

1 **POWER, ENDURANCE, AND BODY COMPOSITION CHANGES OVER A**
2 **COLLEGIATE CAREER IN NCAA DIVISION I WOMEN SOCCER ATHLETES**

3

4 **KEYWORDS:** athlete development, aerobic capacity, vertical jump, fat free mass, female
5 athlete

6

7 **DISCLOSURE OF FUNDING:** the authors report no funding for this research

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 **ABSTRACT**

27 The purpose of this study was to determine longitudinal changes in fitness and body composition
28 throughout athletes' four-year collegiate soccer careers. Performance testing occurred prior to
29 preseason during freshman, sophomore, junior and senior year in 17 female Division 1 soccer
30 players. Body composition was assessed via air-displacement plethysmography to determine
31 percent body fat (%BF), fat free mass (FFM) and body mass (BM). Maximal countermovement
32 vertical jump height was assessed via contact mat using arm swing (CMJ_{AS}) and hands-on-hips
33 (CMJ_{HOH}) methods to calculate power (CMJ_{watts}/HOH_{watts}). Aerobic capacity (VO_{2max}) and
34 ventilatory threshold (VT) were assessed by indirect calorimetry during a maximal graded
35 exercise test on a treadmill. Linear mixed models were used to assess changes across academic
36 years ($p < 0.05$). No changes occurred in %BF, BM, VO_{2max}, VT, CMJ_{AS} or CMJ_{watts}. A Time
37 main effect was seen for FFM ($p = 0.01$) with increases from freshman to senior ($p = 0.02$). Time
38 main effects were observed for CMJ_{HOH} ($p < 0.001$) and CMJ_{HOHwatts} ($p < 0.001$) with increases
39 from freshman to junior (CMJ_{HOH}, $p = 0.001$; CMJ_{HOHwatts}, $p = 0.02$) and senior (CMJ_{HOH}, $p < 0.001$;
40 CMJ_{HOHwatts}, $p = 0.003$) as well as sophomore to senior (CMJ_{HOH}, $p < 0.001$, CMJ_{HOHwatts}, $p = 0.02$).
41 CMJ_{HOH} also increased from sophomore to junior ($p = 0.005$). The lower FFM and power
42 capabilities as freshmen compared to upperclassman indicate a potential limited readiness.
43 Coaches and training staff should account for these developmental differences when entering the
44 preseason. Adequate conditioning programs prior to starting a collegiate program may help build
45 a fitness foundation and prepare freshmen athletes to compete at the same level as their
46 upperclassmen counterparts.

47

48

49 INTRODUCTION

50 National Collegiate Athletic Association (NCAA) teams are faced with a unique set of
51 challenges to athlete development and management as players are limited in their time spent on
52 the team. Collegiate athletes have four seasons of eligibility to compete in their respective sport,
53 giving coaches and training staff a narrow time period to optimize athlete performance before
54 they complete their collegiate careers. A compounding challenge for fall sport coaches and
55 training staff is the limited access allowed to the athletes prior to the start of their season each
56 year. The NCAA rules and regulations stipulate that college athletes and coaching staff cannot
57 engage in supervised athletic activities outside their playing season, which is defined as the
58 period of time between the first official practice session and either the last practice session or
59 date of competition, whichever occurs later (19). These rules present a unique set of challenges
60 to fall collegiate sport teams, as the time coaches are able to spend integrating incoming
61 freshmen into the team is limited leading up to the competitive season.

62

63 The NCAA soccer season starts in the beginning of August with a ~2-week preseason (21
64 unit) that often consists of multiple practices per day (19). This is followed by a 12-week
65 competitive season consisting of ~20 matches followed by tournament play (19). Entering the
66 preseason period in peak physical condition is essential as this 2-week period is associated with
67 the highest workloads seen throughout the year and has been shown to result in several
68 physiological and psychological perturbations which appear to be further exacerbated by the
69 cumulative effects of the season (17). Therefore, coaches expect individual athletes to train on
70 their own in the offseason summer months to adequately prepare for the demands of the season.
71 A major constraint to a team's offseason fitness plan is incoming freshmen's knowledge of what

72 is required for conditioning. Freshmen (~18 years old) are expected to compete alongside their
73 senior teammates (~22 years old); however, unlike seniors, freshmen are less familiar with the
74 training demands associated with collegiate sports. Soccer requires both high levels of aerobic
75 fitness and muscular power for on-field success (26, 29), yet freshmen often lack sufficient
76 resistance training knowledge and experience prior to entering college. Thus, freshmen often
77 demonstrate disparities in strength and power capabilities, putting them at greater risk of injury,
78 compared to collegiate upperclassmen (9, 12, 18, 21, 25).

