DOI: 10.1002/oa.2988

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Estimating the age of domestic fowl (*Gallus gallus domesticus* L. 1758) cockerels through spur development

Sean Paul Doherty¹ | Alison Foster² | Julia Best^{3,4} | Sheila Hamilton-Dyer⁴ | James Morris⁵ | Peta Sadler² | Caroline Skelton¹ | Rebecca Smallman¹ | Helina Woldekiros⁶ | Richard Thomas⁷ | Naomi Sykes¹

¹Department of Archaeology, University of Exeter, Exeter, UK

²Freelance, UK

³School of History, Archaeology and Religion, University of Cardiff, Cardiff, UK

⁴Department of Archaeology and Anthropology, Bournemouth University, Poole, UK

⁵School of Forensic and Applied Sciences, University of Central Lancashire, Preston, UK

⁶Department of Anthropology, Washington University in St. Louis, St. Louis, MO, USA

⁷School of Archaeology and Ancient History, University of Leicester, Leicester, UK

Correspondence

Sean Paul Doherty, Department of Archaeology, University of Exeter, Exeter, EX4 4QE, UK. Email: sean@palaeome.org

Funding information

Arts and Humanities Research Council, Grant/ Award Numbers: AH/L006979/1, AH/ P009018/1

Abstract

Determining the age of bird remains after the cessation of growth is challenging due to the absence of techniques such as tooth eruption and wear available for mammals. Without these techniques, it is difficult to reconstruct hunting strategies, husbandry regimes, and wider human-animal relationships. This paper presents a new method, developed from a collection (n = 71) of known-age specimens of domestic fowl (*Gallus gallus domesticus* L. 1758), for assessing age based on the fusion and size of the tarsometatarsal spur. Using this method, we reconstruct the demographics of domestic fowl from Iron Age to Early Modern sites in Britain to reveal the changing dynamics of human-domestic fowl relationships. We highlight the advanced age that cockerels often attained in their early history and how their life expectancies have subsequently declined.

KEYWORDS

aging, chicken, sexing, spur development, zooarchaeology

1 | INTRODUCTION

Determining the age of animals represented within archaeological assemblages is essential for understanding hunting strategies, husbandry regimes, and wider human-animal relationships (Ruscillo, 2015; Wilson et al., 1982). However, while the aging of mammals has received much attention (e.g., Grant, 1982; Jones & Sadler, 2012; Moran & O'Connor, 1994; Popkin et al., 2012), methodologies for aging avian remains are less well developed.

Ascertaining a bird's age antemortem is relatively straightforward: Most exhibit age-related variation in size, plumage, vocalizations, and social and sexual behavior (Ottinger, 1983; Owens & Hartley, 1998; Pettingill, 1985). Age estimations from skeletal remains are more challenging. Unlike mammal bones, bird bones have a low number of fusion points after hatching (Hogg, 1980). Bird long bones possess no epiphyseal centers of ossification; instead, the whole epiphysis remains cartilaginous during growth (Silver, 1969).

Recent attempts have been made to refine age estimations of young domestic fowl (*Gallus gallus domesticus* L. 1758) based on morphological and metrical analysis of the developing skeleton (Thomas et al., 2016), yet the absence of aging techniques applicable to mammals—such as dental eruption and wear patterns—renders estimations beyond age classes of "chick," "juvenile," or "adult" difficult.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2021 The Authors. International Journal of Osteoarchaeology published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

As with many other avian species (e.g., Nelson & Bookhout, 1980; Broughton et al., 2002), the number of circumferential cell layers (growth rings) present in domestic fowl bones shows no correlation with age (van Neer et al., 2002). Pathologies typically associated with senescence such as enthesophytes and degenerative joint disease may provide an indication of advanced age (Serjeantson & Morris, 2011), but their occurrence may be attributable to nutritional factors, dysplasia or pathogens (Fothergill, 2017; Fothergill et al., 2017; Sokoloff, 1959). There is, therefore, a need for osteological aging methods that allow routine assessment of bird age after the cessation of growth. The development of osseous spurs on the caudomedial aspect of tarsometatarsi in male Galliformes, such as domestic fowl, offers an opportunity for achieving such a method. The presence of spurs is the primary method of identifying cockerels¹ zooarchaeologically, although there remains uncertainty on the timing of their development and the potential for misidentifying young males (Allison, 1985; Doherty, 2013; Sadler, 1991; Sykes, 2012; West, 1982, 1983). Spurs may also develop in hens, driven by both a genetic predisposition of certain breeds (Boyer, 1917; Christmas & Harms, 1982) and abnormal endocrine function in later life (Domm, 1927; Fairfull & Gowe, 1986; Hutt, 1949; Morgan, 1920). External spur length is commonly

Breed	n	Sex	Method	Timing	Study		
Proximal fusion of tarsometatarsus							
Golden Cornet	45	F	X-ray	2.7-3.7 months	Hogg (1982)		
Ross broiler	10	М	X-ray	3.2 months	Breugelmans et al. (2007)		
White Leghorn	а	F	X-ray	3.2-3.4 months	Hogg (1982)		
White Leghorn	а	М	X-ray	3.7-3.9 months	Hogg (1982)		
Rhode Island	а	М	X-ray	3.9-4.6 months	Bruce et al. (1946)		
New Hampshire $ imes$ Barred Rock	30	M&F	X-ray	4 months	Church & Johnson (1964)		
White Leghorn	b	F	Gross	4.5 months	Latimer (1927)		
Rhode Island $ imes$ Light Sussex ^c	101	M&F	Gross	4.5 months	Wilson (1954)		
Rhode Island	1	F	X-ray	5 months	Breugelmans et al. (2007)		
Cross-bred Red Junglefowl	17	F	Gross	5 months	Thomas et al. (2016)		
Cross-bred Red Junglefowl	25	М	Gross	5.9 months	Thomas et al. (2016)		
White Leghorn	b	М	Gross	6.5 months	Latimer (1927)		
Beginning of ossification of the spur core							
New Hampshire	5	М	X-ray	7 months	Juhn (1952)		
New Hampshire \times White Plymouth Rock	15	М	X-ray	7 months	Juhn (1952)		
Barred Rock	3	М	X-ray	8 months	Juhn (1952)		
Development of bone on tarsometatarsus shaft							
Old English Game \times Light Sussex	2	М	Gross	6 months	Doherty (2013)		
Maran	1	М	Gross	Absent at 8 months	Sykes (2012)		
Cross-bred Red Junglefowl	1	М	Gross	8 months	Thomas et al. (2016)		
Not specified	а	М	X-ray	9 months	Juhn (1952)		
Light Sussex	4	М	Gross	Absent at 13 months	Doherty (2013)		
Light Sussex	1	М	Gross	Absent at 15 months	Doherty (2013)		
New Hampshire ^d	3	М	X-ray	18 months	Juhn (1952)		
White Plymouth Rock \times Barred Rock^d	1	М	X-ray	30 months	Juhn (1952)		
Fusion of spur core to shaft							
Old English Game \times Light Sussex	4	М	Gross	9 months	Doherty (2013)		
New Hampshire	5	М	X-ray	Unfused at 12 months	Quigley & Juhn (1951)		
Dorking	1	М	Gross	Unfused at 34 months	Sadler (1991)		
Red Dorking	1	М	Gross	Unfused at 85 months	Sadler (1991)		

TABLE 1 Tarsometatarsus aging: Comparison of timing data from studies of known-age domestic fowl and cross-bred junglefowl

^aNo data.

