Responding to the Ockenden Review: safe care for all needs evidence-based system change - and strengthened midwifery

Editorial for Midwifery

Mary J Renfrew: Corresponding author

Professor Emerita

Mother and Infant Research Unit

School of Health Sciences

University of Dundee DD1 4HJ

m.renfrew@dundee.ac.uk

Twitter @maryrenfrew

Conflict declared

Helen Cheyne

Professor of Maternal and Child Health Research

Nursing, Midwifery and Allied Health Professions Research Unit

University of Stirling

Stirling FK94LA

h.l.cheyne@stir.ac.uk

Twitter @HelenCheyne

I have no conflict of interest to declare

Alicia Burnett

Midwife

All4Maternity

Saturn House

Mercury Rise

Altham Industrial Park

Altham

Lancashire BB5 5BY

alicia@all4maternity.com

I have no conflict of interest to declare

Kenda Crozier

Professor of Midwifery

School of Health Sciences

University of East Anglia

k.crozier@uea.ac.uk

I have no conflict of interest to declare

Soo Downe

Professor of Midwifery Studies

THRIVE Centre

School of Community Health and Midwifery

University of Central Lancashire

Preston PR1 2HE

Conflict declared

Alexander Heazell
Professor of Obstetrics
Maternal and Fetal Research Centre,
University of Manchester
Manchester M13 9WL
alexander.heazell@manchester.ac.uk
Conflict declared

Vanora Hundley
Professor of Midwifery
Centre for Midwifery, Maternal & Perinatal Health
Bournemouth Gateway Building
St Pauls Lane
Bournemouth, BH8 8GP
vhundley@bournemouth.ac.uk
Twitter @VanoraHundley
I have no conflict of interest to declare

Billie Hunter
Professor of Midwifery and Director
WHO Collaborating Centre for Midwifery Development
School of Healthcare Sciences
Cardiff University CF14 4XN
hunterB1@cardiff.ac.uk

I have no conflict of interest to declare

Kay King
Executive Director
White Ribbon Alliance UK
Art Bank
13 High Street
Shepton Mallet, BA4 5AA
kking@whiteribbonalliance.org

I have no conflict of interest to declare.

Jayne E Marshall
Professor of Midwifery
School of Allied Health Professions
University of Leicester
College of Life Sciences
George Davies Centre
University of Leicester
Leicester LE1 7RH
Jayne.marshall@leicester.ac.uk
Twitter: @jayneemarshall

I have no conflict of interest to declare

Christine McCourt

Professor of Maternal Health and Centre Lead

Centre for Maternal & Child Health Research

School of Health Sciences

City University of London

1 Myddelton Street

London EC1R 1UB

Christine.McCourt.1@city.ac.uk

I have no conflict of interest to declare

Alison McFadden

Professor of Mother and Infant Public Health and Director

Mother and Infant Research Unit

School of Health Sciences

University of Dundee

11 Airlie Place

Dundee, UK DD1 4HJ

a.m.mcfadden@dundee.ac.uk

Twitter @AlisonMcFDundee

I have no conflict of interest to declare

Kade Mondeh

Consultant Midwife for Maternity Education

Barts Health NHS Trust

Keswick Drive

Maidstone

Kent ME16 0DQ

k.mondeh@nhs.net

I have no conflict of interest to declare

Pippa Nightingale

Chief Nurse

Chelsea and Westminster NHS Foundation Trust and North West London

Fulham Road

London SW10 9NH

pippa.nightingale@nhs.net

I have no conflict of interest to declare

Jane Sandall

Professor of Social Science and Women's Health

Department of Women and Children's Health

School of Life Course and Population Sciences

King's College London

St. Thomas' Hospital London

SE1 7EH

jane.sandall@kcl.ac.uk

Twitter @SandallJane

Conflict declared

Marlene Sinclair
Professor Emeritus
Ulster University
Jordanstown Campus
Co Antrim BT370QB

m.sinclair1@ulster.ac.uk

Twitter @prof_marl

I have no conflict of interest to declare

Susan Way

Professor of Midwifery Education Faculty of Health and Social Science Room 506 Bournemouth Gateway Building St Paul's Lane Bournemouth BH8 8AJ

sueway@bournemouth.ac.uk

I have no conflict of interest to declare

Lesley Page
Visiting Professor
Florence Nightingale Faculty of Nursing Midwifery and Palliative Care
Kings' College London
Lesley.page@kcl.ac.uk
Twitter: lesleypageCBE@humanisingbirth
I have no conflict of interest to declare

