Afterword: Collaboration, community building and 'brokering'

Author

Debbie Holley, Bournemouth University, UK

Reflections on collaboration: An opening

As Professor of Learning Innovation at Bournemouth University, UK, I lead on innovation in research, teaching and professional practice within the Faculty of Health and Social Sciences. Joining academia

from industry I approach much of my work as an academic outsider, sustained through friends, allies

and networks. I have had an interesting and varied inter-disciplinary journey: working in a Business

School; being a Reader in a Teacher Training department; running a central education unit; and now

working with colleagues in Nursing Sciences. To build community through collaboration has been the

essence of my work, and this chapter shares examples of what I term 'brokerage' (Outram 2009).

Actively engaging with others means co-creating and sharing, and also participating as equal

partners. We all have something to offer. At the heart of my teaching practice is 'working with others'

- in particular with students - to motivate and include them in a meaningful dialogue. Speaking but

also really listening as we act together. This encompasses the blend between learning inside the

classroom, learning within professional practice placements, and scaffolding informal learning outside

the university. It is also at the heart of the various research projects in which I have been involved

across the years - collaborating with diverse colleagues to produce innovative practice and resources

for students. I extend this collaboration, taking real pleasure in sharing educational networks with my

peers, developing their 'circles of influence' as they progress on their own career paths.

When hearing about Collaboration in Higher Education - as a book and a wider project in which

the editors have engaged – I thought how strongly this reflects my values, and values are an essential

part of what it means to be an educator in these challenging times (see Advance HE UKPSF, n.d., the

benchmark for educational excellence). The book highlights how staff work with staff - and with

students, how students connect with other students, how universities partner with other universities and beyond, and how staff and students connect with other stakeholders. In this *Afterword*, I reflect on my own collaborative journey as an educator and professional, linking it to wider arguments of a HE system that has been increasingly marketised and commodified, becoming more individualistic and competitive, rather than a collaborative or collegiate endeavour. This HE rarely responds positively to the challenges - or opportunities - of Digital Education and Widening Participation (WP). I begin therefore with a brief outline of my journey into academia that was always fuelled by my passion for the 'other'. The key message is highlighting the importance of seeking opportunities for connection in challenging university systems, before arguing for more liberatory practice through the collaborative: the genuine value of HE.

The Business School

My first academic post was within a Business School at a post-92 inner city university dedicated to WP and seeking to improve the experiences of 'non-traditional' students. Committed to supporting students inside/outside the classroom, this begins pre-entry, in induction and beyond but the 1st-year student experience is central to retention and completion, and hence changing lives. My pedagogic approach was - and still is - to establish a learning environment within which all students can collaborate together to participate and build good learning experiences. This translated into an empowering teaching agenda, where I worked with 35 staff to redesign a HE Orientation module for 1000+ students experiencing narratives of difficulty, isolation and compromise in the 'new cold climate' of HE (Sinfield et al., 2004).

With a predominance of WP students at the university, most combining complex home/work/study lives, blending the classroom by offering as well as the face-to-face sessions, 24/7 access to learning materials at a time and place of students' own choosing appeared a logical step. This not only supported, challenged and empowered the student but also connected them with each other. Student curiosity was for example raised when, instead of a printed module guide, they were

offered a business card in the genre of a 'Cluedo' board, which seeded all of the out of the classroom and online activities that supported the development of cohort identity and active, interactive learning and teamwork. Further, the assessment strategies and tasks were adapted so students could work together to showcase their learning (see Biggs', 1999, principles of constructive alignment). The final assessment piqued student engagement and curiosity, requiring a visit to the Tate Modern. We asked students to cooperatively design and present an art-based artefact to the larger class. This was a new, joint learning experience for many students, some with little of what academia considers cultural capital (Bourdieu, 1986):

Before coming to class, I had never heard of the Tate Modern or Salvador Dali. I really didn't want to go and visit the gallery, but when I got there, I was amazed ... there's a whole world I knew nothing about. Now, coincidently, I hear about the Tate Modern in the newspapers ...and I also read a novel that mentioned Salvador Dali. It was probably there all the time. This made me wonder how many other things just go right over my head because I don't know about them.

Changing the mode of delivery from teacher-centred to student-centred, and from purely inperson to blended was a collaborative challenge for the teaching team. We moved large lectures to
smaller tutorial groups and engaged students in creative, collaborative, problem-based learning. All
this required a shift in staff attitudes. Encountering staff more used to traditional models of delivery
as well as those unwilling to deliver 'remedial' programmes to students, I designed and led staff
development sessions for colleagues, bringing them on board with the project that collaboratively
reinvented the learning, teaching and assessment practices of a previously unloved 'study skills'
module:

It was such a joy working with a member of staff who wanted to redesign teaching, learning and assessment for student empowerment.

I loved the creativity, who would have thought a first-year skills unit would now include a staff and student trip to the Tate Modern!