^bLatimer (1927) analyzed 97 skeletons although the number of each sex is not presented.

^cWilson (1954) did not directly examine the fusion state but observed no change in bone length after 4.5 months.

^dJuhn (1952) indicates a "socket" is present, though as Sadler (1991) notes, this could either mean the spur is not fused to the shaft or fused and surrounded by bone.

employed to estimate the age of live pheasants, partridges, and turkeys (Badyaev et al., 1998; Gates, 1966; Koubek & Hrabe, 1984; Pépin, 1985; Stokes, 1957; Woodburn et al., 2009). While in these Galliformes spur length is closely correlated with age (Lucas & Stettenheim, 1972), Louvier (1937) observed that in domestic fowl, the use of an arbitrary spur length as an indicator of a certain age would ignore other factors that could impact length, particularly the diverse size of breeds. Instead, spur length must be examined in relation to the greatest length of the tarsometatarsal.

In an attempt to further our understanding of how humanchicken relationships changed through time, this paper reviews the timing of spur development and growth through the analysis of tarsometatarsi from known-age and sex individuals from modern (i.e., non-archaeological) specimens and sets out a method for estimating age based upon the relationship between spur and tarsometatarsal length.

2 | DEVELOPMENT OF THE TARSOMETATARSAL AND SPUR IN DOMESTIC FOWL

The constituent elements of the tarsometatarsal (distal tarsals and metatarsals I–V) unite *in ovo* and ossify during prenatal and early postnatal life. The bone expands rapidly after hatching, doubling in length within the first month (Church & Johnson, 1964; Latimer, 1927; Wilson, 1954). Cessation of longitudinal growth and disappearance of the proximal growth plate occur between 3 and 6 months, depending on breed (Table 1) but continue to increase in diameter for 1–2 months after attaining its maximum length (Wilson, 1954).

In mammals, the timing of epiphyseal fusion may be delayed by castration, which elongates the growing period resulting in longer and broader bones in the appendicular skeleton, particularly those where epiphyseal closure occurs late, such as the tarsi (Davis, 2000; Hammond & Appleton, 1932; Hatting, 1983; Moran & O'Connor, 1994; Noddle, 1974; Popkin et al., 2012). Although it has long been asserted that the same occurs with the castration of cockerels, termed caponization in fowl (West, 1982), this is unsupported by the evidence. Only Hutt's (1929) observations of 105 cockerels and 16 capons report that the greatest length of bones in capons are larger than those of cocks (tarsometatarsal on average 3.9 mm longer), in contrast to all other studies (Chen et al., 2006; Landauer, 1937; Mausi & Hashimoto, 1927; Pirsche, 1902; Sellheim, 1899). As Landauer (1937) notes, Hutt's results were likely influenced by the method of sample collection: the groups are highly unbalanced; it is not clear whether all the birds were of comparative age; and included both "below standard weight" Brown Leghorns and "larger on average" White Leghorns from separate flocks with no indication of how they are distributed between each group (Hutt, 1929, p. 203). Disregarding this study, as Hutt (1949, p. 253) later appears to, it can be concluded that mature capons and mature cockerels attain the same skeletal dimensions. There is, however, limited evidence that true caponization, achieved by removal of the testes rather than simply cauterizing the spur, was practiced until the early modern period and likely carried a high risk of mortality (Cvjetkovic et al., 2017; Peters, 1997, 1998).

In contrast to all other skeletal elements which do not undergo gross remodeling during life, the tarsometatarsal of the cockerel is unique by developing an osseous spur on the caudomedial aspect after attaining skeletal maturity. Development of the spur proceeds as follows (Figure 1):

- Morphogenesis begins *in ovo*, with cockerels developing modified epidermal scutes in which the bony spur later forms (Louvier, 1937; Puchkov, 1979; Smoak & Sawyer, 1983). Almost all healthy cockerels develop spurs apart from those with a rare scaleless (Abbott & Asmundson, 1957) or spurless mutation (Kozelka, 1933), which both markedly shorten life expectancy. Some types may develop multiple spurs, notably the Sumatran Gamefowl, which may have as many as five, each with their own sheath (Hutt, 1941; Washburn & Smyth, 1971). At hatching, the outer sheath measures approximately 0.5 mm in length, gradually increasing to 20–30 mm by 12 months in modern commercial breeds (Juhn, 1952; Louvier, 1937; Quigley & Juhn, 1951) (Figure 1a).
- At a certain point, ossification of the spur core begins (Figure 1b). Calcified granules form 4–6 mm from the shaft, organizing into a diminutive central core (Juhn, 1952). Ossification begins at the proximal tip, growing distally towards the shaft (Evans, 1952; Juhn, 1952).
- 3. After reaching a critical distance from the shaft, the core projects bony swellings that reach out and fuse with the shaft (Juhn, 1952; West, 1983). In response, the previously smooth tarsometatarsus develops extra bone—a spur scar—that meets and fuses to the advancing core (Figure 1c). The development of extra bone on the tarsometatarsus appears to be stimulated by the core, as its removal prior to contact will prevent the bony formation (Evans, 1952; Hutt, 1949). Similarly, if transplanted elsewhere and in contact with bone, the core and sheath continue to grow but remain unattached to the skeleton (Kozelka, 1933). During fusion, it is common for only a portion of the core to fuse to the shaft and the remainder curves around the tendons, which extend down the posterior surface of the bone, forming a "spur shield" (Sadler, 1991).
- 4. The fused spur continues to increase in length and diameter after fusion, although the growth lags behind that of the keratin sheath, with the bone occupying an ever-smaller portion (Juhn, 1952). As it grows, the sheath often curves towards the dorsal region of the body (Figure 1d).

The timing of this process is highly variable, with considerable inter- and intrabreed variability. However, the overarching observation is that ossification of the spur core and its subsequent fusion to the shaft occurs long after the bird has reached sexual maturity and after complete ossification of the tarsometatarsus (Juhn, 1952). Radiographic analysis of known-age individuals by Juhn (1952)



FIGURE 1 Development of the tarsometatarsal spur in male domestic fowl: (a) the keratin sheath in which the bony spur later forms is present at hatching; (b) ossification of spur core begins with calcified granules organizing into a central core, beginning at the tip, and growing towards the shaft; (c) at a certain distance, the previously smooth tarsometatarsal projects bony swellings (a spur scar), which meets and fuses to the advancing core; (d) the fused spur increases in length and diameter after fusion, with the keratin sheath often curving towards the dorsal region of the body [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

indicated that ossification of the core did not begin until 7–8 months of age, with bony projections from the shaft commencing at 9 months. Osteological analysis by Doherty (2013) identified a spur scar in a 6-month-old cockerel but recorded total absence in individuals aged 13 and 15 months. Complete fusion of the core to the shaft has been seen as early as 9 months (Doherty, 2013) and not finalized by as late as 85 months (Sadler, 1991) (Table 1).

The rate of growth after fusion is similarly unclear. Louvier (1937) suggested, and later repeated by Habermehl (1975), that by 12 months of age, the external length of the spur (i.e., including the spur sheath) should be approximately 15 mm in length, growing 10–15 mm annually. This is in line with Washburn and Smyth's (1971) suggestion that a 3- to 4-year-old cockerel would have a keratinous spur of 50 mm. However, Louvier (1937) acknowledged that external spur length alone is a poor indicator of age as it fails to recognize inter- and intrabreed variability in tarsometatarsus length: at 12 months of age, external spur length from 11 to 18 mm in Leghorns, 11 to 19 mm in Bresse Noire, and 12 to 16 mm in Orpingtons.

