Campaign spaces for sustainable development
A power analysis of the Fairtrade Town Campaign in the UK

Roberta Discetti, University of Portsmouth
Matthew Anderson, University of Portsmouth
Adam Gardner, Fairtrade Foundation

Abstract

This paper explores the role that Fairtrade town and cities can play in achieving the SDGs. As the largest consumers of resources, cities have a crucial role to play in the transition towards sustainable development, both within and outside their geographical boundaries. In the UK, town and cities have driven the expansion of the Fair Trade movement through the "Fairtrade Town" campaign, but an exploration of the relation with sustainable development is currently missing. By applying power analysis (Gaventa, 2006) we investigate campaign material produced by the Fairtrade Foundation and the experience of local campaigners. Our findings suggest that Fairtrade Towns operate as campaign spaces for sustainable development, challenging multiple forms of power and mobilising resources on interrelated levels. Providing a framework to foster social equity on a glocal level, Fairtrade Towns hold the potential to contribute to multiple SDGs, accounting for a systemic approach to sustainable communities and cities.

Introduction

Home to over 50% of the world's population and generating more than 70% of the global emissions (UN, 2018) cities have a crucial role to play in the transition towards sustainable development. Their position as key actors in driving the change has been established since the adoption of Local Agenda 21 plans (LA21), which states that while national strategies are essential to sustainable development, countries should delegate responsibilities to the lowest level of public authority to achieve effective action (UNCED, 1992). The centrality of the local level has been reconfirmed with the launch of Agenda 2030 (UCLG, 2018).

Nonetheless, within the UN framework, sustainable cities are defined mainly in relation to process driven targets and technical improvements of the services cities are able to offer (UNSTATS, 2017). Cities are considered mainly as places of production and consumption, and their ability to foster social change through community cohesion and political participation is currently being overlooked in the conceptualization of a "sustainable city" (UN Habitat, 2016). Academic literature on urban sustainability has criticised this reductionist perspective, defined as an efficiency approach to sustainable development (Bengtsson et al., 2018; Rodriguez et al., 2018). Conversely, from many different angles, scholars have been calling for a systemic approach in order to achieve structural and transformative change (Bai et al., 2016; Bengtsson et al., 2018; Wolfram et al., 2016).

One of the major challenges cities are called to address is managing food and agriculture systems taking into account local and global dimensions. Due to increasing world population and urbanisation processes, food systems are producing degenerative impacts such as progressive environmental degradation and augmented social inequalities across rural and urban communities globally (Ellen MacArthur Foundation, 2018). As the largest consumers of resources, cities have a significant potential to contribute to systemic change, but they cannot achieve sustainable development alone: this requires tackling interlinked issues both within and outside urban boundaries, involving actors and institutions outside the city, both on a local and a global scale (Bai et al., 2016).

The Fair Trade movement has been campaigning for decades to address global inequalities within food global supply chains, entangling local and global concerns (Van Dam, 2015). During the last two decades, cities in the UK and in Europe have been playing a fundamental role in raising awareness about Fair Trade. Their role as "glocal" actors (Robertson, 1995) has been ratified by the creation of a "Fairtrade Town" campaign, an international initiative launched in the UK in 2001, which bundles together local concerns for sustainable food consumption with global political issues around trade justice in food supply chains.

Academic literature and campaign material define this form of local activism "Fairtrade Town campaign" (FTT). Its outcome is the "Fairtrade Town status", granted to local communities by the Fairtrade Foundation, the charity that monitors the use of the FAIRTRADE Mark in the UK. The campaign started in Garstang, a market town in the North West of England, where a group of Oxfam volunteers successfully persuaded the local council to pass a resolution to declare Garstang the first Fairtrade Town in the world (Lamb, 2008). Following this example, groups of local volunteers started to campaign in their towns and cities to raise awareness about Fairtrade and to increase availability of Fairtrade certified products. Despite initial scepticism, the Fairtrade Foundation was persuaded to formalise the campaign and develop a set of criteria for towns and cities to fulfil in order to be acknowledged as "Fairtrade Town". While the first Fairtrade Town was based on a self-declaration of community support for Fairtrade, all the successive campaigns followed a formal process of application and review against five goals, monitored by the Fairtrade Foundation.

To be awarded Fairtrade Town status, local communities have to secure political recognition, convincing the local authority to pass a resolution in support of Fairtrade. The other goals cover: Fairtrade product availability in retail and catering outlets; workplace and community support; media coverage and community events; and a local FTT steering group (FTF, 2011).

The campaign rapidly expanded nationally and internationally, and there are currently 2014 FTTs in 30 countries across the world, more than 600 FTTs in the UK (FTTI, 2019). One of the reasons for its success was the early support of local authorities (Malpass et al., 2007), which seized the campaign as an opportunity to localise sustainable development and to pursue Local Agenda 21 plans. Although it remains a voluntary initiative, the campaigns gained European political recognition, with the European Parliament defining FTTs as an important

device for strengthening citizen-to-citizen cooperation and raising awareness about North-South relations (EU, 2005).

FTTs play an important role in the expansion of the movement and are identified by the Fairtrade Foundation as among the main strategies to achieve SDGs (FTF, 2016a). Despite this, a detailed reflection on the relation with the Agenda 2030 is currently missing. This research aims at extending the knowledge base about what constitute a "sustainable city" within the Fair Trade movement and the process of locally implementing SDGs.

Aligning individual and collective consumption

Previous research on Fairtrade consumption as collective social activism has set the theoretical framing for research on FTT. While so far most Fair Trade scholarship has focused attention mainly on individual dimensions of purchase and consumption (Andorfer & Liebe, 2012), some academics have been challenging the assumption that the key driver of Fair Trade market expansion is the individual atomised consumer (Anderson, 2015; Wheeler, 2012; Clarke et al., 2007; Low & Davenport, 2007), giving visibility to collective structures and emerging narratives that repoliticise the movement (Anderson, 2018). FTT scholarship builds upon this call to align individual and collective consumption. Although the FTT campaign is constantly expanding, research on this phenomenon is still in its early stages and scattered across different disciplines. The main theoretical contributions so far draw from marketing and, to a lesser extent, from human geography and political ecology.