79

80 Periodic testing of fitness attributes is crucial to aid in maximizing team success. As
81 soccer is a power-endurance sport, it is important to track changes in these metrics throughout an
82 athlete's career. Changes in performance may be a result of baseline fitness, competitive level of
83 the athlete (starters vs non-starters), off-season activity, and training strategies (11). Body
84 composition also plays a critical role in sport success as significant correlations between body
85 composition variables and physical performance have been found (24). Greater fat mass has been
86 related to slower sprint times and lower aerobic capacity, while greater percent body fat (%BF)
87 has been correlated with lower vertical jump and cardiorespiratory endurance in male collegiate
88 soccer players (24). As such, longitudinal testing may help to ensure adequate development of
89 the physical and performance qualities that are needed for sport success.

90

91 While performance data is important to team success, limited research exists on
92 normative values in female collegiate players. Furthermore, the majority of available data rely on
93 field-based testing measurements rather than gold-standard laboratory-based testing procedures

94 (13, 28). Research assessing female collegiate athlete performance variables utilizing gold-
95 standard testing metrics is warranted. This information can then be used to guide performance
96 goals for coaches and training staff at both the collegiate level as well as the high school level,
97 where players are aiming to transition and secure a role on a NCAA team. Moreover, research
98 aimed at understanding the longitudinal changes in fitness variables throughout an athlete's
99 collegiate career may help to elucidate the differences that occur across academic years. The
100 purpose of this longitudinal study was to determine fitness and body composition changes over a
101 four-year period in a NCAA Division I women's soccer athletes. We hypothesized that these
102 fitness parameters would improve as players progressed from their freshman to senior year.

103

104 **METHODS**

105 *Experimental Approach to the Problem*

106 Maximal performance testing and body composition data were collected over a 7-year
107 period (2013 – 2019) in women collegiate soccer athletes. Testing sessions occurred immediately
108 prior to preseason (in late July) each academic year. Academic years were defined as freshman,
109 sophomore, junior and senior year, respectively.

110

111 *Subjects*

112 Fitness variables in women collegiate soccer players on a highly ranked NCAA Division
113 I program were assessed as part of an integrative sport science program. A total of 17 players
114 who participated in all 4 testing sessions over their respective four-year academic eligibility
115 period were included in the analysis. Analyses for each variable include athletes with complete
116 testing data (Table 1). All athletes received clearance by the University Sports Medicine staff

117 prior to all testing sessions. This research was approved, and written consent was waived by the
118 Rutgers University Institutional Review Board for the Protection of Human Subjects (IRB#16-
119 050M). All procedures performed were in accordance with the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki and
120 its later amendments or comparable ethical standard.

121

122 *Procedures*

123 Body Composition

124 Body composition was assessed using air-displacement plethysmography (BOD POD,
125 COSMED, Concord, CA, USA). Athletes arrived in a normally hydrated state, ≥ 2 hours fasted,
126 and having refrained from exercise and caffeine ~ 24 hours prior. Athletes dressed according to
127 manufacturer guidelines for all tests. Body mass (BM) was determined using a calibrated scale
128 and %BF and FFM were calculated using the Brozek formula (1, 3).

129

130 Countermovement Jump

131 Following a ~ 7 min dynamic warm-up, athletes completed vertical jump testing via a
132 digital contact mat (Just Jump, Probotics, Huntsville, AL, USA) to determine maximal vertical
133 jump height (20). Athletes were given two attempts to achieve maximal jump height using a
134 countermovement jump with arm swing (CMJ_{AS}) and countermovement jump with hands on hips
135 (CMJ_{HOH}). CMJ_{HOH} was added to the testing battery during the 2016 season as it has been
136 suggested to be a more sensitive metrics to evaluate lower body force production (2), and thus
137 only 9 athletes completed this part of the testing procedures. Muscular power was calculated
138 using the Sayers formula for all jumps (CMJ_{watts} and CMJ_{HOHwatts}) (22).

