## Introducing and online portfolio for practice placement assessments

Dr Ian Donaldson Principal Academic, Bournemouth University
Lucy Stainer Senior Lecturer in Adult Nursing, Bournemouth University
Karen Cooper Lecturer in Adult Nursing, Bournemouth University

This is the final version of the paper for submission which was accepted post peer review and had some editorial changes made prior to publication.

Key Words: Practice Assessment; Online Documentation; Students; Feedback

# 5 key points

- Planning, training and administrative support is essential prior to implementing a new method of assessment documentation
- Online practice assessment enhances the quality of feedback and feedforward
- Facilitates quality assurance, verification and readability
- Sustainable, safe and secure platform for practice assessment records accessible by those involved
- Offers opportunity to ease transition and implementation of the new NMC standards of supervision and assessment (2018)

### Abstract:

Practice assessment within nursing programmes have been predominately print-based. This paper explores the challenges and the lessons learnt when implementing an Online Practice of Assessment and Learning Tool (OPAL) within a UK University to 1200 undergraduate pre-registration nursing students. There have been continued concerns and challenges regarding practice assessment and documentation due to subjectivity and the lack of consistency (Burden 2017) and transferring to on-line was to address some of these issues. Following publication of the Nursing and Midwifery Council (2018) Standards for future supervision and assessment, OPAL is an ideal tool to support the implementation of these new standards.

# **Introduction and Background**

Nursing remains a practice based discipline, within the United Kingdom (UK) preregistration programmes consist of 50% theory and 50% practice. In practice all
nursing students currently have designated registered nurses (RN) who are
responsible for overseeing the student's practice learning and assessing their clincial
competency. The RNs role is therefore pivotal in the process in supporting and
developing students to acquire and meet the competencies required during practice
placements. The university has used a print-based practice profile and our internal
moderation of the print-based portfolios with practice partners consistently
identified a number of issues (table 1). These issues resonated with the literature
that has identified the complexity and challenges regarding practice assessment.
Key factors have been identified related to the number of individuals involved,
variations in feedback, timing of interviews and inconsistency in the overall
documentation (Helminen et al. 2016).

Table 1: Problems identified using print-based practice assessment records

Issues with print-based practice assessment records		
Illegibility	Handwriting and signatures	
	Difficulty in signature confirmation	
Incomplete Records	Missing Dates	
Feedback	Poor quality and quantity of feedback	
Grading	Absence of any feedback or rationale for grade awarded	
Interviews	Inconsistent recordings	
	Untimely or absent records of discussions/ interviews	
Accessibility	RN only able to access print-based record if student provides access	
	Academic staff only able to see print based record once submitted	
Documents	Lost and damaged	
	Maintaining intact documents	
Student Engagement	Minimal reflections / comments on placement learning	
Support	Reduced opportunity for timely support offered by BU staff during	
	placement	
Moderation and	Administration support needed for processing; Required recording	
Submission	and collection of bulky documents: 300 plus documents on	
	submission date;	

Bulky transportation for personal tutors when checking their students group practice assessment record (average 35 students per group)

Authenticity of Signature

Consideration was therefore taken regarding how these issues could be addressed via a move to an online format and a scoping exercise was undertaken, reviewing available options. A steering group of academics and practice partners formed to scope what was required of an online portfolio and to review current options available. A number of commercial portfolio packages and platforms were identified, and this led to the team developing a specification for the future online portfolio and seeking through a tender exercise for providers to bid for the work to develop the online portfolio.

### **Early Preparation**

During initial discussions with practice partners a number of concerns were raised to the implementation of an online portfolio (Table 2). Concerns were raised that there would be sufficient access to computers to enable RN to complete the online portfolio. This was a concern also raised by Morgan and Dyer (2015) and by Andrews and Cole (2015). For stakeholders, another early concern was whether the online tool would be accessible using NHS Trust computers and would be secure. The steering group engaged with Trust IT directors and the domain for the online tool was set up so that access could be tested and to demonstrate security and stability when accessing from NHS sites. With support from the portfolio developers the necessary certificates demonstrating compliance with security protocols was provided. The question of how academic fraud could be prevented was also uppermost in academic and stakeholders concerns as to confidence that the grades and comments were from RN. Work was undertaken to be clear on the rules of what students, RN and academic staff could grade, through secure logins, to mitigate the risk of academic fraud. Andrews and Cole (2015) identified from their experience the areas of concern that implementation of e-portfolios bring and these were similar to what was found at the start of discussion with practice partners.