The development of the spur is heavily influenced by the endocrine secretions of the gonads and thyroid. Although the overall size of the tarsometatarsus is unaffected by caponisation, full or partial removal of the testes may result in the formation of longer and sharper spurs (Domm, 1927; Finlay, 1925; Quigley & Juhn, 1951) although others contend that the keratinous and osseous spurs of the capon are indistinguishable from those of the cockerel (Beuoy, 1921; Goodale, 1916; Yarrell, 1856). The most authoritative work comes from the radiographic imaging of a single flock of identical age by Quigley and Juhn (1951) who observed the unfused spur core to be on average 3 mm longer in capons than cockerels at 12 months of age.

The reasons for this are unclear, as the diminution of testosterone retards the growth of other sexual ornamentation such as the comb and wattle. Unconvincingly, Finlay (1925) suggests that longer and sharper spurs may be in part due to the docile nature of capons, with the spur in normal males being worn blunt by fighting and scratching. West (1982) suggests that it may be due to the extended growing period resulting from delayed epiphyseal fusion, although again unlikely considering calcification of the core does not begin until the cessation of tarsometatarsus growth. A possible explanation is that caponization disrupts the hormonal regulation of spur growth, which is simultaneously inhibited by the gonads but promoted by the thyroid. Analogous to the removal of the testes accelerating spur growth in cockerels, Domm (1927) observed that removal of the ovaries in previously spurless hens always resulted in the development of spurs, highlighting the constraining effect of gonadal secretions on spur development. When gonad secretions are unchecked due to thyroidectomy or administration of a thyroid hormone antagonist, both sexes develop smaller, blunter spurs, which remain unfused to the tarsometatarsus (Blivaiss, 1947; Caridroit & Regnier, 1944; Juhn, 1946, 1952).

3 | MATERIAL AND METHODS

Analysis was undertaken on 71 modern tarsometatarsi from domestic fowl and red junglefowl of known-age and sex from multiple U.K. and Ethiopian collections (Table 2). Where available, accompanying biological and husbandry data (breed, color, weight, and pathologies) were recorded for each specimen. Domestic fowl of a wide variety of types were included to enable the method application to a wide geographic

		Age group (months)										
Collection	Sex	<6	7-9	10-12	13-24	25-36	37-48	49-60	61-72	73-84	>100	Total
AF	М		1						1		1	3
	F				1	1						2
	Total		1		1	1			1		1	5
ARCCH	М					2						2
	Total					2						2
HE	М	1	3	1	2	1						8
	F		1			1	3					5
	Total	1	4	1	2	2	3					13
JM	М		2									2
	Total		2									2
LBL	М	2	2	2	6	1	2	2				17
	F			2	4	3		1	1	1	1	13
	Total	2	2	4	10	4	2	3	1	1	1	30
NHM	М		2									2
	F		1									1
	Total		3									3
PS	M					1				1		2
(D	lotal	0	4	4	4	1				1		2
SD	M	2	1	1	4							8
CUD	lotal	2	1	1	4	4			4	4		8
SHD				T	2	1			1	1		4
	г Total			1	∠ 2	1			1	1		∠ د
Grand Total	TULAI	5	13	7	∠ 19	11	5	3	1 3	1 3	2	71

TABLE 2 Demographic composition and sample size of domestic fowl collections considered in this study

Abbreviations: AF, Alison Foster's personal collection; ARCCH, Authority for Research and Conservation of Cultural Heritage, Ethiopia; HE, historic England zooarchaeology reference collection; JM, James Morris' personal collection; LBL, University of Leicester Bone Laboratory; NHM, Natural History Museum bird collection, Tring; PS, Peta Sadler's personal collection; SD, Sean Paul Doherty's personal collection; SHD, Sheila Hamilton-Dyer ARCHZOO reference collection.

area. Left-sided tarsometatarsi were preferentially selected, although if not available or was pathological, the right side was analyzed.

Dimensions of the tarsometatarsus—greatest length (GL), breadth proximal (Bp), breadth distal (Bd), smallest diameter of the corpus (SC) and spur length (SP)—were recorded following the protocol outlined in Cohen and Serjeantson (1996). Measurement of the spur was taken up to the tarsometatarsus shaft but did not include the shield, which may form towards the anterior aspect of the shaft (Figure 2). Full biological, husbandry, and metrical data are provided in Table S1.

In order to reconstruct archaeological age and sex profiles, measurements were compiled from 1366 domestic fowl tarsometatarsi from British sites dating from the Iron Age to modern period (specimen information and metrics provided in Table S2). Of these, 346 (25.3%) had spurs, 96 (7%) had a spur scar, and nine (0.7%) had documented medullary bone—a secondary bone that accumulates in the medullary cavity of skeletal elements of female birds as a calcium store for the production of egg shell during the laying season (Driver, 1982). The sex of the remaining 915 (67%) unspurred tarsometatari was inferred through metrical comparison with those exhibiting sex-specific traits (Figures S1 and S2). The strong sexual dimorphism in red junglefowl (G. gallus) has been maintained in their domesticated progeny-although less pronounced (Remeš & Székely, 2010)--and manifests as longer and broader bones in the cockerel, with the weight-bearing tibiotarsus and tarsometatarsus showing the greatest difference in size (Hutt, 1929; Merritt, 1966). As noted earlier, spurs can develop in hens, and nine of the spurred tarsometatarsi examined are of a diminutive size to suggest they were female or bantams. Biometric bimodality may not always denote sexual dimorphism and may indicate the presence of birds deriving from morphologically distinct populations (de Cupere et al., 2005). Therefore, sex estimations were made within discrete time periods on a site-by-site basis. SP is not routinely recorded or published, and only 160 specimens had both GL and SP measurements.

4 | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 | Timing of spur fusion

Table 3 presents the fusion state of known-age cockerels from this study synthesized with those from published analyses. Of the seven cockerels aged ≤ 6 months old, only one (14.3%) had developed a spur scar, supporting the indication that formation of the spur occurs after



FIGURE 2 Location of measurements taken on the tarsometatarsus as outlined in Cohen & Serjeantson (1996). GL, greatest length; Bp, breadth proximal Bd, breadth distal; SC, smallest diameter of the corpus; SP, spur length

ossification of the proximal epiphysis (Juhn, 1952). One (2.7%) of the 37 cockerels aged between 7 and 9 months exhibited a spur scar, whereas five (13.5%) had fully fused spurs.

Of the seven that developed a scar or spur within the first 9 months, five were pure or crossbred Old English Game birds, a breed synonymous with cockfighting. Old English Gamecocks have long been highly prized by cockers for their "natural and irresistible passion for fighting" (Jull, 1927) and physique of a small stout body with long legs (Oxford Old English Game Fowl Club, 1920); important qualities for a successful fighting cock where agility and size are essential. With the cockfighting pedigree of the Old English Game, it is tempting to suggest that it may have also been selected for early spur formation.