139

140 Aerobic Capacity

141 Athletes performed a graded exercise test on a treadmill to measure maximal aerobic
142 capacity ($\dot{V}O_{2\max}$) via direct gas exchange using an indirect calorimeter (Quark CPET,
143 COSMED, Concord, CA, USA and Parvo Medics, Sandy, UT, USA). Throughout the test, heart
144 rate (HR) was continuously monitored using a chest strap HR monitor (Polar Electro Co.,
145 Woodbury, NY, USA). At least three of the following criteria were met verifying attainment of
146 $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$: a leveling off or plateauing of $\dot{V}O_2$ with an increase in workload, attainment of age
147 predicted maximal heart rate ± 10 bpm (HR_{\max}), a respiratory exchange ratio ≥ 1.10 , and/or an
148 RPE ≥ 18 (27). Subject's VT was calculated after the completion of each test as the point where
149 ventilation increased nonlinearly with $\dot{V}O_2$.

150

151 *Statistical Analysis*

152 Linear mixed models were used to assess changes in physical performance variables
153 across different academic years in order to account for the unbalanced nature of data arising
154 through repeated measurements of the same individuals. Separate models were constructed for
155 each dependent variable, whereby individual "player ID" was modelled as a random intercept
156 throughout. As per the research questions of interest, "academic year" ("freshman",
157 "sophomore", "junior", "senior"), was specified as categorical fixed effects. Visual checks were
158 used to confirm the assumptions of normality and linearity. Pairwise comparisons were made
159 using Bonferroni-adjusted least squares means tests to assess differences between each level of
160 any given fixed effect. The t statistics from the model comparisons were converted into
161 standardized effect sizes (d) which were interpreted as *trivial* (<0.20), *small* (0.20–0.59),
162 *moderate* (0.60–1.19), or *large* (1.20–1.99) (6, 8, 10). Descriptive data by academic year are

163 presented as means and standard deviation. Analyses were conducted in RStudio (v R-3.6.1.)
164 using the *lme4*, *emmeans*, and *effsize* packages.

165

166 RESULTS

167 Body composition and performance metrics across academic years are presented in *Table*

168 *1*. No significant changes were seen in %BF, BM, $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$, VT, CMJ_{AS}, or CMJ_{watts} across

169 academic years ($p>0.05$). A Time main effect was seen for FFM ($p=0.01$). Pairwise comparisons

170 revealed the greatest change occurred from freshman to senior year ($\Delta=1.6\text{kg}$; $d=0.33$; $p=0.02$).

171 A significant Time main effect was observed for CMJ_{HOH} (<0.001) and CMJ_{HOHwatts} ($p=0.001$).

172 Pairwise comparisons revealed a significant increase in CMJ_{HOH} occurred from freshman to

173 junior ($\Delta=4.6\text{cm}$, $d=0.77$, $p=0.001$) and senior year ($\Delta=5.8\text{cm}$, $d=0.97$, $p<0.001$), as well as

174 sophomore to junior ($\Delta=3.8\text{cm}$, $d=1.11$, $p=0.005$) and senior year ($\Delta=5.2\text{cm}$, $d=1.44$, $p<0.001$).

175 Pairwise comparisons also revealed a significant increase in CMJ_{HOHwatts} occurred from freshman

176 to junior ($\Delta=303\text{W}$, $d=0.72$, $p=0.02$) and senior year ($\Delta=373\text{W}$, $d=0.89$, $p=0.003$), as well as

177 sophomore to senior year ($\Delta=300\text{W}$, $d=0.82$, $p=0.02$).

178

179 INSERT TABLE 1 ABOUT HERE

180 INSERT FIGURES 1-3 ABOUT HERE

181

182 DISCUSSION

183 While endurance levels (aerobic capacity and VT), CMJ_{AS} and %BF were maintained

184 over the four years, athletes' lower extremity muscular power and FFM significantly improved.

185 Athletes exhibited the lowest FFM, CMJ_{HOH} , and power outputs as freshmen, indicating a
186 significant development in these areas throughout their collegiate careers. Overall, these findings
187 indicate that incoming collegiate freshmen do not possess the same physical and performance
188 attributes as their older, seasoned collegiate teammates, especially in terms of muscular power
189 capabilities.