Several studies have analysed FTTs within a marketing approach (Alexander & Nicholls, 2006; Peattie & Samuel, 2015; Samuel et al., 2018). FTTs have been defined as the idea of expanding the Fairtrade label from products to places (Alexander & Nicholls, 2006) and as brand communities (Szmigin & Carrigan, 2003; Szmigin et al. 2007; Samuel et al. 2018). A macromarketing approach has proved to be particularly fruitful to explore the collective dimension and relational activism of FTTs (Samuel & Peattie, 2016).

A broader focus around the role of places in the campaign has been introduced by geographical and political literature. From this perspective, the FTT campaign can be defined as a place-based, geographically embedded social movement concerned with globalization issues, which advocates for transnational solidarity (Lyon, 2014) and practices global citizenship (Malpass et al. 2007). Its social action repertoire combines marketplace advocacy with practical actions (Peattie & Samuel, 2018), including raising awareness activities, educational initiatives, local and national campaigning and, most of all, a distinctive form of consumer activism.

Despite the differences, these approaches are associated to an emphasis on the role of campaigners and networks of local groups, while overlooking the coordinating role of the Fairtrade Foundation (Peattie & Samuel, 2018). Moreover, despite the campaign's potential to be part of a global transition towards sustainable cities, this aspect and the links with UN framework haven't been explored by previous literature.

This brief overview highlights two connected issues. Firstly, the positioning of FTT research within a broader reassessment of the role of the social movement and political decision-making in the expansion of the movement (Anderson, 2015). Secondly, the need to address the lack of research on the mechanisms and power dynamics between local campaigners and the Fairtrade Foundation, and the implications of this interplay for the future contribution of the campaign to SDGs.

Methodology

Our methodology involved three stages. We began the study with a systematic review of FTF campaign material produced by the Fairtrade Foundation between 2015-2018 (Table 1). This period was chosen to explore the context within which campaigners operate, i.e. whether and how the UN framework has informed the campaign. Utilising documentary analysis (Bowen, 2009) we produced an overview of the campaign material and thematic evaluation on SDGs addressed.

*Table 1. Overview of Fairtrade Foundation campaign material*

Year	Target Audience	Total n. of sources	Fairtrade Foundation Documents and report 2015-2018
2015	Campaigners	9	Fairtrade Fortnight 2015 campaign material; Petitions to MPs and MEPs; Fairtrade Campaigners Guide to general elections; Fairtrade Town Case studies; Promotional material; Town Crier
	Policymakers	3	MP Briefings; Policy papers and recommendations
	Schools	2	Classroom assembly resources; lessons plans
2016	Campaigners	12	Fairtrade Fortnight 2016 Campaign material; International FTT conference; Fairtrade Town Campaign awards; Impact story 2015-2016; Promotional material ; Town Crier
	Policymakers	1	Engaging with constituencies guide
	Businesses	1	Workplaces guidelines
	Worship places	3	Worship places guidelines
2017	Campaigners	8	Fairtrade Fortnight 2017 Campaign material; National Supporters conference; Sainsbury's Campaign material and petition; Brexit updates
	Policymakers	4	Brexit policy papers
2018	Campaigners	10	Fairtrade Fortnight 2018 Campaign material; Brexit updates; Promotional material; Petition to MPs Petitions to MPs
	Policymakers	5	Brexit Policy paper; Climate Coalition campaign
	Worship places	1	Fairtrade fortnight 2018 church worship resources
	Schools	12	Lesson plans; assembly plans; campaign activities

Secondly, we arranged 8 semi-structured interviews with members of the National Campaigner Committee (NCC). The NCC is a group of 12 elected Fairtrade campaigners who each represent a region of the UK. The committee is a member organisation of the Fairtrade

Foundation, with voting rights and responsibilities, and is represented at the annual general meeting (FTF, 2019). Our 8 interviewees represent: London, Scotland, North England, North West, South West, Yorkshire, Wales and West Midlands.

The third stage of the research process included a presentation of our preliminary findings during a national NCC meeting, attended by FTF Campaign teams and NCC members. While their feedback allowed us to validate findings, this constituted an opportunity to exercise organisational self-reflection (Gaventa, 2019) and to further reflect on the implications of applying power analysis to a Fairtrade campaign.

Figure 1. NCC's role in the governance of the Fairtrade Foundation (FTF, 2019)

Our discussion of the findings is based on the concept of power analysis, in particular the 'power cube' developed by John Gaventa at the Institute of Development Studies, University of Sussex (Gaventa, 2006). Power analysis builds upon the three dimensions of power conceptualized by Lukes (1974). The power cube is a tool to analyse how power affects citizen action and participation; it understands power as an interplay of interrelated dimensions, namely levels, spaces and forms of power (Figure 2).

The power cube was originally designed to investigate actions taken by institutions or organizations to address power imbalances in international development contexts and its applications outside this scope is still limited (Gaventa, 2019; Pantazidou, 2012). Within Fair Trade scholarship, power analysis has been applied to Fairtrade tea production (Brugger, 2017 quoted in Gaventa, 2019) but the application to a Fairtrade campaign represents a novel approach and a contribution of this paper.

Figure 2. The "Power Cube" (Gaventa, 2006)

"Spaces of power" refers to the opportunities for citizen participation and action, including closed, invited and claimed spaces. It builds on work by Cornwall (2002) that applies concepts popularised by French social theorists (i.e. Foucault, Lefebvre, Bourdieu), that spaces for participation are not neutral, but shaped by power relations. We use this dimension to analyse how FTT campaigns have been set up and emerging challenges about boundaries, identity and inclusivity.

 "Levels of power" acknowledges that in a globalized world, power is multi-layered and found across the interrelated locations of local, national and global arenas. This is particularly relevant to FTT campaigns, as much of the literature refers to it as "glocalised" – in terms of aims and practices (Malpass et al. 2007; Samuel et al., 2018).

"Forms of power" take into account the work of VeneKlasen and Miller (2002), which distinguish between the visible, hidden and invisible forms of power. We use this dimension to analyse norms and beliefs that shape FTT campaigns and may also present social and cultural barriers.