Jenny Gamble
Professor of Midwifery
Centre for Care Excellence
Coventry University and University Hospitals of Coventry and Warwickshire
Centre for Healthcare Research
ad7603@coventry.ac.uk

Twitter @ProfJennyGamble

I have no conflict of interest to declare

Conflicts of interest

Downe and Heazell were Maternity Advisors to the Independent Expert Panel of the Health and Social Care Committee for their 2021 review of maternity service commitments, and Downe was a member of the NHS England Better Births stakeholder council

Sandall is Head of Maternity and Midwifery Research in NHS England and Improvement and writes in a personal capacity

Renfrew was Lead Adviser to the Nursing and Midwifery Council for the new standards for midwives, 2017-2020

Acknowledgements

Cristina Mattison, Grace Thomas, and Francesca Entwistle commented on a draft of this Editorial

Note

We use the words women and woman throughout this paper, recognising that this reflects the biology and identity of the great majority of those who are childbearing; for the purpose of this paper, these terms include girls, and people whose gender identity does not correspond with their birth sex or who may have a non-binary identity. All those using maternity care and services should receive individualised, respectful care including use of the gender nouns and pronouns they prefer.

Responding to the Ockenden Review: safe care for all needs evidence-based system change - and strengthened midwifery

The Final Report of the Ockenden Review examined the care of 1486 families who experienced adverse outcomes in one hospital Trust in England, the majority of whom received care between 2000 and 2020 (Ockenden 2022). It describes the damaging outcomes and experiences caused by poor care for women and babies in pregnancy, labour and birth. Actions recommended by the report are resulting in immediate and extensive changes across the maternity services in England. The report findings are relevant across the whole UK, and to international efforts to improve safety and quality in maternal and newborn care systems.

The review resulted from campaigning by women who were injured and traumatised and by the families of the women and babies who died, and it is essential that their voices are heard, and radical changes made. The report findings resonate with previous reviews and wider service failings in the UK (Government of Wales 2021, Health and Social Care Committee 2021, Healthcare Improvement Scotland 2017, Kirkup 2015, Francis 2013). They are a wake-up call to all involved in funding, designing, leading, regulating, monitoring, and providing health care, and to the public who use maternity services. But the actions recommended are not enough to ensure safe, quality services for all. Transformative change must be informed by addressing the root causes, and this requires the best available evidence and expertise in health professional education, health policy, and implementation.

What has gone wrong?

Failures of care at this scale and duration stem from failure of the maternity and wider health system and a lack of political will to support a high quality national health service (Health and Social Care Committee 2021, HM Government 2021). Multiple structural reforms of the National Health Service (NHS) and a decade of severe NHS budget cuts have led to chronic underfunding of the maternity workforce, resulting in shortages of midwives, sonographers, and doctors, cutbacks in professional development and training, limited time to care, burnout, low morale, and unprecedented retention problems (RCM 2022, Health and Social Care Committee 2020, Hunter et al 2019). A failure of organisational governance at all levels, a culture of cover-ups and defensive behaviour, and deficient clinical and managerial leadership and decision-making have amplified the problems. The Ockenden report (2022) describes mistakes and mistreatment by health professionals and managers including neglectful, unkind, even abusive behaviour towards women and families, and bullying and silencing of staff. This and previous reports describe institutionalised service provision in which people – women, babies, families, midwives and obstetricians alike – have become of secondary importance to the institution itself.