190

191 In the current study, aerobic capacity and VT did not improve over the four years. The
192 homogeneity in team aerobic performance, as well as the relatively high values seen across
193 academic years, may be reflective of the high-level athlete and the type of training they are
194 accustomed to pursuing in the off-season. It is speculated that without access to team strength
195 coaches and facilities over the summer months, athletes may be more apt to choose endurance-
196 based exercise and soccer specific training programs to maintain fitness leading to the high
197 aerobic capacity values seen prior to preseason.

198

199 Given the presumed lack of exposure to strength training at the high school level, the
200 lower FFM observed freshman year is not surprising. In fact, the lower FFM observed at
201 freshmen year in this study are similar to those previously found in men's collegiate basketball
202 (4). Male basketball players experienced an increase in FFM from freshman to sophomore year
203 with no change from sophomore to junior year (4). In addition, previous cross-sectional data
204 detailing performance characteristics across different academic years in female collegiate soccer
205 players also found freshmen had significantly lower maximal power capabilities compared to
206 upperclassmen, along with lower maximal aerobic capacity (14). It is important to note that
207 although CMJ_{AS} did not change significantly over the four years, this may be a result of a lack of

208 sensitivity of this measure when tested in soccer athletes whose sport does not require the use of
209 arm swing motion for jump proficiency (7). In addition, despite lack of significant changes in
210 this metric over the four-year period, the lowest values were still apparent during freshman year.

211

212 Overall, coaches and training staff should recognize the potential limited readiness of
213 freshmen athletes and account for these developmental differences when entering the season.
214 This may aid proper periodization strategies and help to reduce the risk for injury. In fact, studies
215 in collegiate athletes across sports have reported freshmen were at a higher risk for stress fracture
216 occurrence which may be caused by the increase in training demands transitioning from the high
217 school to collegiate level (5). Additionally, as power and body composition differences in
218 freshman appear to be prevalent across multiple sports, coaches and training staff can utilize this
219 information to tailor training in an effort to address these concerns. Due to the limited access to
220 their team, it becomes crucial for coaches and training staff of collegiate fall sports to maximize
221 their time spent with the athletes throughout the year in order to achieve long-term team success.
222 This should include targeted strength and power training, especially for freshman female soccer
223 players. Moreover, for high school athletes, there appears to be a need for improved strength and
224 conditioning programs aimed at increasing FFM and power capabilities beginning prior to sport
225 participation at the collegiate level. Further research is warranted regarding maturation and
226 performance development in youth athletes looking to transition to a collegiate program.

227

228 An acknowledged limitation of the current study is lack of training workload information
229 to provide context to the performance changes that were seen over the four-year period. Other
230 studies have shown increased training load improves aerobic fitness (23), but that these training

231 loads may also have a negative effect on sprint and CMJ performance (15, 16). Given the design
232 of a collegiate soccer program, monitoring individual training workloads throughout the years
233 was not possible, particularly during NCAA mandated unsupervised periods. Future research is
234 warranted to assess total training demands in order to help explain the changes in performance
235 and body composition throughout the season. Further research may benefit from this information
236 to help determine optimal loading prescriptions in an effort to mitigate performance decrements
237 in this population. Despite these limitations, this study has many unique strengths. The within-
238 subject design of this study helps to elucidate the developmental changes that occur over time in
239 women soccer athletes. To the authors knowledge, this is the first study to determine longitudinal
240 changes in fitness variables using gold standard testing techniques throughout an entire collegiate
241 soccer career.

242

243 **PRACTICAL APPLICATIONS**

244 This study highlights the importance of monitoring performance across the entirety of an
245 athlete's career. Periodic testing may help to ensure adequate development of the physical and
246 performance qualities that are needed for sport success at all levels of play. Performance testing
247 prior to the start of an athlete's collegiate career may be especially crucial as it allows coaches
248 and training staff to identify athlete's readiness and immediately implement targeted
249 interventions to address any deficits. This individualized approach to team monitoring becomes
250 essential as not all athletes may adapt to the imposed training demands in a similar manner. In
251 addition, adequate conditioning programs prior to entering a collegiate program may help to
252 build a proper fitness foundation and prepare incoming freshmen athletes to compete at the same
253 level as their upperclassmen counterparts. These findings can guide performance goals for soccer
254 coaches and training staff at both the collegiate and high school levels to better prepare freshmen

255 to compete on the collegiate stage. For women soccer players, these programs should emphasize
 256 power development, as these characteristics were the most improved throughout the four-year
 257 collegiate period.