Findings and Discussion

1. Situating fairness in sustainable development

Since the launch of the SDGs, the Fairtrade Foundation has incorporated the 2030 Agenda into research and policy papers, calling for policy coherence (FTF, 2015) and identifying the main areas where the Foundation seeks to contribute (FTF, 2016b). While all SDGs are acknowledged, to some extent, as relevant for Fair Trade, SDG 12 – "ensure sustainable consumption and production patterns" - is identified among the key goals for the movement, both at European level (FTAO, 2016) and in the UK (FTF, 2016b).

Our findings, though, suggest that the FTT campaign doesn't have an exclusive focus on sustainable consumption, nor it has polarised around any specific goal, but it tackles multiple issues connected to different SDGs (Table 2). For their multiple actions on several connected issues, FTTs contribute to a systemic approach to SDGs (Bai, 2016).

Table 2. Overview of SDGs thematic areas addressed in Fairtrade Foundation campaign material

Year	Target Audience	No poverty SDG 1	Zero hunger SDG 2	Quality Education SDG 4	Gender equality SDG 5	Economich growth SDG 8	Reduced inequalities SDG 10	Sustainable Cities SDG 11	Sust. Consumption SDG 12	Climate action SGD 13	Strong Institutions SDG 16	Partnership for the goals SDG 17
2015	Campaigners	x				x		x	x	x	x	
	Policymakers	x		x								x
	Schools			x								
2016	Campaigners		x			x	x	x	x	x		x
	Policymakers							x				x
	Businesses					x						
	Worship places		x						x		x	
2017	Campaigners	x		x				x		x	x	
	Policymakers	x		x			x			x	x	
2018	Campaigners		x			x		x	x			
	Policymakers	x				x						
	Worship places								x	x		
	Schools			x					x			

Key : Areas in grey respresent SDGs not taken into account by previous policy research (FTAO, 2016).

While most areas of contribution overlap with the SDGs identified by the broad European movement, the FTT campaign in the UK displays concerns not taken into account by previous policy research (FTAO, 2016), such as quality education (SDG 4), strong institutions (SDG 16) and sustainable communities (SDG 11). The latter is particularly relevant to the future development of the campaign. Our findings show the potential of FTTs to contribute to this goal for its engagement with a number of contributing factors to urban social sustainability, such as: education; inter- and intra-generational social justice; participation and local democracy; active community organising, sense of place (Dempsey et al., 2011). Most of the academic literature on sustainable communities, though, defines social equity in relation to a geographical bounded community (ibid.). FTTs display instead a sense of equity towards distant actors, known in Fair Trade scholarship as "caring at distance" (Barnett et al., 2005a). This approach to social equity broadens the scope of the current definition of sustainable communities and confirms the campaign's glocal scope around sustainable development.

Local FTT groups recognise the need for action on a wide range of issues connected to Agenda 2030, such as: climate change, promotion of quality education and sustainable consumption and production. However, the SDGs are not necessarily at the forefront of local FTT campaigning. The connection between Fairtrade and the SDGs is often implied rather than explicit:

"I would say that the work that we do to raise awareness of Fairtrade and to increase consumption within our area does contribute to several of the goals. But as I say, we don't make a direct connection" (North West representative)

As Gaventa (2006) stresses, power analysis understands all power dimensions as existing along a flexible continuum, not as a fixed set of categories. The power cube approach conceptualises social change as a systemic challenge to power imbalances, through multiple linked strategies that work across each of the dimensions simultaneously. The strategies employed by the Fairtrade Foundation and the local groups are strongly interlinked and will be discussed together, while Table 3 will provide an overview that gives more visibility to the differences in approaches and contributions.

2. ***Claiming spaces for campaigns***

Power analysis conceptualises 'claimed spaces' as opportunities for citizens to affect policies and shape public discourses (Gaventa, 2006). We argue that FTT campaigns are spaces claimed through a synergy between local grassroots effort and NGO organizational capacity. By encouraging participation in institutionalised and non-institutionalised spaces and enhancing debate around interwoven concerns of Agenda 2030, FTTs mobilize local power to challenge global power imbalances.

Spaces of participation are not neutral but are themselves surrounded and permeated by power relations (Cornwall, 2002). Their permanence is not granted, and once they have been shaped as democratic spaces, they can fall back on less participative structures. As the campaign becomes mature, and reaches a plateau due to success against perceived objectives, it loses part of its initial dynamism and risks becoming a closed space, with thickened boundaries and a static identity. This can lead to a reduction in overall levels of activism within local groups , shrinking the space left for deeper discussions:

"We meet four times a year for an hour and a half or two hours. That's about as much commitment as we can get from people. And in that short time, there's a lot of practical issues to get sorted out: applying for funding, planning Fairtrade Fortnight, planning renewals for Fairtrade Town status, and that takes up almost all our time" (North West representative)

FTT campaign has been defined as a combination of marketplace advocacy and action (Peattie & Samuel, 2018). However, the relative increase in focus around the "practicalities" of the campaign risks undermining the advocacy component. Our research shows at least two strategies put in place by campaigners to tackle this risk and maintain the openness of claimed spaces. Firstly, some local groups have adopted an extended view of Fair Trade that promotes a broader message about "making trade fair":

"I think in recent years, there's been a sort of loosening up on being too legalistic about sticking to the Fairtrade mark. I think that Fairtrade groups feel that they have the freedom now to promote and fully support say, for example, local fair trade shops who may sell a lot of goods which don't have the Fairtrade mark, and to be supportive of fair trade organizations, which, you know, lots of their activity doesn't involve the Fairtrade mark" (Yorkshire representative)

This extended view of Fair Trade has already been adopted by some local campaigns, such as the city of Bristol, which changed the name of the campaign from "Fairtrade Town" to "Fair Trade Town" (Rochman, 2018).

