplementary Figure 3.** Cross-section of lower incisor between the basis of the bevel and the
627 insertion of the bone, for Cologne-Bonn (brown, upper row), Guillole 1993 (green, mid row) and
628 Guillole 2009 (blue, lower row) mice. Right, location of the cross-section on a mandible (bone in
629 violet, dentine in pink, enamel in orange).

630 **Supplementary data 1.** Raw data for the lower incisor geometric morphometrics.

631 **Supplementary data 2.** Raw data for the upper incisor geometric morphometrics.

632