The final report of the Ockenden Review has been published at a time when the maternity services are in crisis as a result of the ongoing pandemic (Health and Social Care Committee 2021), and birth itself has become politicised; the discourse around safety in maternity care is dangerously polarised (for example Bennett 2022, Johnson 2022, Newburn 2022, Lintern 2021). Reports of service failures have dominated the headlines and overshadowed the many positive developments in place across the country. Some professional, political, and media responses to this and previous reports are feeding a narrative that blames midwives and the physiology of birth itself despite clear failings by multi-professional teams and organisations. The search for someone or something to blame has led to the notion of a widespread 'ideology

of normal birth at any cost' as the scapegoat for complex system-wide failings, despite a lack of evidence for this in the reports themselves. Inaccurate use of terminology confuses the issue. For example, in the Ockenden report (2022) the term 'vaginal birth' included mismanaged forceps births and the over-use of synthetic oxytocin; such practices are not related to normal physiological labour and birth. The term 'unassisted birth' used in the Health and Social Care Committee report (2021) to describe non-instrumental births diminishes the skill and importance of midwifery care at this critical time. A hostile social media environment obscures the underlying issues and acts to silence informed, evidence-based debate about safe maternity care. This narrative runs counter to much of the evidence and world-wide action which demonstrates how quality midwifery practice can improve care quality and safety (Nove et al 2021a, Nove et al 2021b, WHO 2019, Renfrew et al 2014).

Factors in the wider context of maternity services have an influence on safety and the quality of care, but are not addressed in the reviews of service failures. There are marked inequalities in outcomes related to ethnicity and socio-economic deprivation (Jardine et al 2021, Knight et al 2020). Bio-medical interventions in labour and birth are at their highest levels ever recorded (NHS Digital 2021, Public Health England 2021, Public Health Scotland 2021), raising questions about effectiveness and sustainability and the potential for avoidable adverse consequences (Sandall et al 2018, Shaw et al 2016). At the same time, there are barriers to the implementation of evidence-based midwifery interventions including a lack of resources and training and of senior support and leadership (McInnes et al 2020, McLellan et al 2019, Rayment 2019, McCourt 2018). This is especially true of continuity of midwifery carer, where high-quality research demonstrates that it is a powerful intervention that impacts positively on survival, morbidity, women's experiences, and midwives' job satisfaction (Hanley et al 2021, Sandall et al 2016); yet it is commonly contested and there are barriers to its universal implementation (Walton 2022, McInnes et al 2020, Taylor et al 2019).

What is needed?

Sustainable, large-scale improvement is urgently needed to tackle the inter-related underlying issues that result in poor care and traumatic outcomes and experiences. A credible whole-system national plan is needed (Ham et al 2017). Actions are already being implemented, but it is important to ensure that all actions are evidence-based and cost-effective, with transparency and accountability, and monitoring and evaluation. All changes must be undertaken with the genuine engagement of a diverse range of women and families throughout. Embedded patriarchal attitudes and behaviours must end; actions to empower and involve women and ensure equity must sit at the heart of all maternal and newborn care and services.

Rectifying the root causes of system failure is a fundamental first step. Ensuring that women, babies and families are central to all maternity system re-design is key (Ham et al 2018). The voices of health professionals and managers must inform work to increase staffing levels, support staff, students and educators, and pro-actively tackle retention and recruitment of staff (VanGompel and Main 2021). Reformed organisational structures and effective leadership are needed to develop an enabling, supportive, and collaborative culture and environment. This environment should ensure that staff have time to care and that students and staff have time to learn and reflect (Hunter et al 2019). Action is needed to minimise the burden of administrative tasks, and fully address the institutionalised thinking and bullying culture identified in the Ockenden report.

High quality evidence is essential to ensure effective and cost-effective care and to avoid mistakes and unintended consequences, and it is imperative that research evidence and ongoing evaluation are incorporated into all recommended actions and programmes of improvement. Research evidence for the Ockenden report's recommended action on centralised fetal monitoring systems, for example, is absent and its implementation risks distancing decision-making from women (Small et al 2022, Transforming Maternity Care Collaborative 2021, Brown et al 2016). The recommended action to discontinue the implementation of continuity of midwifery carer, even though this model of care was not practised in the hospital reviewed, runs counter to evidence-based maternity policy and planning in the four UK countries (eg NHS England 2019, The Scottish Government 2017, NHS England 2016) and to consistent evidence that women want this form of care (Care Quality Commission 2022, Downe et al 2018). It undermines years of accumulated evidence and the experience of those managing and working in this field. It is a missed opportunity to accelerate the sustainable implementation of the single most effective intervention in maternity care with a direct impact both on outcomes and women's experiences (Sandall et al 2016). Support and funding for full-scale implementation would be a rapid route to the safe, quality, personalised care and safety net for all that Ockenden calls for.