258
 259 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS:** The authors would like to thank for their support of this
 260 study. The results of the study are presented clearly, honestly, and without fabrication,
 261 falsification, or inappropriate data manipulation. The authors have no conflicts of interest to
 262 declare. Funding was not provided for this study. The results of the study do not constitute
 263 endorsement by the National Strength and Conditioning Association.

264
 265 **REFERENCES**

- 266 1. Brozek J. Densitometric analysis of body composition: revision of some quantitative
 267 assumptions. *Ann N Acad Sci* 110: 113-140, 1963.
 268
- 269 2. Cormack SJ, Newton RU, McGuigan MR, and Doyle TL. Reliability of measures
 270 obtained during single and repeated countermovement jumps. *Int J Sports Physiol*
 271 *Perform* 3: 131-144, 2008.
 272
- 273 3. Dempster P and Aitkens S. A new air displacement method for the determination of
 274 human body composition. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 27: 1692-1697, 1995.
 275
- 276 4. Fields JB, Merrigan JJ, White JB, and Jones MT. Seasonal and Longitudinal Changes in
 277 Body Composition by Sport-Position in NCAA Division I Basketball Athletes. *Sports*
 278 *(Basel)* 6, 2018.
 279
- 280 5. Goldberg B and Pecora C. Stress Fractures. *Phys Sports Med* 22: 68-78, 1994.
 281
- 282 6. Harkness-Armstrong A, Till K, Datson N, and Emmonds S. Whole and peak physical
 283 characteristics of elite youth female soccer match-play. *J Sports Sci* 39: 1320-1329, 2021.
 284
- 285 7. Heishman AD, Daub BD, Miller RM, et al. Countermovement Jump Reliability
 286 Performed With and Without an Arm Swing in NCAA Division 1 Intercollegiate
 287 Basketball Players. *J Strength Cond Res* 34: 546-558, 2020.
 288
- 289 8. Henderson MJ, Fransen J, McGrath JJ, et al. Situational factors affecting rugby sevens
 290 match performance. *Sci Med Football* 3: 275-280, 2019.
 291

- 292 9. Hoffman JR, Ratamess NA, and Kang J. Performance changes during a college playing
293 career in NCAA division III football athletes. *J Strength Cond Res* 25: 2351-2357, 2011.
294
- 295 10. Hopkins WG, Marshall SW, Batterham AM, and Hanin J. Progressive statistics for
296 studies in sports medicine and exercise science. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 41: 3-13, 2009.
297
- 298 11. Koutedakis Y. Seasonal variation in fitness parameters in competitive athletes. *Sports*
299 *Med* 19: 373-392, 1995.
300
- 301 12. Lenhard RA, Lenhard, HR, Young, R, Butterfield, SA. Monitoring Injuries on a College
302 Soccer Team The Effect of Strength Training. *J of Strength and Cond Res* 10: 115-119,
303 1996.
304
- 305 13. Lockie RG, Moreno MR, Lazar A, et al. The physical and athletic performance
306 characteristics of Division I collegiate female soccer players by position. *J Strength Cond*
307 *Res* 32: 334-343, 2016.
308
- 309 14. Lockie RG, Stecyk S, Mock S, et al. A cross-sectional analysis of the characteristics of
310 Division I collegiate female soccer field players across year of eligibility. *Journal of*
311 *Australian Strength and Conditioning* 24: 6-15, 2016.
312
- 313 15. Los Arcos A, Martinez-Santos R, Yanci J, and Mendez-Villanueva A. Monitoring
314 perceived respiratory and muscular exertions and physical fitness in young professional
315 soccer players during a 32 week period. *Kinesiology* 49, 2017.
316
- 317 16. Los Arcos A, Martinez-Santos R, Yanci J, Mendiguchia J, and Mendez-Villanueva A.
318 Negative associations between perceived training load, volume and changes in physical
319 fitness in professional soccer players. *J Sport Sci Med* 14: 394, 2015.
320
- 321 17. McFadden BA, Walker AJ, Bozzini BN, et al. Psychological and physiological changes
322 in response to the cumulative demands of women's collegiate soccer season. *J Strength*
323 *Cond Res*, In Press.
324
- 325 18. Miller TA, White ED, Kinley KA, Congleton JJ, and Clark MJ. The effects of training
326 history, player position, and body composition on exercise performance in collegiate
327 football players. *J Strength Cond Res* 16: 44-49, 2002.
328
- 329 19. NCAA. NCAA Division I Manual.. pp. 312–313. Available
330 at: <http://www.ncaapublications.com>.
331
- 332 20. Nuzzo J, Anning J, and Scharfenberg J. The Reliability of Three Devices Used for
333 Measuring Vertical Jump Height. *Strength Cond* 25: 2580-2590, 2011.
334
- 335 21. Petko M and Hunter GR. Four-year changes in strength, power, and aerobic fitness in
336 women college basketball players. *Strength Cond* 19: 46-49, 1997.
337