The values underpinning my work are reflected in the body of work in this book and can particularly be found in the section on *Partnership for Social Justice and Decolonising Relationships*. The case studies reveal educational development as collegiate practice. They bring together new, radical collaborative approaches to teaching and learning, uncovering the development of collaborative pedagogy that enabled authors, students and external entities to position themselves powerfully within academic discourse. These chapters actively connect their ostensibly isolated stories into powerful narratives of inclusion. As with my own experience some twenty years before, other examples constitute staff and students co-designing previously unloved modules and courses into creative and invigorating capstone projects that have impact beyond individuals and university programmes, rippling out locally, nationally and globally.

Digital collaboration: Learning technology, connection and humanity

There is a Zulu proverb called Ubuntu that says: "I am a person through other people. My humanity is tied to yours." Archbishop Desmond Tutu explained it this way: "One of the sayings in our country is Ubuntu — the essence of being human. Ubuntu speaks particularly about the fact that you can't exist as a human being in isolation. It speaks about our interconnectedness ... We think of ourselves far too frequently as just individuals, separated from one another, whereas you are connected and what you do affects the whole world." (Archbishop Desmond Tutu from Metz, 2017)

The rapid transition to online learning as a response to Covid-19 has seen the shifting of learning from a mainly face-to-face experience to one mainly framed by the affordances of institutional VLE. However, while there are many examples of why the 'digital' might not work for education and should be used with caution (see for example Morley & Carmichael, 2020), there are many more examples of how wonderfully designed, collaborative and shared technologies offer our students those liberatory places and spaces we wish for them, without the 'technostress' baggage that our standard/normative practices often unknowingly design in (Holley & Biggins, 2021). Suddenly there was a distributed network of academics playing, experimenting and challenging what Digital Education could and should be. This was crowd-sourced experimentation for empowering technology enhanced learning at its best: building a vision from the ground up. Unfettered by the limitations of policy drivers and strategic goals - academics just had to get on and do it - and share it. For example, the Covid-19 Higher Education Literature Database (CHELD) became an open access repository for 138 manuscripts published between 1 January 2020 and 30 June 2020 (Butler-Henderson et al., 2020; 2021). This collection set out to map an emergent field and capture the contributions academics were making to our understanding that Covid-19 brought about the real potential of Digital Education, and to highlight the cross cutting themes. This open-access sharing of 'best practice' and current research brought not only researchers together but academics more generally: a network was created (Castells, 2004; Wenger, 1997).

This rapid and collegiate response to the digital reality and innovation launched by the pandemic was embodied within my work in Nursing Sciences. Here we needed to move our dedicated nursing academics, and our students, online 'in a hurry' and ahead of the rest of the University. In a medical emergency, our student nurses were in demand, with requests to graduate and enter the workforce - immediately. The potential conflict was that high quality nursing teaching is typically developmental, taking place over time and in various collaborative ways. Our staff pride themselves on delivering a high level of content knowledge and skills to trainee nurses, with an approach very much in Wenger's (1997) Community of Practice model and founded on a 'humanisation' curriculum

(led by BU Nursing academics Todres et al., 2009). When the call from Public Health England came for trainee nurses to fast track their degrees and go swiftly into full time practice, this added further pressure to the team unfamiliar with online teaching. We wanted to maintain high teaching standards for the students - those nurses - to ensure exceptionally high quality for their patients. This had to happen online and at a distance and immediately; delivered by staff whose expertise was in face-to-face hands-on teaching for a practice-based profession.

The Faculty Learning technologist and I collaborated to identify and offer a safe hybrid 'place' where individual staff could overcome their own constraints of expectation, through collaboration and "place-binding" (Ingold, 2011, in Ellis & Goodyear, 2016) at the intersection of the paths people create and follow in their daily lives (Cook & Holley, 2022). Thus the daily *Coronavirus Teachinar* was launched. The *Teachinar* adopted a radio chat show format, with participants free to listen, share, or contribute - and again, at a place and time of their own choosing - to podcasts and recordings designed to share good digital practice and enable access to critical information. A different kind of collaborative network was born. Pandemic, or no pandemic, technologies offer us different options, and this very much echoes the *Virtually Connecting* section, with students and faculty supporting each other online, building community for wellbeing and sharing stories and histories of success and resilience. The interconnectiveness of a network developing, flowing humanely, especially in a time of crisis, embodies the ethos of Umbuntu.

Concluding thoughts

Learning is often presented as an individualistic and even competitive pursuit, and a wholesale switch to online can exacerbate this perception. As we can see in the examples above - one blending face-to-face and the digital, the other completely online - a humanising and holistic approach to learning and each other can be created when collaborating with others. An inclusive outlook is always important in teaching in a humane context, we are interdependent beings. It is even more important to develop

collaborative working practices when developing teaching and learning online. As mentor, the advice I offer students and staff 'on becoming' an academic can be summarised as:

You learn how to become academic over time and through the range of practices that you engage with through your time in university, developing competencies that you only become aware of and recognise at the end of that journey.