Optimum safety – physical, psychological, social and cultural - requires universal access to respectful, empowering, individualised, skilled, evidence-based care together with appropriate use of technological interventions (Tuncalp et al 2015, Renfrew et al 2014, Enkin et al 2006). Bio-medical interventions are essential and life-saving when used appropriately. They are important for women who want them, but women are not always involved in decision-making about their use (Coates et al 2019), and they can have adverse consequences (Peters et al 2018, Sandall et al 2018, Hobbs et al 2016, Rowlands and Redshaw 2012). Multidisciplinary team working and effective involvement of women in decisions is needed to achieve the optimum balance between under- and over-use (Miller et al 2016).

It is essential to recognise that midwives are the only professional group who are by a woman's side from her first contact with the health services until after she and her baby are settled together, or during and after care for perinatal loss (NMC 2019). Skilled midwifery care can prevent problems, support early identification of and referral for complications, and promote multiple positive outcomes including physical and mental health and well-being (Renfrew et al 2014). Midwives are especially important for women who have additional care needs, whether physical, psychological, social, or cultural. Knowledgeable and skilled midwives who are enabled to practise the full scope of midwifery care are fundamental to safe care; delivering that safe care for all depends on understanding, valuing, and implementing the different and complementary roles of midwives, obstetricians, and all members of the multidisciplinary team (Aggarwal et al 2021).

The whole maternity journey matters. The majority of maternal deaths occur postnatally, often related to problems occurring before and during pregnancy (Knight et al 2020), mortality and morbidity are strongly related to deprivation and ethnicity (Aizer and Currie 2014, Shahzad et al 2019), and women's mental health before and after birth is critically important (Knight et al 2020). To develop the full scope of midwifery knowledge and skills, newly qualified midwives must gain experience across the whole continuum of care and in all settings.

Strengths-based approaches to implementing optimum safety and quality for all

Lessons from safety in other healthcare environments demonstrate that a positive, strengthsbased approach with trusting relationships and effective participation and engagement is needed to implement sustainable change (Breckenridge et al 2019, Hollnagel et al 2015, McInnes et al 2020). Examining what went wrong may not identify solutions or characteristics of successful organisations. There are strong positive foundations on which to build, and an effective, large-scale change programme needs to bring together all existing positive developments to avoid confusion, duplication, and inadvertently cutting across established success. Transformative new standards of proficiency for midwives provide a foundation for practice and for midwifery students to be skilled in physical, psychological, social and cultural aspects of safety and to learn human rights-based, evidence-based, quality care, enhanced leadership skills, and multidisciplinary working from the outset (Nursing and Midwifery Council 2019). Strong maternity policy in the four UK countries focusses on woman-centred, evidencebased care for all and is informing national improvement and transformation programmes (eg NHS England 2016, The Scottish Government 2017). There are multiple positive examples of services and education programmes with excellent leadership and implementation of quality care underpinned by quality learning that can inform and inspire reform. Descriptions of some of these are available on the websites of All4Maternity and the Royal College of Midwives, but an open access multidisciplinary forum is needed to share information on excellent services and leadership more widely,

Education is a key component of effective change; behaviour change is complex and needs a supportive learning environment in both clinical and academic settings (Michie et al 2011). Multidisciplinary staff need access to funding for appropriate ongoing education and training, knowledgeable educators, time for reflection and discussion, and a physically and psychologically safe environment to allow them to develop new ways of working and confidence in their capabilities (Liberati et al 2021). All midwives and doctors must become skilled in genuinely respecting, involving, and empowering women (Birthrights 2022); this will take time and requires a diversity of voices from women themselves in the education and training of health professionals.

We cannot shy away from the embedded contentious issues. Change is always difficult and if instigated by grief and trauma, is especially demanding. We must act collectively and respectfully to address the barriers to implementation of evidence-based care for all. Finding a way forward to effectively implement continuity of midwifery carer will take a combination of policy, politics, funding, leadership, and multidisciplinary collaboration (McInnes et al 2020). Investment is urgently needed to enable midwives to fulfil their potential to contribute to safe, quality care by implementing the full scope of midwifery care. The problematising of midwifery and of normal physiological processes must be resolved to ensure the best health and well-being outcomes. We owe it to women, babies, families, and the staff who care for them, to get this right.