Seven (28%) cockerels aged 10-12 months, and three (33.3%) of those aged 13-15 months had developed a spur. The presence of spurs increases considerably around 2 years of age, with 11 (84.6%) males aged 18-24 months exhibiting spurs, although two (15.4%) had still not developed a scar. All six cockerels aged between 26 and 34 months were spurred, although the tarsometatarsus of a 34-month-old Red Dorking (PS 02) still only presented a spur scar. Of the five cockerels aged 48-60 months, three (60%) were spurred, whereas a Dorking continued to exhibit only a scar, and a 60-month-old Japanese Bantam (LBL 652) had developed a spur core but remained unfused to the shaft and had left no discernible scar. The latter bird was raised by an experienced breeder and in peak physical condition prior to death (S. Elliot pers. comm.), and it is therefore likely that genetic factors are responsible for the lack of spur development in this individual. The only age group in which all cockerels presented fused spurs was those aged \geq 72 months.

These results confirm the late occurrence of tarsometatarsal spurs and the challenge of identifying cockerels morphologically, with the potential that some archaeological specimens could be misidentified as female while exhibiting very male behavior in life (Sykes, 2012).

Tarsometatarsi									
					Spurred				
	Total	Unspurred			Scar		pur	Combined	
Age (months)	n	n	%	n	%	n	%	%	
5–6 (a)	7	6	85.7	1	14.3			14.3	
7-9 (b,c)	37	31	83.8	1	2.7	5	13.5	16.2	
10-12 (d)	25	18	72.0			7	28.0	28.0	
13-15	9	6	66.6			3	33.3	33.3	
18-24 (c)	13	2	15.4			11	84.6	84.6	
26-34 (a,c)	6			1	16.7	5	83.3	100	
48-60 (a)	5	1	20.0	1	20.0	3	60.0	80.0	
72-120	4					4	100	100	

Note: Includes data from (a) Sadler (1991), (b) Thomas et al. (2016), (c) Juhn (1952), and (d) Quigley and Juhn (1951).

TABLE 3Percentage oftarsometatarsals with either a scar orspur in male domestic fowl of known-age

4.2 | Relationship between spur and tarsometatarsus length with age

Though SP alone is a poor indicator of age, due to inter- and intrabreed variability in tarsometatarsal size (Louvier, 1937), it is possible to compensate for phenotypic variation with the following calculation (Equation 1):

$$\frac{\text{Spur length}(\text{SP})}{\text{Greatest length of tarsometatarsal}(\text{GL})} \times 100. \tag{1}$$

The relationship between (SP/GL) × 100 and age in modern domestic fowl is shown in Figure 3, which presents the data for each individual tarsometatarsus plotted against the specimen's age, with log regression displayed. A statistically significant positive asymptotic correlation is seen between (SP/GL) × 100 and age, with the relative size of the spur increasing with age (Pearson's correlation coefficient = 0.68, P = <0.01). The relative size of the spur increases rapidly over the first 12–18 months after fusion, whereas the subsequent rate of growth is more restricted. Few tarsometatarsi exceeded a (SP/GL) × 100 value of 30, with some individuals aged 24 months showing comparable values with those aged 108–120 months. This suggests that whereas the keratin sheath may grow indefinitely, growth of the bone spur is substantially reduced after reaching approximately 30% of the length of the tarsometatarsal.

Despite the diminutive size of bantam varieties, the relative size of the spur appears to increase analogously to standard types, indicating the applicability of this method to domestic fowl of all sizes. It is also applicable to all sexes. Occasionally, female birds develop spurs, and our study suggests that when present, not only do they attain the same morphology as those of the cockerel but that they also grow at a comparable rate.



FIGURE 3 Relationship between (SP/GL) \times 100 and age in cockerels and hens [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary. com]

4.3 | Archaeological application

The same formula for relativizing spur length against bone length as a proxy for age was applied to archaeological domestic fowl tarsometatarsi (n = 160). As can be seen in Figure 4, the average age of cockerels increased from the Iron Age/Romano-British transition (4th century BC-AD mid-1st century) to the Early Saxon period (AD 5th-7th century), before gradually decreasing through to the Early modern period (AD 15th-18th century). No spur lengths are reported from modern (AD 18th-20th century) assemblages.

4.4 | Discussion of archaeological data

From their introduction in the Iron Age, the contexts in which domestic fowl remains are recovered suggest that this rare exotica held a special status, one that likely rendered them sacred and above consumption (Sykes, 2012). Few specimens display evidence of butchery, and they are more frequently recovered from individual burials than disarticulated, mixed with other food waste (Morris, 2011). To some extent, this is supported by Caesar's enigmatic observation that Britons kept fowl not for food but 'animi voluptatis' (1989, p. v.12), a statement widely translated as for spiritual and secular pleasures. The greater importance of their social value (such as deity association, cockfighting, or display of status) over their edibility is underlined by our analysis, which indicates that during the Iron Age/Romano-British transition period, cockerels survived well past sexual maturity. The majority of spurred tarsometatarsi produced (SP/GL) \times 100 values comparable with modern birds over 1 year old and many with those that survived into their second or third year. Domestic fowl account for only 0.1% of identified specimens during this period (Figure 5), and it is likely that many of these cockerels were highly prized, such as the articulated skeleton from Houghton Down (Hants.)-recently, radiocarbon dated to the 4th-3rd century BC (Best et al., in press)which has a (SP/GL) \times 100 of 24.1, comparable with birds that survived to at least 2 years of age. Although domestic fowl were more prevalent in the Roman period (AD mid-1st-5th century), they were still a rare commodity, accounting for around 2.6% of identified specimens. Our analysis suggests that the average age of cockerels increased, with the vast majority likely surviving beyond 1 year, and many producing values in line with modern birds aged 2, 3, or 4 years old.

On the basis of combined morphological and metrical sex estimation, we calculate that cockerels account for 67% of tarsometatarsi from the Iron Age/Romano-British transition and 65% from the Roman period (Figure 5). Benecke (1993) observed similar proportions in Early Iron Age assemblages across central Europe where the ratio of cockerels to hens was 3:1 on some of the earlier sites. Benecke (1993) argued that the primary motivation for the introduction and spread of the chicken to central Europe was therefore for meat but probably not for eggs. An overabundance of spurred tarsometatarsi has been noted at several Romano-British sites (e.g., Fothergill et al., 2017; Grimm, 2008; Maltby, 1993; **FIGURE 4** (SP/GL) \times 100 values across British sites from the Iron Age to Early Modern period and birds of known-age. Iron Age/Romano-British (IA/RB) transition, 4th century BC-AD mid-1st century; Roman, mid-1st-5th century; Early Saxon, 5th-7th century; Middle Saxon, 7th-9th century; Late Saxon, 9th-11th century; Saxo-Norman, 11th-12th century; High Medieval, 12th-15th century; Early Modern, 16th-18th century







O'Connor, 1988; Serjeantson, 2000) and typically interpreted through an economic lens, as evidence for the culling of surplus young males for meat.

However, the data synthesized in this paper indicate that tarsometatarsal spurs develop long after cockerels reach their adult carcass weight. Therefore, cockerels slaughtered primarily for meat (c. 4–6 months) would show no hint of a spur or even a scar. Our analysis of spur length reinforces the idea they lived to advanced ages. With such an abundance of mature cockerels, it may be concluded

that most Iron Age and Roman cockerels were kept for reasons other than meat and stock replacement. As Serjeantson (2000) suggested, ritual sacrifice or cockfighting would be good candidates to explain the sex and age profiles. The possibility that cockfighting was practiced by the Romans is supported not only by the zooarchaeological evidence but also by finds of artificial cockspurs from several Roman settlements (Serjeantson, 2000, p. 239; Scott, 1957, p. 157) and provisioning of a cockpit at the Legionary Fortress at Exeter (Bidwell, 1979, pp. 42–43). The abundance of spurred tarsometatarsi in several temple assemblages, particularly those venerating Mercury and his companion animal, the cockerel (King, 2005), speaks of their religious significance.