Table 2: Initial concerns raised by practice partners

## **Moving to online - Initial Concerns**

- 1. Access to online platform through NHS / Health care organisation firewalls
- 2. Access to and use of computers
- 2. Managing concerns around security
- 3. Training of RN
- 4. Preparation of students and academic staff
- 5. Development of systems for support

#### **Implementation**

Early decisions, later to be evaluated as a key success, were for a staged implementation starting with students commencing their programme. At the university, there are 2 intakes of the Adult Nursing pre-registration programme with a smaller February intake (n=75). It was decided that implementation for a smaller number of students would enable more effective training and support for staff and students. A further decision which proved to be successful was to replicate the print-based tool into the online format. This meant that RN were familiar with the assessment process and only had to adapt to recording their assessment and comments online. This helped in preparing RN as the focus was on the online platform rather than the documentation. Cassidy et al. (2012) found that one of the challenges for RN was linked to interpreting and applying the programme competencies, which was negated during this process.

OPAL was set up with individual secure logins related to roles and therefore only RN logins could assess and grade students. Student logins could only view, record spoke visits, interview feedback and add their reflections. Personal tutor access allowed academic staff to review the student's progress while they were on placement, enter feedback and record any tripartite meetings to facilitate close co-operation between all parties. This was visible to both student and RN if needed to support communication. In respect of marking, on-line offers two further benefits in that OPAL provides clear information on when interviews and assessments were carried out and by whom. This data enhanced our ability to moderate and audit the assessment process and filled a gap often left by print based documents where

sections could be left blank. The online tool automatically enters key information such as dates and names of assessors so enhancing the quality assurance moderation process. Furthermore, as the university has graded practice since 2005, OPAL creates tutors with a cohort report, in which moderation identifies students to discuss in more detail with practice partners.

# **Initial Training and Support**

Previous published experiences of implementing e-portfolios (Andrews and Cole 2015) indicate the need for strategies to assist in the preparation of users and to assist in the cultural change which a shift from print to online portfolios bring. A range of newsletters and training materials were then incorporated into planned RN updates, learning and assessing courses and bespoke roadshows. The roadshows were seen as central to the implementation as they involved hands on demonstration of the online tool and allowed for user feedback which informed future newsletters and roadshows. Prior to the first cohort of students going into placement a directed training and support plan was delivered specifically to those placement areas due to receive the first students on OPAL. The university has a team of dedicated staff; University Practice Learning Adviser's (UPLA's) who support RN in their role and who act as the main link between practice and the university. They were key in disseminating the information specifically devised and targeted to the RN who would be using OPAL for the first cohort of nursing students. As the UPLA team support RN in practice they are instrumental in providing ongoing support to clinical areas as OPAL was rolled out.

## **Initial experiences**

The experience of the first student and RN users, in the main, was that students and RN had to adjust the practical arrangements of when to meet up to conduct interviews and recording assessment. However, the benefits of RN having real time access to the online portfolio meant they did not have to wait to have the student and print portfolio present together. Planning to meet and making time to undertake the documentation has been an ongoing issue for RNs (McIntosh et al. 2014) and an area of frustration for students. McCarthy & Murphy's (2010) study found that RNs expressed concern about not having time to assess effectively and this is a challenge alongside meeting the complexity and pressures of delivering care. Although the preparation can be undertaken, OPAL facilitates RN and student engagement in the interviews and feedback processes. By ensuring that the interview feedback has to be signed online by both RN and students. This has enhanced

engagement in the feedback process from all involved and supports the identification of

areas for future development, which was something not controlled via the print-based

version. Based on the initial experience of the first group of students in their evaluation,

students commented that while RN were confident in the competencies to be assessed they

noted a number of RNs were unsure how to access and use the online tool. In the initial

stages the OPAL helpdesk tracked calls and enquiries which clustered around

commencement and completion of placement revolving around the key steps for students

and RNs to start or complete the assessment. A review of the types of questions prompted

the team to revisit the student preparation, which is now an interactive session in a PC lab

and initiate a number of online quick reference guides aimed at students and RNs, breaking

down the skills and steps required to access and complete the online tool.

**Evaluation** 

At the time of writing this paper all nursing and midwifery students in the University are now

using OPAL. The steering group commissioned a number of evaluations to explore the

impact of the innovation. Our experience has shown that the initial concerns identified in

table 2 have not been significant issues.

Current data indicated that OPAL is accessed via:

Mobile Phones: 13%

Tablets: 5%

PC's: 81%: 33% of these were on NHS based computers

Despite thinking that as usage increased there would be a change in the devices used to

access OPAL, this appears to be stable and has not significantly changed. The snapshot

evaluation has shown that individuals use a variety of platforms to access OPAL, including

mobile devices with an average session log in time of 14 minutes, for all users, highlighting

the accessibility and real-time access. An issue which did emerge is the type of browsers

being used on devices which access OPAL, with some organisations not updating their

browsers to the most up to date version. This meant that some functionality in OPAL, such

as the editing of comments did not work.