Secondly, local FTT groups have increasingly looked to build new partnerships with a wider spectrum of NGOs and CSOs working on environmental aspects of sustainable development. Although previous research has highlighted the capacity of FTTs to interconnect activism (Peattie & Samuel, 2018; Wheeler, 2012) new actors are emerging as part of local Fair Trade networks, such as: the Slow Fashion movement, Plastic Free movement, community farming groups, Simple Living groups and the Vegan movement. Although from different approaches, these movements all resonate concerns about the unsustainability of the current patterns of production and consumption (SDG 12) and the need to take action to address climate change (SDG 13). Bristol is again an emblematic example of this approach. Exploring the environmental aspect of Fair Trade was seen to revitalise the campaign and boost membership:

"we definitely see ourselves as being part of a bigger picture, not just campaigning on fair trade. [...] The link with the environmental movement has been huge to our campaign, and definitely a part of our success" (South West Representative)

What is relevant to these dynamics is the capacity of campaigners to shape the boundaries of campaign spaces starting from the local level. Reflections around boundaries are key in investigating spaces for participation. As efforts to engage participation can be thought as inherently spatial (Cornwall, 2002), decisions over boundaries - who is allowed to speak and participate in a determined space – are crucial to the democratic permeability of the space.

3. Bridging local, national and global levels

While FTTs represent situated campaign spaces which localize sustainable development, their identity and their scope of action goes beyond the local dimension, and in fact build on the local to exert power upward, towards national politics and global issues.

Mobilization at the local level can be used to exert influence on a global scale. Early work on FTT highlighted how FTT groups acquired recognition and legitimacy at local level by constructing the "local" and the "global" as mutually constitutive (Malpass et al., 2007). Making the link between farmers in the global south and local farmers, who were also struggling to get a fair price for their produce, was seen as an important factor in the success

of Garstang's pioneering FTT campaign. Following considerable debate, this local/global dynamic was also replicated in the emergence of FTTs in other regions of the UK (Barnett, et al., 2011) .

However, this alignment between 'local and 'global' producers appears to be facing new challenges and a sustainability agenda based on a broader framing of solidarity has been difficult for FTTs to maintain:

"I'm not aware of actual farmers who are part of the local sort of fair trade groups. [...] Although individual groups around the county probably have personal links with farmers in their areas, perhaps on a sort of friendship basis, I don't think we get a great deal of input from the farming community on the whole" (North England representative).

Since 2016 Brexit has dominated British politics. The FTF has raised concerns about the potential impacts of trade rules being rewritten and have made the case for protecting developing country market access to the UK throughout the Brexit process (FTF, 2018a). Following Charity Commission guidelines, the FTF has not endorsed either side of the referendum debate, but has produced regular updates and research briefings about the potential implications of the Brexit for overseas farmers and workers (FTF, 2017FTF). The Fairtrade Foundation has applied a 'trade for development' lens, that reflects on "the contribution of these sectors to developing country economies, and more broadly, the Sustainable Development Goals" (FTF, 2018a).

Although the FTF have had some success in engaging individual supporters through petitions and MP lobbying activities, Brexit presents a particularly challenging campaign context for FTTs:

"We try to focus on the farmers, the producers, on the message that fair trade is getting across and if anyone starts talking about Brexit or something like that, we would tend to try to close that conversation down very quickly, because we can't influence it, not through fair trade." (London representative)

However, this apparent reluctance should not be seen as a lack of willingness to engage with global issues or wider debate. Instead, the response by local FTT campaigners needs to be understood within the context of a wider political dislocation and upheaval that has followed the UK referendum on EU membership. There is a feeling that the 'bundling' together of local and global understandings of fairness may struggle resonate in regions, that according to media reports, have been left behind and alienated by globalisation (Economist, 2017).

4. *Making power visible*

Campaigning in FTTs pivots on making visible the hidden mechanisms of power within food supply chains. The campaign challenges hidden power relationships which alienate food

consumption in wealthy countries from food production in contexts characterized by poverty and food insecurity. Local groups' main concern is unveiling the origins of commodities:

"Asking the question: where does this stuff come from? Because if you start answering the question, where did this stuff come from? How do people that produce it live and where do they live and produce? Somewhere along that line you're not going to like an answer if it's an exploitative relationship." (West Midlands representative)

Consumer awareness and trust are key elements that bolster for support independent third party certification - any confusion over use of key terminology or labelling presents a risk of corporate "fairwashing" (Jaffee, 2010). What is particularly noteworthy in FTT campaigns is the collectivisation of this questioning process. FTTs mobilize collective action strategies in order to construct new statements around sustainable consumption and production (SDG 12). The collective learning that underpins campaigning and raising awareness activities organically marks FTTs as spaces for production of discourses and knowledge. This differentiate them from an aggregate of individuals making the same consumption choices, as access to knowledge and participation in its production are among the first resources to be mobilized to influence public debate in created spaces (Gaventa & Cornwall, 2008).

Political engagement is an important part of campaigning in FTTs, and local groups have adopted advocacy approaches that address visible forms of power in local, regional and national politics. In the updated process of renewing Fairtrade status (FTF, 2018b), campaigners are asked to provide information about their engagement with their Local Authority and political representatives (MP, MSP, AM or MLA). Fairtrade has formal support within the UK Parliament through the All-Party Parliamentary Group (APPG) for Fairtrade, but the main political relationship for FTTs is with local authorities.

Local authorities were an important driver in the early development of FTTs in the UK (Malpass et al., 2007). However, following austerity measures, the Local Government section of the Department of Local Government and Communities has experienced the largest cuts, losing over half of its funding between 2010 and 2015 (Gray & Barford, 2018). This has directly affected many FTT groups, who no longer benefit from local authority funding or staff time. Despite these challenges, FTTs have remained at the forefront of sustainable public procurement in the UK.

Campaigns focused on public procurement have provided opportunities to address visible forms of power (the regulations and guidelines of the procurement process) and also the hidden forms of power (how is value defined by decision makers). With support of European partners, FT campaigners in the UK have succeeded in ensuring that procurement guidance is updated to reflect the European Court of Justice ruling that "Fairtrade or equivalent" can be included in call for tenders either as award criteria or contract performance condition (FTF, 2014a). Although this ruling does not allow tenders to only specify the Fairtrade Mark as a qualifying criteria, the principle of "equivalence" is recognised as a pragmatic approach that supports an extended view of Fair Trade and sustainable public procurement.