The sex ratios of domestic fowl change markedly in the Saxon period. Cockerels account for only 26% of tarsometatarsi from the Early Saxon period (AD 5th-7th century), 29% in the Middle Saxon period (AD 7th-9th century), rising to 52% in the Late Saxon period (AD 9th-11th century), before falling to 35% in the Saxo-Norman period (AD 11th-12th century). The increasing proportion of hens has been noted in multiple archaeological assemblages and is thought to reflect the intensification of meat and egg production (Holmes, 2014; Serjeantson, 2006; Sykes, 2007). This has been linked to Christian fasting practices, which forbade the consumption of meat from fourlegged animals but permitted the consumption of birds and eggs (Venard, 2011). Originating in the Benedictine Monastic Order (c. AD 540s), these observances were ultimately adopted by all segments of society by the 10th century as the Church's influence grew (Sykes, 2007) and likely exerted a key pressure on the genetic selection for reduced intraflock aggression and faster onset of egg laying occurring at this time (Loog et al., 2017).

Spur lengths are only available from four Early Saxon tarsometatarsi: two from the secular settlements of Sherbourne House (Gloucestershire) and Stratton (Bedfordshire) are comparable with modern birds aged at least 4 years old, and two from the monastic site of Lyminge (Kent) likely survived into their second year. As their husbandry intensified, average (SP/GL) \times 100 values declined during the Middle, Late Saxon, and Saxo-Norman periods, though the majority of cockerels likely survived beyond 1 year old and some considerably longer. Spurred tarsometatarsi are less common at ecclesiastical sites, which may indicate an absence of cockfighting amongst these communities. Depictions of cockerels are rare in Christian Saxon art (Adams, 2015) as are other male animals associated with violence and fertility, such as the stag and boar (Hawkes, 1997). The absence of these motifs along with the faunal evidence suggests a conscious rejection of this activity both symbolically and practically. Lower incidences of mature males may also reflect culinary practices. Immature bones represent choice meat-tender pullets and young cockerelsavailable to the high-born inhabitants and their guests. Feasting was as important in Saxon monastic life as in contemporary aristocratic culture (Foot, 2006), particularly in those with Royal associations such as Lyminge where juvenile bones account for 18-20% of the domestic fowl assemblage (Knapp, 2019) or Eynsham Abbey where they account for 30-40% (Mulville, 2003).

The decline in the age of domestic fowl in the High Medieval (AD 12th-15th century) and Early Modern period (AD 16th-18th century) is well documented zooarchaeologically, with an increasing proportion of juvenile bones observed at numerous sites (Albarella et al., 2009; Albarella & Murray, 2005; Higbee, 2003; Maltby, 1982; Thomas, 2005). This decline is also seen in (SP/GL) \times 100 values, though a few individuals display values comparable only with the oldest modern birds. Cockerels account for 37% of tarsometatarsi in the High Medieval period and 58% in the Early Modern period. An increasing proportion of cockerels in later material has been noted in

a number of assemblages (Thomas, 2005; Thomas et al., 2013). Such changes in the sexual composition and age structure of the population of domestic fowl are consistent with a shift in the husbandry strategy, with growing emphasis on meat rather than egg production (Albarella, 1997; Grant, 1988). However, the high proportion of cockerels may also reflect the widespread popularity of cockfighting prior to the 19th century (Griffin, 2005), with cockpits present in most towns and the Royal court (Tolson, 2005).

5 | CONCLUSION

The absence of a routine method for estimating the age of cockerels had previously hindered the study of the dynamics of humandomestic fowl relationships and hidden the great age that many cockerels attained. Given that cockerels are sexually mature by around 4 months of age, the maintenance of large numbers of old cockerels cannot be interpreted in purely economic terms but rather reveals cultural mores and preferences. By developing an aging method based on known-age individuals, there is now the possibility of generating large compatible datasets, and we hope this paper provides the methodological foundations upon which future studies can be built.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by funding from the Arts and Humanities Research Council (AH/L006979/1; NS, RT, AF, JB) (AH/P009018/1; NS, RT, AF, HO). For providing access to their collections, we thank Historic England, University of York, University of Southampton, Natural History Museum at Tring, and the Authority for Research and Conservation of Cultural Heritage, Ethiopia, Natural History Museum. We thank Greger Larson and Ophélie Lebrasseur for valuable discussions regarding sex determinations.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

S.P.D., A.F., and N.S. conceptualized the study and conducted the formal analysis. S.P.D., A.F., J.B., S.H-D., J.M., P.S., H.W., R.T., and N.S. provided samples. S.P.D. wrote, reviewed, and edited the original draft and visualized the data. A.F., C.S., R.S., R.T., and N.S. commented upon and edited drafts of the paper.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

ORCID

Sean Paul Doherty D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5503-2734 Rebecca Smallman D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6514-4457 Helina Woldekiros D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7790-0686

ENDNOTE

¹ For simplicity, male domestic fowl of all ages is termed cockerels in this paper. Typically in fowl and cocking nomenclature, a cockerel or stag is a male <1 year old, whereas a cock is >1 year. The informal term rooster may be applied to a male chicken of any age.