**Feedback** 

As part of the evaluation process a small evaluation of feedback and feed-forward occurred

between the print-based practice assessment documentation and OPAL. A small sample (20

6 | Page

print based and 20 online selected at random) were utilised in the evaluation. A significant finding was not only the readability of the feedback in the online tool presented, but the quantity, quality, timeliness, student engagement and specific areas identified for student future learning that was considerably more evident in the on-line tool with no students evaluation on feedback found in the sample of 20 print based portfolio. In the online sample aver 75% of students entered a written review of their feedback and placement experience, and this figure is rising over time indicating greater student engagement with comments and feedback. Constructive and meaningful feedback has been defined as a mechanism which enables students to reflect on their performance and then identify areas for future improvement (Pollock et al. 2015). The initial evaluation identified improved engagement by students on the feedback received in OPAL with more dialogical reflection where student comments identified feedforward considering future improvements, in light of the feedback received. A literature review by Wells and McLoughlin (2014) highlighted the importance of feedback in ensuring the development of competent practitioners, however also identified that feedback processes frequently fail where feedback is not found to be useful or understood. Our tentative findings from OPAL feedback may address these issues in the future (table 3).

Table 3: Benefits of online tool

Key benefits of online tool		
Interviews	All comments and interviews in online tool	
	are readable and easily verified	
Documentation	All entries digitally signed by RN who has	
	completed assessment	
	Completed interviews with comments from	
	both registered nurse and student	
	Paperless which contributes to sustainable	
	practice with ease of storage	
Feedback	Increased quantity and quality of feedback	
	from RNs	
Student Engagement	Student comment upon interviews and	
	reflection evident on feedback from RNs	
	Increased identification in student	
	comments on future learning	

Accessibility	Secure as password protected; increased and
	faster access plus greater flexibility as tool
	available 24/7 to all those involved with the
	student and the assessment process.
Support	Personal Tutor able to see formative
	feedback, record of interviews, spoke visits,
	essential skills and service user feedback,
	whilst student is in placement
	Placement details; communicate between
	RN and student within OPAL
Moderation and Submission Process	Streamlined, immediate access;
	available cohort reports; cross campus, cross
	field moderation and external examiner
	access

#### **Future**

The drive to enhance digital literacy has become ever more important in today's health care with all staff needing to be ready to support change and innovation (Royal College of Nursing and NHS Education England 2017). The team will continue to develop student and RN guides and have already factored in additional timetabled PC lab training for students, prior to their placements, to develop confidence in navigating and understanding the online tool. Dedicated administrative and technical support has been essential in the success and funding has been secured to continue this support. In the future, we hope to explore whether feedback and feedforward supports students learning.

# Conclusion

The evolution from print-based approaches to online involved a number of stages to implement and despite several initial challenges, OPAL is now firmly embedded by all involved, across all fields of practice. We now have a quality, secure, sustainable system that has improved accessibility, engagement and the quality of feedback and feedforward. This now provides an excellent platform that will assist in the transition process for implementation of the new standards for supervision and assessment, facilitating access and documentation for the new roles of practice assessors, practice supervisors and academic

assessors. Further larger evaluations are planned to be undertaken, to continue to develop the quality of practice assessment.

#### References:

Andrews T, Cole C (2015) Two steps forward, one step back: The intricacies of engaging with eportfolios in nursing undergraduate education. *Nurse Education Today*. 35, 568-572.

Burden S (2017) Registered nurse judgements and decision-making in the assessment of student nurse competence in practice: a mixed-methods study. *Journal of Advanced Nursing* [online], 74 (5), 1078-1089.

Cassidy I, Butler M, Quillnan B, Egan G, McNamara M, Tuohy D, Bradshaw C, Fahy A, O'Connor M, Tierney C (2012) Preceptors' views of assessing nursing students using a competency-based approach. *Nurse Education in Practice* [online], 12 (6), 346-351.

Helminen K, Coco K, Johnson M, Turunen H, Tossavainen K (2016) Summative assessment of clinical practice of student nurses: A review of the literature. *International Journal of Nursing Studies* [online], 53, 308-319.

McCarthy B, Murphy S (2010) Preceptors' experiences of clinically educating and assessing undergraduate nursing students: An Irish context. *Journal of Nursing Management* [online], 18 (2), 234-244.

McIntosh A, Gidman J, Smith D (2014) Registered nurses' perceptions and experiences of supporting student nurses in practice. *International Journal of Nursing Practice* [online], 20 (4), 360-365.

Morgan P, Dyer C (2015) Implementing and e-Assessment of Professional Practice. *British Journal of Nursing* [online], 24 (21),

Nursing and Midwifery Council. 2018. *Realising professionalism: Standards for education and training. Part 2: Standards for student supervision and assessment* [online]. London: Nursing and Midwifery Council.

Pollock C H F, Rice A M, McMillan A (2015) *Registered nurses' and students' perspectives of feedback in practice assessment: a literature review* [online]. Scotland: NHS Education for Scotland.

Royal College of Nursing and NHS Health Education England (2017) *Improving Digital Literacy* [online]. London: Royal College of Nursing.

Wells L, McLoughlin M (2014) Fitness to practice and feedback to students: A literature review. *Nurse Education in Practice* [online], 14 (2), 137-141.