My ethos is both student- and staff-centred: I celebrate students and what they bring; I aim to empower students in and out of the classroom; my practice considers lifelong learning as process supported by practice that lights a spark in the heart of the learner; I work with colleagues to develop communities of practice across the university and beyond; and my urge to network and act in a collegiate manner, brings together learning developers, discipline academics, librarians, technicians, members of the public and other 'stakeholders' to co-create spaces to co-explore with students - and for all of us to travel the journey together.

As exemplified by the stories of hope in *Collaboration in Higher Education*, the 'brave new university' would benefit from exploring its own practices through a collaborative lens. There is potential when we work together, when practice emerges from ground up experimentation, when we embrace collaborative multimodal interaction and mixed social networks - and all with a truly student-centred focus. The supercomplexity of the world, of our students' lives and of the problems that we need to face and tackle together requires a humanising approach to 'scaling at speed' (Holley et al., 2020) requiring:

- Partnership for social justice and Decolonising relationships
- Staff collaborations to enhance teaching and learning
- Students as partners
- Cross-boundary collaboration (Collaborations with stakeholders)

- Creative partnerships
- Virtually connecting
- Reflections on collaboration

Exactly what this inspiring book offers to its readers – illuminated and highlighted by all the case studies in the book, shared through the international mutual learning projects they represent. This book clearly articulates the ways in which we can all - student community, discipline staff, learning developers, technologists, artists and beyond - come together to reframe these as spaces of agency and power.

References

- Advance HE (n.d.). UK Professional Standards Framework (PSF). https://www.advance-he.ac.uk/guidance/teaching-and-learning/ukpsf
- Biggs, J. (1996). Enhancing teaching through constructive alignment. *Higher Education*, 32, 347-364.

 DOI: 10.1007/BF00138871
- Bourdieu, P. (1986). The forms of capital. Trans. R. Nice. In J. Richardson (Ed.), *Handbook of theory* and research for the sociology of education. Greenwood Press.
- Holley, D. and Biggins, D. (2021). Wellbeing: The chasm between students' expectations and institutional provision [blog]. #Take5, #65.
 https://lmutake5.wordpress.com/2021/11/25/take5-65-wellbeing-the-chasm-between-students-expectations-and-institutional-provision/
- Holley, D., Donaldson, I., & Moran, J. (2020). *A humanising response to scaling at speed*. Shaping academic practice for the new decade, 18th Annual Academic Practice and Technology (APT), July 06 2020.
- Butler-Henderson, K., Crawford, J., Rudolph, J., Lalani, K., & Sabu, K. M. (2020). Covid-19 in higher education literature database (CHELD V1): An open access systematic literature review

- database with coding rules. *Journal of Applied Learning & Teaching*, *3*(2), 1-6. DOI: 10.37074/jalt.2020.3.2.11
- Butler-Henderson, K., Tan, S., Lalani, K., Mandapam, S. K., Kemp, T., Rudolph, J., & Crawford, J.

 (2021). Update of the Covid-19 higher education literature database (CHELD V2). *Journal of Applied Learning & Teaching*, 4(1), 1-4. DOI: 10.37074/jalt.2021.4.1.22
- Castells, M. (2004). *The network society: A cross-cultural perspective*. Edward Elgar Publishing, Incorporated.
- Cook, J., & Holley, D. (2022). Covid-19 lock-down: Hybrid learning cases using the lens of the zone of possibility. In E. Gil, Y. Mor, Y. Dimitriadis, & C. Koppe (Eds.), Hybrid learning spaces.

 Springer. http://eprints.bournemouth.ac.uk/35528/
- Morley, D., & Carmichael, H. (2020). Engagement in socio constructivist online learning to support personalisation and borderless education. *Student Engagement in Higher Education Journal,* 3(1), 115-132. https://sehej.raise-network.com/raise/article/view/1004
- Metz, T. (2017, October 04). What Archbishop Tutu's ubuntu credo teaches the world about justice and harmony. *The Conversation*. https://theconversation.com/what-archbishop-tutus-ubuntu-credo-teaches-the-world-about-justice-and-harmony-84730
- Outram, S. (2009). Revisiting dissemination. *SEDA*, 10.3, 1-5. https://www.seda.ac.uk/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/Educational-Developments-10.3.pdf
- Sinfield, S., Burns, T. & Holley, D. (2004). Outsiders looking in or insiders looking out? Widening

 Participation in a post 1992 University. In J. Satterthwaite, A. Atkinson, & W. Martin (Eds.),

 The disciplining of education: New languages of power and resistance, (pp. 137-152),

 Trentham Books.
- Todres, L., Galvin, K. T., & Holloway, I. (2009). The humanization of healthcare: A value framework for qualitative research. *International Journal of Qualitative Studies on Health and Wellbeing*, 4(2), 68-77. DOI: 10.1080/17482620802646204

Wenger, E. (1997). *Learning, meaning and identity. Communities of practice*. Cambridge University Press.