REFERENCES

- Abbott, U. K., & Asmundson, V. S. (1957). Scaleless, an inherited ectodermal defect in the domestic fowl. *The Journal of Heredity*, 48(2), 63–70. https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.jhered.a106685
- Adams, N. (2015). Between myth and reality: Hunter and prey in early Anglo-Saxon Art. In M. D. J. Bintley & T. T. J. Williams (Eds.), Anglo-Saxon Studies 29, Representations of Beasts in Early Medieval England and Scandinavia (pp. 13–52). Boydell and Brewer.
- Albarella, U. (1997). Size, power, wool and veal: Zooarchaeological evidence for late medieval innovations. In F. Verhaeghe & G. de Boe (Eds.), Environment and Subsistence in Medieval Europe - Papers of the "Medieval Europe Brugge 1997" Conference (pp. 19–30). Instituut voor het Archaeologisch Patrimonium.
- Albarella, U., Beech, M., Curl, J., Locker, A., Moreno García, M., & Mulville, J. (2009). Norwich Castle: excavations and historical survey, 1987-98 Part III: A Zooarchaeological Study. East Anglian Archaeology Occasional Paper No.22. Historic Environment.
- Albarella, U., & Murray, E. (2005). Mammal and avian bone. In A. Shelley (Ed.), Dragon Hall, King Street, Norwich: Excavation and Survey of a Late Medieval Merchant's Trading Complex (pp. 158–167). East Anglian Archaeology, Norfolk and Norwich Heritage Trust.
- Allison, E. P. (1985). An Archaeozoological Study of Bird Bones From Seven Sites in York. PhD Thesis. University of York.
- Badyaev, A. V., Etges, W. J., Faust, J. D., & Martin, T. E. (1998). Fitness correlates of spur length and spur asymmetry in male wild turkeys. *The Journal of Animal Ecology*, 67(6), 845–852. https://doi.org/10.1046/j. 1365-2656.1998.6760845.x
- Benecke, N. (1993). On the utilization of the domestic fowl in Central Europe from the Iron Age up to the middle ages. *Archaeofauna*, *2*, 21–31.
- Best, J., Doherty, S., Armit, I., Boev, Z., Büster, L., Cunliffe, B., et al. (In press). Radiocarbon dating redefines the timing and circumstances of the chicken's introduction to Europe and Northwest Africa. *Antiquity*.
- Beuoy, G. (1921). Standard capons'–Why not? American Poultry Advocate, 30, 386–388.
- Bidwell, P. T. (1979). The Legionary Bath-House and Basilica and Forum at Exeter. Exeter Archaeological Reports (Vol. 1). Exeter City Council and The University of Exeter.
- Blivaiss, B. B. (1947). Interrelations of thyroid and gonad in the development of plumage and other sex characters in brown leghorn roosters. *Physiological Zoology*, 20(1), 67–107. https://doi.org/10.1086/ physzool.20.1.30151939
- Boyer, M. K. (1917). Do spurs indicate age? American Poultry Advocate, 12, 729.
- Breugelmans, S., Muylle, S., Cornillie, P., Saunders, J., & Simoens, P. (2007). Age determination of poultry: A challenge for customs. *Vlaams Diergeneeskundig Tijdschrift*, 76, 423–430.
- Broughton, J. M., Rampton, D., & Holanda, K. (2002). A test of an osteologically based age determination technique in the doublecrested cormorant Phalacrocorax auritus. *Ibis*, 144, 143–146. https:// doi.org/10.1046/j.0019-1019.2001.00004.x
- Bruce, H. M., Kon, S. K., Watson, J. V., Cotchin, E., & White, E. G. (1946). A radiographic study of the normal development of the hock joint of the fowl. Journal of Comparative Pathology and Therapeutics, 56, 49–52. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0368-1742(46)80004-3
- Caesar, J. trans. H. Edwards J(1989). The Gallic War. Harvard University Press.
- Caridroit, F., & Regnier, V. (1944). Effets de la thyroidectomie sur l'ergot du coq adulte. Comptes Rendus Des Seances de la Societe de Biologie et de Ses Filiales, 138, 484–486.
- Chen, K. L., Tsay, S. M., Lee, T. Y., & Chiou, P. W. S. (2006). Effects of caponization and different exogenous androgen on the bone characteristics of male chickens. *Poultry Science*, 85(11), 1975–1979. https:// doi.org/10.1093/ps/85.11.1975

- Christmas, R. B., & Harms, R. H. (1982). Observation of spurs in four strains of white leghorn hens as affected by season of maturity and dietary nutrient level. *Poultry Science*, 61(6), 1037–1040. https://doi. org/10.3382/ps.0611037
- Church, L. E., & Johnson, L. C. (1964). Growth of long bones in the chicken: Rates of growth in length and diameter of the humerus, tibia, and metatarsus. American Journal of Anatomy, 114, 521–538. https://doi. org/10.1002/aja.1001140310
- Cohen, A., & Serjeantson, D. (1996). A Manual for the Identification of Bird Bones From Archaeological Sites (2nd Revised ed.). Archetype Publications.
- Cvjetkovic, V., Forstenpointner, G., & Weissengruber, G. E. (2017). Capons: A history of "horned" egg incubators and chick carers. Wiener Tierärztliche Monatsschrift, 104(11/12), 363–375.
- Davis, S. J. M. (2000). The effect of castration and age on the development of the Shetland sheep skeleton and a metric comparison between bones of males, females and castrates. *Journal of Archaeological Science*, 27(5), 373–390. https://doi.org/10.1006/jasc.1999.0452
- de Cupere, B., van Neer, W., Monchot, H., Rijmenants, E., Udrescu, M., & Waelkens, M. (2005). Ancient breeds of domestic fowl (Gallus gallus f. domestica) distinguished on the basis of traditional observations combined with mixture analysis. *Journal of Archaeological Science*, 32(11), 1587–1597. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jas.2005.04.015
- Doherty, S. (2013). New perspectives on urban cockfighting in Roman Britain. Archaeological Review from Cambridge., 28(2), 82–95.
- Domm, L. V. (1927). New experiments on ovariotomy and the problem of sex inversion in the fowl. *The Journal of Experimental Zoology*, 48(1), 31–173. https://doi.org/10.1002/jez.1400480104
- Driver, J. C. (1982). Medullary bone as an indicator of sex in bird remains from archaeological sites. In B. Wilson, C. Grigson, & S. Payne (Eds.), *Ageing and Sexing Animal Bones From Archaeological Sites*. British Archaeological Reports, British Series 109. (pp. 251–254). BAR.
- Evans, H. E. (1952). Guide to the Study and Dissection of the Chicken. Cornell University Press.
- Fairfull, R. W., & Gowe, R. S. (1986). Genotypic and phenotypic parameters of spur incidence and length in white leghorn hens. *Poultry Science*, 65 (11), 1995–2001. https://doi.org/10.3382/ps.0651995
- Finlay, G. F. (1925). Studies on sex differentiation in fowls. The Journal of Experimental Biology, 2(4), 439–468.
- Foot, S. (2006). Monastic life in Anglo-Saxon England, c.600-900. Cambridge University Press.
- Fothergill, B. T. (2017). Human-aided movement of viral disease and the archaeology of avian osteopetrosis. *International Journal of* Osteoarchaeology, 27(5), 853–866. https://doi.org/10.1002/oa.2599
- Fothergill, B. T., Best, J., Foster, A., & Demarchi, B. (2017). Hens, health and husbandry: Integrated approaches to past poultry-keeping in England. *Open Quaternary*, 3. https://doi.org/10.5334/oq.34
- Gates, J. M. (1966). Validity of spur appearance as an age criterion in the pheasant. The Journal of Wildlife Management, 30(1), 81–85. https:// doi.org/10.2307/3797887
- Goodale, H. D. (1916). Gonadectomy in Relation to the Secondary Sexual Characters of Some Domestic Birds. Carnegie Institution of Washington.
- Grant, A. (1982). The use of tooth wear as a guide to the age of domestic ungulates. In R. Wilson, C. Grigson, & S. Payne (Eds.), Ageing and Sexing Animal Bones from Archaeological Sites. British Archaeological Reports British Series 109. (pp. 91–108). BAR.
- Grant, A. (1988). Animal resources. In A. Grant (Ed.), The countryside of medieval England (pp. 149–261). Blackwell.
- Griffin, E. (2005). England's Revelry: A History of Popular Sports and Pastimes, 1660–1830. Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.5871/ bacad/9780197263211.001.0001
- Grimm, J. (2008). Additional specialist report–environmental: Animal Bone. In P. Bradley (Ed.), Suburban Life in Roman Durnovaria– Excavations at the Former County Hospital Site Dorchester, Dorset 2000-2001. Wessex Archaeology.