Conclusion

This challenging time offers a critical opportunity to shift perspective on safety in maternity care and services. The traumatic experiences described by women and families, the long-term under-resourcing of the maternity services, and the failure of governance of organisations at all levels demand system-level change. Getting the response right is essential to improve safety in the UK, and could help to inform safe maternal and newborn services internationally.

A credible plan for sustainable change must be informed by knowledge and expertise in research, education, and implementation, as well as strengths-based approaches. Supporting all multidisciplinary staff and students is an essential foundation, and there is a special need to focus on midwives to enable them to provide the preventive and supportive care needed by all women, babies, and families.

We already have good quality evidence, strong national policy, transformational UK-wide midwifery education standards, positive change programmes, recommendations for increased resources, and skilled and committed multidisciplinary professionals. There are informed and engaged advocates for women and families, and examples of excellence to draw on. A radical focus on implementation of evidence, equity, the empowerment of women, and quality care for all must form the foundation for maternal and newborn care and services now and in the future.

References

Aizer A., Currie J. 2014. The intergenerational transmission of inequality: maternal disadvantage and health at birth. Science; 344:856.

Bennett C. 2022. Relentlessly pushing the idea of 'natural' childbirth is an affront to women. The Observer 16th April

Birthrights. 2022 Inquiry into racial injustice in maternity care. Available at: https://www.birthrights.org.uk/campaigns-research/racial-injustice/

Breckenridge JP., Gray N., Toma M., Ashmore S., Glassborow R., Stark C., Renfrew M.J. 2019. Motivating Change: a grounded theory of how to achieve large-scale, sustained change, co-created with improvement organisations across the UK. BMJ Open Quality; 8(2).

Brown J., Mcintyre A., Gasparotto R., Mcgee TM. 2016 Birth Outcomes, Intervention Frequency, and the Disappearing Midwife-Potential Hazards of Central Fetal Monitoring: A Single Center Review. Birth. 43(2) 100–107

Care Quality Commission 2022. Maternity survey 2021. NHS England.

Coates, R., Cupples, G., Scamell, A., McCourt, C. 2019. Women's experiences of induction of labour: qualitative systematic review and thematic synthesis. Midwifery; 69, 17-28.

Department of Health and Social Care 2021. Safer Maternity Care. Progress Report 2021. London. The Stationary Office.

Downe S., Finlayson K., Oladapo OT., Bonet, M., Gülmezoglu, A.M. 2018 What matters to women during childbirth: A systematic qualitative review. PLoS One;13:e0194906.

Enkin M., Glouberman S., Groff P., Jadad, A.R., Stern, A. 2006 Beyond evidence: the complexity of maternity care. Birth;33:265–9.

Francis R. 2013. Report of the Mid Staffordshire NHS Foundation Trust Public Inquiry. London, The Stationary Office.

Government of Wales. 2021. Cwm Taf Morgannwg – latest maternity report. Government of Wales.

Ham C, Alderwick H, Dunn P, McKenna H. 2017. Delivering sustainability and transformation plans: from ambitious proposals to credible plans. The Kings Fund, London.

Ham C., Charles A., Wellings D. 2018. Shared responsibility for health; the cultural change we need. The Kings Fund, London. https://www.kingsfund.org.uk/publications/shared-responsibility-health

Hanley, A., Davis, D.. Kurz, E. 2021. Job satisfaction and sustainability of midwives working in caseload models of care: An integrative literature review. Women and Birth; Available online: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1871519221001062

Health and Social Care Committee. 2021. The safety of maternity services in England. House of Commons.

Health and Social Care Committee. 2020. Workforce burnout and resilience in the NHS and social care. House of Commons.

Healthcare Improvement Scotland. 2017. Review of Ayrshire Maternity Unit, University Hospital Crosshouse, NHS Ayrshire and Arran (Adverse Events). Healthcare Improvement Scotland.

HM Government. 2021. The Government's response to the Health and Social Care Committee Report on Safety of Maternity Services in England. Department of Health and Social Care.

Hobbs, A.J., Mannion, C.A., McDonald, S.W., Brockway, M., Tough, S.C., 2016. The impact of caesarean section on breastfeeding initiation, duration and difficulties in the first four months postpartum', BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth, 16(1).