- 338 22. Sayers SP, Harackiewicz DV, Harman EA, Frykman PN, and Rosenstein MT. Cross-
339 validation of three jump power equations. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 31: 572-577, 1999.
340
- 341 23. Silva JR, Magalhaes JF, Ascensao AA, et al. Individual match playing time during the
342 season affects fitness-related parameters of male professional soccer players. *J Strength*
343 *Cond Res* 25: 2729-2739, 2011.
344
- 345 24. Silvestre R, West C, Maresh CM, and Kraemer WJ. Body composition and physical
346 performance in men's soccer: a study of a National Collegiate Athletic Association
347 Division I team. *J Strength Cond Res* 20: 177-183, 2006.
348
- 349 25. Stodden DF and Galitski HM. Longitudinal effects of a collegiate strength and
350 conditioning program in American football. *J Strength Cond Res* 24: 2300-2308, 2010.
351
- 352 26. Stolen T, Chamari K, Castagna C, and Wisloff U. Physiology of soccer: an update. *Sports*
353 *Med* 35: 501-536, 2005.
354
- 355 27. Thompson W, Gordon N, and Pescatello L. *ACSM's Guidelines for Exercise Testing and*
356 *Prescription 8th ed.* Philadelphia: Wolters Kluwer/Lippincott Williams & Wilkins
357 Health, 2000. pp. 143-144,
358
- 359 28. Vescovi JD, Brown TD, and Murray TM. Positional characteristics of physical
360 performance in Division I college female soccer players. *J Sports Med Phys Fitness* 46:
361 221-226, 2006.
362
- 363 29. Walker AJ, Arent MA, McFadden BA, and Arent SM. Physical Performance Testing. In:
364 eds RM Curtis, CL Benjamin, RA Huggins, DJ Casa. *Elite Soccer Players: Maximizing*
365 *Performance and Safety.* London: Routledge; 2019. pp. 137-156.

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377 **TABLE LEGENDS:**

378 **Table 1: Body Composition and Performance Changes Across Academic Years**

379

380 Results are presented as means and standard deviation. (*) indicates significant differences from freshman ($p < 0.05$),
381 (†) indicates significant differences from sophomore ($p < 0.05$). VT=ventilatory threshold, CMJ_{AS}=countermovement
382 vertical jump with arm swing, CMJ_{HOH}=countermovement vertical jump with hands on hips, BF=percent body fat,
383 FFM=fat free mass

384

385 **FIGURE LEGENDS:**

386

387 **Figure 1: Body Composition Changes Over an Academic Career in Female Collegiate**
388 **Soccer Athletes**

389

390 Lines represent individual athlete changes over 4 years.

391 Diamonds represent means for each academic year

392 BF=percent body fat, FFM=fat free mass

393

394 **Figure 2: Endurance Changes Over an Academic Career in Female Collegiate Soccer**
395 **Athletes**

396

397 Lines represent individual athlete changes over 4 years.

398 Diamonds represent means for each academic year

399 CMJ=countermovement vertical jump with arm swing, CMJ_{HOH}=countermovement vertical jump with hands on hips

400

401 **Figure 3: Power Changes Over an Academic Career in Female Collegiate Soccer Athletes**

402

403

404 Lines represent individual athlete changes over 4 years.

405 Diamonds represent means for each academic year

406 VT=ventilatory threshold