- Habermehl, K. H. (1975). Die altersbestimmung bei haus-und labortieren (2nd ed.). Parey.
- Hammond, J., & Appleton, A. B. (1932). Growth and Development of Mutton Qualities in the Sheep: Part V–Study of the Leg of Mutton. Oliver and Boyd.
- Hatting, T. (1983). Osteological investigations on Ovis Aries L. Videnskabelige Meddelelser Fra Dansk Naturhistorisk Forening. Bind, 144, 115–135.
- Hawkes, A. J. (1997). Symbolic lives: The visual evidence. In J. Hines (Ed.), The Anglo-Saxons: From the Migration Period to the Eight Century an ethnographic perspective (pp. 311–344). The Boydell Press.
- Higbee, L. (2003). Animal bones. In A medieval and post-medieval street frontage: Investigations at Forehill, Ely. Proceedings of the Cambridge Antiquarian Society, 92, 168–171.
- Hogg, D. A. (1980). A re-investigation of the centres of ossification in the avian skeleton at and after hatching. *Journal of Anatomy*, 130(4), 725–743.
- Hogg, D. A. (1982). Fusions occurring in the postcranial skeleton of the domestic fowl. *Journal of Anatomy*, 135(3), 501–512.
- Holmes, M. (2014). Animals in Saxon and Scandinavian England: Backbones of Economy and Society. Sidestone Press.
- Hutt, F. B. (1929). Sex dimorphism and variability in the appendicular skeleton of the leghorn fowl. *Poultry Science*, 8(4), 202–218. https://doi. org/10.3382/ps.0080202
- Hutt, F. B. (1941). Genetics of the fowl. The Journal of Heredity, 32(10), 357–364. https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.jhered.a104963
- Hutt, F. B. (1949). Genetics of the Fowl. McGraw-Hill.
- Jones, G. G., & Sadler, P. (2012). Age at death in cattle: Methods, older cattle and known-age reference material. *Environmental Archaeology*, 17(1), 11–28. https://doi.org/10.1179/1461410312Z.000000002
- Juhn, M. (1946). The action of thiouracil upon the spurs of the domestic fowl. The Journal of Endocrinology, 5(5), 290–NP. https://doi.org/10. 1677/joe.0.0050290
- Juhn, M. (1952). Spur growth and differentiation in the adult thiouraciltreated fowl. *Physiological Zoology*, 25(2), 150–162. https://doi.org/10. 1086/physzool.25.2.30158352
- Jull, M. A. (1927). Races of Domestic Poultry. Judd & Detweiler.
- King, A. (2005). Animal remains from temples in Roman Britain. Britannia, 36, 329–369. https://doi.org/10.3815/00000005784016964
- Knapp, Z. (2019). The Zooarchaeology of the Anglo-Saxon Christian Conversion: Lyminge, a Case Study. PhD Thesis. University of Reading.
- Koubek, P., & Hrabe, V. (1984). Estimating the age of male Phasianus Colchicus by bone histology and spur length. *Folia Zoologica*, 33(4), 303–313.
- Kozelka, A. W. (1933). On the question of equipotentiality of the spurs in the leghorn fowl. *Experimental Biology and Medicine*, 30(7), 841–842. https://doi.org/10.3181/00379727-30-6699
- Landauer, W. (1937). Studies on the creeper fowl. XI. Castration and length of bones of the appendicular skeleton in normal and creeper fowl. The Anatomical Record, 69(2), 247–253. https://doi.org/10. 1002/ar.1090690213
- Latimer, H. B. (1927). Postnatal growth of the chicken skeleton. The American Journal of Anatomy, 40(1), 1–57. https://doi.org/10.1002/aja. 1000400102
- Loog, L., Thomas, M. G., Barnett, R., Allen, R., Sykes, N., Paxinos, P. D., Lebrasseur, O., Dobney, K., Peters, J., Manica, A., Larson, G., & Eriksson, A. (2017). Inferring allele frequency trajectories from ancient DNA indicates that selection on a chicken gene coincided with changes in medieval husbandry practices. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 34(8), 1981–1990. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/ msx142
- Louvier, R. (1937). Histogénèse des appendices cutané céphaliques et de l'ergot du Coq Domestique. PhD Thesis. University of Paris.
- Lucas, A. M., & Stettenheim, P. R. (1972). Avian anatomy: Integument. In Agricultural Handbook 362. U.S. Agricultural Research Services.

- Maltby, M. (1982). The animal and bird bones. In R. Higham, J. Allen, & S. Blaglock (Eds.), *Excavations at Okehampton Castle, Devon, Part 2–The Bailey* (Vol. 40) (pp. 114–138). Proceedings of the Devon Archaeological Society.
- Maltby, M. (1993). Animal bones. In P. J. Woodward, S. M. Davies, & A. H. Graham (Eds.), Excavations at the Old Methodist Chapel and Greyhound Yard, Dorchester 1981–1984 (Vol. 12) (pp. 315–340). Dorchester: Dorset Natural History and Archaeological Society Monograph.
- Mausi, K., & Hashimoto, S. (1927). Studies on the physiology of reproduction in the domestic fowl. II—On the effect of caponization on the growth of the body and the weight of various organs. *Imperial Zootechnical Experiment Station, Research Bulletin, 21.*
- Merritt, E. S. (1966). Estimates by sex of genetic parameters for body weight and skeletal dimensions in a random bred strain of meat type fowl. *Poultry Science*, 45(1), 118–125. https://doi.org/10.3382/ps. 0450118
- Moran, N. C., & O'Connor, T. P. (1994). Age attribution in domestic sheep by skeletal and dental maturation: A pilot study of available sources. *International Journal of Osteoarchaeology*, 4(4), 267–285. https://doi. org/10.1002/oa.1390040402
- Morgan, T. H. (1920). The endocrine secretion of hen-feathered fowls. Endocrinology, 4(3), 381–385. https://doi.org/10.1210/endo-4-3-381
- Morris, J. (2011). Investigating Animal Burials: Ritual, Mundane and Beyond. British Archaeological Reports, British Series 535. BAR. https://doi. org/10.30861/9781407308128
- Mulville, J. (2003). Mammal, bird and fish remains and oysters: Phases 2a-2e: Anglo Saxon occupation. In A. Hardy, A. Dodd, & G. D. Keevill (Eds.), *Ælfric's Abbey: Excavations at Eynsham Abbey, Oxfordshire,* 1989-92 (Vol. 16). Thames Valley Landscapes. (pp. 343–359). Oxford Archaeology.
- Nelson, R. C., & Bookhout, T. A. (1980). Counts of periosteal layers invalid for aging Canada Geese. The Journal of Wildlife Management, 44(518). https://doi.org/10.2307/3807992
- Noddle, B. (1974). Ages of epiphyseal closure in feral and domestic goats and ages of dental eruption. *Journal of Archaeological Science*, 1(2), 195–204. https://doi.org/10.1016/0305-4403(74)90042-9
- O'Connor, T. P. (1988). Bones from the General Accident Site, Tanner Row (Vol. 15). The Archaeology of York. York Archaeological Trust.
- Ottinger MA. 1983. Sexual behaviour and endocrine changes during reproductive maturation and aging in the avian male." In *Proceedings in Life Sciences*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg; 350–367. DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1007/978-3-642-69216-1_25
- Owens, I. P. F., & Hartley, I. R. (1998). Sexual dimorphism in birds: Why are there so many different forms of dimorphism? *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London*. Series B: Biological Sciences, 265(1394), 397–407. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.1998.0308
- Oxford Old English Game Fowl Club. (1920). Club Rules, Colours and Standard of Perfection. Oxford Old English Game Fowl Club.
- Pépin, D. (1985). Morphological characteristics and sex classification of red-legged partridge. *The Journal of Wildlife Management*, 49(1), 228–237. https://doi.org/10.2307/3801876
- Peters, J. (1997). Hahn oder kapaun? Zur kastration von hähnen in der antike. Archiv für Geflügelkunde, 61(1), 1–8.
- Peters, J. (1998). Römische tierhaltung und tierzucht. Passauer Universitätsschriften zur Archäologie 5. Verlag Marie Leidorf.
- Pettingill, O. S. (1985). Ornithology in Laboratory and Field (5th ed.). Academic Press.
- Pirsche, E. (1902). De l'influence de la castration sur le développement du squelette. PhD Thesis. University of Lyon.
- Popkin, P. R. W., Baker, P., Worley, F., Payne, S., & Hammon, A. (2012). The sheep project (1): Determining skeletal growth, timing of epiphyseal fusion and morphometric variation in unimproved Shetland sheep of known age, sex, castration status and nutrition. *Journal of Archaeological Science*, 39(6), 1775–1792. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jas.2012. 01.018