Local councils face ongoing pressures to pursue the most cost-effective options in call for tenders. Questioning this approach, FTT campaigns aim to deconstruct hidden forms of power that permeates much of public procurement decisions of local authorities, namely the discourse around what constitutes value. The campaign has been successful in challenging this hegemony, which previously sidelined non-economic measures of value. By promoting measures of social value as opposed to economic value, the campaign pursues what Dillard and Dujon (2008) define the "metaphorical quest" of articulating the economy in the language of social sustainability.

Lastly, campaigners' engagement in FTTs shape their identity and determine a growth of their sense of agency. Previous research on Fair Trade activism has shown that Fair Trade supporters don't only recognize themselves as consumers, but rather use consumption practices to express commitments to political projects (Clarke et al., 2007) and to practice citizenship (Wheeler, 2012). In FTTs, campaigners link "power within", i.e. the awareness of agency within individual consumption choices, and "power with", i.e. the collective power of shaping new beliefs and cultural practices that challenge the current power structures.

Campaigners' increased agency is key in tackling the invisible power behind our daily consumption choices and in articulating new discourses around alternatives modes of production and consumption:

"(Fair Trade) it's about buying less but buying better. So trying to persuade people to not buy loads and loads of cheap clothing for example, or "two for one" food when they end up throwing away half of it. So thinking about what they're consuming and what they buy being good quality. [...] we don't want to in any way encourage overconsumption [...] people need to make sensible decisions based on all the different aspects, not just blindly buying it because it's got Fairtrade label attached to it." (South West Representative)

This interlinkage between social and environmental concerns enhances broader transformations of consumption patterns. A balanced and equitable consumption of natural resources is mirrored, at the individual level, by a reduction of overconsumption supported by a principle of sufficiency. Support for Fair Trade is also compatible with narratives of reduction and simplicity (Ulinski, 2013); however, these issues are only addressed within campaign material designed for Fairtrade churches and linked to Christian principles of parsimony and frugality (Traidcraft, 2016).

Table 3. Overview of the strategies employed as identified in the "Power Cube" analysis

	Spaces of power		
	Claimed spaces	**Invited Spaces**	**Closed spaces**
Fairtrade Foundation	Synergistically open spaces for public mobilization where discourses around sustainable development are constructed and practiced	Access to formal invited spaces, both in the private and public sector	Challenge legitimacy of closed spaces of decision making, i.e. international trade deal negotiations
Local campaign groups		Access to informal spaces of collaboration with NGOs and CSOs	Shape the boundaries of campaign spaces at the local level

	Levels of power		
	Local	**National**	**Global**
Fairtrade Foundation	Relationships with local groups of campaigners, through FTT Awards, Fairtrade Fortnight, supporters conferences and ongoing communications	Influence decision and policy makers in private and public sector through certification relationships and political advocacy	Relationships to strengthen producers and influence multi-nationals through global Fairtrade system
Local campaign groups	Influencing local decision making through local action and development of partnerships	Local participation is mobilized to exert power upwards to national decision makers	Partnerships between international FTTs create a global network of mobilization

	Forms of power		
	Visible	**Hidden**	**Invisible**
Fairtrade Foundation	Articulate new discourses around sustainable development and raises critical consciousness	Aim to shape national political agenda and private sector sourcing trends to raise legitimacy and visibility of FT issues (i.e. Post-Brexit trade deals)	Challenge hegemonic discourses and cultural practices
Local campaign groups	Mobilize collective action and collective learning around sustainable development	Aim to shape local political agenda to raise legitimacy and visibility of FT issues (i.e. local public procurement, individual consumption)	Engagement shapes identity through "power within" and "power with"

4. Looking forward

Our research has explored key thematic areas of current campaign activity in relation to UK FTTs and the SDGs. Based on this analysis we have identified three areas of future research interest and potential for campaign development.

While the Fairtrade Foundation were relatively early-adopters of the SDGs as an important campaign framework, this has not yet translated to widespread adoption by local FTT groups. For many FTT campaigners the SDGs are seen as an aspiration for future campaigns:

"I think the way the SDGs are seen regarding campaigning, is something for the future…like with the Millennium development goals, it took time for them to get known, time to be interested in them. And I think this is something that will happen with the SDGs" (Yorkshire representative).

Climate Action (SDG 13) is an area that future FTT campaigns are well-positioned to address. Although current Fairtrade Foundation literature has mainly prioritised the social dimension of sustainable development, our research shows an emerging ecological perspective amongst Fair Trade campaigners, which could be harnessed to extend the scope of the campaign. There is an emerging group of FTT campaigners and activists that have already started to promote the Fair Trade as complementary to a wider range of environmental concerns:

"I think the informal discussions, informal connections, between Fairtrade groups and environmental groups are because of a shared vision, there are shared goals, which underpin a lot of the reasons why people choose to be active in support of fair trade and also choose to be active in support of environmental issues. […] I think when Fairtrade supporters get together with environmental supporters, what they have in common dominates, rather than having discussions about what might be different." (Yorkshire representative)

Formalizing and regularizing these exchanges in invited spaces (Gaventa, 2006), could be pursued as a strategy to widen participation; invited spaces for collaboration could take the form of policy forums or platforms with more participatory governance.

Education to promote sustainable development (SDG 4) is already an important part of FTT's outreach and there are further opportunities to build links with Fairtrade schools. FTTs have established successful collaborations with educational actors to promote global citizenship through a range of learning activities. Recent examples include programmes developed by the Cumbria Development of Education Centre (CDEC, 2019) in collaboration with the Cumbria Fair Trade network, and the Global Goals Centre (Global Goals Centre, 2019) in collaboration with the Bristol Fair Trade Network.

Engaging with schools and young people remains a priority for many FTTs and area where they can identify their contribution to making change happen:

"We do have a good relationship with many primary schools and they do invite us back year-on-year to talk to the young people. The benefit of that is that those young people will then go home and take that message into the families. So, often, when you go back the next year, some of the young people will go all "we've actually changed our practices. We now buy Fairtrade". So I know that that has an impact. So, because we know that this is successful, I think we put our efforts into that." (London representative)

Universities are also seen as important partners for FTTs. Universities can powerfully contribute to sustainable development by implementing capacity building processes within communities (Shiel et al., 2016). In the US, universities are identified as one of the main drivers of Fair Trade campaigns (Nealis, 2018). Initial research has explored Fair Trade universities as potential vehicles for sustainability (Feldman & Barnhill, 2013). Building on these insights, future research is required to investigate how to expand Fair Trade educational actors' potential as a pathway to a systemic approach to sustainable development.