Hollnagel E., Wears R.L. and Braithwaite J. 2015. From Safety-I to Safety-II: A White Paper. The Resilient Health Care Net: Published simultaneously by the University of Southern Denmark, University of Florida, USA, and Macquarie University, Australia.

Hunter, B., Fenwick, J., Sidebotham, M., Henley, J. 2019. Midwives in the United Kingdom: Levels of burnout, depression, anxiety and stress and associated predictors. Midwifery 79, 102526

Jardine, J., Walker, K., Gurol-Urganci, I., Webster, K., Muller, P., Hawdon, J., Khalil, A., Harris, T. Meulen, Jvd. 2021. Adverse pregnancy outcomes attributable to socioeconomic and ethnic inequalities in England: a national cohort study. Lancet;398:1905-1912

Johnson, S. 2022. The Ockenden Report: are we making natural birth a scapegoat? The Article. March 28th https://www.thearticle.com/the-ockenden-report-are-we-making-natural-birth-a-scapegoat

Kirkup, B. 2015. The Report of the Morecambe Bay Investigation.

Knight, M., Bunch, K., Tuffnell, D., Shakespeare, J., Kotnis, R., Kenyon, S., Kurinczuk, J.K. 2020. Saving lives, improving mothers' care: lessons learned to inform maternity care from the UK and Ireland. Confidential Enquiries into Maternal Deaths and Morbidity 2016-18. MBRRRACE-UK.

Liberati, E.G., Tarran,t C., Willars, J., Draycott, T., Winter, C., Kuberska, K., Winter, C., Kuberska, K., Paton, A., Marjanovic, S., Leach, B., Lichten, C., Hocking, L., Ball, S., Dixon-Woods, M. 2021 Seven features of safety in maternity units: a framework based on multisite ethnography and stakeholder consultation. BMJ Quality and Safrty 30(6):444–56.

Lintern, S. 2021 Revealed: Women and babies at risk at hospital where doctors are censored and midwives fear working. The Independent. 30th April

McCourt, C., Rance, S., Rayment, J., Sandall, J. 2018. Organising safe and sustainable care in alongside midwifery units: Findings from an organisational ethnographic study. Midwifery; 65: 26–34

McInnes, R. J., Aitken-Arbuckle, A., Lake, S., Hollins Martin, C., MacArthur, J. 2020 Implementing continuity of midwife carer – just a friendly face? A realist evaluation. BMC Health Services Research. BioMed Central, 20(1).

McLellan, J. M., O'Carroll, R., Cheyne, H., Dombrowski, S.U. 2019. Investigating midwives' barriers and facilitators to multiple health promotion practice behaviours: A qualitative study using the theoretical domains framework. Implementation Science; 14:1–10

Michie, S., van Stralen, M.M., West, R. 2011. The behaviour change wheel: A new method for characterising and designing behaviour change interventions. Implementation Science. 6, 42.

Miller, S., Abalos, E., Chamillard, M., Ciapponi, A., Colaci, D., Comandé, D., Diaz, V., Geller, S., Hanson, C., Langer, A., et al. 2016. Beyond too little, too late and too much, too soon: a pathway towards evidence-based, respectful maternity care worldwide', Lancet. 388: 2176–2192.

Newburn, M. 2022 Ockenden: system-wide, evidence-based improvements and urgent workforce planning are needed. BMJ; 376:o860

Nove, A, Friberg, IK, De Bernis, L, McConville, F, Moran, AC, Najjemba, M, et al. 2021a. The potential impact of midwives in preventing and reducing maternal and neonatal mortality and stillbirths: a Lives Saved Tool modelling study. Lancet Global Health. e24–e32.

Nove, A., ten Hoope-Bender, P., Boyce, M., Bar-Zeev, S., de Bernis, L.,Lal, G., Matthews, Z., Mekuria, M., Homer, C.S.E.. 2021b 'The State of the World's Midwifery 2021 report: findings to drive global policy and practice', Hum Resour Health, 19(1)

NHS Digital. 2021. NHS Maternity Statistics, England 2019-20. NHS England.

NHS England. 2016. Better Births. Improving outcomes of maternity services in England. A Five Year Forward View for maternity care. National Maternity Review. NHS England.