- Puchkov, V. F. (1979). Spur development in chick embryos. Arkhiv Anatomii, Gistologii I Embriologii, 76(4), 32-41.
- Quigley, G. D., & Juhn, M. (1951). A comparison of spur growth in the cock, slip, and capon. *Poultry Science*, 30(6), 900–901. https://doi.org/ 10.3382/ps.0300900
- Remeš, V., & Székely, T. (2010). Domestic chickens defy Rensch's rule: Sexual size dimorphism in chicken breeds. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, 23(12), 2754–2759. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1420-9101.2010. 02126.x
- Ruscillo, D. (2015). Recent Advances in Ageing and Sexing Animal Bones. Oxbow Books. https://doi.org/10.2307/j.ctvh1ds02
- Sadler, P. (1991). The use of tarsometatarsi in sexing and ageing domestic fowl (Gallus gallus L.), and recognising five toed breeds in archaeological material. *Circa*, 8(1), 41–48.
- Scott, G. R. (1957). The History of Cockfighting. Charles Skilton.
- Sellheim, H. (1899). Kastration und knochenwachstum. Beiträge Zur Gebursthilfe Und Gynakologie, Bd., 2, 236–259.
- Serjeantson, D. (2000). Bird bones. In M. Fulford & J. Timby (Eds.), Late Iron Age and Roman Silchester: Excavations on the Site of the Forum Basilica 1977, 1980-86 (pp. 484-500). Society for the Promotion of Roman Studies.
- Serjeantson, D. (2006). Birds: Food and a mark of status. In C. M. Woolgar, D. Serjeantson, & T. Waldron (Eds.), Food in Medieval England: Diet and Nutrition (pp. 131–147). Oxford University Press.
- Serjeantson, D., & Morris, J. (2011). Ravens and crows in Iron Age and Roman Britain. Oxford Journal of Archaeology, 30(1), 85–107. https:// doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0092.2010.00360.x
- Silver, I. A. (1969). The ageing of domestic animals. In D. Brothwell & E. Higgs (Eds.), Science in Archaeology (pp. 283–302). Thames & Hudson.
- Skelton, C. (2019). Food taboos and preferences: How Can They Be Seen in the Archaeological Record?. MSc Thesis. University of Exeter.
- Smoak, K. D., & Sawyer, R. H. (1983). Avian spur development: Abnormal morphogenesis and keratinization in the scaleless (sc/sc) mutant. *Transactions of the American Microscopical Society*, 102(2), 135–144. https://doi.org/10.2307/3225883
- Sokoloff, L. (1959). Osteoarthritis in laboratory animals. *Journal of Technical Methods and Pathology*, 8, 1209–1217.
- Stokes, A. W. (1957). Validity of spur length as an age criterion in pheasants. The Journal of Wildlife Management, 21(2), 248–250. https://doi. org/10.2307/3797600
- Sykes, N. (2007). The Norman Conquest: A Zooarchaeological Perspective. British Archaeological Reports, British Series 1656. BAR.
- Sykes, N. (2012). A social perspective on the introduction of exotic animals: The case of the chicken. *World Archaeology*, 44(1), 158–169. https://doi.org/10.1080/00438243.2012.646104
- Thomas, R. (2005). Animals, Economy and Status: Integrating zooarchaeological and historical data in the study of Dudley Castle, West Midlands (c.1100-1750). British Archaeological Reports, British Series 392. BAR.
- Thomas, R., Holmes, M., & Morris, J. (2013). 'So bigge as bigge may be': Tracking size and shape change in domestic livestock in London (AD 1220–1900). Journal of Archaeological Science, 40(8), 3309–3325. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jas.2013.02.032

- Thomas, R., Sadler, P., & Cooper, J. (2016). Developmental osteology of cross-bred red Junglefowl (Gallus gallus L. 1758) and the implications for ageing chickens from archaeological sites. *International Journal of Osteoarchaeology*, 26(1), 176–188. https://doi.org/10.1002/oa.2417
- Tolson, J. (2005). Cockfighting. In T. Collins, J. Martin, & W. Vamplew (Eds.), Encyclopaedia of Traditional British Rural Sports (pp. 69–74). Psychology Press.
- van Neer, W., Noyen, K., de Cupere, B., & Beuls, I. (2002). On the use of endosteal layers and medullary bone from domestic fowl in archaeozoological studies. *Journal of Archaeological Science*, 29, 123–134. https://doi.org/10.1006/jasc.2001.0696

Venard, B. L. (2011). The Rule of Saint Benedict. Harvard University Press.

- Washburn, K. W., & Smyth, J. R. Jr. (1971). Inheritance of auxiliary spur in the domestic fowl. *Poultry Science*, 50(2), 385–388. https://doi.org/10. 3382/ps.0500385
- West, B. (1982). Spur development: Recognizing caponized fowl in archaeological material. In B. Wilson, C. Grigson, & S. Payne (Eds.), Ageing and Sexing Animal Bones From Archaeological Sites. British Archaeological Reports, British Series 109. (pp. 255–261). BAR.
- West, B. (1983). Chicken legs revisited. Circa, 3(1), 11-14.
- Wilson, B., Grigson, C., & Payne, S. (1982). Ageing and Sexing Animal Bones From Archaeological Sites. British Archaeological Reports, British Series 109. BAR. https://doi.org/10.30861/9780860541929
- Wilson, P. N. (1954). Growth analysis of the domestic fowl II. Effect of plane of nutrition on carcass composition. *The Journal of Agricultural Science*, 44(1), 67–85. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0021859600045378
- Woodburn, M. I. A., Carroll, J. P., Robertson, P. A., & Hoodless, A. N. (2009). Age determination of pheasants (*Phasianus colchicus*) using discriminant analysis. In *Gamebird* 2006 (pp. 505–516). Warnell School of Forestry and Natural Resources.
- Yarrell, W. (1856). On the influence of the sexual organ in modifying external character. Journal of the Proceedings of the Linnean Society of London, Zoology, 1(2), 76–82. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-3642. 1856.tb00957.x

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

How to cite this article: Doherty S. P., Foster A., Best J., Hamilton-Dyer S., Morris J., Sadler P., Skelton C., Smallman R., Woldekiros H., Thomas R., & Sykes N. (2021). Estimating the age of domestic fowl (*Gallus gallus domesticus* L. 1758) cockerels through spur development. *International Journal of Osteoarchaeology*, 31(5), 770–781. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/</u> oa.2988