Lastly, we see an opportunity for FTTs to contribute towards SDG 17 (Partnerships for the goals). The process of twinning FTTs in the global North and global South, such as the partnership between Bristol and Puerto Morazán in Nicaragua (BLINC, 2019) aims to strengthen the links of commercial exchange and solidarity. The involvement of consumers within peer-to-peer learning and local partnerships constitutes a form of empowerment typical of Fair Trade networks (Dubuisson-Quellier & Lamine, 2008) which holds potential to encourage the global 'localising' of Agenda 2030.

Conclusions

This research has reflected on the role Fairtrade Towns and Cities can play in the transition towards Agenda 2030, exploring forms of power that can be mobilized for change, entry points for future collaborations and strategies to expand the networks and encourage participation. The key findings of the paper can be summarised in three points.

Firstly, using the power cube framework, we have defined FTT campaigns as claimed spaces for participation, where current patterns of unsustainability (social, environmental and economic) and power mechanism behind them are debated and questioned. We have discussed some of the risks these spaces are currently facing and highlighted strategies that local campaigners are putting in place to address those risks.

Secondly, we have argued that FTT campaigns have the potential to contribute to a range of important objectives for the Fair Trade movement, particularly to SDGs not taken into account by previous policy research (FTAO, 2016), such as quality education (SDG 4), strong institutions (SDG 16) and sustainable communities (SDG 11). For their ability to operate on interrelated issues and advance social transformation in a participatory coordinated effort,

FTTs represent a transformative project, which accounts for a systemic approach to SDGs. This counters some of the criticisms the movement has faced during its mainstreaming, i.e. to rely on individualised consumption as driver of social change.

Lastly, we have discussed how FTTs represent an extended view of sustainable communities and how this framing is particularly relevant for the future of the campaign and its overall contribution to SDGs. FTTs complement the UN definition of sustainable communities with a focus on social equity that goes beyond the boundaries of the local level, to encompass global concerns around food supply chains, configuring a glocal project.

As Gaventa (2006) stresses, transformative change happens when social actors are able to work effectively across each of the power dimensions simultaneously. We recommend that FTT campaigns invest on those strategies that span across local and global action and challenge visible and hidden power simultaneously. We also envision opportunities for future research and partnerships between academia and practice in applying power analysis to local campaigns and in different national contexts, implementing community-level action research.

Limitations of this paper

Although we have explored areas of potential contribution of the FTT campaign to SDGs, this study's aim was not assessing the actual progress of FTTs in achieving SDGs. Such an assessment would require a robust measurement against UN indicators. The issue of measurement of SDGs targets, of whether they are adequate to measure the subject matter and how to implement them, is among the most challenging aspect of the debate around SDGs and falls outside the scope of this paper. For this reason, this research doesn't discuss in terms of impact but in terms of power dynamics and areas of future strategic opportunities.

Acknowledgments

References

Alexander, A., & Nicholls, A. (2006). Rediscovering consumer-producer involvement: A network perspective on fair trade marketing. European Journal of Marketing, 40(11/12), 1236-1253 < https://doi.org/10.1108/03090560610702795>

Anderson, M. (2015) *A History of Fair Trade in Contemporary Britain : From Civil Society Campaigns to Corporate Compliance*, Palgrave Macmillan.

Anderson, M. (2018). *Fair trade and consumer social responsibility: Exploring consumer citizenship as a driver of social and environmental change*. Management Decision, 56(3), 634-651. < https://doi.org/10.1108/MD-01-2017-0013>

Andorfer, V. A., & Liebe, U. (2012). *Research on Fair Trade Consumption-A Review*. Journal of Business Ethics, 106(4), 415–435 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-011-1008-5>

Bai, X., Surveyer, A., Elmqvist, T., Gatzweiler, F. W., Güneralp, B., Parnell, S., ... & Toussaint, J. P. (2016). *Defining and advancing a systems approach for sustainable cities.* Current opinion in environmental sustainability, 23, 69-78. , https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cosust.2016.11.010>

Barnett, Clive; Cloke, Paul; Clarke, Nick and Malpass, Alice (2005a). *Consuming ethics: Articulating the subjects and spaces of ethical consumption*. Antipode, 37(1) pp. 23–45.

Barnett, C., Clarke, N., Cloke, P., & Malpass, A. (2005b). *The political ethics of consumerism*. Consumer Policy Review, *15*(2), 45-51.

Bengtsson, M., Alfredsson, E., Cohen, M., Lorek, S., & Schroeder, P. (2018). *Transforming systems of consumption and production for achieving the sustainable development goals: moving beyond efficiency*. Sustainability Science, 1, 1–15 < https://doi.org/10.1007/s11625-018-0582-1>

BLINC (2019), Bristol Link With Nicaragua Official website. Available at: https://bristolnicaragua.wordpress.com/ [Last accessed 27 Jun. 2019].

Bowen, G. A. (2009). *Document analysis as a qualitative research method*. Qualitative research journal, 9(2), 27-40. < https://doi.org/10.3316/QRJ0902027>

CDEC (2019), Cumbria Development of Education Centre Official website. Available at: https://www.cdec.org.uk/about-us/history-of-cdec/ [Last accessed 27 Jun. 2019]

Clarke, N., Barnett, C., Cloke, P., & Malpass, A. (2007). *The Political Rationalities of Fair-Trade Consumption in the United Kingdom*. Politics & Society, 35(4), 583–607. < https://doi.org/10.1177/0032329207308178>

Cornwall, A. (2002), *Making spaces, changing places: situating participation in development*, IDS Working Paper 170, Brighton: IDS

Dempsey, N., Bramley, G., Power, S., & Brown, C. (2011). *The social dimension of sustainable development: Defining urban social sustainability*. Sustainable development, 19(5), 289-300 < https://doi.org/10.1002/sd.417>

Dillard, J., Dujon, V., & King, M. C. (Eds.). (2008). *Understanding the social dimension of sustainability*. Routledge < https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203892978>