NHS England. 2019. The NHS Long Term Plan. Available from: https://www.longtermplan.nhs.uk/publication/nhs-long-term-plan/

Nursing and Midwifery Council. 2019. Standards of proficiency for midwives. NMC. London.

Ockenden, D.C. 2022. Final findings, conclusions and essential actions from the Ockenden review of maternity services at Shrewsbury and Telford Hospital NHS Trust. London: Department of Health and Social Care.

Peters, L. L., Thornton, C., de Jonge, A., Khashan, A., Tracy, M., Downe, S., Feijen-de Jong, E.I., Dahlen, H. G. 2018. The effect of medical and operative birth interventions on child health outcomes in the first 28 days and up to 5 years of age: A linked data population-based cohort study. Birth. 45(4) 347–357

Public Health England. 2021. Child and Maternal Health. NHS England.

Public Health Scotland. 2021. Births in Scottish hospitals - Year ending 31 March 2020. Public Health Scotland.

Rayment, J., Rance, S., McCourt, C., Sandall, S. 2019. Barriers to women's access to alongside midwifery units in England. Midwifery; 77:78–85

Rowlands, I. J., Redshaw, M. 2012 Mode of birth and women's psychological and physical wellbeing in the postnatal period. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth, 12.

Royal College of Midwives. 2022. Falling midwife numbers show worrying trend. RCM media release. https://www.rcm.org.uk/media-releases/2022/march/falling-nhs-midwife-numbers-show-worrying-trend-says-the-rcm/

Sandall, J., Soltani., H, Gates., S, Shennan., A, Devane., D. 2016. Midwife-led continuity models versus other models of care for childbearing women. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews;4:CD004667

Sandall, J., Tribe, R., Avery, L. 2018. Short-term and long-term effects of caesarean section on the health of women and children. Lancet;392:1349–57.

Shaw, D., Guise, J.M., Shah, N., Gemzell-Danielsson, K., Joseph, K. S., Levy, B., Wong, F., Woodd, S., Main, E. K. 2016. Drivers of maternity care in high-income countries: can health systems support woman-centred care? Lancet. 388(10057), 2282–2295.

Taylor, B., Cross-Sudworth, L., Goodwin, L., Kenyon, S., McArthur, C. 2019. Midwives' perspectives of continuity based working in the UK: A cross-sectional survey. Midwifery; 75: 127–137

The Scottish Government. 2017. The Best Start: A Five-Year Forward Plan for Maternity and Neonatal Care in Scotland. The Scottish Government.

Renfrew, M.J., McFadden, A., Bastos, M.H., Campbell, J., Channon, A.A., Cheung, N.F., Silva, D.R.A.D., Downe, S., Kennedy, H.P., Malata, A., Wick, L., Declercq, E. 2014. Midwifery and quality care: Findings from a new evidence-informed framework for maternal and newborn care. Lancet;384:1129-45

Small, K. A., Sidebotham, M., Fenwick, J., Gamble, J. 2022 'I'm not doing what I should be doing as a midwife': An ethnographic exploration of central fetal monitoring and perceptions of clinical safety. Women and Birth;35(2) 193–200

Transforming Maternity Care Collaborative. 2021. Central fetal monitoring; time to deimplement? Blog. June 14th, https://www.transformingmaternity.org.au/2021/06/central-fetal-monitoring-time-to-de-implement/

Tunçalp, W., Were, W.M., Maclennan, C., Oladapo, O. T., Gülmezoglu, A. M., Bahl, R., Daelmans, B., Mathai, M., Say, L., Kristensen, F., Temmerman, M., Bustreo, F. 2015. Quality of care for pregnant women and newborns - The WHO vision. BJOG;122:1045–9.

VanGompel, E.W., Main, E.K. 2021. Safe care on maternity units: a multidimensional balancing act. BMJ Quality and Safety;30:437–9.

Walton, G. 2022. Midwifery continuity of carer: the clashing of truths. RCM Blog 24th January https://www.rcm.org.uk/news-views/rcm-opinion/2022/midwifery-continuity-of-carer-the-clashing-of-truths/

World Health Organisation, UNFPA, UNICEF, International Confederation of Midwives 2019. Framework for Action: Strengthening quality midwifery education for Universal Health Coverage 2030. WHO. Geneva.