Dubuisson-Quellier, S., & Lamine, C. (2008). Consumer involvement in fair trade and local food systems: delegation and empowerment regimes. GeoJournal, 73(1), 55-65 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10708-008-9178-0>

Economist, (2017) *Globalization has marginalized many regions in the rich world*, in The Economist, Available at https://www.economist.com/briefing/2017/10/21/globalisation-has-marginalised-many-regions-in-the-rich-world [Last accessed 27 Jun. 2019]

Ellen MacArthur Foundation (2018), *Cities and the circular economy for food*. Ellen Macarthur Foundation Official Webisite, Available at https://www.ellenmacarthurfoundation.org/assets/downloads/Cities-and-the-circular-economy-for-food-1.pdf [Last Accesses 05 Jul. 2019]

EU Parliament (2005), *European Parliament resolution on Fair Trade and development (2005/2245(INI))*. Available at https://publications.europa.eu/en/publication-detail/-/publication/36f23154-3dc7-48ca-8ea2-cd5ed0acf9b8/language-en [Last accessed 27 Jun. 2019].

Feldman, J. W., & Barnhill, D. (2013). Fair Trade, Social Justice, and Campus Sustainability at the University of Wisconsin Oshkosh. *Sustainability in Higher Education: Stories and Strategies for Transformation*, 241.

FTF (2011), *Fairtrade Town Action Guide Update. Fairtrade Foundation Official Website*, Available at: https://www.fairtrade.org.uk/Download.ashx?id=%7B8F6B2BDB-F41E-480D-8354-EA2E319C2244%7D [Last accessed 27 Jun. 2019].

FTF (2014), *Public Procurement for Fairtrade Towns*, Fairtrade Foundation Official website, Available at http://www.fairtrade.org.uk/~/media/FairtradeUK/Get%20Involved/Documents/In%20Your%20Community/Campaigner%20Cotton%20Procurement%20Guide.pdf   [Last accessed 27 Jun. 2019].

FTF (2015) *Delivering the Sustainable Development Goals through trade – a five-point agenda for policy coherence,* Fairtrade Foundation Official Website. Available at

https://www.fairtrade.org.uk/~/media/FairtradeUK/What%20is%20Fairtrade/Documents/Policy%20and%20Research%20documents/Policy%20reports/Delivering%20the%20SDGs%20through%20Trade_Update%20September%202015.pdf [Last accessed 27 Jun. 2019] .

FTF (2016a), *Fairtrade Foundation 2016-2020 strategy*. Fairtrade Foundation Official Website. Available at http://www.fairtrade.org.uk/~/media/fairtradeuk/what%20is%20fairtrade/documents/fairtrade%20foundation%202016-2020%20strategy.pdf [Last accessed 27 Jun. 2019].

FTF (2016b), *Sustainable Development Goals and Fairtrade: The case for partnership.* Fairtrade Foundation Official Website, Available at https://www.fairtrade.net/fileadmin/user_upload/content/2009/resources/15-10_Sustainable_Development_Report.pdf [Last accessed 27 Jun. 2019].

FTF (2017), *Brexit. Let's change trade for good.* Fairtrade Foundation Official Website. Available at http://www.fairtrade.org.uk/~/media/fairtradeuk/resources%20library/fairtradefoundation-brexit-letschangetradeforgood.pdf [Last accessed 27 Jun. 2019].

FTF (2018a), *Delivering a Fairtrade Brexit,* Fairtrade Foundation Official Website. Available at https://www.fairtrade.org.uk/~/media/FairtradeUK/Media%20Centre/News/2018/Delivering%20a%20Fairtrade%20Brexit%20Report%20September%202018.pdf , [Last accessed 27 Jun. 2019].

FTF (2018b), *Fairtrade Town Renewal Form.* Fairtrade Foundation Official Website. Available at http://www.fairtrade.org.uk/get%20involved//In-your-community/Towns/Renewing-Fairtrade-Town-Status-Online [Last accessed 27 Jun. 2019].

FTF (2019), National Campaigner Committee. Fairtrade Foundation Official Website. Available at http://www.fairtrade.org.uk/get%20involved//In-your-community/National-Campaigner-Committee [Last accessed 27 Jun. 2019].

Fridell, G. (2007). *Fair-Trade Coffee and Commodity Fetishism: The Limits of Market-Driven Social Justice*. Historical Materialism, 15(4), 79–104.<https://doi.org/10.1163/156920607X245841>

FTAO, (2016) *Localising the Sustainable Development Goals through Fair Trade.* Fair Trade Advocacy Office Official Website.,Available at http://localizingthesdgs.org/library/200/Localising-the-Sustainable-Development-Goals-SDGs-through-Fair-Trade-toolkit.pdf [Last accessed 27 Jun. 2019].

FTTI, (2019) International Fair Trade Town Official Website, Available at: http://www.fairtradetowns.org/, [Last accessed 27 Jun. 2019].

Gaventa, J. (2006). *Finding Space for Change: A power analysis.* IDS Bulletin, 6(23–33), 23–33.

Gaventa, J. (2019). *Applying Power Analysis: Using the 'Powercube' to explore forms, levels and spaces.* Paper for The Changing Faces of Power 1979-2019: The 40th Anniversary of the Power Group, International Political Science Association Moscow, May 22-23, 2019

Gaventa, J. and Cornwall, A., 2008. *Power and knowledge. The SAGE handbook of action research: Participative inquiry and practice*, 2, pp.172-189. < http://dx.doi.org/10.4135/9781848607934.n17>

Global Goals Centre (2019), Official Website. Available at https://www.globalgoalscentre.org/ [Last accessed 27 Jun. 2019].

Gray, M. and Barford, A., (2018). *The depths of the cuts: the uneven geography of local government austerity.* Cambridge Journal of Regions, Economy and Society, 11(3), pp.541-563< https://doi.org/10.1093/cjres/rsy019>

Jaffee, D. (2010). *Fair trade standards, corporate participation, and social movement responses in the United States*. Journal of Business Ethics, 92(SUPPL 2), 267–285 < https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-010-0583-1>

Lamb, H. (2008). *Fighting the Banana Wars and other Fairtrade battles*. Random House.

Local 2030 (2019), *Local 2030 hub, Localising the SDGs Official Website*, Available at http://www.local2030.org/ [Last Accessed 04 July 2019]

Low, W., & Davenport, E. (2005). *Has the medium (roast) become the message?* International Marketing Review, 22(5), 494–511 < https://doi.org/10.1108/02651330510624354>

Low, W. and Davenport, E., (2007). *To boldly go… exploring ethical spaces to re-politicise ethical consumption and fair trade*. Journal of Consumer Behaviour: An International Research Review, 6(5), pp.336-348 < https://doi.org/10.1002/cb.226>

Lukes, S. (1974) Power: A Radical View, London, Macmillan.

Lyon, S. (2014). *Fair Trade Towns USA : Growing the Market within a Diverse Economy*, Journal of Political Ecology 21 (1), 145–160 < https://doi.org/10.2458/v21i1.21129>

Malpass, A., Cloke, P., Barnett, C., & Clarke, N. (2007). *Fairtrade urbanism? The politics of place beyond place in the Bristol Fairtrade City Campaign*. International Journal of Urban and Regional Research, 31(3), 633–645 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2427.2007.00747.x>

Nealis K., (2018) Fairtrade Universities, in *12th International Fair Trade Town Conference*, Madrid, October 2018.

Pantazidou, M. (2012) *What next for power analysis? a review of recent experience with the powercube and related frameworks*, IDS Working Paper, 2012(400). Brighton: IDS.

Peattie, K., & Samuel, A. (2015). *Places where people matter: The marketing dynamics of Fairtrade Towns*. Social Business, 5(3), 237–254 < https://doi.org/10.1362/204440815x14441363902438>

Peattie, K., & Samuel, A. (2018). Fairtrade towns as unconventional networks of ethical activism. Journal of Business Ethics, 153(1), 265-282 < https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-016-3392-3>

Robertson, R. (1995). *Glocalization: Time-space and homogeneity-heterogeneity*. Global modernities, 2(1), 25- 44.

Rochman D. (2018), *Bristol Fair Trade Town Campaign*, in 12th International Fair Trade Town Conference, Madrid, October 2018.

Rodriguez, R. S., Ürge-Vorsatz, D., & Barau, A. S. (2018). *Sustainable Development Goals and climate change adaptation in cities.* Nature Climate Change, 8(3), 181Roper, L. (2002). *Achieving successful academic-practitioner research collaborations*. Development in Practice, 12(3–4), 338–345 < https://doi.org/10.1080/0961450220149717>

Samuel, A. and Peattie, K. (2016). *Grounded theory as a macromarketing methodology: critical insights from researching the marketing dynamics of Fairtrade Towns*. Journal of Macromarketing 36(1), pp. 11-26 < https://doi.org/10.1177/0276146715608920>

Samuel, A., Peattie, K. and Doherty, B., (2018). *Expanding the boundaries of brand communities: the case of Fairtrade Towns*. European Journal of Marketing, 52(3/4), pp.758-782 < https://doi.org/10.1108/ejm-03-2016-0124>

Shiel, C., Leal Filho, W., do Paço, A. and Brandli, L., (2016). Evaluating the engagement of universities in capacity building for sustainable development in local communities. Evaluation and program planning, 54, pp.123-134 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.evalprogplan.2015.07.006>

Szmigin, I., & Carrigan, M. (2003). New consumption communities: resisting the hegemony of the marketing process. In 3rd International Critical Management Studies Conference, Lancaster University, July (pp. 7-9).

Szmigin, I., Carrigan, M., & Bekin, C. (2007). New consumption communities and the re-enabling of 21st century consumers. Consumer tribes, 296-311.

Traidcraft (2016), *Harvest resources for churches 2016*. Available at http://www.traidcraft.co.uk/media/a642df54-1544-4075-ac63-216f177b8c21 [Last accessed 27 Jun. 2019]

UCLG (2018), Towards the localization of SDGs. Local and regional Governments' report to the 2018 HLPF. Available at

https://www.uclg.org/sites/default/files/towards_the_localization_of_the_sdgs.pdf [Last accessed 27 Jun. 2019]

Ulinski, S., (n.d.) *Ethical Consumption vs. Reducing Consumption: To what extent does Fair Trade merely act to deflect the attention away from the real problem of overconsumption?*. Zeitschrift für Sozialen Fortschritt, 2(3), pp.127-138.

UN (2018), *Sustainable Cities: Why They Matter*. https://www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/wp-content/uploads/2018/09/Goal-11.pdf [Last accessed 27 Jun. 2019].

UN HABITAT (2016), *New Urban Agenda*. Available at http://habitat3.org/wp-content/uploads/NUA-English.pdf [Last accessed 27 Jun. 2019].

UNCED (1992) *Report of the United Nations conference on environment and development.* Available at https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/content/documents/Agenda21.pdf [Last accessed 27 Jun. 2019].

UNSTATS (2017), *Global indicator framework for the Sustainable Development Goals and targets of the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development*. Available at https://unstats.un.org/sdgs/indicators/Global%20Indicator%20Framework%20after%20refinement_Eng.pdf [Last accessed 27 Jun. 2019].

UKSSD (2019), *UK Stakeholders for Sustainable Development Official Website*, Available at https://www.ukssd.co.uk/ [Last Accessed 04 July 2019]

Van Dam, P. (2015). The puzzle of *postcolonial entanglement: fair trade activism in the 1960s and 1970s*. In 7th international postgraduate forum of the Center for Inter-American Studies, Bielefeld, June (pp. 15-27).

VeneKlasen, L. and Miller, V. (2002) *A New Weave of People, Power and Politics: the Action Guide for Advocacy and Citizen Participation*, Oklahoma: World Neighbors

Wheeler, K. (2012). *Fair Trade and the Citizen-consumer: Shopping for Justice?*. Palgrave Macmillan < https://doi.org/10.1057/9781137283672_1>

Wolfram, M., & Frantzeskaki, N. (2016*). Cities and systemic change for sustainability: Prevailing epistemologies and an emerging research agenda*. Sustainability, 8(2), 144. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su8020144>